

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use
---------------------------------	---

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2009-11		2011-13		2013-15	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Department of Personnel	0	0	0	(170,301)	0	(74,638)
Total \$	0	0	0	(170,301)	0	(74,638)

Local Gov. Courts *						
Local Gov. Other **						
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Expenditures

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID 26644

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Agency Name	2009-11			2011-13			2013-15		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Joint Legislative Systems Committee	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Financial Management	2.3	687,933	687,933	1.0	268,425	268,425	.0	(25,253)	(25,253)
Department of Personnel	.0	0	0	.0	0	(170,301)	.0	0	(74,638)
Department of Revenue	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Information Services	Fiscal note not available								
Washington State Patrol	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Labor and Industries	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Licensing	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Social and Health Services	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Health	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Corrections	.0	0	0	.0	(1,004,339)	(1,004,339)	.0	(914,402)	(914,402)
University of Washington	Fiscal note not available								
Washington State University	Fiscal note not available								
Eastern Washington University	Fiscal note not available								
Central Washington University	Fiscal note not available								
The Evergreen State College	Fiscal note not available								
Western Washington University	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Transportation	.0	0	275,000	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Ecology	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Fish and Wildlife	.0	(23,922)	(95,682)	.0	(18,291)	(73,161)	.0	(9,209)	(36,839)
Employment Security Department	Fiscal note not available								
Community and Technical College System	Fiscal note not available								
Total	2.3	\$664,011	\$867,251	1.0	\$(754,205)	\$(979,376)	0.0	\$(948,864)	\$(1,051,132)

Local Gov. Courts *									
Local Gov. Other **									
Local Gov. Total									

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID 26644

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

Agency Name						
Total \$						

Prepared by: Tristan Wise, OFM	Phone: (360) 902-0538	Date Published: Preliminary
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID 26644

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 038-Joint Legislative Systems Committee
---------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Larry Watilo	Phone: 360-786-7002	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: Cathy Munson	Phone: 3607867725	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Mike Steenhout	Phone: 360-902-0554	Date: 02/23/2010

Request # LSC-FN1002-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

As stated in Section 14 of proposed legislation, the Legislative Service Center (LSC) will participate in a pilot study, at the direction of DIS and OFM, to determine the feasibility of applying application managed services to existing IT portfolios. LSC's participation is anticipated to require the equivalent of one half-time FTE for the period between April and September, 2010. LSC will use existing staffing resources to conduct assessment.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS:

Proposed legislation would require agency to dedicate the equivalent of one half-time FTE to complete IT portfolio assessment, complete pilot reporting, correspond with pilot principals, and attend pilot meetings. Assessment will leverage LSC's existing application program area life-cycle management documents and other information. The anticipated timeframe spans April through September, 2010.

LSC will use existing staffing resources to complete assessment. Related pilot project costs absorbed will be: FY2010 - Object A \$13,650; Object B \$2,931 FY 2011 - Object A \$13,650; Object B \$2,931

Agency has applied a definition of "application managed services" to include application product life-cycle management and support.

FISCAL NOTE CONSTRAINTS:

Fiscal note instructions define application managed services to mean "outsourcing some or all of the work associated with maintaining or operating existing applications."

Costs beyond the assessment phase of the pilot project can not be determined at this time.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 105-Office of Financial Management
---------------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years	0.3	4.3	2.3	1.0	0.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	30,930	657,003	687,933	268,425	(25,253)
Total \$	30,930	657,003	687,933	268,425	(25,253)

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Stephanie Lidren	Phone: 360-902-3056	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: Aaron Butcher	Phone: 360-902-0406	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone: 360-902-0563	Date: 02/24/2010

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 3 requires agencies to purchase wireless devices or service through state master contracts unless a waiver is received from the Office of Financial Management.

Section 5 (1)(a) states that the Department of Information Services may develop a personal computer replacement policy for all personal computers owned or leased by state agencies. The policy must include a replacement cycle of at least five years. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 6 requires agencies to develop data storage policies to achieve greater storage efficiency. The Department of Information Services shall offer tiered data storage services to state agencies.

Section 7 requires the Department of Information Services in collaboration with the Office of Financial Management to conduct a detailed inventory of all information technology assets owned or leased by state agencies.

Section 8 (2) requires the Office of Financial Management to establish policies and standards consistent with portfolio-based information technology management to govern the funding of projects developed under this section.

Section 8 (4) (a-c) requires prior approval from the Office of Financial Management to purchase or implement new technology projects and to purchase or upgrade specified hardware and software during 2009-2011 biennium. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 9 (1) requires that the Office of Financial Management's operating budget instructions to agencies include instructions for collecting cost information on technology projects.

Section 9 (2) requires the Governor's budget to include an information technology plan that will include a list of all of the proposed projects, their future costs, and measurable metrics. This information must be submitted electronically. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 9 (4) requires the Office of Financial Management to institute a method of accounting for information technology-related expenditures, including creating common definitions for what constitutes an information technology investment. The Director of the Office of Financial Management shall report total state expenditures on information technology by funding source and by object of expenditure. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 10 requires the Director of the Office of Financial Management to establish policies and standards governing the funding of major information technology projects as required under RCW 43.105.190(2), and direct the collection of additional information on information technology projects and submit an information technology plan as required under section 9 of this act. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 11 (1) (a) requires the Information Services Board to coordinate with the Office of Financial Management to

develop contracting standards for information technology acquisition and purchased services and will work with state agencies to ensure deployment of standardized contracts. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 12 requires the Department of Information Service, in coordination with the Information Services Board and the Office of Financial Management, to evaluate agency budget requests for major information technology projects identified under RCW 43.105.190, including those proposed by the superintendent of public instruction, in conjunction with educational service districts, or statewide or regional providers of K-12 education information technology services.

