

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 2618 HB	Title: Marine management planning
-----------------------------	--

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2011-13		2013-15		2015-17	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Department of Natural Resources	0	(1,189,700)	0	(2,308,000)	0	(1,746,000)
Total \$	0	(1,189,700)	0	(2,308,000)	0	(1,746,000)

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2011-13			2013-15			2015-17		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Office of the Governor	Fiscal note not available								
Office of State Treasurer	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Ecology	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Fish and Wildlife	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Puget Sound Partnership	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Natural Resources	.6	0	123,600	.9	0	195,000	.2	0	29,700
Total	0.6	\$0	\$123,600	0.9	\$0	\$195,000	0.2	\$0	\$29,700

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Prepared by: Chris Stanley, OFM	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date Published: Preliminary 1/28/2012
--	---------------------------------	---

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID 31196

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2618 HB	Title: Marine management planning	Agency: 090-Office of State Treasurer
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Jason Callahan	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 01/20/2012
Agency Preparation: Dan Mason	Phone: 360-902-9090	Date: 01/21/2012
Agency Approval: Dan Mason	Phone: 360-902-9090	Date: 01/21/2012
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone: 360-902-0563	Date: 01/23/2012

Request # 057-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

There is no fiscal impact to the office.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2618 HB	Title: Marine management planning	Agency: 103-Department of Commerce
-----------------------------	--	---

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Jason Callahan	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 01/20/2012
Agency Preparation: Paul Johnson	Phone: 360-725-3048	Date: 01/24/2012
Agency Approval: Leonard Bauer	Phone: 360-725-3055	Date: 01/24/2012
OFM Review: Tristan Wise	Phone: (360) 902-0538	Date: 01/24/2012

Request # 029-6A0-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

NONE

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

NONE

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

NONE

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

NONE

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2618 HB	Title: Marine management planning	Agency: 477-Department of Fish and Wildlife
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Jason Callahan	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 01/20/2012
Agency Preparation: Lori Peterson	Phone: 360-902-8404	Date: 01/27/2012
Agency Approval: Owen Rowe	Phone: (360) 902-2204	Date: 01/27/2012
OFM Review: Chris Stanley	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 01/28/2012

Request # 12-FN025-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

No additional costs are associated with this bill. The existing marine management planning statute already directs the Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) to participate in conducting marine assessments, developing a marine management plan and integrating such planning into its own marine management responsibilities (RCW 43.372.020).

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

No fiscal impact.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

None.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2618 HB	Title: Marine management planning	Agency: 478-Puget Sound Partnership
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Jason Callahan	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 01/20/2012
Agency Preparation: Rebecca Pittman	Phone: (360) 464-1218	Date: 01/23/2012
Agency Approval: Rebecca Pittman	Phone: (360) 464-1218	Date: 01/23/2012
OFM Review: Linda Steinmann	Phone: 360-902-0573	Date: 01/23/2012

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Revised

Bill Number: 2618 HB	Title: Marine management planning	Agency: 490-Department of Natural Resources
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☐

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account-State 02r-1	(27,500)	(636,000)	(663,500)	(1,284,000)	(972,000)
Resources Management Cost Account-State 041-1	(22,200)	(504,000)	(526,200)	(1,024,000)	(774,000)
Total \$	(49,700)	(1,140,000)	(1,189,700)	(2,308,000)	(1,746,000)

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years	0.0	1.1	0.6	0.9	0.2
Account					
Marine Resources Stewardship Trust Account-State 16p-1	0	123,600	123,600	195,000	29,700
Total \$	0	123,600	123,600	195,000	29,700

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Jason Callahan	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 01/20/2012
Agency Preparation: Pouth Ing	Phone: (360) 902-1021	Date: 01/27/2012
Agency Approval: Bridget Moran	Phone: 360-902-1508	Date: 01/27/2012
OFM Review: Chris Stanley	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 01/28/2012

Request # 12-22-2

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 2 requires all state agencies with marine waters planning and management responsibilities are authorized to include marine spatial data and planning elements into their existing plans and ongoing planning. This is subject to available federal, private, or other funding.

Section 3 requires the marine interagency team to coordinate the development of a comprehensive marine management plan for the state’s marine waters. The plan must include marine spatial planning and recommendations to the appropriate federal agencies regarding the exclusive economic zone waters.

Section 4 adds a new section where moneys received by the state from the sale or lease of state-owned aquatic lands and from the sale of valuable material from state-owned aquatic lands must be distributed as follows:

- 1) until July 1, 2016, four percent of moneys received must be deposited in the marine resources stewardship trust account. Thereafter, the amount deposited must equal two percent;
- 2) deductions for management costs under RCW 79.64.040 and payments to towns under RCW 79.115.150(2) must be made;
- 3) the remaining moneys must be deposited in the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA).

Section 7 creates the marine resources stewardship trust account.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 4 adds a new section where moneys received by the state from the sale or lease of state-owned aquatic lands and from the sale of valuable material from state-owned aquatic lands must be distributed as follows:

- 1) until July 1, 2016, four percent of moneys received must be deposited in the marine resources stewardship trust account. Thereafter, the amount deposited must equal two percent;
- 2) deductions for management costs under RCW 79.64.040 and payments to towns under RCW 79.115.150(2) must be made;
- 3) the remaining moneys must be deposited in the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA).

Revenue generated on state-owned aquatic lands is split into two accounts, the Resource Management Cost Account (RMCA) and the Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA). The percentage each account receives from a particular transaction depends upon the classification of the state-owned aquatic lands being used. The percentage split ranges from 25/75 RMCA/ALEA to 50/50.

