

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6	Title: Electrical transmission
---	---------------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2007-09		2009-11		2011-13	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development	0	353,503	0	382,660	0	406,851
Total \$	0	353,503	0	382,660	0	406,851

Local Gov. Courts *						
Local Gov. Other **	Fiscal note not available					
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2007-09			2009-11			2011-13		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development	.4	34,991	388,494	.5	0	382,660	.7	0	406,851
Utilities and Transportation Commission	.1	0	22,096	.1	0	22,096	.1	0	22,096
Department of Ecology	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Fish and Wildlife	.2	0	0	.2	0	0	.2	0	0
Department of Natural Resources	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total	0.7	\$34,991	\$410,590	0.8	\$0	\$404,756	1.0	\$0	\$428,947

Local Gov. Courts *									
Local Gov. Other **	Fiscal note not available								
Local Gov. Total									

--

Prepared by: Mike Woods, OFM	Phone: 360-902-9819	Date Published: Preliminary 4/18/2007
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID: 18036

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6	Title: Electrical transmission	Agency: 103-Community, Trade & Economic Develop
---	---------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
General Fund-Private/Local 001-7	303,414	50,089	353,503	382,660	406,851
Total \$	303,414	50,089	353,503	382,660	406,851

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7
Fund					
General Fund-State 001-1	34,991	0	34,991	0	0
General Fund-Private/Local 001-7	303,414	50,089	353,503	382,660	406,851
Total \$	338,405	50,089	388,494	382,660	406,851

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☒ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/13/2007
Agency Preparation: Allen Fiksdal	Phone: 360-956-2152	Date: 04/13/2007
Agency Approval: Allen Fiksdal	Phone: 360-956-2152	Date: 04/18/2007
OFM Review: Mike Woods	Phone: 360-902-9819	Date: 04/18/2007

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This amendment does not change the overall fiscal assumptions of House Bill 1037.

Section 2 of Substitute House Bill 1037 AMS (SHB 1037 AMS) would allow entities building new or reconstructing electrical transmission lines of at least 115 thousand volts to “opt into” the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council’s (EFSEC) siting and regulatory process

Section 3 creates a pre-application process.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Under RCW 80.50.071, applicants for energy facility site certification and certificate holders are required to pay fees or charges for application processing or certification monitoring. SHB 1037 AMS requires a pre-application fee of \$10,000 to be applied to the cost of the pre-application process. EFSEC assumes there will be one application during each biennium. Also EFSEC assumes that each line will be permitted so there will be on-going costs for compliance monitoring of those that were approved. The costs that must be covered by the new applicants are explained in the expenditures section below.

Total cash receipts for FY08 will be \$303,414, FY09 will be \$50,089, FY10 will be \$317,770, FY11 will be \$64,890, FY12 will be \$327,853, and FY13 will be \$78,998.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 2

Section 2 of SHB 1037 AMS allows “opting in,” therefore EFSEC assumes there will be one application during each biennium. Also EFSEC assumes that each line will be permitted so there will be on-going costs for compliance monitoring of those that were approved. The amounts during the first year of each biennium are costs for an EFSEC application review and the second year of each biennium for compliance monitoring of the lines. The costs for the 2009-11 biennium include the compliance monitoring for those lines approved during the 2007-09 biennium and the costs for the 2011-2013 biennium include the compliance costs for those lines approved during the previous biennia.

With the exception of rulemaking costs, expenditures will be equal to cash receipts, as explained in the cash receipts section. Under RCW 80.50.071, private/local receipts may only be used for application processing and compliance monitoring; rulemaking costs would not be allowed. It is assumed that General Fund State funds will be required to cover rulemaking costs, which will be \$34,991 in FY 08.

FTE, Salary and Benefits:

FY08: \$44,424 for 0.55 FTE, comprised of 0.45 FTE costing \$36,737 for siting review and 0.10 FTE costing \$7,687 for rule making

FY09: \$15,458 for 0.21 FTE for compliance monitoring of the line approved in FY08

FY10: \$49,270 for 0.65 FTE for a new siting review and compliance monitoring of the line approved in FY08

FY11: \$25,860 for 0.34 FTE for compliance monitoring of two lines

FY12: \$56,883 for 0.75 FTE for a new siting review and compliance monitoring of two lines

FY13: \$35,387 for 0.46 FTE for compliance monitoring of three lines.

