

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs
-----------------------------	--

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2011-13		2013-15		2015-17	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Office of State Treasurer	Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion."					
Department of Revenue	24,200,000	26,700,000	31,200,000	33,700,000	36,400,000	38,900,000
Total \$	24,200,000	26,700,000	31,200,000	33,700,000	36,400,000	38,900,000

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2011-13			2013-15			2015-17		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Office of State Treasurer	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Revenue	.2	27,800	27,800	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Criminal Justice Training Commission	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Health	3.9	13,000	913,000	7.5	0	2,494,000	7.7	0	2,496,000
Department of Ecology	.1	0	0	.1	0	0	.0	0	0
Total	4.2	\$40,800	\$940,800	7.6	\$0	\$2,494,000	7.7	\$0	\$2,496,000

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

Prepared by: Ryan Black, OFM	Phone: 360-902-0417	Date Published: Final
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID 29540

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency: 090-Office of State Treasurer
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.

Estimated Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Preparation: Dan Mason	Phone: 360-902-9090	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Approval: Dan Mason	Phone: 360-902-9090	Date: 03/03/2011
OFM Review: Matthew Bridges	Phone: (360) 902-0575	Date: 03/03/2011

Request # 120-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

HB 2006 creates the pharmaceutical product stewardship program account. Earnings from investments will be credited to the general fund.

Earnings from investments:

Estimated earnings from investments are indeterminable because projected cash flows are needed to make the estimate and are currently unavailable. Earnings for an account are a function of the average daily balance of the account and the earnings rate of the investment portfolio. The average daily balance is a function of the beginning balance in the account and the timing & amount of receipts, disbursements, & transfers during the time period in question. Accordingly, even with a beginning balance of zero, two accounts with the same overall level of receipts, disbursements, and transfers can have different average daily balances, and hence different earnings.

For illustrative purposes, assume based on the November 2010 Revenue Forecast that the net rate for estimating earnings for FY 11 is 0.12%, FY 12 is 0.10%, and FY 13 is 1.63%. Approximately \$1,200 in FY 11, \$1,000 in FY 12, and \$16,300 in FY 13 in net earnings and \$5,000 in fees would be gained or lost annually for every \$1 million increase or decrease in average daily balance.

Debt Limit:

There may be an impact on the debt service limitation calculation. Any change to the earnings credited to the general fund will change, by an equal amount, general state revenues.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

HB 2006 creates the pharmaceutical product stewardship program account. Earnings from investments will be credited to the general fund.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Department of Revenue Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency: 140-Department of Revenue
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
GF-STATE-State 01 - Taxes 05 - Bus and Occup Tax	10,400,000	13,800,000	24,200,000	31,200,000	36,400,000
NEW-State 01 - Taxes 05 - Bus and Occup Tax	1,250,000	1,250,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
Total \$	11,650,000	15,050,000	26,700,000	33,700,000	38,900,000

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years	0.3		0.2		
Account					
GF-STATE-State 001-1	27,800		27,800		
Total \$	27,800		27,800		

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Preparation: Van Huynh	Phone: 360-534-1512	Date: 03/11/2011
Agency Approval: Don Gutmann	Phone: 360-534-1510	Date: 03/11/2011
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 03/11/2011

Request # 2006-2-2

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Note: This fiscal note reflects a revision to the narrative of the revenue impact section, and supersedes fiscal note number 2006-1.

Currently, RCW 82.04.272 provides a preferential Business & Occupation (B&O) tax rate of 0.138 percent to businesses that warehouse and resell drugs for human use pursuant to a prescription. This bill amends RCW 82.04.272 to include a new, separate B&O tax of 0.346 percent on this activity.

The bill also creates the pharmaceutical product stewardship program account. The account is created in the custody of the State Treasurer. Of the revenue generated from the new tax, the bill requires \$1.25 million to be deposited into this new account on December 15th of each year. The remainder of the new tax revenue will be deposited into the general fund for transfer to the health care authority for purposes of maintaining the Washington basic health plan.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS/DATA SOURCES

This estimate assumes the intent of this bill is to impose a new tax rate of .346 percent rather than a rate of 34.6 percent. An amended fiscal note will be prepared to reflect the actual language of the bill if the bill is not amended once referred out of committee.

