# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number:	2361 S HB PL	Title:	In-home care/state payments	Agency:	300-Dept of Social and Health Services
--------------	--------------	--------	-----------------------------	---------	---

### **Part I: Estimates**

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
General Fund-Federal 001-2	(5,601,000)	(8,514,000)	(14,115,000)	(16,195,000)	(17,857,000)
Total \$	(5,601,000)	(8,514,000)	(14,115,000)	(16,195,000)	(17,857,000)

#### **Estimated Expenditures from:**

		FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fund						
General Fund-State	001-1	(3,122,000)	(6,452,000)	(9,574,000)	(16,043,000)	(17,692,000)
General Fund-Federal	001-2	(5,601,000)	(8,514,000)	(14,115,000)	(16,195,000)	(17,857,000)
	Total \$	(8,723,000)	(14,966,000)	(23,689,000)	(32,238,000)	(35,549,000)

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 04/27/2009
Agency Preparation:	Antoinette Renshaw	Phone: 3609027831	Date: 05/13/2009
Agency Approval:	Ken Brown	Phone: 360-902-7583	Date: 05/13/2009
OFM Review:	Eric Mandt	Phone: 360-902-0543	Date: 05/13/2009

FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

X

1

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

The Substitute bill:

• Prohibits DSHS payments to home care agencies for personal care or respite services by agency providers related to their client, EXCEPT when the family member caregiver is older than the client, to the extent permitted under federal law.

• Grants exceptions on a case-by-case basis based on client health and safety.

• Requires enforcement action by DSHS that includes recoupment of payment and termination of the agency contract if the agency violates recoupment requirements.

• Defines family member liberally down to grandnieces or grandnephews and such relatives related by marriage.

• States that adopted rules must meet federal requirements that are a necessary condition to the receipt of federal funds by the state.

• Prohibits DSHS payments to home care agencies for personal care or respite services by agency providers if the agency does not verify agency employee hours by electronic time keeping, which means an electronic, verifiable methos of recording an employee's presence in the client's home at the beginning and end of the employee's client visit workday.

### This fiscal note:

(1) Assumes the legality of the caregiver's agency employment being conditional upon their age (relative to the client's age);

(2) Assumes the electronic time keeping is possible by 7/1/2010.

(3) Removes savings for relatives of the client that are older than the client;

- (4) Builds in costs for employer training as clients switch from Agency Provider (AP) to Individual Provider (IP);
- (5) Factors in a 1% exception to rule;
- (6) Builds in savings for respite providers;

(7) Adds costs to change SSPS (Social Services Payment System), exclusively related to costs for four additional staff.

Sec. 3 makes this bill effective immediately. This section of the bill could cause inefficiencies in enforcing this bill as directed in Sec. 1.

The costs to SSPS are anticipated to be significant. There is a risk that with existing staff, SSPS will have difficulty implementing this bill. As a result, SSPS believes it will need additional staff indefinitely.

FY09 savings assume that the Governor will sign HB-2361 in May 2009. If it becomes law later in the session, the savings assumptions for FY09 (and FY10) will require downward adjustment.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

FY09\* = Cash Receipts of -\$127,000 GF-Federal because Sec. 3 specifies the bill takes effect immediately.

\*FY09 savings assume that the Governor will sign HB-2361 in May 2009. If it is signed later, the savings assumptions for FY09 (and FY10) will require downward adjustment.

SSPS cash receipts reflect 50% of the SSPS costs (administrative).

Attachment 1 provides supporting details for DDD and LTC. Attachment 2 provides supporting details for the SSPS costs and the ADSA + SSPS totals.

### II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Summary:

-DDD and LTC net savings appear on the line N of the Expenditures by Object.

-SSPS cost increases are all attributible to staff costs in Administration and Supporting Services.

DSHS has assumed the case-by-case exceptions will comprise 1% or less of the cases.

Developmental Disabilities Division (DDD) Assumptions:

\* Family members supply 28% of all agency provider hours.

\* 90% of DDD family member agency hours are provided to a client who is younger.

