# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 2818 S HB

Title: Cleaning in state facilities

# **Estimated Cash Receipts**

2009	9-11	2011-	-13	2013-	-15
GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
	•	•			•
		-			
6					
			GF- State Total GF- State	GF- State Total GF- State Total	GF- State Total GF- State Total GF- State

Local Gov. Courts *			
Local Gov. Other **			
Local Gov. Total			

# **Estimated Expenditures**

Agency Name	le 2009-11 2011-13				2013-15				
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Department of General Administration	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Social and Health Services	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Health	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Veterans Affairs	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Corrections	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Higher Education Coordinating Board	.0	269,000	269,000	.0	498,000	498,000	.0	498,000	498,000
Department of Ecology	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Community and Technical College System	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total	0.0	\$269,000	\$269,000	0.0	\$498,000	\$498,000	0.0	\$498,000	\$498,000

Local Gov. Courts *					
Local Gov. Other **					
Local Gov. Total					

\* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

\*\* See local government fiscal note FNPID 26597

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

# **Estimated Capital Budget Impact**

2009-11		2011	1-13	2013-15		
FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
nistration						
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<b>A</b> 0		<b>A</b> 0		<u> </u>	
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
	FY 2010	FY 2010     FY 2011       aistration     0     0       0     0     0       0     0     0	FY 2010     FY 2011     FY 2012       aistration     0     0     0       0     0     0     0       0     0     0     0       0     0     0     0	FY 2010     FY 2011     FY 2012     FY 2013       aistration     0	FY 2010     FY 2011     FY 2012     FY 2013     FY 2014       aistration     0	

Prepared by:	Mike Steenhout, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
		360-902-0554	Final

- \* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note
- \*\* See local government fiscal note FNPID 26597

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Bill Number: 2818 S HB	Title: Cleaning in state facilities	Agency: 150-Dept of General Administration
------------------------	-------------------------------------	---

# Part I: Estimates

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Aziz Aladin	Phone: 360-902-7349	Date: 02/08/2010
Agency Approval:	Michael Kashmar	Phone: 360-902-7353	Date: 02/08/2010
OFM Review:	Mike Steenhout	Phone: 360-902-0554	Date: 02/08/2010

## II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Difference between the substitute bill and HB 2818:

Sec 3.

Added language pertaining to accrediting information.

## Sec. 1. NEW SECTION

The legislature expresses concern about the chemicals contained in certain cleaning products and finds that choosing less hazardous products would benefit custodial workers and office workers, improve air quality, and reduce pollution.

## Sec. 3. NEW SECTION

(1) State agencies shall purchase environmentally preferred products.

(2) The Department of General Administration, in coordination with the Department of Health and the Department of Ecology, shall identify and recommend environmentally oriented cleaning products for use by state agencies. In identifying these products, the departments will consider nationally recognized accrediting information from multiple sources including but not limited to the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Design for the Environment (DfE), the Ecologo Program, and Green Seal. Cleaning products listed for use by state agencies must achieve a comparable level of performance to cleaning products that are replaced by an agency with a listed product.

(3) The Department of General Administration, upon renewal of a lease and for all new leases, must require lessors and building managers who provide leased space to state agencies to use environmentally preferred products and practices.

## Sec. 4. NEW SECTION

When there is a need to control the spread of germs, infection, and disease in areas where high levels of bacteria are present or in areas where mandated by law, the use of cleaning agents (such as antimicrobiological products and disinfectants) may be used. The use of these products must be in accordance with the responsible cleaning procedure requirements, including:

- a. Controlled and efficient
- b. Properly diluted, mixed and handled
- c. In compliance with label instructions
- d. Monitored by quantity

e. In compliance with chemical hazard communication and personal protective equipment use requirements (296-800 WAC)

## Sec. 5. NEW SECTION

Local governments and school districts are encouraged to use cleaning products and services which minimize risks to health and the environment. GA shall encourage Co-op members to achieve the goals of this bill.

## Sec. 6. NEW SECTION

Without wasting existing inventories, state agencies will transition to the use of cleaning products which minimize the risks

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

2

to human health and the environment within 6 months of the effective date of the bill, allowing for training and appropriate supply chain transition.