In each of fiscal years 08, 10 and 12 an additional .10 FTE will be required for related agency administration. Costs for these FTE are a part of standard goods and services below.

Personal Service Contracts: Cost for independent consultants and interagency contracts for application review and compliance monitoring would be as follows:

FY08, FY10, FY12: \$205,000 each fiscal year for siting activity

FY09, FY11, FY13: \$15,000 each fiscal year for compliance monitoring.

Note: RCW 80.50040. (9) requires onsite inspection performed by state agencies pursuant to interagency agreements. These are budgeted through Object C.

Goods & services (G&S):

FY08: \$85,209

-standard G&S: \$17,909

-space and utilities: \$3,300

-non-standard: \$64,000 (\$31,000 for Attorney General, \$6,000 for printing costs during siting activity, and \$27,000 added costs for rule making.

FY09: \$19,131

-standard G&S: \$5,831

-space and utilities: \$3,300

-non-standard: \$10,000 (\$5,000 for Attorney General and \$5,000 for EFSEC members cost for attending meetings)

FY10: \$61,202

-standard G&S: \$17,902

-space and utilities: \$3,300

-non-standard: \$40,000 (\$15,000 for Attorney General, \$5,000 for printing costs during siting activity, and \$20,000 for EFSEC members cost for attending meetings.

FY11: \$22,658

-standard G&S: \$9,358

-space and utilities: \$3,300

-non-standard: \$10,000 (\$5,000 for Attorney General and \$5,000 for EFSEC members cost for attending meetings)

FY12: \$63,914.00

-standard G&S: \$20,614

-space and utilities: \$3,300

-non-standard: \$40,000 (\$15,000 for Attorney General, \$5,000 for printing costs during siting activity, and \$20,000 for EFSEC members cost for attending meetings.

FY13: \$25,915

-standard G&S: \$12,615

-space and utilities: \$\$3,300

-non-standard: \$10,000 (\$5,000 for Attorney General and \$5,000 for EFSEC members cost for attending meetings)

Note: EFSEC reimburses its agency members for their salary and benefits for the time the member spends at EFSEC meetings, hearings, and other EFSEC activities. Fiscal Year 2006 costs were used for estimation purposes. These costs are budgeted through Object E.

Note: standard goods and services costs include supplies and materials, employee development and training, agency administration, mandatory state seat of government charges, and mandatory state Department of Personnel charges. CTED agency administration costs are allocated to programs depending on the complexity and/or volume of work required for each program. The cost indicators used to determine complexity and volume of work are: the number of contracts administered, the number of FTEs working on a program, and the number of separate budget reporting codes (i.e., separate cost centers or accounts). CTED administration provides general standard governmental services including, but not limited to: budgeting, accounting, payroll, and purchasing services; personnel and employee services; internal information technology systems, desktop and network support services; facilities management services; legislative and public affairs services; policy and risk management services; and other support services.

Travel:

FY08: \$3,772 (\$2,056 for siting activity and \$1,716 for rule making)

FY09: \$500

FY10: \$2,298

FY11: \$1,372

FY12: \$2,056

FY13: \$2,696

Note that travel costs are increased during siting activity in FY08, FY10, and FY12.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.7	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7
A-Salaries & Wages	34.172	11,891	46,063	57,792	70,977
B-Employee Benefits	10.252	3,567	13,819	17,338	21,293
C-Personal Serv Contr	205.000	15,000	220,000	220,000	220,000
E-Goods and Services	85.209	19,131	104,340	83,860	89,829
G-Travel	3.772	500	4,272	3,670	4,752
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursement					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursement					
Total:	\$338,405	\$50,089	\$388,494	\$382,660	\$406,851