Section 14 (1) requires the Department of Information Services, in conjunction with the Office of Financial Management, to direct and coordinate pilot projects for four state agencies to demonstrate the value of application managed services. These four pilot agencies are the Department of Transportation, the Department of Social and Health Services, the legislative service center, and the Office of Financial Management. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 14 (4) requires the Department of Information Services and the Office of Financial Management to prepare a report of the findings of the pilot assessments by September 1, 2010, and a final report of the pilot results by June 30, 2011. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 15 (1) requires the Information Services Board, with the assistance of the Department of Information Services and the Office of Financial Management, to identify the most reasonable strategies that will achieve the savings identified in the omnibus appropriation act. The analysis shall identify savings by agency and fund that will result from the implementation of these strategies.

Section 15 (2) requires the Office of Financial Management to work with the appropriate state agencies to generate savings that arise pursuant to this act from the improved acquisition and delivery of information technology products and services. This section has a fiscal impact to OFM. Please see section II C of the fiscal note for more details.

Section 17 changes the Data Processing Revolving Fund to an appropriated account.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 3 - since OFM currently uses state master contracts for wireless devices or services there is no fiscal impact.

Section 5 - savings in the amount of \$86,519 in fiscal year 2011 and \$111,067 fiscal year 2015 are estimated. Additional costs of \$705 in fiscal year 2012, and \$85,814 in fiscal year 2014 are estimated. Both the savings and cost estimates are from extending the PC/Notebook replacement cycle to five years.

Section 6 - until the tiered data storage services are developed by DIS we cannot calculate the fiscal impact of this section.

Section 8 - it is estimated that OFM will need one additional FTE for fiscal year 2011 to manage the workload associated with this section.

Section 9 – it will be the Office of Financial Management's responsibility to collect the data necessary to meet the requirements of this section. In direct response to this bill, certain OFM systems will need to be modified to capture the data. We estimate the cost of the enhancements that cannot be absorbed will be \$80,000. If more in-depth data is needed to support the outcomes of the work in subsection (4), more substantial system changes, or possibly new systems, may be needed. We cannot estimate these system costs until the subsection (4) definition work is completed. In addition to the system costs, it is estimated that OFM will need one additional FTE through June 30, 2013, to manage the workload associated with subsection (4).

Section 10 - it is estimate that OFM will need an additional 0.5 FTE to manage the data gathering, analysis, and reporting related to the information technology plan referenced in this section and section 9.

Section 11 – it is estimated that OFM will need an additional .25 FTE for 9 months in OFM’s Contracts Unit to assist in developing standards.

Section 14 - it is assumed that “application managed services” refers to contracted services to maintain and/or operate existing applications, but not to make significant enhancements to the applications. The requested assessment would determine whether using these kind of contracted services would provide a net benefit to the agency. OFM assumes the assessment would cover things like:

- Reviewing the current application maintenance and operations work processes
- Assessing the current tools, infrastructure and processes against best practice models
- Identifying gaps between the current state and the best practices and other improvement opportunities
- Estimating the cost to make the changes necessary to close the gaps
- Estimating the difference in costs between maintaining and operating applications in the current state and in the improved state
- Providing a recommendation on how to proceed

OFM currently maintains and operations about 90 applications; approximately 70% of these are medium to large applications. It is estimated that 14 to 20 FTEs are involved in application maintenance and operations, depending on whether application training and help desk work is considered to be part of this category. OFM assumes hiring a contractor to conduct such an assessment; an assessment for our scale of an organization would require three to four months of elapsed time and would will cost between \$80,000 and \$120,000.

Section 15 - it is estimated that OFM will need one additional FTE for the period of April 1, 2010 - June 30, 2011 to accomplish the workload of this section.

Cost assumptions:

Miscellaneous goods and services and travel have been calculated and included for all FTEs.

\$80,000 in contract dollars are included to modify the necessary OFM systems.

\$85,000 in contract dollars are included for the application managed services assessment.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years	0.3	4.3	2.3	1.0	
A-Salaries and Wages	19,500	351,672	371,172	173,832	
B-Employee Benefits	4,830	84,900	89,730	41,088	
C-Personal Service Contracts		165,000	165,000		
E-Goods and Services	6,000	102,000	108,000	48,000	
G-Travel	600	10,200	10,800	4,800	
J-Capital Outlays		(56,769)	(56,769)	705	(25,253)
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total:	\$30,930	\$657,003	\$687,933	\$268,425	\$(25,253)

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Budget Analyst	78,000	0.3	3.0	1.6		
Contracts Specialist	82,032		0.3	0.1		
Financial Coordinator	86,916		1.0	0.5	1.0	
Total FTE's	246,948	0.3	4.3	2.3	1.0	0.0

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

2009-2011 STAFF AND SUPPORT COST ESTIMATES

2/23/2010

Acctg																
3178-PSHB (acctg 11-13)																
0.00																
TITLE	RANGE	SALARIES	JUL 11	AUG 11	SEPT 11	OCT 11	NOV 11	DEC 11	JAN 12	FEB 12	MAR 12	APR 12	MAY 12	JUN 12		SFY 10 YEARS TOTALS
Financial Coordinator	0.0	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	86,916
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STAFF MONTH TOTAL			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	12.00
Staff Bodies															Total FTE's	1.00
SALARIES TOTAL	A		7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	7,243	86,916
BENEFITS																
OASI .0765 \$72,900-00, \$75,600-01 (.0620 OASI, .0145 MEDICARE)			554	554	554	554	554	554	554	554	554	554	554	554	554	6,648
RETIREMENT .061 SFY08, .061 SFY09			442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	442	5,304
HEALTH \$707 IN SFY08, \$707 IN SFY09 PER STAFF MONTH			707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	8,484
IND. INS./MED. AID PER STAFF MONTH		9.45	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	108
BENEFITS TOTAL	B		1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	1,712	20,544
GOODS & SERVICES PER STAFF MONTH		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	12,000
LEASE COSTS PER PERSON PER MONTH		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	12,000
GOODS AND SERVICES TOTAL	E		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	24,000
TRAVEL PER STAFF MONTH		200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	2,400
TRAVEL TOTAL	G		200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	2,400
EQUIPMENT COSTS ONE TIME CHARGE		7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	J		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.00	0.00		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
STATE		100.00%														133,860
FEDERAL		0.00%														0
LOCAL		0.00%														0
TOTAL FUNDS			11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	11,155	133,860
STAFF MONTHS																
Financial Coordinator			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

