Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 5278 SB Title: Teachers salary bonus

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Estimated Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2013-15 | | | 2015-17 | | | 2017-19 | | |
|------------------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total |
| Superintendent of | .0 | 69,200,000 | 69,200,000 | .0 | 80,260,000 | 80,260,000 | .0 | 83,400,000 | 83,400,000 |
| Public Instruction | | | | | | | | | |
| The Evergreen State | .2 | 57,806 | 57,806 | .1 | 16,154 | 16,154 | .0 | 8,321 | 8,321 |
| College | | | | | | | | | |
| School District Fiscal | Fiscal n | ote not available | | | | | | | |
| Note - SPI | | | | | | | | | |
| T | 0.0 | \$00.057.00C | ¢c0 257 906 | 0.4 | \$00.07C.454 | \$90.27¢.454 | 0.0 | ¢02 400 224 | \$83,408,321 |
| Total | 0.2 | \$69,257,806 | \$69,257,806 | 0.1 | \$80,276,154 | \$80,276,154 | 0.0 | \$83,408,321 | \$03,4U8,3Z1 |

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

NONE

This fiscal note is for state agency costs only. The school district note is being published in a separate document.

| Prepared by: | Paula Moore, OFM | Phone: | Date Published: |
|--------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | | (360) 902-0540 | Final 2/6/2013 |

^{*} See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

^{**} See local government fiscal note FNPID 33429

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 5278 SE | Title: | Title: Teachers salary bonus | | | | 50-Supt of Punstruction | ublic |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to | 0: | | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Expenditures fr | om: | | | | | | |
| | | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | 2013-15 | 2015 | 5-17 | 2017-19 |
| Account | 004.4 | 00.400.000 | 00.000.000 | 20.000 | 200 | | |
| General Fund-State | 001-1 Total \$ | 30,400,000 30,400,000 | 38,800,000 38,800,000 | 69,200 69,200 | | 0,260,000 | 83,400,00 83,400,00 |
| The cash receipts and expe | | | kely fiscal impact. Fac | ctors impacting to | ne precision of thesi | e estimates, | |
| and alternate ranges (if ap | | | | | | | |
| Capital budget impa | • | fiscal year in the current be | | | | |). |
| Lucidor Contra | D.C. W. 16 | | Ι. | nl | 25 | D.1 01/21 | /2012 |
| Legislative Contact: | Eric Wolf | | | Phone: 786-74 | | Date: 01/31/ | |
| Agency Preparation: | TJ Kelly | | | Phone: 360 72: | | Date: 02/04 | |
| Agency Approval: | Mike Woods | | 1 | Phone: 360 72: | 5-6283 I | Date: 02/04 | /2013 |
| OFM Review: | Paula Moore | |]] | Phone: (360) 9 | 02-0540 | Date: 02/04 | /2013 |

Request # 5278 SB-4

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 - (1) The state shall provide an allocation to school districts sufficient to fund a salary bonus equivalent to ten percent of its base pay for eligible certificated instructional staff who teaches in math, science, and/or special education. To receive the salary bonus, individuals must be deemed an "expert" to teach math, science, or special education according to criteria developed and administered by the professional education standards board.

- (2) In order to receive funding provided under this section, school districts shall certify to OSPI that they will provide the bonuses to the eligible certificated instructional staff in the percentage amount specified in this section, and the funding will not be used to supplant any other state or local funding for compensation for these staff.
- (3) The amount of the bonus shall be prorated based on a full-time equivalent basis.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

- Section 1 (1) The state shall provide an allocation to school districts sufficient to fund a salary bonus equivalent to ten percent of its base pay for eligible certificated instructional staff who teaches in math, science, and/or special education. To receive the salary bonus, individuals must be deemed an "expert" to teach math, science, or special education according to criteria developed and administered by the professional education standards board.
- (2) In order to receive funding provided under this section, school districts shall certify to OSPI that they will provide the bonuses to the eligible certificated instructional staff in the percentage amount specified in this section, and the funding will not be used to supplant any other state or local funding for compensation for these staff.
- (3) The amount of the bonus shall be prorated based on a full-time equivalent basis.

The language in subsection 1 states that the district will receive a salary bonus equivalent to THEIR base pay for eligible CIS staff. Base pay does not include benefits or supplemental pay. OSPI uses that as the assumption for the calcualtion rather than 10% of the state allocation as described below.