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Energy Facilities Site Specialist	58,564	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
Secretary Administrative	37,536	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Various Administrative Services	55,515	0.1		0.1	0.1	0.1
WMS Band 2	67,140	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
WMS Band 3	80,118	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Total FTE's		0.7	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.7

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Agency Administration (100)	15,243	4,821	20,064	22,488	27,393
EFSEC (550)	323,162	45,268	368,430	360,172	379,458
Total \$	338,405	50,089	388,494	382,660	406,851

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

EFSEC would need to adopt some new rules for its siting and regulation of those electrical transmission lines that chose to come under EFSEC's siting and regulatory process.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6	Title: Electrical transmission	Agency: 215-Utilities and Transportation Comm
---	---------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Fund					
Public Service Revolving Account-State 111-1	11,048	11,048	22,096	22,096	22,096
Total \$	11,048	11,048	22,096	22,096	22,096

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/13/2007
Agency Preparation: Michael Young	Phone: 360-664-1155	Date: 04/16/2007
Agency Approval: Carole Washburn	Phone: 360-664-1174	Date: 04/17/2007
OFM Review: Garry Austin	Phone: 360-902-0564	Date: 04/17/2007

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6 amends RCW 80.50.020 to include electrical transmission and distribution lines in excess of 115 kV in the definition of transmission facilities (Section 1). The bill extends the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) siting jurisdiction to such electrical transmission facilities if the person developing the facilities chooses to receive siting certification from EFSEC. (Section 2(4)).

Extension of EFSEC siting jurisdiction may increase the workload on the UTC's EFSEC member. An exact estimate of the increase in number of siting proceedings is not possible.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Previously the EFSEC representative work 270.5 hours or .13 of an FTE, which is manageable and can be coordinated with current workload of employee. Proposed bill would increase workload to 301 hours or .14 of an FTE. An increase of 60 hours per biennium.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
A-Salaries and Wages	9,131	9,131	18,262	18,262	18,262
B-Employee Benefits	1,917	1,917	3,834	3,834	3,834
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services					
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total:	\$11,048	\$11,048	\$22,096	\$22,096	\$22,096

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Safety Policy Coordinator	65,220	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total FTE's		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6	Title: Electrical transmission	Agency: 461-Department of Ecology
---	---------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ **No Fiscal Impact**

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/13/2007
Agency Preparation: Hedia Adelsman	Phone: (360) 407-6222	Date: 04/16/2007
Agency Approval: Pat McLain	Phone: (360) 407-7005	Date: 04/16/2007
OFM Review: Linda Steinmann	Phone: 360-902-0573	Date: 04/16/2007

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1(4) would include in the definition of “site” an alternative energy resource or electric transmission facility.

Section 1(6) would add that “associated facilities” include those constructed to operate at nominal voltages of at least 115,000 volts.

Section 1(8) would define “electric transmission facilities” as electric power lines and related equipment.

Section 3(a) states that the bill’s provisions would apply to construction, reconstruction, or modification of electrical transmission facilities when:

- (i) located in a national interest electric transmission corridor,
- (ii)(A) of a nominal voltage of at least 115,000 volts and located in a completely new corridor, except for the terminus of a new facility with existing grid and not otherwise used for electrical transmission facilities,
- (ii)(B) located in more than one jurisdiction that has promulgated land use plans or zoning ordinances,
- (iii) of nominal voltage in excess of 115,000 volts and located outside an electrical transmission corridor identified above.

Section 3(b) would define “modify” as a significant change to an electrical transmission facility and does not include minor improvements, relocation of existing electrical transmission line facilities, conversion of existing overhead lines to underground, or placing new or additional conductors, supporting structures, insulators, or other accessories on or replacement of supporting structures already built.

This bill would provide the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC) with the authority over the construction of new transmission facilities, and the reconstruction or expansion of existing electrical transmission facilities, that are designed or capable of at least 115 MV. The applicant would be able to choose whether to go through EFSEC's process.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS:

1. Based on our coordination with EFSEC, we assume one application would be received for an electric transmission line in a national interest electric transmission corridor every 2 years, and that a two year process would be required for each.
2. Work would only be done by Ecology staff as EFSEC agreed to reimbursement.