It is assumed that Fiscal Year 2012 has only 10 months of collections. It is further assumed that total taxable income of this business activity increases by 7.5 percent annually.

Source: State combined excise tax returns.

REVENUE ESTIMATES

Based on current level of taxable business activity of RCW 82.04.272, it is estimated that this bill will increase state revenue by about \$11.7 million in Fiscal Year 2012 and \$15.1 million in Fiscal Year 2013.

TOTAL REVENUE IMPACT:

State Government (cash basis, \$000):

FY 2012 -	\$ 11,650
FY 2013 -	\$ 15,050
FY 2014 -	\$ 16,250
FY 2015 -	\$ 17,450
FY 2016 -	\$ 18,750
FY 2017 -	\$ 20,150

Local Government, if applicable (cash basis, \$000): Not applicable.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing

FIRST YEAR COSTS:

The Department of Revenue will incur costs of \$27,800 in Fiscal Year 2012. These costs include:

- Labor Costs – Time and effort equates to 0.3 FTEs.
- Programming and testing changes to system.
- Handling out of balance returns and responding to taxpayer questions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years	0.3		0.2		
A-Salaries and Wages	16,200		16,200		
B-Employee Benefits	4,900		4,900		
E-Goods and Services	4,700		4,700		
J-Capital Outlays	2,000		2,000		
Total \$	\$27,800		\$27,800		

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
EXCISE TAX EX 2	42,583	0.2		0.1		
IT SPEC 5	69,756	0.1		0.1		
Total FTE's	112,339	0.3		0.2		

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

No rule-making required.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency: 227-Wa St Criminal Justice Train Comm
-----------------------------	--	---

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Preparation: Brian Elliott	Phone: 360-486-2436	Date: 03/08/2011
Agency Approval: Brian Elliott	Phone: 360-486-2436	Date: 03/08/2011
OFM Review: Alyson Cummings	Phone: 360-902-0576	Date: 03/08/2011

Request # 2011-26-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This bill has no fiscal impact to the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency: 303-Department of Health
-----------------------------	--	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years	1.3	6.5	3.9	7.5	7.7
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	13,000	0	13,000	0	0
Pharm Product Stewardship Acct-Non-Appropriated NEW-6	299,000	601,000	900,000	2,494,000	2,496,000
Total \$	312,000	601,000	913,000	2,494,000	2,496,000

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates,

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☒ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Preparation: Danny Howard	Phone: (360) 236-4625	Date: 03/17/2011
Agency Approval: Patty Steele	Phone: 360-236-4530	Date: 03/17/2011
OFM Review: Ryan Black	Phone: 360-902-0417	Date: 03/17/2011

Request # 11-156-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Sections 2-3: The Department of Health (DOH), Board of Pharmacy (BOP) will create a product stewardship program for review and comment by January 1, 2013 that is responsible for the collection, transportation, and disposal of unwanted drugs from residential sources. DOH will consult with the Department of Ecology (ECY) and the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs regarding details of the disposal procedures and security respectively. The product stewardship program must be implemented and operating no later than January 1, 2014. The department will use information collected annually from individuals and organizations when making updates and revisions. The department must update and revise the stewardship program at least every four years. The department may suspend the product stewardship program in whole or in part if they determine that suspension is necessary to protect the public from imminent danger.

Section 5: The department must promote the use of the stewardship program. The department will be required to establish a toll-free telephone number and Web site. Educational and outreach materials must also be created and provided to pharmacies, health care facilities and other interested parties. An evaluation must be performed annually to evaluate effectiveness of the program and at least every four years this evaluation will include the percentage of residents that are aware of the program and find it convenient.

Section 6: Covered drugs must be disposed of at a properly permitted hazardous waste disposal facility, or at an in-state solid waste incinerator facility. The department may petition ECY for approval to use final disposal technologies that provide superior environmental and human health protection.

Sections 7 & 11: The department will adopt rules to implement, administer, and enforce the laws on the collection, transportation, disposal, and possession of unwanted drugs from residential sources through the stewardship program. This bill also allows BOP to establish performance standards, in consultation with ECY, for the program. The department will report to the legislature by December 31, 2016, the status of the product stewardship program and any recommendations for changes to provisions in the law.