We pulled certificated instructional staff coded as teachers in special education programs from the 2012-13 S-275 database including their FTE and base salary. The teachers that were coded as a 0.5 FTE or less were eliminated from the analysis. After those teachers were eliminated, 6,641 special education teachers totaling 5,624.73 FTE remained. We then performed the following calculation to come up with the calculated bonus for each individual- Bonus= .1 * FTE * Base Salary. The estimated cost does not include fringe benefits that are paid as a percentage of total salary.

Request # 5278 SB-4

This is consistent with how the state treats the bonuses for National Board Certificated Teachers. The total cost of the bonuses for special education teachers at all grade levels is estimated at \$27.5 million.

We used teacher certification data base to pull teachers who teach math, science, or computer science statewide. That list was compared to the S-275 data base to incorporate the assignment FTE, base salary, and duty root of each. All records with duty root 31- Elementary Teacher were filtered out. The remaining staff assigned to duty root 32- Secondary Teacher was then filtered to exclude those teachers with an assignment FTE of less than 0.5. The remaining list contained 2,160 individuals, and 2,018.50 FTE. The total cost of the bonuses for math, science or computer science teachers statewide is estimated at \$10.5 million.

The total cost per school year starting in the 2013-14 school year is \$38 million. The projected Seattle CPI was applied to that amount as follows 2013-14 - 2.71%; 2014-15 - 2.30%; 2015-16 - 2.00%; 2016-17 - 1.83%; 2017-18 -1.81%.

The resulting school year cost is as follows:

2013-14 \$38 million; 2014-15 \$39 million; 2015-16 \$39.9 million; 2016-17 \$40.7 million; 2017-18 \$41.5 million; 2018-19 \$42.2 million.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

| | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | 2013-15 | 2015-17 | 2017-19 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| FTE Staff Years | | | | | |
| A-Salaries and Wages | | | | | |
| B-Employee Benefits | | | | | |
| C-Professional Service Contracts | | | | | |
| E-Goods and Other Services | | | | | |
| G-Travel | | | | | |
| J-Capital Outlays | | | | | |
| M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers | | | | | |
| N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services | 30,400,000 | 38,800,000 | 69,200,000 | 80,260,000 | 83,400,000 |
| P-Debt Service | | | | | |
| S-Interagency Reimbursements | | | | | |
| T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements | | | | | |
| 9- | | | | | |
| Total: | \$30,400,000 | \$38,800,000 | \$69,200,000 | \$80,260,000 | \$83,400,000 |