2009-2011 STAFF AND SUPPORT COST ESTIMATES

2/23/2010

Acctg																		
3178-PSHB (acctg 11-13)																		
0.00																		
TITLE	RANGE	SALARIES	JUL 12	AUG 12	SEPT 12	OCT 12	NOV 12	DEC 12	JAN 13	FEB 13	MAR 13	APR 13	MAY 13	JUN 13				
Financial Coordinator	0.0	7,243	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460			
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
STAFF MONTH TOTAL			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
Staff Bodies																		
SALARIES TOTAL	A		7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460			
BENEFITS																		
OASI .0765 \$72,900-00, \$75,600-01 (.0620 OASI, .0145 MEDICARE)			571	571	571	571	571	571	571	571	571	571	571	571	571			
RETIREMENT .061 SFY08, .061 SFY09			455	455	455	455	455	455	455	455	455	455	455	455	455			
HEALTH \$707 IN SFY08, \$707 IN SFY09 PER STAFF MONTH			707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707	707			
IND. INS./MED. AID PER STAFF MONTH		9.45	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9			
BENEFITS TOTAL	B		1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742	1,742			
GOODS & SERVICES PER STAFF MONTH		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000			
LEASE COSTS PER PERSON PER MONTH		1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000			
GOODS AND SERVICES TOTAL	E		2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000			
TRAVEL PER STAFF MONTH		200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200			
TRAVEL TOTAL	G		200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200			
EQUIPMENT COSTS ONE TIME CHARGE		7,000	7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	J		7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
0.00	0.00		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
STATE		100.00%																
FEDERAL		0.00%																
LOCAL		0.00%																
TOTAL FUNDS			18,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402	11,402			
STAFF MONTHS																		
Financial Coordinator			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
0.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			

2009-2011 STAFF AND SUPPORT COST ESTIMATES

2/23/2010

Budget, acctg, IS PSHB 3178																			
	0.00																		
TITLE	RANGE	SALARIES	JUL 09	AUG 09	SEPT 09	OCT 09	NOV 09	DEC 09	JAN 10	FEB 10	MAR 10	APR 10	MAY 10	JUN 10					
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Financial Coordinator	0.0	7,243	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500											6,500	6,500	6,500				
Contracts Specialist	0.0	6,836	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
STAFF MONTH TOTAL													1.00	1.00	1.00				
Staff Bodies																			
SALARIES TOTAL	A		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,500	6,500	6,500				
BENEFITS																			
OASI .0765 \$72,900-00, \$75,600-01 (.0620 OASI, .0145 MEDICARE)			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	497	497	497					
RETIREMENT .061 SFY08, .061 SFY09			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	397	397	397					
HEALTH \$707 IN SFY08, \$707 IN SFY09 PER STAFF MONTH			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	707	707	707					
IND. INS./MED. AID PER STAFF MONTH		9.45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	9	9					
BENEFITS TOTAL	B		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,610	1,610	1,610					
GOODS & SERVICES PER STAFF MONTH		1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	1,000					
LEASE COSTS PER PERSON PER MONTH		1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	1,000					
GOODS AND SERVICES TOTAL	E		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000	2,000					
TRAVEL PER STAFF MONTH		200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	200	200					
TRAVEL TOTAL	G		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	200	200					
EQUIPMENT COSTS ONE TIME CHARGE		7,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	J		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
0.00	0.00		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
STATE		100.00%																	
FEDERAL		0.00%																	
LOCAL		0.00%																	
TOTAL FUNDS			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,310	10,310	10,310					
STAFF MONTHS																			
Budget Analyst			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Budget Analyst			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Budget Analyst			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Financial Coordinator			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Budget Analyst			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Contracts Specialist			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				

2009-2011 STAFF AND SUPPORT COST ESTIMATES

2/23/2010

Budget, acctg, IS																SFY 11 YEARS TOTALS	09/11 BIEN TOTALS	11/13 BIEN TOTALS			
PSHB 3178																					
0.00																					
TITLE	RANGE	SALARIES	JUL 10	AUG 10	SEPT 10	OCT 10	NOV 10	DEC 10	JAN 11	FEB 11	MAR 11	APR 11	MAY 11	JUN 11							
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390	13,390		160,680	160,680	321,360			
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348		40,176	40,176	80,352			
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348	3,348		40,176	40,176	80,352			
Financial Coordinator	0.0	7,243	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460	7,460		89,520	89,520	179,040			
Budget Analyst	0.0	6,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	19,500	0			
Contracts Specialist	0.0	6,836	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760	1,760		21,120	21,120	42,240			
STAFF MONTH TOTAL			4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	51.00	54.00	102.00			
Staff Bodies															Total FTE's	4.25	4.50	8.50			
SALARIES TOTAL	A		29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306	29,306		351,672	371,172	703,344			
BENEFITS																26,904	28,395	53,808			
OASI .0765 \$72,900-00, \$75,600-01 (.0620 OASI, .0145 MEDICARE)			2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242	2,242							
RETIREMENT .061 SFY08, .061 SFY09			1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788	1,788					21,456	22,647	42,912
HEALTH \$707 IN SFY08, \$707 IN SFY09 PER STAFF MONTH			3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005	3,005					36,060	38,181	72,120
IND. INS./MED. AID PER STAFF MONTH		9.45	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40					480	507	960
BENEFITS TOTAL	B		7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075	7,075		84,900	89,730	169,800			
GOODS & SERVICES PER STAFF MONTH		1,000	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250		51,000	54,000	102,000			
LEASE COSTS PER PERSON PER MONTH		1,000	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250							
GOODS AND SERVICES TOTAL	E		8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500		102,000	108,000	204,000			
TRAVEL PER STAFF MONTH		200	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850		10,200	10,800	20,400			
TRAVEL TOTAL	G		850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850	850		10,200	10,800	20,400			
EQUIPMENT COSTS ONE TIME CHARGE		7,000	29,750	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		29,750	29,750	0			
EQUIPMENT TOTAL	J		29,750	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		29,750	29,750	0			
0.00			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0			
0.00	0.00		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0			
STATE		100.00%														578,522	609,452	1,097,544			
FEDERAL		0.00%														0	0	0			
LOCAL		0.00%														0	0	0			
TOTAL FUNDS			75,481	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731	45,731		578,522	609,452	1,097,544			
STAFF MONTHS																					
Budget Analyst			2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00							
Budget Analyst			0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50							
Budget Analyst			0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50							
Financial Coordinator			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00							
Budget Analyst			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00							
Contracts Specialist			0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25							