Section 8: Establishes the Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program Account in the custody of the State Treasurer and administered by the Department of Health. Expenditures from the account may be used only for administering this chapter. The account is subject to allotment procedures under RCW 43.88, but an appropriation will not be necessary for expenditures.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 9: The bill amends RCW 82.04.272 taxes on warehousing and reselling prescription drugs, funding the product stewardship program by imposing additional taxes on drug wholesalers and manufacturers. Taxes are based on 0.346 percent of gross income. The Department of Revenue (DOR) anticipates tax revenue of \$26.7 million in the 2011- 13

biennium; \$33.7 million in the 2013-15 biennium; and \$38.9 million in the 2015-17 biennium. On December 15 of each year, starting in fiscal year (FY) 2012, \$1.25 million of the taxes collected will be deposited to the pharmaceutical product stewardship program with the balance remaining deposited into the general fund for transfer to the Health Care Authority for the purposes of maintaining the Washington basic health plan. Based upon these DOR projections, the department assumes this bill will collect enough revenue to fully fund the costs outlined below.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Assumption: All costs to DOH prior to January 1, 2012, will require General Fund-State (GF-S) because funds will not be available in the new pharmaceutical product stewardship program account until after December 15, 2011, when DOR deposits their FY 2012 estimated revenue. At this time the department is assuming only the rulemaking costs will require GF-S.

Customer Service

Sections 2, 3, 5-6: In FY 2014 the department will establish a toll free telephone number and Web site where collection information will be given to the public. Based upon past experience with programs of this scope, BOP anticipates this bill will generate an additional 1,000 calls per month to the department's call center. Total costs will be 0.2 FTE and \$16,000 in FY 2014, and 0.4 FTE and \$30,000 in FY 2015 and ongoing.

Implementation & Operation

Sections 2, 3, 5-7: This will require a new program manager, support staff, and associated costs to implement this new statewide program. In FY 2012 and 2013, staff will determine location sites in cities and counties around the state. Based upon data from the Office of Financial Management, the department currently anticipates there will be approximately 200 such drop off sites located around the state based upon 75 towns with populations over 10,000 people and multiple locations needed for larger cities.

The cost to purchase, transport, and install these containers is estimated at \$750 each. This estimate is based on department discussions with King County Waste Disposal. Contracts with these drop-off locations will need to be negotiated, drafted, and reviewed before the containers can be positioned. After the sites have been selected, staff will need to review, select, and set up contracts with multiple vendors across the state to pick up, sort, and transport the drugs to the nearest disposal facility handling these materials in Salt Lake City, Utah. Inter-state transport of hazardous materials is complex and for the purpose of this fiscal note, BOP assumes there will be no complications transporting these drugs across state lines. The department anticipates the contract process to be extensive and ongoing, involving 30 days of Office of Attorney General (OAG) time in each of the first four years of the program and then decreasing to 15 days in FY 2016 and thereafter, as the contracting process becomes streamlined and long-term relationships with vendors are established.

Once the program is in place, staff will perform additional functions. These will include managing complex hotline calls transferred from customer service, Web site maintenance, public education and annual evaluations to document the effectiveness of the program. This also includes submitting a report to the legislature by December 31, 2016. Staff will

also work on grant seeking and applications to maximize the funding for this drug disposal program. An additional interactive Web site will also be needed and created behind the Secure Access Washington Gateway to collect information from vendors contracted to perform collection and disposal services. To disseminate the program's information through a Web site will require the creation of a public interactive Web site that locates disposal locations for the public when a zip code is entered. Total costs will be 0.5 FTE and \$223,000 in FY 2012, 4.7 FTE and \$515,000 in FY 2013, 4.6 FTE and \$521,000 in FY 2014, and 4.1 FTE and \$473,000 in FY 2015 and ongoing.

Inspections

Sections 2, 3 & 6: A full time pharmacy investigator will be required to make annual site inspections starting in FY 2014. They will provide technical assistance, review site policies and procedures for compliance with statute, review the handling of materials, and respond to any complaints against the collection sites. Total costs will be 0.5 FTE and \$86,000 in FY 2014, and 1.0 FTE and 169,000 in FY 2015 and ongoing.