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

None.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 5278 SB | Title: | Teachers salary bonus | | Agend | cy: 376-The Eve College | rgreen State |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--------------|
| Part I: Estimates | 7 | | | | | |
| No Fiscal Impact | | | | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to: | | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | | |
| Estimated Expenditures from | : | | | | | |
| , | | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | 2013-15 | 2015-17 | 2017-19 |
| FTE Staff Years | | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0. |
| Account | 001.1 | 40.000 | 7.040 | 57,000 | 40.454 | 0.00 |
| General Fund-State | 001-1 Total \$ | 49,966 49,966 | 7,840 7,840 | 57,806 57,806 | 16,154 16,154 | 8,32 8,32 |
| NONE | | | | | | |
| The cash receipts and expendi | - | | ely fiscal impact. Facto | ors impacting the precis | ion of these estimates, | |
| The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appro | priate), are explained in | Part II. | ely fiscal impact. Facto | ors impacting the precis | ion of these estimates, | |
| The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appro Check applicable boxes and | priate), are explained in | g instructions: | | | | |
| The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appro | priate), are explained in | g instructions: | | | | |
| The cash receipts and expending and alternate ranges (if approphies applicable boxes and applicable boxes applicable boxes applicable boxes are applicable boxes and applicable boxes applicable boxes are applicable boxes. | priate), are explained in follow corresponding er than \$50,000 per fis | e Part II. g instructions: scal year in the current | biennium or in subse | equent biennia, compl | lete entire fiscal note | I). |
| The cash receipts and expending and alternate ranges (if approphere). Check applicable boxes and If fiscal impact is greated form Parts I-V. | priate), are explained in follow corresponding er than \$50,000 per fishan \$50,000 per fisca | e Part II. g instructions: scal year in the current | biennium or in subse | equent biennia, compl | lete entire fiscal note | I). |
| The cash receipts and expending and alternate ranges (if appropriate applicable boxes and impact is greated from Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less to the cash receipts and expending and alternate ranges (if appropriate appropriate and alternate ranges). | priate), are explained in follow corresponding er than \$50,000 per fishan \$50,000 per fiscal complete Part IV. | e Part II. g instructions: scal year in the current I year in the current bie | biennium or in subse | equent biennia, compl | lete entire fiscal note | I). |
| The cash receipts and expendit and alternate ranges (if appropriate Check applicable boxes and X If fiscal impact is greated form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less to Capital budget impact, Requires new rule mak | priate), are explained in follow corresponding er than \$50,000 per fishan \$50,000 per fiscal complete Part IV. | e Part II. g instructions: scal year in the current I year in the current bie | biennium or in subse | equent biennia, compl | lete entire fiscal note e this page only (Part | I). |
| The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appropriate applicable boxes and impact is greated form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less to capital budget impact, Requires new rule mak Legislative Contact: | priate), are explained in follow corresponding er than \$50,000 per fisch than \$50,000 per fisca complete Part IV. ing, complete Part V. | e Part II. g instructions: scal year in the current I year in the current bie | biennium or in subse ennium or in subsequ | equent biennia, complete | lete entire fiscal note e this page only (Part Date: 01/3 | |
| The cash receipts and expendi and alternate ranges (if appropriate the content of the cash receipts and expendit and alternate ranges (if appropriate the content of the cash receipts and alternate ranges (if appropriate form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less the capital budget impact, are receipts and expendit appropriate formation and receipts and re | priate), are explained in follow corresponding er than \$50,000 per fisca than \$50,000 per fisca complete Part IV. ting, complete Part V. | e Part II. g instructions: scal year in the current I year in the current bie | biennium or in subsequennium or in subsequent | equent biennia, complete ent biennia, complete one: 786-7405 | Date: 01/3 Date: 02/0 | 1/2013 |

Request # WSIPP-003-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # <u>5278 SB</u>

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

SB 5278 § 1(4) directs the Washington State Institute for Public Policy (Institute) to study the implementation and outcomes for the teacher salary bonus program established in the bill. The examination of the bonus program must include a review of impacts on the following: recruitment and retention of math, science, and special education teachers statewide and in school districts; average experience levels and other quality indicators of teaching performance; the cost-effectiveness of the program; and potential changes that could result in more effective math, science, and special education instruction. A study report is due to the legislature and governor by January 1, 2021.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

In FY2014, the Insitute would assign one-third (0.33 FTE) of a Senior Research Associate to begin conducting the study. The initial research tasks would be to learn about and document the early implementation of the bonus program and to ensure that the necessary data are collected for research purposes. The necessary data include identifying teachers who did and did not receive the bonus and their associated retention rates and student outcomes. In subsequent years, 5% of a Senior Research Associate's time is required to continue to track implementation and check data quality (approximately \$8,000 per fiscal year).

In the 2019-20 biennium (not shown in this fiscal note), the Institute would assign one-half (0.50 FTE) of a Senior Research Associate, plus 0.10 FTE in programmer time and 0.05 FTE in office support, to conduct the statistical analysis and write a report to the legislature. The estimated cost for the 2019-20 biennium would be approximately \$165,000 (\$110,000 in FY2019 and \$55,000 in FY2020). The report is due by January 1, 2021.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

| | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | 2013-15 | 2015-17 | 2017-19 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| A-Salaries and Wages | | | | | |
| B-Employee Benefits | 31,259 | 4,905 | 36,164 | 10,106 | 5,206 |
| C-Professional Service Contracts | 8,221 | 1,290 | 9,511 | 2,658 | 1,369 |
| E-Goods and Other Services | 10,486 | 1,645 | 12,131 | 3,390 | 1,746 |
| G-Travel | | | | | |
| J-Capital Outlays | | | | | |
| M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers | | | | | |
| N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services | | | | | |
| P-Debt Service | | | | | |
| S-Interagency Reimbursements | | | | | |
| T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements | | | | | |
| 9- | | | | | |
| Total: | \$49,966 | \$7,840 | \$57,806 | \$16,154 | \$8,321 |

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

| Job Classification | Salary | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | 2013-15 | 2015-17 | 2017-19 |
|---------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Senior Research Associate | 94,296 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Total FTE's | 94,296 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.