

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 2926 HB	Title: Traffic infractions
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2005-07		2007-09		2009-11	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Total \$						

Local Gov. Courts *		5,528,000		2,211,200		2,211,200
Local Gov. Other **						
Local Gov. Total		5,528,000		2,211,200		2,211,200

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2005-07			2007-09			2009-11		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Transportation	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0

Local Gov. Courts *									
Local Gov. Other **									
Local Gov. Total									

--

Prepared by: Garry Austin, OFM	Phone: 360-902-0564	Date Published: Final 2/ 1/2006
---------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID: 13370

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2926 HB	Title: Traffic infractions	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND	FY 2006	FY 2007	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11
Counties		5,528,000	5,528,000	2,211,200	2,211,200
Cities					
Total \$		5,528,000	5,528,000	2,211,200	2,211,200

Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2006	FY 2007	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11
County FTE Staff Years					
Fund					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2006	FY 2007	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11
City FTE Staff Years					
Fund					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☒

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

☐

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

☐

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: David Munnecke	Phone: 360-786-7100	Date: 01/31/2006
Agency Preparation: Julia Appel	Phone: (360) 705-5229	Date: 01/31/2006
Agency Approval: Jeff Hall	Phone: 360-357-2131	Date: 02/01/2006
OFM Review: Garry Austin	Phone: 360-902-0564	Date: 02/01/2006

Request #

-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Section 2, related to traffic infractions issued under RCW 46.61.690 for toll collection evasion, amends RCW 46.63.160(8) to require that infractions generated by the use of photo enforcement systems under the section are to be processed in the same manner as parking infractions. The penalty is set at forty dollars.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

The Washington State Patrol (WSP) assumes 18,000 (40%) transactions daily in the toll bridge express lane, where the majority of the toll violations are expected. WSP is assuming a 10% violation rate the first year (1,800 per day), and a 1 - 2% violation rate in subsequent years. WSP assumes 1,000 - 1,100 violators will commit the 1800 violations, and 80% of those will be processed through the administrative process.

This leaves about 200 individuals responsible for 300 - 350 violations daily. AOC has assumed, therefore, that there will be approximately 126,000 infraction charges filed in Pierce District Court in the first year, and that there will be approximately 25,200 in subsequent years.

In 1999 the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) conducted an analysis of the impact of new or increased fines and assessments on revenue collections. The Traffic Infraction Court Revenue Study concluded that increases in the amount assessed result in:

- A decrease in the collection rate.
- An increase in the number of time payment requests.
- An increase in the number of failures to pay or appear.
- An increase in the number of hearings.
- A reduction of the penalty amount ordered at hearings.

Accordingly new traffic infraction assessments do not necessarily result in a one-for-one increase in revenue. However, as \$40 is a relatively low amount, the affect of the above factors is minimal.

These new toll violations will be processed like parking tickets, and 100% of the revenue will be retained by local government. Based on the infraction revenue model referred to above, and the WSP projections regarding the number of violations, this will result in potential revenues to Pierce County of approximately \$5,528,000 in the first year, and \$1,105,600 in subsequent years.

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2006	FY 2007	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Personal Service Contracts					
Goods and Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<u>County</u>	FY 2006	FY 2007	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries & Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<u>City</u>	FY 2006	FY 2007	2005-07	2007-09	2009-11
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries & Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2926 HB	Title: Traffic infractions	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☒ **No Fiscal Impact**

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: David Munnecke	Phone: 360-786-7100	Date: 01/31/2006
Agency Preparation: Dan Lawrence	Phone: 360-705-7542	Date: 01/31/2006
Agency Approval: Gummada Murthy	Phone: 360-705-7801	Date: 01/31/2006
OFM Review: Rich Struna	Phone: 360-902-9821	Date: 02/01/2006

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 2 of this bill amends RCW 46.63.160 pertaining to traffic infractions issued under RCW 46.61.690 (violations for toll facilities). The bill specifies that toll evasion infractions generated by the use of photo enforcement systems are processed in the same manner as parking infractions and establishes a \$40 penalty.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development

Bill Number: 2926 HB	Title: Traffic infractions
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- ☐ Cities:
- ☐ Counties:
- ☐ Special Districts:
- ☐ Specific jurisdictions only:
- ☐ Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- ☒ No fiscal impacts.
- ☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- ☐ Legislation provides local option:
- ☐ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Linda Bradford	Phone: 360-725-5035	Date: 01/31/2006
Leg. Committee Contact: David Munnecke	Phone: 360-786-7100	Date: 01/31/2006
Agency Approval: Louise Deng Davis	Phone: (360) 725-5034	Date: 02/01/2006
OFM Review: Garry Austin	Phone: 360-902-0564	Date: 02/01/2006

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

The proposed changes in infraction penalties are specific to the soon-to-be-built Tacoma Narrows Bridge, and "toll-skipping."

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

Negligible additional expenditures to local governments are expected under this bill.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

There will be cash receipts for Pierce Co. from the penalties (see the Administrative Office of the Courts fiscal note.)