Contracting

Sections 3, 5 & 6: The department assumes that the actual collection, sorting, transporting and incineration will be done under contract. Based upon a similar and smaller program operated in Washington State by Group Health Cooperative (GHC) the department is projecting 97,000 lbs of drugs to be collected in FY 2014, 93,000 lbs in FY 2015 after an influx in the initial year, and 96,000 lbs per year in FY 2016 and ongoing. The department is anticipating similar collection, sorting, and transportation costs per pound as GHC. Using these assumptions, contract costs will be approximately \$543,000 in FY 2014, \$520,000 in FY 2015, and \$538,000 per year in FY 2016 and ongoing.

Department of Ecology

Sections 5-6: The department will consult with ECY on transportation and disposal systems, tracking and handling, recycling, and public education. Consulting costs billed to DOH from ECY will be \$11,000 in FY 2013 and \$13,000 in FY 2014. In fiscal years after 2014, ECY anticipates costs to be negligible because consultation will be accomplished within ECY's current resources, with slight delays to its other work.

Legal

Section 6: The department expects significant OAG time and legal staff time starting in FY 2012 to assist with the creation of the program and initial contracting activities with vendors and site locations. Legal staff will also aid with coordinating program activities with local jurisdictions. After the program is operational BOP expects OAG time to decrease starting in FY 2016, but expects an increase in DOH workload to assist with vendor contracts that will be constantly up for renewal. Costs include staff and associated expenses, and OAG time estimated at \$29,200 each year and will decrease to \$14,600 each year starting in FY 2016. Total costs will be 0.3 FTE and \$76,000 in FY 2012, 0.3 FTE and 75,000 in FY 2013, 0.2 FTE and \$67,000 in FY 2014, 0.1 FTE and \$56,000 in 2015, and 0.1 FTE and \$38,000 in FY 2016 and ongoing.

Rulemaking

Sections 7 & 11: There will be one-time rulemaking costs in FY 2012 to adopt rules to implement, administer, and enforce the laws on the collection, transportation, disposal, and possession of unwanted drugs from residential sources through stewardship program. Rulemaking will be time consuming, possibly contentious, and will include multiple stakeholders due to the interest of the law enforcement, the environmental community, pharmacy, and the public. Rules will establish performance standards, enforcement criteria, and processes for the program. BOP will hold three stakeholder meetings, one in Kent, one in Spokane, and a third location yet to be determined, as well as one formal rules hearing in Tumwater. All meetings will be held in free facilities. Costs will include staff and associated expenses, board member time and OAG time. Total costs will be 0.1 FTE and \$13,000 of GF-S.

Beginning in FY 2012, expenditures also include salary, benefits and related staff costs for 0.2 FTE Health Services Consultant 1 and 0.2 FTE Fiscal Analyst 2 to assist with the increased administrative workload. In FY 2013, FTE increases to 0.7 FTE and 0.8 FTE, respectively.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years	1.3	6.5	3.9	7.5	7.7
A-Salaries and Wages	78,000	370,000	448,000	866,000	888,000
B-Employee Benefits	21,000	102,000	123,000	244,000	254,000
C-Personal Service Contracts		11,000	11,000	1,063,000	1,062,000
E-Goods and Services	49,000	106,000	155,000	291,000	264,000
G-Travel	10,000	5,000	15,000	10,000	10,000
J-Capital Outlays	153,000		153,000	3,000	
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	1,000	7,000	8,000	17,000	18,000
9-					
Total:	\$312,000	\$601,000	\$913,000	\$2,494,000	\$2,496,000

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
ADMINISTRATIVE ASST 1	34,260		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
CUSTOMER SVCS SPEC 2	36,756				0.3	0.4
Fiscal Analyst 2	45,828	0.2	0.8	0.5	1.1	1.1
Health Svcs Conslt 1	44,712	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.9
HEALTH SVCS CONSLT 2	53,148		0.5	0.3	1.0	1.0
HEALTH SVCS CONSLT 3	61,632	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.3	
HEALTH SVCS CONSLT 4	68,016		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
HEARINGS EXAMINER 3	78,900	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
INFO TECH SYSTEMS/APP SPEC 6	87,096		0.1	0.1		
INFORMATION TECH SPEC 4	71,496					
INFORMATION TECH SPEC 5	78,900		0.1	0.1		
PHARMACIST - INVESTIGATOR	96,144				0.8	1.0
WMS01	68,016		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Total FTE's	824,904	1.3	6.5	3.9	7.5	7.7