ESTIMATE: Since we assume the workload under this bill to be relatively small, and reimbursed by EFSEC, Ecology would have no fiscal impact.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6	Title: Electrical transmission	Agency: 477-Department of Fish and Wildlife
---	---------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Fund					
Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/13/2007
Agency Preparation: Curt Leigh	Phone: 360-902-2422	Date: 04/16/2007
Agency Approval: Ron McQueen	Phone: 360-902-2204	Date: 04/17/2007
OFM Review: Deborah Feinstein	Phone: 360-902-0614	Date: 04/17/2007

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

The bill will allow entities building new or reconstructing electrical transmission lines in excess of 115 thousand volts to “opt into” the Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development's Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council’s (EFSEC) siting and regulatory process. This would provide developers of electrical transmission lines the option to use the Council’s siting process to permit their projects. This would increase the duties of both WDFW staff and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) Council Representative.

A senior staff from the WDFW serves as the Department's representative on the Council to provide fish and wildlife technical expertise to the Council and its staff. State agencies' representatives on EFSEC are reimbursed for their time and related travel expenses by the Council.

The amended bill creates a new definition of Electrical Transmission Facility, and requires participation in a pre-application process for projects that choose to use the EFSEC siting approach. The applicant must also pay \$10,000 up front to fund the preapplication process. The change has no fiscal impact on the agency.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

N/A

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

WDFW's fiscal note was prepared using EFSEC assumptions as follows:

1. There will be one application during each biennium.
2. Each line will be permitted so there will be ongoing costs for compliance monitoring of those that were approved.
3. Costs in the first year of each biennium are for an EFSEC application review, and in the second year of each biennium for compliance monitoring of the lines.
4. Costs for the 2009-11 Biennium include the compliance costs for those lines approved during the 2007-09 Biennium, and the costs for the 2011-2013 Biennium include the compliance costs for those lines approved during the previous biennium.
5. State agencies and local government representatives on EFSEC will be reimbursed for their member's time spent on these EFSEC activities. Other state agency or local government costs for intervention in the EFSEC process will not be reimbursed by EFSEC.

Cost increases due to this proposed legislation were calculated using the following assumptions:

1. In addition to the existing Council workload, this measure would result in one new transmission line siting per year for six years with all siting activities for each transmission line completed within the year of initiation.
2. WDFW representative on EFSEC costs are based on the time spent by the current representative working on the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project.
3. WDFW technical staff time was estimated based on average monthly time spent on the Sumas Gas Fired Combustion Turbine Project.
4. Goods and services costs are based on standard FTE costs.
5. Travel costs are based on the travel records of the WDFW Council representative working on the Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project.

6. All of these costs will be reimbursed by EFSEC.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
FTE Staff Years	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
A-Salaries and Wages	15,116	15,116	30,232	30,232	30,232
B-Employee Benefits	3,882	3,882	7,764	7,764	7,764
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services	4,065	4,065	8,130	8,130	8,130
G-Travel	3,600	3,600	7,200	7,200	7,200
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements	(26,663)	(26,663)	(53,326)	(53,326)	(53,326)
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2008	FY 2009	2007-09	2009-11	2011-13
Fish and Wildlife Biologist 4	55,716	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
WMS 3	82,200	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total FTE's		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

N/A

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

N/A

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1037 S HB AMS POUL S3375.6	Title: Electrical transmission	Agency: 490-Department of Natural Resources
---	---------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ **No Fiscal Impact**

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/13/2007
Agency Preparation: Marcia Wendling	Phone: (360) 902-1259	Date: 04/16/2007
Agency Approval: Bob VanSchoorl	Phone: (360) 902-1298	Date: 04/17/2007
OFM Review: David Giglio	Phone: 360-902-0654	Date: 04/18/2007

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Amends RCW 80.50.060 and 80.50.020 relating to the siting of electrical transmission facilities under the energy facility site evaluation council (EFSEC). New sections are added to chapter 80.50 creating a pre-application process.

The Department of Natural Resources is a representative on the council. It is anticipated that any increase in workload driven by the new process would be reimbursed by EFSEC.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.