# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 5871 SB Title: Prescribed power wheelchairs

# **Estimated Cash Receipts**

Agency Name	2009-11		2011-	-13	2013-15	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Department of Revenue	0	0	(956,000)	(958,000)	(1,094,000)	(1,096,000)
Total \$	0	0	(956,000)	(958,000)	(1,094,000)	(1,096,000)

Local Gov. Courts *			
Local Gov. Other **		(347,489)	(397,980)
Local Gov. Total		(347,489)	(397,980)

# **Estimated Expenditures**

Agency Name	2009-11				2011-13			2013-15		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Department of Revenue	.0	5,000	5,000	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Total	0.0	\$5,000	\$5,000	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0	

Local Gov. Courts *					
Local Gov. Other **					
Local Gov. Total					

Prepared by:	Ryan Black, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
		360-902-0417	Final

<sup>\*</sup> See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

<sup>\*\*</sup> See local government fiscal note FNPID 22788

# **Department of Revenue Fiscal Note**

ill Number: 5871 SB Title:	Prescribed power wh	eelchairs	Agen	Revenue	nt of
art I: Estimates					
No Fiscal Impact					
stimated Cash Receipts to:			1		
FUND GF-Basic-State	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	<b>2011-13</b> (956,000)	<b>2013-15</b> (1,094,000
01 - Taxes 01 - Retail Sales Tax				(956,000)	(1,094,000
Performance Audit-State				(2,000)	(2,000
01 - Taxes 01 - Retail Sales Tax					
Total	\$			(958,000)	(1,096,000
stimated Expenditures from:					
	EV 2010	FY 2011	2000 44	2011 12	2013-15
FTE Staff Years	FY 2010	0.1	<b>2009-11</b> 0.0	2011-13	2013-15
Fund		0.1	0.0		
GF-STATE-State 001-1		5,000	5,000		
Total \$		5,000	5,000		
The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this p	age represent the most li	kely fiscal impact. Factor	rs impacting the preci	sion of these estimates,	
The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this part and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in		kely fiscal impact. Factor	rs impacting the preci.	sion of these estimates,	
	n Part II.	kely fiscal impact. Factor	rs impacting the preci.	sion of these estimates,	
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in	g instructions:				
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding.  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fi	a Part II.  g instructions:  scal year in the curren	t biennium or in subsec	quent biennia, comp	olete entire fiscal note	
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fif form Parts I-V.	a Part II.  g instructions:  scal year in the curren	t biennium or in subsec	quent biennia, comp	olete entire fiscal note	
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Check applicable boxes and follow correspondin  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiform Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal	g instructions: scal year in the curren Il year in the current b	t biennium or in subsec	quent biennia, comp	olete entire fiscal note	
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Check applicable boxes and follow correspondin  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fifth form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal capital budget impact, complete Part IV.	g instructions: scal year in the curren Il year in the current b	t biennium or in subsectiennium or in subseque	quent biennia, comp	elete entire fiscal note this page only (Part I).	
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Check applicable boxes and follow correspondin  If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiform Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal capital budget impact, complete Part IV.  Requires new rule making, complete Part V.	g instructions: scal year in the curren Il year in the current b	t biennium or in subseque	quent biennia, compent biennia, complet	olete entire fiscal note e this page only (Part I).  Date: 02/07/2	2009
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Check applicable boxes and follow correspondin  X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiform Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal impact impact, complete Part IV.  X Requires new rule making, complete Part V.  Legislative Contact: Dean Carlson	g instructions: scal year in the curren Il year in the current b	t biennium or in subseque	quent biennia, competent biennia, completent b	olete entire fiscal note e this page only (Part I).  Date: 02/07/2	2009

# **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This bill provides a sales and use tax exemption for prescribed power wheelchairs and related accessories and services. A power wheelchair is defined as a chair-like battery powered mobility device for people with difficulty walking due to illness or disability, which an integrated, modular, or custom seating system, electronic steering, and four or more wheel nonhighway construction. The definition does not include a vehicle or a motorized foot scooter as defined in RCW 46.04.

The effective date for the bill is July 1, 2011.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

#### ASSUMPTIONS/DATA SOURCES

Data on the power wheelchairs was collected from a report completed by staff at the University of California on mobility device use in the United States.

Growth rates were based on data collected from the U.S. Census Bureau and Washington State's Economic and Revenue Forecast Council.

The effective date for the bill would be July 1, 2011, which would result in 11 months of cash collections for Fiscal Year 2012.

#### REVENUE ESTIMATES

This bill will decrease state revenues by \$958,000 in the 2012-2013 Biennium, and \$1,096,000 in the 2014-2015 Biennium.

Local governments will lose \$351,000 in the 2012-2013 Biennium, and \$402,000 in the 2014-2015 Biennium.