## II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

## No impact

## II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

GA is currently using environmentally preferred products so there is no fiscal impact.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

No Capital Impact

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

No Impact

Bill Number:	2818 S HB	Title:	Cleaning in state facilities	Agency:	300-Dept of Social and Health Services
--------------	-----------	--------	------------------------------	---------	---

## Part I: Estimates

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Tula Habb	Phone: 360-902-8182	Date: 02/08/2010
Agency Approval:	Ken Brown	Phone: 360-902-7583	Date: 02/08/2010
OFM Review:	Eric Mandt	Phone: 360-902-0543	Date: 02/08/2010

FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Bill Number: 2818 S HB	Title: Cleaning in state facilities	Agency:	303-Department of Health
------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------	--------------------------

## **Part I: Estimates**

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Connie Soiberg	Phone: 360-236-4529	Date: 02/04/2010
Agency Approval:	Catherine Suter	Phone: 360-236-4544	Date: 02/04/2010
OFM Review:	Nick Lutes	Phone: 360-902-0570	Date: 02/04/2010

## II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Department of Health (DOH) has already implemented the use of green cleaning, utilizing products from a list developed jointly by General Administration, Department of Ecology and DOH. DOH does not anticipate any additional costs to update the list or in continuing to purchase green cleaning products.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 2818 S HB	<b>Title:</b> Cleaning in state facilities	Agency: 305-Department of Veterans Affairs
------------------------	--	---

# Part I: Estimates

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Ken Malmin	Phone: 725-2165	Date: 02/08/2010
Agency Approval:	Ken Malmin	Phone: 725-2165	Date: 02/08/2010
OFM Review:	Eric Mandt	Phone: 360-902-0543	Date: 02/08/2010

FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Bill Number: 2818 S HB	Title: Cleaning in state facilities	Agency: 310-Department of Corrections
------------------------	-------------------------------------	--

# Part I: Estimates

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Eric Johnson	Phone: 360-725-8268	Date: 02/05/2010
Agency Approval:	Susan Lucas	Phone: (360) 725-8277	Date: 02/05/2010
OFM Review:	Adam Aaseby	Phone: 360-902-0539	Date: 02/05/2010

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 - adds a new section that requires state agencies to adopt practices to select, procure, and use environmentally preferred products in order to benefit the environment and the health and safety of workers and visitors to state facilities.

Section 3 – Directs the Department of General Administration (GA) to identify and recommend environmentally preferred cleaning products for use in state facilities. Additionally, it provides instruction for GA, upon renewal of leases and for all new leases, to require lessors and building managers who provide leased space to state agencies to use environmentally preferred products and practices.

Section 4 – Allows state agencies to use germicidals, disinfectants, and antimicrobial products to disinfect surfaces in areas where high bacteria levels are present in order to control the spread of germs, infection and disease.

Section 5 – Encourages local governments to review purchasing and use of cleaning products and select environmentally preferred cleaning products.

Section 6 – Requires state agencies to transition to environmentally preferred cleaning products within 6 months of publication of the list of preferred products developed under section 3 of this act.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The Department of Corrections already uses environmentally preferred cleaning products so there would be no fiscal impact as a result of this bill.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number:	2818 S HB	Title:	Cleaning in state facilities	Agency:	343-Higher Education Coordinating Board
--------------	-----------	--------	------------------------------	---------	--

## **Part I: Estimates**

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT			
Total \$			

#### **Estimated Expenditures from:**

		FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Account						
General Fund-State	001-1	0	269,000	269,000	498,000	498,000
	Total \$	0	269,000	269,000	498,000	498,000

#### **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

Total \$						

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Rick Heggie	Phone: 360-753-7891	Date: 02/09/2010
Agency Approval:	Jan Ignash	Phone: 360-704-4168	Date: 02/09/2010
OFM Review:	Marc Webster	Phone: 360-902-0650	Date: 02/09/2010

## II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

SHB 2818 would require state agencies, including public baccalaureate institutions of higher education, to fully transition to environmentally preferred cleaning products.

Public baccalaureate institutions would anticipate significant fiscal impact if SHB 2818 were to be enacted.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

## II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Given institution analysis, fiscal impact related to SHB 2818 would be approximately \$269,000 to \$294,000 in FY 2011 and \$249,000 to \$274,000 annually thereafter.

The expenditure detail above reflects the minimum cost estimate for public baccalaureate institutions of higher education. Given institution responses, UW additional purchasing costs as well EWU hazardous disposal costs are reflected under Object E- "Goods and Services" while WSU increased costs are reflected under Object A- "Salaries and Wages."

Individual Institution Fiscal Impact Responses:

University of Washington

SHB 2818 would have approximately \$25,000 to \$50,000 annual impact on the University of Washington. Nearly 50% of the UW total cleaning supply expenditures are already Green Seal Certified (GSC) products. All cleaners that are used on a daily basis are GSC with the exception of the disinfectant. Disinfectants are currently registered by the EPA, and there is currently no third-party certification for this category of product. Section 4 of this bill clearly allows for the use of disinfectant.

Of the products that the UW use that are not GSC (floor strippers, floor-finishes, crème cleaners, special polishes, etc.), the UW is in process of testing and finding more opportunities to convert to effective GSC products. That incremental cost (above what we currently spend) to purchase GSC for the remainder of the products would be no more than \$25,000 to \$50,000 a year assuming the products provide equal performance.