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
Hlth Systems Quality Assurance (060)	295,000	537,000	832,000	2,326,000	2,326,000
Administration (090)	17,000	64,000	81,000	168,000	170,000
Total \$	312,000	601,000	913,000	2,494,000	2,496,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Sections 7 & 11: Allows the department to adopt rules to implement, administer, and enforce the new pharmaceutical product stewardship program.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency: 461-Department of Ecology
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0
Account					
Total \$					

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates,

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Preparation: Jessica Moore	Phone: 360-407-6996	Date: 03/07/2011
Agency Approval: Patricia McLain	Phone: 360-407-7005	Date: 03/07/2011
OFM Review: Linda Steinmann	Phone: 360-902-0573	Date: 03/07/2011

Request # 11-150-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Ecology's role in this bill would be similar to that described in 2SSB 5279 from the 2010 session and HB 1370/SB 5234 from the 2011 session. Under this bill, the Board of Pharmacy would be required to run a program for the safe collection and disposal of unwanted pharmaceutical drugs. Ecology would participate by consulting with the Board of Pharmacy on elements of product stewardship programs and on performance standards for implementing these programs.

Under current law Ecology does not regulate residential pharmaceutical waste. This bill would require pharmaceutical producers to supply a method for the safe collection and disposal of unwanted drugs from residential sources. The Department of Health would be the lead agency on this bill and Ecology would assume a supporting role.

The following sections would impact Ecology:

Section 2:

The board of pharmacy would be required to create a product stewardship program for the safe disposal of unwanted drugs from residential sources by January 1, 2013. The board of pharmacy would be required to notify Ecology of the public comment opportunity in developing a proposed product stewardship program. The board of pharmacy would be required to make a product stewardship program operational by January 1, 2014. The board of pharmacy would be required to provide an annual invitation for comments on the program and make updates to the program every four years. Ecology would be required to consult with the board of pharmacy on program components including, but not limited to, transportation and disposal systems, secure tracking and handling, package recycling, and public education.

Section 5:

The board of pharmacy would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number as well as a website for the purposes of providing public education and outreach. Ecology would be required to promote the use of the toll-free telephone number and the website through existing education methods.

Section 6:

All unwanted products would be disposed at a properly permitted hazardous waste facility, an in-state solid waste incinerator permitted by WAC 173-434, or a properly permitted solid waste incinerator in a neighboring state. The board of pharmacy would also be permitted to petition Ecology to use alternative disposal methods if the proposed method would provide superior environmental and human health protection.

Section 7:

The board of pharmacy would be allowed to adopt rules to implement this bill and to establish performance standards. Ecology would be permitted to consult with the board of pharmacy to establish performance standards for product stewardship programs.

Section 8:

The Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program Account would be created and managed by the Department of

Health.

Section 9:

This section would amend RCW 82.04.272 to modify the existing tax on wholesalers and pharmacists for the purposes of providing a revenue source to pay for the costs associated with implementing this bill. This tax is collected by the Department of Revenue. \$1.25 million would be deposited into the Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program Account each year, and the remainder would be deposited into General Fund-State for the Health Care Authority to maintain the basic health plan.

Section 13:

Pharmaceutical waste disposed of according to Ecology's policies and rules under current law, by hospitals that are licensed under RCW 70.41, would be exempt from the requirements under this bill.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 2:

The board of pharmacy would be required to create a product stewardship program for the safe collection and disposal of unwanted drugs from residential sources. The initial program would be required to be completed by January 1, 2013. Programs would be required to be updated at least every four years thereafter. Ecology would be required to consult with the board of pharmacy on program components including, but not limited to, transportation and disposal systems, secure tracking and handling, package recycling, and public education. The Department of Health estimates that 904 producers would participate jointly with the board of pharmacy. Ecology assumes that there would be 1 program created by the Board of Pharmacy and estimates that program consultation would require approximately 8-10 hours, based on the electronic product stewardship program review process. Ecology estimates that this would require approximately 10 hours (Environmental Planner 5) every four years. This is a negligible amount of work that would be accomplished within current resources with slight delays to other work.

