

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1153 S HB	Title: DNA sample collection costs
-------------------------------	---

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2011-13		2013-15		2015-17	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	0	369,600	0	369,600	0	369,600
Total \$	0	369,600	0	369,600	0	369,600

Local Gov. Courts *		92,400		92,400		92,400
Local Gov. Other **						
Local Gov. Total		92,400		92,400		92,400

Estimated Expenditures

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

Prepared by: Cherie Berthon, OFM	Phone: 360-902-0659	Date Published: Final
---	-------------------------------	---------------------------------

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID 28291

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1153 S HB	Title: DNA sample collection costs	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
DNA Data Base Account-State 515-1	184,800	184,800	369,600	369,600	369,600
Counties	30,800	30,800	61,600	61,600	61,600
Cities	15,400	15,400	30,800	30,800	30,800
Total \$	231,000	231,000	462,000	462,000	462,000

Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 02/07/2011
Agency Preparation: Julia Appel	Phone: (360) 705-5229	Date: 02/07/2011
Agency Approval: Dirk Marler	Phone: 360-705-5211	Date: 02/07/2011
OFM Review: Cherie Berthon	Phone: 360-902-0659	Date: 02/07/2011

Request # -1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill amends RCW 43.43.7541 to allow the courts of limited jurisdiction to assess the \$100 DNA fee for the collection of DNA samples for qualifying non-felony crimes. This fee is payable by the offender in the same manner as other assessments imposed other than for sentences imposed under chapter 9.94A RCW.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

The changes in the substitute bill do not affect the following impact:

The clerk of the court is required to transmit 80% of the \$100 DNA sample fee to the state treasurer to be deposited in the DNA database account and 20% of the fee to the agency responsible for collection of the biological sample.

It is assumed approximately 7,700 convictions would be eligible for a DNA sample annually statewide in courts of limited jurisdiction under the provisions in this bill. Maximum revenue at \$100 per sample would be \$770,000. After an assumed 30% collection rate is applied, total revenue would be \$231,000. 80% would be remitted to the state DNA account, and it is assumed two-thirds of the remainder would be remitted to the counties and one-third to the cities.

II. C - Expenditures

These DNA samples are already being collected, so there would be no additional costs related to sample collection.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Personal Service Contracts					
Goods and Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<u>County</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1153 S HB	Title: DNA sample collection costs	Agency: 225-Washington State Patrol
-------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 02/07/2011
Agency Preparation: Yvonne Stevens	Phone: 360-596-4042	Date: 02/08/2011
Agency Approval: Bob Maki	Phone: (360) 596-4045	Date: 02/08/2011
OFM Review: Alyson Cummings	Phone: 360-902-0576	Date: 02/08/2011

Request # 0062-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This bill amends RCW 43.43.7541 to require the courts of limited jurisdiction to assess the \$100 DNA fee for the collection of DNA samples for qualifying crimes, to include juveniles and misdemeanors/gross misdemeanors, regardless of whether a biological sample was collected or not. This fee is payable after all other legal financial obligations when issued by a sentence imposed under chapter 9.94A RCW. Otherwise, the fee is payable by the offender in the same manner as other assessments imposed when issued for all other sentences.

The difference between the original version and the substitute version of this bill is the clarification that the fee will be assessed regardless of whether a biological sample was collected.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Eighty percent of the \$100 court-ordered fee imposed per 43.43.7541 is deposited into the state's DNA database account. According to the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC), this legislation could have an impact to revenue collected. The AOC will estimate the impact in its fiscal note.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

There is no increase to WSP's expenditures as a result of this bill.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

There is no impact to WSP's capital budget as a result of this bill.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

This bill does not require rule changes.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development

Bill Number: 1153 S HB	Title: DNA sample collection costs
-------------------------------	---

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

☒ Cities: Additional fees provided from the DNA account

☒ Counties: as above

☐ Special Districts:

☐ Specific jurisdictions only:

☐ Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

☐ No fiscal impacts.

☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:

☐ Legislation provides local option:

☐ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

None

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: David Elliott	Phone: (360) 725 5033	Date: 02/10/2011
Leg. Committee Contact:	Phone:	Date: 02/07/2011
Agency Approval: Steve Salmi	Phone: (360) 725 5034	Date: 02/10/2011
OFM Review: Cherie Berthon	Phone: 360-902-0659	Date: 02/10/2011

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This bill would amend 43.43.7541 RCW to extend the DNA collection fee to courts of limited jurisdiction.

CHANGES FROM THE PREVIOUS VERSION OF THE BILL:

This version of the bill clarifies that the \$100 fee is collected for certain crimes, whether or not a sample is collected.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

There are no local fiscal impacts other than to the courts.

There are potential court costs that would affect counties; these are detailed in the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) fiscal note. AOC addresses court-related fiscal impacts; local government fiscal notes cover defense, prosecution and jail-related costs.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

None other than those detailed in the AOC fiscal note.

SOURCES:

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) fiscal note

Washington State Patrol (WSP) fiscal note



Multiple Agency Ten-Year Analysis Summary

Bill Number	Title
1153 S HB	DNA sample collection costs

This ten-year analysis is limited to the estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases.

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	Fiscal Year 2012	Fiscal Year 2013	Fiscal Year 2014	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2016	Fiscal Year 2017	Fiscal Year 2018	Fiscal Year 2019	Fiscal Year 2020	Fiscal Year 2021	2012-21 TOTAL
Admin Office of the Courts	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	1,848,000
Washington State Patrol	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Department of Commerce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	1,848,000



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 1153 S HB	Title DNA sample collection costs	Agency 055 Admin Office of the Courts
---------------------------------	---	---

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☐ **No Cash Receipts**
☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Estimated Cash Receipts

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code	Fiscal Year 2012	Fiscal Year 2013	Fiscal Year 2014	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2016	Fiscal Year 2017	Fiscal Year 2018	Fiscal Year 2019	Fiscal Year 2020	Fiscal Year 2021	2012-21 TOTAL
DNA collection fee	515	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	1,848,000
Total		184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	184,800	1,848,000
Biennial Totals		369,600		369,600		369,600		369,600		369,600		1,848,000

Agency Preparation: Julia Appel	Phone: (360) 705-5229	Date: 2/7/2011 4:21:42 pm
Agency Approval: Dirk Marler	Phone: 360-705-5211	Date: 2/7/2011 4:21:42 pm
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 2/8/2011 4:31:21 pm



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 1153 S HB	Title DNA sample collection costs	Agency 225 Washington State Patrol
---------------------------------	---	--

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☒ **No Cash Receipts** ☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code											
--------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Agency Preparation: Yvonne Stevens	Phone: 360-596-4042	Date: 2/8/2011 8:32:18 am
Agency Approval: Bob Maki	Phone: (360) 596-4045	Date: 2/8/2011 8:32:18 am
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 2/8/2011 4:31:21 pm