#### TOTAL REVENUE IMPACT:

```
State Government (cash basis, $000):
```

FY 2010 - \$0

FY 2011 - \$0

FY 2012 - \$ (447)

FY 2013 - \$ (511)

FY 2014 - \$ (535)

FY 2015 - \$ (561)

Local Government, if applicable (cash basis, \$000):

FY 2010 - \$ 0

FY 2011 - \$0

FY 2012 - \$ (164)

FY 2013 - \$ (187)

FY 2014 - \$ (196)

# II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing

To implement this legislation the Department of Revenue will incur costs of approximately \$5,000 in Fiscal Year 2011 for the amendment of one administrative rule.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

## III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years		0.1	0.0		
A-Salaries and Wages		3,200	3,200		
B-Employee Benefits		800	800		
E-Goods and Services		700	700		
J-Capital Outlays		300	300		
Total \$		\$5,000	\$5,000		

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
HEARINGS SCHEDULER	32,688		0.0	0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 3	69,756		0.0	0.0		
WMS BAND 3	88,546		0.0	0.0		
Total FTE's	190,990		0.1	0.0		

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE.

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Should this legislation become law, the Department of Revenue will use the expedited process to amend WAC 458-20-18801, "Prescription drugs, prosthetic and orthotic devices, ostomic items, and medically prescribed oxygen". Persons affected by this rule-making would include persons purchasing and businesses that sell power wheelchairs, accessories, and services.

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development

Bill Number:	5871 SB	Title: Pre	escribed power wheelchairs					
Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.								
Legislation Im	pacts:							
	derate loss of sales tax	revenue (great	er than \$50,000)					
X Counties: N	Moderate loss of sales t	ax revenue (gre	eater than \$50 000)					
=								
X Special District	s: Moderate loss	of sales tax rev	renue (greater than \$50,000)	for special districts that	generate sales tax revenues			
Specific jurisdi	ctions only:							
Variance occur	s due to:							
Part II: Estin	nates							
No fiscal impa	ets.							
Expenditures	represent one-time cos	sts:						
H	-							
	ovides local option:							
Key variables	cannot be estimated w	ith certainty at t	this time:					
Estimated reven	ue impacts to:							
Jurisdiction		FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15		
City					(133,888)	(153,342)		
County					(114,741)	(131,413)		
Special District					(98,860)	(113,225)		
	TOTAL \$				(347,489)	(397,980)		
GR	AND TOTAL \$		•	•		(745,469)		
	diture impacts to:							
Jurisdiction		FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15		
City								
County					ļ			
Special District								
27:	TOTAL \$							
GRAN	D TOTAL \$					0		

# Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Cezanne Murphy-Levesque	Phone:	360/725-5036	Date:	02/18/2009
Leg. Committee Contact: Dean Carlson	Phone:	(360)786-7305	Date:	02/07/2009
Agency Approval: Darleen Muhly	Phone:	(360) 725 5030	Date:	02/18/2009
OFM Review: Ryan Black	Phone:	360-902-0417	Date:	02/21/2009

Page 1 of 2 Bill Number: 5871 SB

# Part IV: Analysis

#### A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This bill provides a sales and use tax exemption for prescribed power wheelchairs and related accessories and services. A power wheelchair is defined as a chair-like battery powered mobility device for people with difficulty walking due to illness or disability, which have integrated, modular, or custom seating system, electronic steering, and four or more wheel non-highway construction. The definition does not include a vehicle or a motorized foot scooter as defined in RCW 46.04.

The effective date for the bill is July 1, 2011.

#### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

No expenditure impact is expected because collection and administration of sales and use taxes are handled at the taxpayer and state levels.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

According to Department of Revenue (DOR), local governments are estimated to lose an estimated \$351,000 in the 2011-2013 Biennium, and \$402,000 in the 2013-2015 Biennium.

The bill would result in the following loss of local sales tax revenue for local government, according to the Department of Revenue (DOR):

FY 2010 - \$ 0 FY 2011 - \$ 0 FY 2012 - \$ (164,000) FY 2013 - \$ (187,000) FY 2014 - \$ (196,000) FY 2015 - \$ (206,000)

The estimated sales tax revenue loss by counties, cities, and special districts would be distributed as follows:

	Counties	Cities	Special Districts
FY 2010	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
FY 2011	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
FY 2012	\$ (53,611)	\$ (62,557)	\$ (46,191)
FY 2013	\$ (61,130)	\$ (71,331)	\$ (52,669)
FY 2014	\$ (64,072)	\$ (74,764)	\$ (55,204)
FY 2015	\$ (67,341)	\$ (78,578)	\$ (58,021)

#### ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

Sales Tax Revenue Impact Data and Distributions:

Sales tax revenue impact data was furnished by DOR. The distributions in this note for cities, counties, and special districts are based on DOR data for local sales and use tax distributions from September to December 2008. Prior months were not used because they represent tax receipts prior to the effective date of streamlined sales tax. In addition, mitigation payments are not factored into this distribution. This results in a distribution of 33.02 percent to counties, 38.53 percent to cities and 28.45 percent to special districts. The 1 percent DOR administrative fee has also been deducted.

#### SOURCES CITED

Department of Revenue Fiscal Note

Department of Revenue Local Tax Distributions

Page 2 of 2 Bill Number: 5871 SB