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 111-Department of Personnel
---------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Data Processing Revolving Account-Non-Appropriated 419-6				(170,301)	(74,638)
Total \$				(170,301)	(74,638)

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Account					
Data Processing Revolving Account-Non-Appropriated 419 -6	0	0	0	(170,301)	(74,638)
Total \$	0	0	0	(170,301)	(74,638)

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Kelly Moore	Phone: 360-664-6314	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: Kelly Moore	Phone: 360-664-6314	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Ryan Black	Phone: 360-902-0417	Date: 02/23/2010

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

PSHB3178 establishes the Department of Information Services (DIS) as the service provider for technology and technology facilities management. DIS must develop a personal computer replacement policy for all personal computers owned or leased by state agencies. The replacement policy must consist, at a minimum, of a replacement cycle of at least five years. The Department of Personnel (DOP) uses a three year replacement cycle.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The Department of Personnel's savings are incurred in the Data Processing Revolving Account, therefore agency billings would be reduced by the amount of savings incurred by DOP.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 1. No fiscal impact per lead agency instructions.

Section 2. No fiscal impact per lead agency instructions.

Section 3. No fiscal impact, the Department of Personnel (DOP) currently uses the state master contract for cellular phone service.

Section 4. No fiscal impact per lead agency instructions.

Section 5. The Department of Personnel personal computers are on a three year replacement cycle. If DOP were required to move to a five year replacement cycle, the timing of replacing personal computers would result in cash savings of \$170,301 during the 2011-2013 biennium and \$74,638 during the 2013-2015 biennium. See attachments for calculations.

Section 6. Per lead agency assumptions, costs associated with this section are to be absorbed within the agency's IT program administrative budget.

Sections 7-15. Per lead agency assumptions no fiscal impacts to DOP for these sections.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services				(170,301)	(74,638)
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$170,301)	\$(74,638)

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Calculating impacts of HB 3178 for PCs on a 3-year refresh cycle

Instructions: In the column "G" green input area, enter your agency's PCs ages by year. For example, a PC that is six months old would should be entered in the "one-year old" cell, "G5". The formulas adjust for moving from a 3-year to a 5-year replacement cycle. It also assumes that in year 4, 12% of the PCs will be out of warranty and fail requiring replacement with a new PC. A 14% failure/replacement rate is assumed for the 5th year. For fiscal note purposes use the savings items from row 42 below.

Please answer the following questions for those PCs in your agency that are on a three-year refresh cycle:	
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are one year old?	0
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are two years old?	300
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are three years old?	0
Are the PCs leased? If so, Type "Yes". If not, type "No"	Yes

# of desktops intended to be purchased/leased						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
	-	300.0	-	-	300.0	
If Leased	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0
Planned Expenses	-	91,269	91,269	91,269	91,269	91,269

Remaining 3-year lease cost						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
Remaining 3-year lease cost	80,469	-				

# of desktops purchased/leased on a 5-year term						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
			-	-	-	
		36.0	37.0	227.0		
	-	-	-		-	
Total PCs purchased/Leased	-	-	36.000	36.960	227.0	-
If Leased	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0	10,800.0
Estimated Expenses	-	10,800	16,819	22,999	60,960	60,960

Savings*						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
	-	-	74,449	68,270	30,309	30,309

*NOTE: a positive number indicates savings, and therefore would be included in the Fiscal Note as a negative expenditure.

Calculating impacts of HB 3178 for Notebooks on a 3-year refresh cycle

Instructions: In the column "G" green input area, enter your agency's Notebooks ages by year. For example, a Notebook that is six months old would should be entered in the "one-year old" cell, "G5". The formulas adjust for moving from a 3-year to a 5-year replacement cycle. It also assumes that in year 4, 30% of the Notebooks will be out of warranty and fail requiring replacement with a new Notebook. A 33% failure/replacement rate is assumed for the 5th year. For fiscal note purposes use the savings items from row 42 below.

Please answer the following questions for those Notebooks in your agency that are on a three-year refresh cycle:	
Of your agency's current inventory, how many Notebooks are one year old?	0
Of your agency's current inventory, how many Notebooks are two years old?	50
Of your agency's current inventory, how many Notebooks are three years old?	0
Are the Notebooks leased? If so, Type "Yes". If not, type "No"	Yes

# of Notebooks intended to be purchased/leased						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
	-	50.0	-	-	50.0	
If Leased	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0
Planned Expenses	-	20,411	20,411	20,411	20,411	20,411

Remaining 3-year lease cost						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
Remaining 3-year lease cost	18,611	-				

# of Notebooks purchased/leased on a 5-year term						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
			-	-	-	
		15.0	11.6	23.5		
	-	-	-		-	
Total Notebooks purchased/Leased	-	15.0	11.6	23.5	-	
If Leased	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0	1,800.0
Estimated Expenses	-	1,800	5,280	7,960	13,401	13,401

Savings						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
	-	-	15,131	12,451	7,010	7,010

*NOTE: a positive number indicates savings, and therefore would be included in the Fiscal Note as a negative expenditure.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 310-Department of Corrections
---------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	0	0	(1,004,339)	(914,402)
Total \$	0	0	0	(1,004,339)	(914,402)

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Ronna Cole	Phone: 360-725-8263	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: Susan Lucas	Phone: (360) 725-8277	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Adam Aaseby	Phone: 360-902-0539	Date: 02/23/2010

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 adds a new statute in RCW 42.105 providing the legislative intent to streamline and restructure the States information technology for effectiveness and efficiencies that will result in savings.