Section 5:

The board of pharmacy would be required to establish a toll-free number as well as a website for the purposes of providing public education and outreach. Ecology would be required to promote the use of the toll-free number and the website through existing education methods. Ecology assumes that the toll-free number and the website would be promoted through agency websites and newsletters. Ecology estimates that this effort would require 0.25 FTE (Information Technology Specialist 3) and 0.25 FTE (Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3) from January 1, 2014 to March 31, 2014.

Section 6:

The board of pharmacy would be permitted to petition Ecology to use disposal methods other than hazardous waste

incinerators if the proposed method would provide superior environmental and human health protection. Based on Ecology's existing knowledge of pharmaceutical drug content, we estimate that the association would submit 18 petitions to Ecology for the purposes of using a properly permitted solid waste incineration facility in lieu of a hazardous waste disposal facility or to propose the use of an alternative disposal method. Ecology estimates that 0.10 FTE (Environmental Specialist 4) would be required in FY13 to review and approve petitions for disposal methods other than hazardous waste incinerators.

Note: In SSB 5279, from the 2010 session, DOH estimated that there would be approximately 360 producers. Ecology estimated that approximately 5% or 18 of the pharmaceutical producers would submit alternative disposal method plans. Then in 2SSB 5279, also from the 2010 session, DOH estimated that there would be approximately 900 producers. At that time Ecology assumed that there would be a limited number of alternative methods that could be used to dispose of unwanted residential drugs and maintained the assumption that 18 pharmaceutical producers would submit alternative plans. DOH estimates approximately 904 producers for this bill and Ecology is also maintaining the assumption that 18 alternative disposal method plans would be submitted under this bill.

Section 7:

The board of pharmacy would be allowed to adopt rules to implement this bill and to establish performance standards. Ecology could consult with the board to establish performance standards for product stewardship programs. The Department of Health estimates that there would be two or three meetings in which performance standards would be discussed. Ecology would attend these meetings and provide consultation as required. Ecology estimates that this would require approximately 12 hours (Environmental Planner 5). This is expected to take a minimal amount of time that would be accomplished within current resources with slight delays in other work.

Section 8:

The pharmaceutical product stewardship program account would be established and managed by the Department of Health. All expenditures from this account would be required to be costs associated with implementing this bill. Ecology assumes that funding for Ecology's costs would be provided through an interagency agreement with the Department of Health.

Notes on costs by object:

Salary estimates are based on current actual rates in effect for each job classification, and are calculated at the step corresponding to the experience level required.

Employee Benefits are calculated at the agency average of 30.4% of salaries.

Goods and Services standard costs are calculated at the agency average rate of \$4,316 per direct program FTE.

Travel expenditures are calculated at the agency average rate of \$1,021 per direct program FTE.

Start-up Equipment costs for the first year are calculated at the agency average rate of \$7,552 per direct program FTE based on current costs for 1/5 motor pool vehicle, basic computer equipment, and an office chair.

Interagency Agreement: Funding for Ecology's costs would be provided through an interagency agreement with the Department of Health.

Agency Administrative Overhead is calculated at the federal indirect rate of 32.8% of program salaries and benefits, and is identified in Expenditures by Object as 9-Agency Administrative Overhead. Administration program FTEs are included at 0.15 FTE per direct program FTE, and are identified in the Part III-B FTE Detail table as Fiscal Analyst 2.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years		0.1	0.1	0.1	
A-Salaries and Wages		5,186	5,186	6,996	
B-Employee Benefits		1,577	1,577	2,127	
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services		432	432	518	
G-Travel		102	102	123	
J-Capital Outlays		755	755	151	
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements		(10,270)	(10,270)	(12,907)	
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-Agency Administrative Overhead		2,218	2,218	2,992	
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
Comm. Outreach & Env. Ed. Spec. 3	51,864				0.0	
Environmental Specialist 4	51,864		0.1	0.1		
Fiscal Analyst 2			0.0	0.0	0.0	
Information Technology Specialist 3	64,740				0.0	
Total FTE's	168,468		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development

Bill Number: 2006 HB	Title: Disposal of unwanted drugs
-----------------------------	--

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- ☐ Cities:
- ☐ Counties:
- ☐ Special Districts:
- ☐ Specific jurisdictions only:
- ☐ Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- ☒ No fiscal impacts.
- ☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- ☒ Legislation provides local option: Local governments may participate as collectors.
- ☐ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: David Elliott	Phone: (360) 725 5033	Date: 03/18/2011
Leg. Committee Contact:	Phone:	Date: 03/03/2011
Agency Approval: Steve Salmi	Phone: (360) 725 5034	Date: 03/18/2011
OFM Review: Ryan Black	Phone: 360-902-0417	Date: 03/21/2011

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

HB 2006 requires that by January 1, 2013 the Board of Pharmacy must establish the procedures for administering a Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program, which would collect unwanted legend and non-legend drugs from residential sources, and define a system for handling and disposal of those drugs.