Washington State University

WSU is committed to using "green" products whenever it can get comparable results for specific university uses when compared to more conventional cleaners and chemicals. Some of the Green cleaners that we use include the general

purpose mop soap, carpet cleaner pre-spray, carpet spot remover, general purpose cleaner and the restroom hand soap. We continue to test green products and when it finds one that works as well as current offerings, the university switches to them. WSU has also implemented a system for further diluting most of the cleaners it uses whenever feasible.

However, the bill does not identify the specific products that will achieve a "comparable level of performance." It leaves that up to other state agencies including the Department of General Administration, in consultation with the Department of Health and the Department of Ecology. There appears to be no method for agencies like WSU to apply the results of its own testing or appeal, participate in, or seek an exception or geographic exemption from state-wide regulatory decisions on green products.

Washington State University has tested some products that the designated regulatory agencies may likely consider "comparable" based on national evaluations and may work in many or even most facilities in this state. But the fact is, some of these green products fail to perform on some specific uses for Washington State University at some locations in the state. Because of the uncertainty the bill creates on this issue, WSU must reluctantly submit a \$224,000 fiscal impact.

Our estimate is based on the following specific examples that the university considers pertinent:

The snow and ice conditions in Pullman during the winter require the use chemical ice removers. The residue from these chemicals which is tracked on shoes into hallways and classrooms requires a durable floor finish. If the university is forced to switch to zinc-free floor wax, all of the university's prior tests have indicated that the floor finish will only last half as long as our current product. In 2009, we estimate that we spent \$100,000 on floor waxing (including both product and labor). If we had to double that amount due to premature failure of the product then we could estimate an additional \$100,000 would be needed to maintain the current level of wax protection on the floors.

The water in Pullman has high concentrations of minerals that present more of a challenge with hard water residue, so we use stronger products to address that issue. With the hard water deposits that are typical in Pullman, we use an acid toilet bowl cleaner. The only green alternatives are non-acid bowl cleaners. WSU has tested these products and found that it has to use additional time and product to manually scrub out the deposits that the acid helps to remove. With 723 restrooms equating to over 100,000 square feet of restroom space, we estimate it takes 60 hours per day to clean the toilets and urinals with our current products (consistent with APPA estimates). If WSU is required to go to a non-acid bow cleaner, the estimated cleaning time increases by 25 percent. That translates into an additional cost for restroom cleaning for WSU of approximately \$60,000 per year. WSU estimates that its cost for cleaner would double due to having to use the product more often, so this would add about \$25,000 per year or a total of \$85,000 per year for toilet and urinal cleaning.

Non-ammoniated glass cleaner is considered acceptable for many cleaning chores. However, WSU has found that this product takes more wiping and also leaves a film on the windows. It also does not clean off the pencil and ink from table tops as well as the standard glass cleaner that has a small amount of ammonia. The cost of the products is about the same however we estimate an additional average of about 5 minutes per day additional labor per employee or about \$39,000 per year of added labor for the department.

Despite the university's commitment to green chemical use, the lack of regulatory flexibility for individual agencies and locations in this bill requires WSU to estimate the cost of the bill at \$224,000.

## Central Washington University

CWU anticipates limited budget impact from the provisions of this bill as the University anticipates the products they currently use meet the standards outlined, and would anticipate that any fiscal impact could be absorbed within current resources.

## Eastern Washington University

EWU anticipates a fiscal impact of approximately \$20,000 related to the hazardous disposal costs.

## The Evergreen State College

TESC anticipates limited budget impact from the provisions of this bill as the University anticipates the products they currently use meet the standards outlined, and would anticipate that any fiscal impact could be absorbed within current resources.

## Western Washington University

WWU anticipates limited budget impact from the provisions of this bill as the University anticipates the products they currently use meet the standards outlined, and would anticipate that any fiscal impact could be absorbed within current resources.

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages		224,000	224,000	448,000	246,400
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services		25,000	25,000	50,000	50,000
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-EWU Hazardous Disposal Fees		20,000	20,000		
Total:	\$0	\$269,000	\$269,000	\$498,000	\$296,400

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 2818 S HB	Title: Cleaning in state facilities	Agency: 461-Department of Ecology
------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

## **Part I: Estimates**

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Donna Allen	Phone: 360-407-6561	Date: 02/04/2010
Agency Approval:	Patricia McLain	Phone: 360-407-7005	Date: 02/04/2010
OFM Review:	Linda Steinmann	Phone: 360-902-0573	Date: 02/04/2010

## II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Substitute HB 2818 would adopt practices for using environmentally preferred products to clean state facilities. The substitute version adds detail to requirements in Section 3 (2) in identifying and recommending cleaning products to be used by state agencies. The substitute language specifies several nationally-recognized sources to be considered. The Department of Ecology (Ecology) already uses the sources named in the substitute. The change does not have a fiscal impact.