Section 2 modifies RCW 43.105.052 clarifying Department of Information Systems (DIS) role to include procurement and maintenance of mainframe and personal computers, servers, virtual services, and data storage services. Proposed bill strikes language allowing state agencies to utilize alternative services if they are found to be more cost effective.

Section 3 adds a new statute in RCW 43.105 requiring state agencies to purchase communication/wireless devices and services through the state master contracts. Any purchases outside the master contracts must be prior approved by OFM. OFM will ensure that agency policies are consistent state-wide for wireless usage.

Section 4 adds a new statute in RCW 43.105 requiring the Information Services Board to develop a pilot project for providing telephony and electronic mail services centrally. The proposed bill encourages State Agencies to purchase telephony services through DIS.

Section 5 adds a new statute in RCW 43.501 allowing DIS to develop a personal computer replacement policy for all personal computers owned or leased by state agencies. Cycle requires at least a 5 years for replacement. State agencies are encouraged to participate in the master contract.

Section 6 adds a new statute in RCW 43.105 requiring all state agencies to develop data storage policies to achieve efficiency. Agencies are to review what information currently exists in digital format, where it is stored, how it is used, and business/legal requirements for retention.

ISB shall develop a retention policy for state agencies in consultation with the Secretary of State's Office.

DIS shall offer tiered data storage services as an optional service to state agencies.

Section 7 new section requiring DIS in collaboration with OFM shall conduct a detailed inventory of all information assets owned or leased by state agencies. DIS shall report to the governor and the legislature finding from the inventory by December 1, 2010.

Section 8 amends RCW 43.105.190 requiring for the 2009-2011 biennium:

1. State agencies are not permitted to purchase or implement new IT projects without securing prior authorization from OFM.
2. State agencies are not permitted to purchase servers, virtualization software, data storage, or related software through their operational funds or through a separate IT budget without prior authorization from OFM.
3. Agencies are not permitted to upgrade existing software without prior approval from OFM.

Section 9 adds a new statute in RCW 43.88 requiring OFM to include comprehensive information in a standard format regarding the total cost of ownership of technology spending, projects, products, programs, personnel, and other services as part of the operating budget instructions.

Agencies must submit the following information:

1. Estimated project implementation costs by staffing, contracted services, hardware purchases/maintenance, software license purchase/maintenance, hardware lease or finance, maintenance, and operations, training, and travel.
2. Estimated project maintenance costs by staffing, contracted services, hardware purchase/maintenance, software license purchase and maintenance, hardware lease or finance, maintenance and operations, training, and travel.
3. All project expenditures in previous biennia.
4. Oversight level as determined by the ISB if available;
5. Estimated project duration and start date;
6. Estimated ongoing operating savings or other benefits resulting from the project
7. An explanation of the purpose and benefits of the project; and
8. An explanation of reengineering and streamlining of the underlying business process, if pursuing the development or purchase of new software.

The Governor's budget must include an information technology plan that includes a list of all proposed projects, biennium costs by funding sources, projected costs of the two biennia, a statement of purpose of the project, and measurable metrics upon which to assess the program. Must be submitted electronically.

OFM shall also institute a method of accounting for IT related expenditures including common definitions.

Section 10 amends RCW 43.88.560 requiring the Director of OFM to direct the collection of additional information on IT projects under Section 9 of this act.

Section 11 amends RCW 43.105.041 requiring the ISB to coordinate with OFM to develop contracting standards for IT acquisitions and purchased services and will work with State Agencies to ensure deployment of the standards. Additionally, the board shall review all IT efforts under its purview based on independent technical and financial information, regardless of whether the projects or services are being provided by public or private providers. ISB and OFM shall review State Agency IT budgets.

Section 12 amends RCW 43.105.180 requiring DIS, ISB and OFM to review each agency budget request for major IT projects.

Section 13 amends RCW 43.105.160 to include requiring DIS in their report regarding major IT projects to include:

1. Final total cost of ownership budget data, including capital and operational costs, broken down by staffing costs, contracted services, hardware purchases or lease, software purchases or lease, travel, and training in comparison to the original budget.
2. Original proposed project schedule and the final actual project schedule.
3. Data regarding progress towards meeting the original goals and performance measures of the project
4. Discussion of lessons learned.

Section 14 requires DIS in conjunction with OFM shall direct and coordinate pilot projects for 4 agencies to demonstrate the value of application managed services.

Section 15 requires the ISB with assistance from DIS and OFM must identify the most reasonable strategies that will

achieve the savings identified in the omnibus appropriation act. Analysis will show savings by agency and fund. The analysis is due by July 1, 2010.

OFM will work with the appropriate state agencies to generate savings that arise from this act. OFM shall reduce state agency allotments by the identified amounts.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Fiscal Impact:

Sections 1-4 have no fiscal impact to the Department.

Section 5 - The Department of Corrections currently leases PC utilized by staff for 4 years (N=6,915). The Department estimated the impacts of converting to a 5 year lease utilizing the projection tool provided by DIS. The estimated savings are (\$703,899) FY12, (\$300,440) FY13, (\$630,872) FY14, (283,530) FY15.

The Department also has 2,649 “owned” computers. These computers are not part of the Department’s network, however, many manage critical functions across the agency. These computers support cameras, master control, housing units, maintenance, lighting, radio systems, fire alarms/suppression systems, Correctional Industries, offender education, and perimeter security. Many of these computer are old and the replacement funds are not in the Department’s base budget. The Department will maintain for 5 years or until they fail. The cost of these replacements is indeterminate as the Department is unable to predict their failure that would result in a replacement. The following illustrates the age of these computers:

Purchase Date	Count
1994	2
1999	9
2000	13
2001	15
2002	51
2003	62
2004	350
2005	606
2006	318
2007	903
2008	60
Unknown	260

The Department would replace these PC’s upon failure. Because of the unique requirements some of these PC’s replacement may require a unique machine because of the safety, security, and maintenance functions that they support.