Section 2 directs the Board of Pharmacy in its duties to establish a program and to consult with the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC) and other interested parties.

Section 3 provides specific criteria for the programs, including a requirement for, at a minimum, one drop-off collection site in all counties in the state (39) and one drop-off collection site in all cities with a population greater than 10,000 (77). If this cannot be arranged, prepaid mailing envelopes must be provided.

Section 4 clarifies that there is no requirement to serve as a collector for the program. Collectors may include law enforcement agencies and fire agencies.

Sections 5-8 provide further direction to the Board of Pharmacy, State Treasurer, and the Department of Ecology. Ecology and local governments will also promote the Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program through existing educational methods.

Section 9 would amend 82.04.272 RCW to modify provisions relating to the business and occupation (B&O) tax on warehousing and reselling prescription drugs. This tax rate would be equal to the gross income of the business multiplied by .346 tax rate which would be added to the existing .138 percent tax rate; \$1,250,000 of this revenue would be deposited on December 15 of each year into the Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program Account. The remainder shall be deposited into the general fund for transfer to the Health Care Authority for the purposes of maintaining the Washington Basic Health Plan.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

There are no expenditure impacts directly attributable to the bill as Section 4 clarifies that there is no requirement to serve as a collector. There are existing drug disposal programs operated by city and county law enforcement in some parts of the state. The bill directs the Board of Pharmacy to provide standards and direction on the operation of any collection and disposal program.

Participation in collecting drugs at public and private locations would be voluntary and therefore expenditures are not attributable to the bill. However, for those jurisdictions which take part in this local option, expenditures may occur as the result of contracting with the Department of Health. It is assumed that local governments will not enter into contracts unless all expenditures are reimbursed. Increased awareness of each program may also increase costs, e.g., due to additional storage space and/or more frequent trips to the incineration center.

Drop-off locations could include law enforcement and/or other public locations affiliated with local government. Costs associated with operating a drop-off site include: staffing, storage of drugs, transportation of drugs and incineration costs.

In both Lacey and Yelm Police departments, policies require two police officers to transport the drugs to the incineration site. Lacey estimated four hours per month, and Yelm two to three hours per month of officer time. Time is spent signing out the drugs from the evidence room, transporting them to a fairly distant location, and documenting the destruction. The average hourly cost for a police officer including benefits is \$42.93 (2010 Local Government Fiscal Note Program survey of police salaries). Four hours of officer time is estimated to cost \$169.56 (4 hours x \$42.93 an hour = \$169.56).

Drugs collected under this proposal must be disposed of at a properly permitted hazardous waste disposal facility or at an in-site solid waste incinerator facility that is permitted under chapter 173-434 WAC. Currently, these costs are being paid by the local police office and sheriff offices. According to Lacey Police department, the cost to dispose of drugs at the incineration site is \$.40 per pound. In February, they disposed of 84.8 pounds. In Yelm, they are averaging 15 pounds per month. Both organizations expect the volume of drugs disposed to rise as the service becomes better known and promotion continues. Disposal of 85 pounds is estimated to cost the City of Lacey \$203.56 (\$34 for incineration fees and \$169.56 for officer time).

The bill requires local governments to assist in promotion of materials developed by the Pharmaceutical Product Stewardship Program through existing promotional methods. Because it would involve promotion through existing means, the costs would be minimal, if any.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

There is no local government revenue impact because this bill would only affect the state B&O tax and does not impact B&O tax remitted to cities. There may be revenues associated with contracts for collection on behalf of the Department of Health. These would only occur if a local government chose to work with the department on a contract basis.