Section 3(1) would require the Ecology to purchase environmentally preferred products for cleaning its facilities.

Section 3(2) would require the Department of General Administration (GA) to identify and recommend cleaning products to be used by state agencies. This section would require GA to consult with Ecology and the Department of Health (Health) in this identification and recommendation of products. In developing recommendations, the agencies would consider multiple sources, including three sources specifically named in the bill.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

### II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 3(1) would require Ecology to purchase environmentally preferred cleaning products or services. There would be no fiscal impact because Ecology already purchases environmentally preferred products and services at its facilities.

Section 3(2) would require Ecology staff to work with GA and Health to identify and recommend environmentally preferred cleaning products for use by state agencies. The agencies would base recommendations on information from national third parties, including Design for the Environment, Ecologo Program, and Green Seal. GA assumes the work of this group would be completed in a series of 6 meetings during FY11, and two meetings each year thereafter (assume 4 hours per meeting).

Under current law, Ecology provides information and recommendations to state agencies about environmentally preferred products upon request, and meets and works with GA on environmentally preferred purchasing issues. In addition, Ecology has already identified most cleaning products based on nationally-recognized criteria, including the sources named in the bill. Under this bill we would be required to meet with GA and Health to identify and recommend environmentally preferred cleaning products. Because meeting and working with GA and other agencies related to environmentally preferred cleaning products is part of the existing work that staff do, there would be no fiscal impact.

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number:	2818 S HB	Title:	Cleaning in state facilities	Agency:	699-Community/Technical College System
--------------	-----------	--------	------------------------------	---------	---

# Part I: Estimates

X

No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:	Pam Madson	Phone: 360-786-7111	Date: 02/03/2010
Agency Preparation:	Paula Moore	Phone: 360-704-4384	Date: 02/04/2010
Agency Approval:	Denise Graham	Phone: 360-704-4350	Date: 02/04/2010
OFM Review:	Marc Webster	Phone: 360-902-0650	Date: 02/04/2010

## II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

## SHB 2818 requires state agencies to purchase environmentally preferred products that conform to GA guidelines.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The fiscal impact from procuring environmentally preferred cleaning products is minimal. A pilot project currently being conducting at one of the colleges indicates similar costs between environmentally preferred cleaning products and non-preferred products.

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development

Bill Number:	2818 S HB	Title:	Cleaning in state facilities		
Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.					
Legislation I	Legislation Impacts:				
Cities:					
Counties:	Counties:				
Special Districts:					
Specific jurisdictions only:					
Variance occ	urs due to:				
Part II: Estimates					

X	No fiscal impacts.	
	Expenditures represent one-time costs:	
X	e i i	Review of purchasing and use of cleaning products; encouraged to select environmentally
	Key variables cannot be estimated with c	preferred cleaning products certainty at this time:

# **Part III: Preparation and Approval**

Fiscal Note Analyst: Cezanne Murphy-Levesque	Phone:	360/725-5036	Date:	02/06/2010
Leg. Committee Contact: Pam Madson	Phone:	360-786-7111	Date:	02/03/2010
Agency Approval: Steve Salmi	Phone:	(360) 725 5034	Date:	02/06/2010
OFM Review: Mike Steenhout	Phone:	360-902-0554	Date:	02/08/2010

Bill Number: 2818 S HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

# Part IV: Analysis A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This bill would encourage Local governments to review their purchasing and use of cleaning products and select environmentally preferred cleaning products.

The Department of General Administration (GA) shall encourage local governments that are members of the state's purchasing cooperative to achieve the goals of this chapter. This bill provides three programs for the GA to consider when selecting environmentally preferred cleaning products: the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Design for the Environment, the EcoLogo program, and Green Seal. This bill would also require that cleaning products listed as environmentally preferred for use by state agencies include products that have a comparable level of performance to those that are being replaced.

For the purposes of this bill, an "environmentally preferred cleaning product" means a cleaning product that minimizes potential impacts to human health and the environment, consistent with maintenance of the effectiveness of these products for the protection of public health and safety.

### THIS BILL VERSION COMPARED TO PREVIOUS BILL VERSION

This bill version specifically identifies three programs that provide nationally recognized accrediting information on cleaning products. This version would also require that cleaning products listed as environmentally preferred for use by state agencies include products that have a comparable level of performance to those that are being replaced. These changes do not affect the fiscal impact of this bill to local governments.

## **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

This bill would not require any local government expenditures. Local governments may review their purchasing and use of cleaning products. If local governments select environmentally preferred cleaning products, any additional expense incurred would be considered optional.

## C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

This bill would have no impact on local government revenue or revenue authority.

SOURCES CITED: Washington State Association of Counties