Because the Department is unable to determine the failure rate of these machines, the fiscal impact is indeterminate.

Section 6 Data Storage:

No Fiscal Impact. The Department will develop the policies as outlined in the proposed bill.

Section 7 requires DIS in collaboration with OFM to conduct a detailed inventory. The Department has over 140 sites as well as lap top computers mounted in vehicles. The Department would be required to escort staff in prison facilities if a visual inventory was required.

Section 8 Enforcement to State Agencies for the 2009-2011 Biennium:

- State agencies are not permitted to purchase or implement new IT projects without prior authorization from OFM.
- Agencies cannot purchase servers, virtualization, data storage, or related software without prior authorization from OFM.
- Agencies are not allowed to upgrade existing software without prior approval from OFM.

The Department assumes a process will be established to request exception through DIS. It is a concern that the Department yearly renews software maintenance agreements with various vendors to continue support from the vendor for the service. For example:

1. The Department's Executive Information System which downloads AFRS data in to an Excel database allowing complex analysis of fiscal data requires a yearly maintenance renewal and software system support. The Department upon renewal many times will receive an upgraded version of the software.
2. Kalos is the vendor for our pharmacy tracking system. The yearly maintenance/support agreement includes routine software upgrades for the system.

Many times the vendor as part of the maintenance renewal will upgraded the software version to fix glitches in the software programs or provide a more efficient product. The Department may not know in advance that the vendor is sending an upgraded version until the renewal is received by the Department.

Section 9 outlines new requirements for biennial budget submittals on IT projects. The Department assumes a standard process, definitions, and format will be provided by OFM for the submittal. The Department would therefore foresee no fiscal impact.

Section 10 through 15 no fiscal impact.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services				(1,004,339)	(914,402)
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$1,004,339)	\$(914,402)

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Administrative Services (100)				(150,651)	(137,161)
Institutional Services (200)				(602,603)	(548,641)
Community Corrections (300)				(251,085)	(228,600)
Total \$				(1,004,339)	(914,402)

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
---------------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

		FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Account						
Motor Vehicle Account-State 108		0	275,000	275,000	0	0
-1						
Total \$		0	275,000	275,000	0	0

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

Total \$						

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Bob Loveless	Phone: 360-705-7860	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: Bill Ford	Phone: 360-705-7501	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Clint McCarthy	Phone: 360-902-0419	Date: 02/24/2010

Request # 10-085-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 2 modifies services that may be offered by the Department of Information Services (DIS) to include procurement and maintenance of mainframe and personal computers, servers, and virtualization services; and data storage services. It also eliminates the option for customers to use other alternative services.

Section 5 requires a minimum of five-year personal computer replacement policy.

Section 6 requires WSDOT to develop a data storage policy to achieve storage efficiency.

Section 8 prevents WSDOT from purchasing or implementing new information technology projects; purchase servers, virtualization, data storage or related software; or upgrade existing software, for the 2009-11 biennium, without the approval from the Office of Financial Management (OFM).

Section 14 requires WSDOT to participate in pilot projects to evaluate the benefit of application managed services; and these one-time costs are detailed in the expenditure section. WSDOT assumes that “application managed services” refers to contracted services to maintain and/or operate existing applications (but not to make significant enhancements to the applications). The requested assessment is intended to determine whether using these kinds of contracted services would provide a net benefit to the agency.

WSDOT assumes that the assessment would address reviewing the current application maintenance and operations work processes; assessing the current tools, infrastructure and processes against best practice models; identifying gaps between the current state and the best practices and other improvement opportunities; estimating the cost to make the changes necessary to close the gaps; estimating the difference in costs between maintaining and operating applications in the current state and in the improved state; and providing a recommendation on how to proceed. WSDOT assumes there would be consulting costs relating to this pilot project.

A recent review from the State Auditor’s Office on information technology services concluded that WSDOT currently offers several services in Section 2 of this proposal at a lower cost than DIS. WSDOT assumes that this proposal will not increase the costs of these services. Per the fiscal note lead agency instructions, sections 2, 5 and 6 are assumed to have no fiscal impact to WSDOT. WSDOT began implementing a five to six-year personal computer replacement cycle to meet the 2009-11 biennium reductions; therefore, WSDOT assumes section 4 has no fiscal impact.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Based on 240 applications, with 88 percent being medium to large, the evaluations required in Section 14 are estimated to cost WSDOT \$275,000. This is based on OFM's estimated costs of \$82,000 for 90 applications with 70 percent being medium to large applications. These estimates are detailed in a statement of work developed for the Office of Financial Management regarding applications and maintenance assessment. This statement was prepared on February 22, 2009, by Sierra Systems.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Personal Service Contracts		275,000	275,000		
E-Goods and Services					
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total:	\$0	\$275,000	\$275,000	\$0	\$0

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Office of Information Technology (c)		275,000	275,000		
Total \$		275,000	275,000		

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 461-Department of Ecology
---------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Allen Robbins	Phone: 360-407-7099	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: Patricia McLain	Phone: 360-407-7005	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Linda Steinmann	Phone: 360-902-0573	Date: 02/23/2010

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Compared to HB 3178, PSHB 3178 would make the following changes:

- 1) Add 11 additional sections to the original bill and renumber some sections;
- 2) Authority granted to Department of Information Services (DIS) over PC purchase, lease, and replacement for the state would be removed, and provisions pertaining to PC purchases by state agencies would be permissive rather than mandatory.
- 3) The Information Services Board (ISB) would conduct a pilot project to centrally provide email and telephony across state agencies.
- 4) DIS, in collaboration with the Office of Financial Management (OFM), would inventory information technology (IT) assets owned or leased by state agencies.
- 5) IT projects would be subject to review and approval by the ISB.
- 6) A method to account for IT related expenditures would be instituted by OFM. OFM would revise its budget instructions to obtain specific information about IT project costs. The Governor's budget would include an IT plan listing all proposed projects and their current and future costs. DIS would send IT funding recommendations to the Legislature and would report on major IT projects.
- 7) DIS and OFM would coordinate to pilot application managed services with 4 state agencies (DOT, OFM, Legislative Services Center, and DSHS).
- 8) ISB, in coordination with DIS and OFM, would identify strategies that would achieve IT savings, and would report findings to the director of OFM by July 1, 2010.
- 9) The Data Processing Revolving Account would become an appropriated account.