SOURCES:

Department of Health fiscal note

Lacey Police Department

Rainier City Hall

Yelm Police Department



Multiple Agency Ten-Year Analysis Summary

Bill Number	Title
2006 HB	Disposal of unwanted drugs

This ten-year analysis is limited to the estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases.

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	Fiscal Year 2012	Fiscal Year 2013	Fiscal Year 2014	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2016	Fiscal Year 2017	Fiscal Year 2018	Fiscal Year 2019	Fiscal Year 2020	Fiscal Year 2021	2012-21 TOTAL
Office of State Treasurer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Department of Revenue	11,650,000	15,050,000	16,250,000	17,450,000	18,750,000	20,150,000	21,650,000	23,250,000	25,050,000	26,850,000	196,100,000
Wa St Criminal Justice Train Comm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Department of Health	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Department of Ecology	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Department of Commerce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	11,650,000	15,050,000	16,250,000	17,450,000	18,750,000	20,150,000	21,650,000	23,250,000	25,050,000	26,850,000	196,100,000



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 2006 HB	Title Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency 090 Office of State Treasurer
-------------------------------	--	--

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☒ **No Cash Receipts** ☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code											
--------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Agency Preparation: Dan Mason	Phone: 360-902-9090	Date: 3/3/2011 3:51:28 pm
Agency Approval: Dan Mason	Phone: 360-902-9090	Date: 3/3/2011 3:51:28 pm
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 3/10/2011 3:19:30 pm



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 2006 HB	Title Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency 140 Department of Revenue
-------------------------------	--	--

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☐ **No Cash Receipts**
☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Estimated Cash Receipts

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code	Fiscal Year 2012	Fiscal Year 2013	Fiscal Year 2014	Fiscal Year 2015	Fiscal Year 2016	Fiscal Year 2017	Fiscal Year 2018	Fiscal Year 2019	Fiscal Year 2020	Fiscal Year 2021	2012-21 TOTAL
B&O tax	001	10,400,000	13,800,000	15,000,000	16,200,000	17,500,000	18,900,000	20,400,000	22,000,000	23,800,000	25,600,000	183,600,000
B&O Tax	new	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	1,250,000	12,500,000
Total		11,650,000	15,050,000	16,250,000	17,450,000	18,750,000	20,150,000	21,650,000	23,250,000	25,050,000	26,850,000	196,100,000

Biennial Totals
26,700,000
33,700,000
38,900,000
44,900,000
51,900,000
196,100,000

Agency Preparation: Van Huynh	Phone: 360-534-1512	Date: 3/11/2011 9:41:42 am
Agency Approval: Don Gutmann	Phone: 360-534-1510	Date: 3/11/2011 9:41:42 am
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 3/10/2011 3:19:30 pm



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 2006 HB	Title Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency 227 Wa St Criminal Justice Train Comm
-------------------------------	--	--

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☒ **No Cash Receipts** ☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code											
--------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Agency Preparation: Brian Elliott	Phone: 360-486-2436	Date: 3/8/2011 11:35:56 am
Agency Approval: Brian Elliott	Phone: 360-486-2436	Date: 3/8/2011 11:35:56 am
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 3/10/2011 3:19:30 pm



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 2006 HB	Title Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency 303 Department of Health
-------------------------------	--	---

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☒ **No Cash Receipts** ☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code											
--------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Narrative Explanation (Required for Indeterminate Cash Receipts)

The Department of Revenue (DOR) will collect the revenue and the Department of Health will administer the new fund, therefore all cash receipts are shown in the DOR fiscal note.

Agency Preparation: Danny Howard	Phone: (360) 236-4625	Date: 3/17/2011 9:46:28 am
Agency Approval: Patty Steele	Phone: 360-236-4530	Date: 3/17/2011 9:46:28 am
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 3/10/2011 3:19:30 pm



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number 2006 HB	Title Disposal of unwanted drugs	Agency 461 Department of Ecology
-------------------------------	--	--

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

☒ **No Cash Receipts** ☐ **Indeterminate Cash Receipts**

Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code											
--------------------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Agency Preparation: Jessica Moore	Phone: 360-407-6996	Date: 3/7/2011 10:12:12 am
Agency Approval: Patricia McLain	Phone: 360-407-7005	Date: 3/7/2011 10:12:12 am
OFM Review: Kay Baxstrom	Phone: 360-902-0566	Date: 3/10/2011 3:19:30 pm