FY 2012 (15 days)	Total	4%
Total Forecast Revenue	\$1,241,700	\$49,700
RMCA Forecast Revenue	\$554,200	\$22,200
ALEA Forecast Revenue	\$687,500	\$27,500

FY 2013	Total	4%
Total Forecast Revenue	\$28,600,000	\$1,144,000
RMCA Forecast Revenue	\$12,600,000	\$504,000
ALEA Forecast Revenue	\$15,900,000	\$636,000

FY 2014	Total	4%
Total Forecast Revenue	\$28,600,000	\$1,144,000
RMCA Forecast Revenue	\$12,700,000	\$508,000
ALEA Forecast Revenue	\$15,900,000	\$636,000

FY 2015	Total	4%
Total Forecast Revenue	\$29,000,000	\$1,160,000
RMCA Forecast Revenue	\$12,900,000	\$516,000
ALEA Forecast Revenue	\$16,200,000	\$648,000

FY 2016	Total	4%
Total Estimated Revenue	\$29,100,000	\$1,164,000
RMCA Estimated Revenue	\$12,900,000	\$516,000
ALEA Estimated Revenue	\$16,200,000	\$648,000

FY 2017	Total	2%
Total Estimated Revenue	\$29,100,000	\$1,164,000
RMCA Estimated Revenue	\$12,900,000	\$258,000
ALEA Estimated Revenue	\$16,200,000	\$324,000

NOTES:

Revenue forecast numbers are based on DNR November 2011 Forecast.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 2 requires all state agencies with marine waters planning and management responsibilities are authorized to include marine spatial data and planning elements into their existing plans and ongoing planning. This is subject to available federal, private, or other funding.

Allows, but does not require, DNR to jointly explore marine spatial plans and develop joint plans with regional entities. As this activity is not required, DNR would not engage others in this process unless funded or the opportunity is present in other efforts that are already funded. The potential for integrating the Aquatics HCP into other marine spatial plans does exist once it is finalized in approximately 2013. Doing this would make the Aquatics HCP even more beneficial for the species and habitats it is designed to protect and would help eliminate conflicting uses of state-owned aquatic lands in both Puget Sound and the outer coast. DNR assumes this activity to be funded and would require hiring 1 staff month

Environmental Planner 4 (EP4), 1 staff month Natural Resource Scientist 3 (NRS3), and 1 staff month Information Technology Specialist 4 (ITS4). This activity would commence in FY13 and conclude when the plan is adopted in FY15.

Section 3 requires the marine interagency team to coordinate the development of a comprehensive marine management plan for the state's marine waters. The plan must include marine spatial planning and recommendations to the appropriate federal agencies regarding the exclusive economic zone waters.

The marine interagency team (which includes DNR) shall coordinate the development of a comprehensive marine spatial plan for the state's marine waters, including recommendations to the appropriate federal agencies regarding the exclusive economic zone waters. The bill specifies the manner in which the plan must be developed, including:

1. Ecosystem Assessments (EP4, NRS3)
2. Series of maps (NRS3, ITS4)
3. Federal water recommendations (EP4, NRS3, ITS4)
4. Framework for renewable energy review (EP4)
5. Implementation strategy and mechanisms using existing authorities (EP4)
6. Submit plan to NOAA for their review/approval into state's Coastal Zone Management Program (EP4)

Positions required for the above planning activities are needed in the following fiscal years:

Environmental Planner 4 - FY13 thru FY15 (3 Staff Months), FY16 (1 Staff Month)

Natural Resource Specialist 3 - FY13 (3 Staff Months), FY14 & FY15 (1 Staff Month), FY16 (1 Staff Month)

Information Technology Specialist 4 - FY13 (2 Staff Months), FY14 & FY15 (1 Staff Month), FY16 (1 Staff Month)

Planning activities would commence in FY13 and conclude when the plan is adopted in FY16.

Salaries and benefits for staff are calculated based on FY11 rates.

Goods and services figures are based on program average costs and include rent and workstation costs.

Administrative cost of 27% is applied to salary, benefits, standard goods and services, and travel. 0.2 FTE for overhead is represented as a Fiscal Analyst 2 position.

Section 4 results in a reduction of revenues to ALEA and RMCA of \$2.3 million in 11-13, with ongoing reductions in outlining biennia. These funds are used by DNR (ALEA & RMCA) and other natural resource agencies (ALEA) to fund aquatic programs. Any reductions in revenues would necessitate a reduction of program expenditures but it is unknown which programs would be impacted. Therefore, no expenditures reductions are included in this fiscal note from section 4.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
FTE Staff Years		1.1	0.6	0.9	0.2
A-Salaries and Wages		56,900	56,900	86,600	16,200
B-Employee Benefits		19,500	19,500	28,600	5,400
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services		21,700	21,700	41,600	900
G-Travel		3,100	3,100	4,600	900
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-Administrative Costs		22,400	22,400	33,600	6,300
Total:	\$0	\$123,600	\$123,600	\$195,000	\$29,700

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2012	FY 2013	2011-13	2013-15	2015-17
Environmental Planner 4	66,420		0.3	0.2	0.3	0.0
Fiscal Analyst 2	45,828		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1
Information Tech Specialist 4	71,496		0.3	0.1	0.2	0.0
Natural Resource Specialist 3	55,836		0.3	0.2	0.2	0.0
Total FTE's	239,580		1.1	0.6	0.9	0.2

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

No impact.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

No impact.