\* There will be increased cost for case management for this bill - \$10,000 in FY2010 to \$11,000 in FY2015. The increase in case management dollars is based on the number of clients with a Cognitive Performance Score of 3 or higher which is 87% for DDD. The Cognitive Performance Scale score of 3 or higher indicates that the client may not be able to supervise their individual care provider. DDD would require additional contacts from the case manager if there is not an informal support person to provide supervision on the client's behalf. The Agency provides the supervision of the agency care provider and the client does not need to receive the additional contacts when served by an agency provider.

\* Increased costs for Individual Providers - \$24,000 in FY2010 to \$28,000 in FY2015 because the agency providers (AP) will be replaced with Individual Providers (IP).

\* On average, this group of AP clients utilizes 96% of their authorized hours. We know that, on average, IP clients utilize 99% of their authorized hours. Therefore, we are assuming an offset due to the increased utilization of authorized hours after the clients move from AP to IP.

\*As some excluded caregivers cause clients to switch to individual providers instead of those working for an agency, there will be an increase in employer training of \$1,000 each year.

\* Total Savings of \$268,000 in FY2010 to \$1,466,000 in FY2015.

\*In the calculation, DDD phased-in the costs because of the assumed difficulty to identify all home care employees that are family members of clients. In addition, it takes time to transition the client from an Agency Provider (AP) to an Individual Provider (IP). Transition may include updating their background check, filling out an IP contract, finding an alternate provider, and other required tasks.

Attachment 1 provides supporting details for DDD.

Long Term Care (LTC) Assumptions:

\* Family members supply 16% of all agency provider hours.

\* 10% of LTC family member agency hours are provided to a client who is younger.

\* There will be increased cost for monitoring, case management and enforcement of this bill: \$183,000 in FY2010 to \$205,000 in FY2015. The increase in case management dollars is based on the number of clients with a Cognitive Performance Score of 3 or higher which is 25% for LTC. The Cognitive Performance Scale score of 3 or higher indicates that the client may not be able to supervise their individual care provider. LTC would require additional contacts from the case manager if there is not an informal support person to provide supervision on the client's behalf. The Agency provides the supervision of the agency care provider and the client does not need to receive the additional contacts when served by an agency provider.

\* Increased costs for Individual Providers - \$778,000 in FY2010 to \$996,000 in FY2015

\* On average, this group of AP clients utilizes 96% of their authorized hours. We know that on average, IP clients utilize 99% of their authorized hours. Therefore, we are assuming an offset due to the increased utilization of authorized hours after the clients move from AP to IP.

\*As some excluded individuals switch to individual providers instead of working for an agency, there will be an increase in employer training from \$30,000 in FY2010 to \$38,000 in FY2015.

\* Savings range from \$8,796,000 in FY2010 to \$17,0852,000 in FY2015.

\*In the calculation, LTC phased-in the costs because of the assumed difficulty to identify all home care employees that are family members of clients. In addition, it takes time to transition the client from an AP to an IP. Transition may include updating their background check, filling out an IP contract, finding an alternate provider, and other required tasks. Attachment 1 provides supporting details for LTC.

Fiscal Year 2009 savings assumptions for DDD and LTC:

\*FY09 savings assume the Governor will sign HB-2361 in May 2009. If it becomes law later, the savings assumptions for FY09 (and FY10) will require downward adjustment.

\* DDD requires a onetime contracting cost of \$10,000 for fiscal years 2009 and 2010.

\* LTC requires a onetime contracting cost of \$350,000 for fiscal years 2009 and 2010.

DDD and LTC estimates for FY2009 include the above onetime costs for contracting and costs for case management, monitoring and enforcement:

\* DDD projects the bill will save \$5,000 in total funds with \$1,000 of the savings coming from GF-State in FY2009.

\* LTC estimates it can save \$123,000 in total funds with \$32,000 of the savings coming from GF-State in FY2009.

DSHS Administrative Services Division (ASD), Social Services Payment System (SSPS) fiscal impacts:

This law would move relative providers from working for agencies to working as individual providers (IPs). They would be paid through the SSPS, which shifts the payment and accompanying administrative work from home care agencies to SSPS. Some of the agency relative providers currently receive benefits and voluntarily belong to unions. If this law passes, they would change mandatorily to the SEIU 775 bargaining unit when they become IPs.