The changes in PSHB 3178 do not change Ecology's fiscal impact.

Section 1 of this bill states the legislative intent and findings. The intent of this bill would be to create efficiencies in the use of technology in state government through an enterprise-based information technology strategy that ensures the state is receiving the highest quality information technology products and services at the best price from public or private providers. The strategy would also ensure that there is transparency and accountability regarding how information technology resources are being allocated, how decisions are being made, and who is accountable for on-time, on-budget delivery.

Section 2 would identify the powers and duties of DIS. Section 2 (2)(b) would be amended to include information services for procurement and maintenance of mainframe and personal computers, servers, and virtualization services. Section 2 (2)(c) would be amended to include data storage services.

Section 3 would require state agencies to purchase cellular or mobile phone service through participation in the state master contract unless a waiver is secured in advance of the purchase from OFM or DIS. OFM would ensure that there was greater consistency among state agencies in pursuing efficient use policies for wireless devices.

Section 4 would require ISB to develop a pilot project for providing telephony and electronic mail services centrally

among piloted agencies, either through DIS or through a private provider, whichever would result in the lowest cost for the same level of service.

Section 5 would require DIS to develop a personal computer replacement policy for all personal computers owned or leased by state agencies. The replacement policy would consist of, at a minimum, at least a five year replacement cycle and state agencies would be encouraged to purchase or lease personal computers through participation in the state master contract.

Section 6 would require state agencies to develop data storage policies to achieve greater storage efficiency. ISB would develop a data retention policy for state agencies. DIS would offer tiered data storage services to state agencies.

Section 7 would require DIS, in collaboration with OFM, to conduct an inventory of all IT assets owned or leased by state agencies. DIS would prepare a report to the Governor and the Legislature outlining the findings of the inventory by December 1, 2010. This section would expire July 1, 2011.

Section 8 (4) would establish limitations on information technology procurement for the 2009-2011 biennium. These limitations would include securing prior authorization from OFM to purchase or implement new information technology projects, to purchase servers, virtualization, data storage, or related software, and upgrading existing software.

Section 9 would require agencies to submit standardized information about specific projects in decision package requests.

Sections 10 through 18 refer primarily to DIS, OFM, ISB, and state agency requirements, other than Ecology.

There would be no fiscal impact associated with this bill to the Department of Ecology. Ecology already utilizes the state master contract for the purchase of cellular or mobile phone services as identified in Section 3 of this bill. Ecology has already instituted a minimum five year replacement cycle for all personal computers in the agency as identified in Section 5 of this bill. Ecology is already working on a review of data storage efficiency as identified in Section 6 of this bill. Ecology is currently working on inventory updates that would be required as part of Section 7 of this bill. Much of the information that Ecology would be required to provide in Section 9 of this bill is already provided through IT Portfolios and IT addendums required for each technology-related decision package.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 3178 P S HB	Title: State govt technology use	Agency: 477-Department of Fish and Wildlife
---------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
Account					
All Other Funds-State 000-1	0	(71,760)	(71,760)	(54,870)	(27,630)
General Fund-State 001-1	0	(23,922)	(23,922)	(18,291)	(9,209)
Total \$	0	(95,682)	(95,682)	(73,161)	(36,839)

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Charlie Gavigan	Phone: 360-786-7340	Date: 02/17/2010
Agency Preparation: Lori Anthonson	Phone: (360) 902-2529	Date: 02/23/2010
Agency Approval: David Giglio	Phone: (360) 902-8128	Date: 02/23/2010
OFM Review: Chris Stanley	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 02/23/2010

Request # 10-FN074-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This proposed substitute differs from House bill 3178 in the following ways. WDFW's fiscal impact does not change due to these modifications.

Section 4 authorizes the information services board (ISB) to develop a pilot project to centrally provide telephony and electronic mail services to state agencies, and encourages state agencies to purchase these services through the Department of Information Services (DIS).

Section 5 removes the authority granted to DIS over personal computer purchase, lease and replacement, and encourages rather than mandates state agencies to participate in the state master contract.

Section 7 directs DIS and the Office of Financial Management (OFM) to conduct a detailed inventory of all information technology assets owned or leased by state agencies. State agencies will document their costs to provide this inventory to DIS and OFM by November 1, 2010, but should assume no fiscal impacts. WDFW estimates that this inventory will take approximately three existing staff at the ITS-6 or Manager level one week each to complete.

Section 9 directs OFM to update its operating budget instructions to obtain information from agencies about IT project costs. Much of this information is already provided through IT Portfolios and IT addendums required for each technology-related decision package.

Section 11 directs the ISB and OFM to work with state agencies to develop contracting standards for information technology acquisition and purchased services. The ISB and OFM will work with state agency stakeholders to review state agency IT budgets.

Section 12 requires DIS to evaluate agency budget requests for major IT projects, and recommend funding or efficiencies to OFM and appropriations committees.

Section 13 expands the types of information that DIS is required to include in its biennial state performance report on information technology.

Section 14 directs DIS to coordinate a pilot project for four state agencies to demonstrate the value of application managed services.

Section 15 directs OFM to work with state agencies to generate savings from improved acquisition and delivery of information technology projects and services.

Section 16 directs DIS to report on efforts to develop a centralized information project management office.

Requirements and impacts under the original House Bill 3178 are still retained in this proposed substitute:

Section 1 recognizes that efficiencies could be created in the use of technology in state government through an enterprise-based information technology strategy.

Section 2 identifies powers and duties of the Department of Information Services (DIS).