ADSA expects the unions to assert their right to negotiate the impacts of this statute. Therefore, SSPS adopts the assumption that SSPS changes will result. Changes to SSPS typically require considerable analysis, manual workaround processing, programming and system testing to ensure nothing in the existing process breaks when making changes to the

FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Request # 9SHB2361PL-1

system. We do not currently know the magnitude and type of changes, and our experience with SSPS is that any changes in policy and work processes will require system changes. As these requirements are currently anticipated but unknown, the SSPS fiscal estimate specifically excludes identification of staffing requirements. However, if additional SSPS modifications will be required, additional SSPS staff will be needed for programming and system changes.

One major impact to SSPS is already certain: both ADSA and the union want to ensure no gaps occur in health care coverage for these workers. This statement is also consistent with legislative intent. These tasks will be required:

• ADSA and SSPS will need to design a workaround to prevent gaps in health care coverage until each new IP's work history is established in the SSPS automated health care benefit cycle. Moving this group of workers to IPs paid through SSPS causes significant daily workload increases.

• These relative providers must be enrolled in SSPS because they were working for agencies and are not known to DSHS. Under current law, this includes background checks, verification of social security numbers, etc.

Costs to SSPS are anticipated to be significant. There is a risk that with existing staff, SSPS will have difficulty implementing this bill in addition to current obligations. As a result, SSPS believes it will need additional staff, including:

• 2 CSS2s (1 each for the Provider File Unit & Customer Service Unit)-to perform additional enrollment activities into the SSPS Provider File and to provide payment support services such as managing return mail, cancelation and reissues of warrants, payment adjustments, and mail processing by the Customer Service Unit. These activities will greatly increase for this sudden influx of new individual providers.

•1 Program Manager to provide for the business requirements of changing the status of these providers and service code changes related to the transition activities.

•1 ITAS 6 (Mainframe and Network needs) to realign and process medical deduction file information for medical benefits for these providers.

It is assumed these staff would be needed indefinitely.

Attachment 2 provides supporting details for the SSPS costs and the ADSA + SSPS totals.

### **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
A-Salaries and Wages	231,000	231,000	462,000	462,000	462,000
B-Employee Benefits	76,000	79,000	155,000	158,000	158,000
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services	30,000	30,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services	(9,064,000)	(15,310,000)	(24,374,000)	(32,926,000)	(36,237,000)
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	4,000	4,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
9-					
Total:	\$(8,723,000)	\$(14,966,000)	\$(23,689,000)	(\$32,238,000)	\$(35,549,000)

# **III. B - Detail:** List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Customer Service Specialist 2	3,063	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
ITAS6	7,258	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Program Manager	5,886	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total FTE's	16,207	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0

-

#### III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Developmental Disabilities Divison (040)	(268,000)	(1,328,000)	(1,596,000)	(2,758,000)	(2,897,000)
Long Term Care (050)	(8,796,000)	(13,982,000)	(22,778,000)	(30,168,000)	(33,340,000)
Administration and Supporting Services (110)	341,000	344,000	685,000	688,000	688,000
Total \$	(8,723,000)	(14,966,000)	(23,689,000)	(32,238,000)	(35,549,000)

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Not-applicable

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

New rules would need to be added and current rules amended.

### SHB2361 Attachment 1: Summary

Estimate for Enrolled SHB-2361												
		FY09*		FY10		FY11		FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	
ADSA Savings												
Total	\$	(160,000)	\$	(9,064,000)	\$	(15,310,000)	\$	(16,066,000) \$	(16,860,000) \$	(17,686,000) \$	(18,551,000)	
GF-State	\$	(33,000)	\$	(3,292,000)	\$	(6,624,000)	\$	(7,996,000) \$	(8,391,000) \$	(8,802,000) \$	(9,234,000)	
Federal	\$	(127,000)	\$	(5,772,000)	\$	(8,686,000)	\$	(8,070,000) \$	(8,469,000) \$	(8,884,000) \$	(9,317,000)	
LTC Savings												
Total	\$	(155,000)	\$	(8,796,000)	\$	(13,982,000)	\$	(14,705,000) \$	(15,463,000) \$	(16,255,000) \$	(17,085,000)	
GF-State	\$	(32,000)	\$	(3,195,000)	\$	(6,048,000)	\$	(7,318,000) \$	(7,695,000) \$	(8,089,000) \$	(8,503,000)	
Federal	\$	(123,000)	\$	(5,601,000)	\$	(7,934,000)	\$	(7,387,000) \$	(7,768,000) \$	(8,166,000) \$	(8,582,000)	
DDD Savings												
Total	\$	(5,000)	\$	(268,000)	\$	(1,328,000)	\$	(1,361,000) \$	(1,397,000) \$	(1,431,000) \$	(1,466,000)	
GF-State	\$	(1,000)	\$	(97,000)	\$	(576,000)	\$	(678,000) \$	(696,000) \$	(713,000) \$	(731,000)	
Federal	\$	(4,000)	\$	(171,000)	\$	(752,000)	\$	(683,000) \$	(701,000) \$	(718,000) \$	(735,000)	

\*FY09 savings assume that SHB-2361 will be passed by the Legislature, and signed by the Governor, in April 2009. If SHB-2361 is passed by the Legislature, and signed by the Governor, later in the 2009 Session, then the savings assumptions for FY09 and FY10 would need to be adjusted downward.

### SHB2361 Attachment 1: Details

LTC AP Hours...

FY09 FY10 FY11 FY12   Estimated LTC AP Paid Hours (Total) 13,656,317 14,289,211 14,948,299 15,637,787   Estimated LTC AP Paid Hours (Relative) 1,944,660 2,034,784 2,128,638 2,226,821   % of LTC Family Hours 16% 16% 16% 16%   % of LTC Family Hours Provided to a Younger Client 10% 10% 10%	FY13 16,359,078 2,329,533 16% 10% 17,350	FY14 17,113,638 2,436,982 16% 10%	FY15 17,903,003 2,549,388 16%
Estimated LTC AP Paid Hours (Relative)1,944,6602,034,7842,128,6382,226,821% of LTC Family Hours16%16%16%16%16%	2,329,533 16% 10%	2,436,982 16%	2,549,388 16%
% of LTC Family Hours 16% 16% 16%	16% 10%	16%	16%
	10%		
% of LTC Family Hours Provided to a Younger Client 10% 10% 10% 10%		10%	
	17,350		10%
Estimated LTC AP Clients (Total) 14,035 14,906 15,750 16,550		18,150	18,950
Estimated LTC AP Clients (Relative) 1,516 1,610 1,701 1,787	1,874	1,960	2,047
% of LTC Family Clients 12% 12% 12% 12%	12%	12%	12%
Estimated LTC AP Authorized Hours (Relative) 2,025,687 2,119,566 2,217,331 2,319,605	2,426,597	2,538,523	2,655,612
Estimated Additional Paid Hours (after switch to IP)60,77163,58766,52069,588	72,798	76,156	79,668
Wage Gap \$ 5 5 5 5 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$<	5\$	5\$	5
One Time Contacting Cost per Client \$ 231 \$	231 \$	231 \$	231
Additional Annual Monitoring & Enforcement Cost - per agency\$1,010 </td <td>1,010 \$</td> <td>1,010 \$</td> <td>1,010</td>	1,010 \$	1,010 \$	1,010
Employer Training for Clients Transitioning to IP \$ 28,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 32,000 \$ 34,000 \$	35,000 \$	37,000 \$	38,000
Additional Annual Case Management \$ 74,000 \$ 83,000 \$ 87,000 \$	91,000 \$	96,000 \$	100,000
% Annual Hours (with two month phase-in) 4% 98% 100% 100%	100%	100%	100%
Electronic Timekeeping Savings (total funds) \$ - \$ - \$ (4,390,000) \$ (4,667,000) \$	(4,956,000) \$	(5,260,000) \$	(5,577,000)
FMAP 58.38% 62.94% 56.53% 50.12%	50.12%	50.12%	50.12%
LTC Calculation			
FY09 FY10 FY11 FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15
AP Savings \$ (405,000) \$ (9,962,000) \$ (15,033,000) \$ (15,801,000) \$ (	(16,604,000) \$	(17,445,000) \$	(18,324,000)
IP Increase \$ 32,000 \$ 778,000 \$ 831,000 \$ 870,000 \$	910,000 \$	952,000 \$	996,000
Contracting \$ 175,000 \$ 175,000 \$ - \$ - \$	- \$	- \$	-
Employer Training \$ 28,000 \$ 30,000 \$ 32,000 \$ 34,000 \$	35,000 \$	37,000 \$	38,000
Case Management \$ 6,000 \$ 78,000 \$ 83,000 \$ 87,000 \$	91,000 \$	96,000 \$	100,000
Monitoring & Enforcement \$ 9,000 \$ 105,000 \$ 105,000 \$ 105,000 \$	105,000 \$	105,000 \$	105,000
Savings (155,000) (8,796,000) (13,982,000) (14,705,000)	(15,463,000)	(16,255,000)	(17,085,000)
GF-State \$ (32,000) \$ (3,195,000) \$ (6,048,000) \$ (7,318,000) \$	(7,695,000) \$	(8,089,000) \$	(8,503,000)
Federal\$ (123,000) \$ (5,601,000) \$ (7,934,000) \$ (7,387,000) \$	(7,768,000) \$	(8,166,000) \$	(8,582,000)