Section 3 would require state agencies to purchase cellular or mobile phone service through participation in the state master contract unless a waiver is secured in advance of the purchase from the Office of Financial Management (OFM) or DIS.

Section 4 (now Section 5) would require DIS to develop a personal computer replacement policy for all personal computers owned or leased by state agencies. The replacement policy would consist of, at a minimum, a five year replacement cycle. This section also mandates keeping monitors for a longer term, replacing them separately.

Section 5 (now Section 6) would require state agencies to develop data storage policies to include reviewing what information currently exists in digital format, where it is being stored, how it is being used, and the business and legal requirements for retaining the information to achieve greater storage efficiency. The information services board would develop a data retention policy for state agencies. DIS would offer tiered data storage services to state agencies.

Section 6 (now Section 8) would establish limitations on information technology procurement for the remainder of the 2009-2011 biennium. These limitations would include securing prior authorization from OFM to purchase or implement new information technology projects, to purchase servers, virtualization, data storage, or related software, and upgrading existing software.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

NA

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 5. WDFW currently utilizes a 4-year replacement cycle with a 4-year warranty on desktop computers and laptops. Current inventory consists of 1,004 desktop computers and 440 laptops, replacing 25% of them each year of the 4-year cycle. This bill would place WDFW on a 5-year replacement cycle with a 3-year warranty. Using the replacement costs calculator provided by OFM, WDFW would experience the following savings:

FY11: (\$95,682)

FY12: (\$68,388)

FY13: (\$4,773)

FY14: (\$4,773)

FY15: (\$32,066)

There are concerns that WDFW would not be able to realize the entire savings shown above due to added costs for specialized upgrades to the replacements of the machines that fail in the 4th and 5th years not covered on warranty.

Understanding that the computers shown here are default units under the state's master contract, WDFW will have

additional specialized costs for each desktop computer used for GIS purposes (video cards, RAM, and hard drives), and for each laptop used by Enforcement Officers (RAM, special video capabilities, port replicators, auto power adapters). It has been our practice to purchase a complete care warranty on Enforcement systems to ensure that the Officers are fully equipped and operational at all times. If computers fail in the 4th or 5th year of their lifecycle, we estimate that we will have costs of approximately \$4,000 each year in upgrades to the default replacements not anticipated by the OFM calculator.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2010	FY 2011	2009-11	2011-13	2013-15
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services		(95,682)	(95,682)	(73,161)	(36,839)
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total:	\$0	\$(95,682)	\$(95,682)	\$(73,161)	\$(36,839)

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Calculating impacts of HB 3178 for PCs on a 4-year refresh cycle

Instructions: In the column "G" green input area, enter your agency's PCs ages by year. For example, a PC that is six months old would should be entered in the "one-year old" cell, "G5". The formulas adjust for moving from a 4-year to a 5-year replacement cycle. It also assumes that in year 4, the PCs are still under warranty and would not require additional expense by the agency. A 14% failure/replacment rate is assumed for the 5th year. For fiscal note purposes use the savings items from row 36 below.

Please answer the following questions for those PCs in your agency that are on a four-year refresh cycle:	
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are one year old?	251
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are two years old?	251
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are three years old?	251
Of your agency's current inventory, how many PCs are four years old?	251
Are the PCs leased? If so, Type "Yes". If not, type "No"	Yes

				4th Year Failure Rate		12%	Cost of PC	758.415
				5th Year Failure Rate		14%		
# of Desktops in inventory								
AGE OF PC's		FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	
	1	251	251	251	251	215.86		
	2	251	251	251	215.9			
	3	251	251	215.86				
	4	251						
	5	0						

# of desktops intended to be purchased/leased						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
		251.0	251.0	251.0	251.0	251.0
total cost	-	60,453	60,453	60,453	60,453	60,453

# of desktops purchased/leased under HB 3178						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
					35.1	215.9
				35.1	215.9	-
			35.1	215.9	-	-
Total PCs purchased	-		35.1	251.0	251.0	215.9
total cost	-	-	14,911	58,774	58,774	43,863

Savings						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
	-	60,453	45,541	1,679	1,679	16,590

Note concerning Savings numbers (above):

A positive number represents an actual savings for the fiscal year period.
A negative number represents a new cost for the fiscal year period.

Calculating impacts of HB 3178 for notebooks on a 4-year refresh cycle

Instructions: In the column "G" green input area, enter your agency's notebooks ages by year. For example, a notebook that is six months old would should be entered in the "one-year old" cell, "G5". The formulas adjust for moving from a 4-year to a 5-year replacement cycle. It also assumes that in year 4, the notebooks are still under warranty and would not require additional expense by the agency. A 14% failure/replacement rate is assumed for the 5th year. For fiscal note purposes use the savings items from row 36 below.

Please answer the following questions for those notebooks in your agency that are on a four-year refresh cycle:	
Of your agency's current inventory, how many notebooks are one year old?	110
Of your agency's current inventory, how many notebooks are two years old?	110
Of your agency's current inventory, how many notebooks are three years old?	110
Of your agency's current inventory, how many notebooks are four years old?	110
Are the notebooks leased? If so, Type "Yes". If not, type "No"	Yes

		4th Year Failure Rate		30% Cost of notebook		1052.45
		5th Year Failure Rate		33%		
AGE OF Notebooks	# of notebooks in inventory	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14
1	110	110	110	110	110	73.70
2	110	110	110	110	73.7	
3	110	110	110	73.7		
4	110					
5	0					

# of notebooks intended to be purchased/leased						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
		110.0	110.0	110.0	110.0	110.0
total cost	-	35,229	35,229	35,229	35,229	35,229

# of notebooks purchased/leased under HB 3178						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
					36.3	73.7
				36.3	73.7	-
			36.3	73.7	-	-
Total notebooks purchased	-		36.3	110.0	110.0	73.7
total cost	-	-	12,382	32,136	32,136	19,753

Savings						
	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
	-	35,229	22,847	3,094	3,094	15,476

Note concerning Savings numbers (above):

A positive number represents an actual savings for the fiscal year period.

A negative number represents a new cost for the fiscal year period.