DDD AP I	Hours
----------	-------

DDD Assumptions

	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15
Estimated DDD AP Paid Hours - Personal Care (Total)	 2,177,395	2,245,987	2,303,674	2,362,843	2,423,531	2,485,778	2,549,624
Estimated DDD AP Paid Hours - Personal Care (Relative)	54,870	56,599	58,053	59,544	61,073	62,642	64,251
% of DDD Relative Hours - Personal Care	28%	28%	28%	28%	28%	28%	28%
% of DDD Family Hours Provided to a Younger Client	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%
Estimated DDD AP Paid Hours - Respite (Relative)	6,250	6,250	6,250	6,250	6,250	6,250	6,250
Estimated DDD AP Clients - Personal Care (Total)	1970	2,029	2084	2144	2204	2264	2324
Estimated DDD AP Clients - Personal Care (Relative)	39	41	42	43	44	45	46
% of DDD Relative Clients - Personal Care	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%
Estiamted DDD AP Relative Clients - Respite	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Estimated DDD AP Authorized Hours (Relative)	63,667	65,467	66,981	68,535	70,128	71,762	73,438
Estimated Additional Paid Hours (after switch to IP)	1,910	1,964	2,009	2,056	2,104	2,153	2,203
Wage Gap	\$ 5 \$	5	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 5
One Time Contacting Cost per Client	\$ 231 \$	231	\$ 231	\$ 231	\$ 231	\$ 231	\$ 231
Additional Annual Monitoring & Enforcement Cost - per agency	\$ 1,010 \$	1,010	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,010	\$ 1,010
Employer Training for Clients Transitioning to IP	\$ 1,000 \$	1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
Additional Annual Case Management	\$ 10,000 \$	10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,000
% Annual Hours (with two month phase-in)	4%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Electronic Timekeeping Savings (total funds)	\$ - \$	-	\$ (1,042,000)	\$ (1,069,000)	\$ (1,097,000)	\$ (1,125,000)	\$ (1,153,000)
FMAP	58.38%	62.94%	56.53%	50.12%	50.12%	50.12%	50.12%
DDD Calculation							
	 FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15
AP Savings	\$ (13,000) \$	(308,000)	\$ (1,364,000)	\$ (1,398,000)	\$ (1,434,000)	\$ (1,469,000)	\$ (1,506,000)
IP Increase	\$ 1,000 \$	24,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 26,000	\$ 26,000	\$ 27,000	\$ 28,000
Contracting	\$ 5,000 \$	5,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Employer Training	\$ 1,000 \$	1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
Case Management	\$ 1,000 \$	10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,000
Monitoring & Enforcement	\$ - \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Savings	 (5,000)	(268,000)	(1,328,000)	(1,361,000)	(1,397,000)	 (1,431,000)	(1,466,000)
GF-State	\$ (1,000) \$	(97,000)	\$ (576,000)	\$ (678,000)	\$ (696,000)	\$ (713,000)	\$ (731,000)
Federal	\$ (4,000) \$	(171,000)	\$ (752,000)	\$ (683,000)	\$ (701,000)	\$ (718,000)	\$ (735,000)

## SHB2361-4 Attachment 2: ASD SPSS Staffing Costs by Object Sum of ADSA data & SSPS Data below

		SFY10	SFY11	Bien 09/11	SFY12	SFY13	Bien 11/13	SFY14	SFY15	Bien 13/15
Avg FTE Staff Month Object		4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00	4.00 48.00
Object A		231,000	231,000	462,000	231,000	231,000	462,000	231,000	231,000	462,000
B		76,000	79,000	155,000	79,000	79,000	158,000	79,000	79,000	158,000
C		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EA		28,000	28,000	56,000	28,000	28,000	56,000	28,000	28,000	56,000
EB		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EF		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EG		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EL		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EN		2,000	2,000	4,000	2,000	2,000	4,000	2,000	2,000	4,000
EP		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ER		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EZ		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
E Total		30,000	30,000	60,000	30,000	30,000	60,000	30,000	30,000	60,000
G		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
J N		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S		ů 0	0	ő	0	0	0	0	0	0
TE		0	0	0	0	0	ů 0	0	0	ů 0
TZ		4,000	4,000	8,000	4,000	4,000	8,000	4,000	4,000	8,000
Total Obj		341,000	344,000	685,000	344,000	344,000	688,000	344,000	344,000	688,000
Funds										
001-1 0011	STATE	170,000	172,000	342,000	172,000	172,000	344,000	172,000	172,000	344,000
001-2 001B	SSDI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
001-2 E61L	FOOD STAMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
001-7 5417 001-A 563I	Priv Local T4D SUP ENF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
001-A 5658L	T4E FOSTER CARE	0	0	ů l	0	0	0 0	0	0	0
001-A 659L	T4E ADOPT ASST	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0
001-C 19UL	T19 ADMIN	171,000	172,000	343,000	172,000	172,000	344,000	172,000	172,000	344,000
Total Funds		341,000	344,000	685,000	344,000	344,000	688,000	344,000	344,000	688,000
Federal/Othe	r	171,000	172,000	343,000	172,000	172,000	344,000	172,000	172,000	344,000
State-GFS		170,000	172,000	342,000	172,000	172,000	344,000	172,000	172,000	344,000
The items be	low this line have bee	en added to ca	alculate total e	expenditures						
ADSA Totals	: (All are Object N)									
Total Funds		(9,064,000)	(15,310,000)		(16,066,000)	(16,860,000)		(17,686,000)	(18,551,000)	
Federal/Othe	r	(5,772,000)	(8,686,000)		(8,070,000)	(8,469,000)		(8,884,000)	(9,317,000)	
State-GFS		(3,292,000)	(6,624,000)		(7,996,000)	(8,391,000)		(8,802,000)	(9,234,000)	
Whole Fiscal	Note:	(0.400.000)	(0.450.000)		(7.004.000)			(0.000.000)	(0.000.000)	
State-GFS		(3,122,000)	(6,452,000)		(7,824,000)	(8,219,000)		(8,630,000)	(9,062,000)	
Federal/Othe		(5,601,000)	(8,514,000)		(7,898,000)	(8,297,000)		(8,712,000)	(9,145,000)	
Total Funds	Proof:	(8,723,000) 0	(14,966,000) 0		(15,722,000) 0	(16,516,000) 0		(17,342,000) 0	(18,207,000)	
		0	0		0	0		0	0	

# **Program 110 - SSPS Staffing Needs**