Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1769 E S HB	Title: Higher ed efficiencies
Estimated Cash Receipts	
NONE	
Estimated Expenditures	
NONE	
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact
NONE	

Prepared by:	Jeanne Rynne, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
		(360) 902-3068	Final 3/19/2013

- * See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note
- ** See local government fiscal note FNPID 35173

Bill Number:	1769 E S HB	Title:	Higher ed efficiencies	Agency:	105-Office of Financial Management
--------------	-------------	--------	------------------------	---------	---------------------------------------

Part	T٠	Esti	mates

	Х	No Fiscal Impact
ı	^	140 Fiscai Impaci

these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:
If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Stephanie Lidren	Phone: 360-902-3056	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Approval:	Aaron Butcher	Phone: 360-902-0406	Date: 03/14/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/14/2013

Request # 086-1

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

The difference between ESHB 1769 and the original version of 1769 is that the section allowing higher education institutions to enter into alternative financing contracts (Certificates of Participation) without legislative approval has been deleted.

Section 1(5) raises the threshold for which predesigns are required for higher ed from \$5M to \$10M. In 2010 and 2012, only 3 higher education predesign studies fell between the range of \$5 million and \$10 million. This bill would decrease the workload for the OFM analyst review by 1-2 days, consequently there is no fiscal impact.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number:	1769 E S HB	Title:	Higher ed efficiencies	Agency:	360-University of Washington
--------------	-------------	--------	------------------------	---------	---------------------------------

Part	T٠	Esti	mates

Χ	No Fiscal Impact
^	No riscai impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact.	Factors impacting the precision of
these estimates,	

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

	If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
	If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
	Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
Γ	Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Mandy Gill	Phone: 206-616-8401	Date: 03/19/2013
Agency Approval:	Kateri Schlessman	Phone: 206-543-3542	Date: 03/19/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/19/2013

Request # 2013-61-1

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

ESHB 1769 raises the minor works project threshold for higher education institutions from \$2 million to \$5 million. The predesign threshold for higher education institutions is raised from \$5 million to \$10 million.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The bill would raise the threshold of minor capital projects to \$5M and increase the threshold for major projects that require predesign to \$10M. The UW anticipates that there will be some savings through the efficiencies with the implementation of this bill but that the savings in actual costs would be negligible. The number of predesigns sent to the state varies from year to year that would be impacted by this bill. On years with a greater number of predesigns that would be impacted by this bill, the savings could equate up to .5 FTE in project management and capital support. On other years, the savings would be minimal. For this reason, the UW assumes the cost savings would be negligible.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

This bill will allow for submission of larger maintenance project where a formal predesign may not be needed. This will reduce time and money. A predesign process may take two years to get through the state system. This could include such things a major reroof, HVAC, minor seismic upgrades and other projects that a predesign work is accomplished but at a different content than currently required per the statute.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 1769 E S HB	Title: Higher ed efficiencies	Agency: 365-Washington State University
--------------------------	-------------------------------	---

Par	t 1	•	Es	tii	m	ate	c

5	₹	No	Fiscal	Impact
ľ	١,	110	1 15041	III pac

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of
these estimates,
Charles Falls Language I City and a City and a city of the city of

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

**
If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Kelley Westhoff	Phone: 5093350907	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Approval:	Kelley Westhoff	Phone: 5093350907	Date: 03/14/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/14/2013

Request # 2013-76-1

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 (5) exempts certain projects up to \$10M at higher education institutions from predesign requirements

Section 1(7) raises the threshold for certain minor works projects to \$5M.

While there is no direct fiscal impact due to these modifications, there is potential for cost savings if the pre-design threshold is raised, thereby expediting the overall construction process. For example, a \$10M construction project can typically be accomplished in less than a year. The current pre-design requirement for a one year construction project lengthens the project timeline to a minimum of 3 to 5 years. The cost of inflation has a significant impact on the project for the extra years. This legislation will shorten the timeframe to complete a \$10M project to an average of two years and avoid unnecessary inflationary costs.

Similarly, the minor capital projects ceiling has not kept pace with inflation. Raising the threshold to \$5M would bring minor work project parameters in line with current costs and address growing preservation backlogs.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 1769 E S HB Title: Higher ed efficiencies	Agency:	370-Eastern Washington University
--	---------	--------------------------------------

Par	t 1	•	Es	tii	m	ate	c

Χ	No	Fiscal	Impact
^	NO	riscai	ımpacı

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of
these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Tammy Felicijan	Phone: (509) 359-2480	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Approval:	Tammy Felicijan	Phone: (509) 359-2480	Date: 03/14/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/14/2013

Request # -1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1769 E S HB

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

ESHB1769 regarding higher education efficiencies, categorizing minor works projects as those up to \$5 million, and major works projects as those over \$10 million, has no fiscal impact to Eastern Washington University, as related to costs. Potential savings opportunities exist due this recategorization to higher dollar level descriptions.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 176	69 E S HB T	Title:	Higher ed efficiencies	Agency:	375-Central Washington University
------------------	-------------	--------	------------------------	---------	--------------------------------------

D	•	T 4.	4
Part		Estin	NATAG
Iait		1231111	iaus

	Х	No	Fiscal	Impact
ı	$^{\sim}$	110	riscai	impaci

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates,

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Shelly Baird	Phone: (509) 963-2340	Date: 03/19/2013
Agency Approval:	Shelly Baird	Phone: (509) 963-2340	Date: 03/19/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/19/2013

Request # 2-1

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Secn 1 (5) of this bill increases the threshhold for completing a pre-design from five million to ten million dollars. We support this change and it will have a positive yet small impact due to the small number of pre-design documents created.

Secn 1 (7) Moving the threshhold of minor works projects from two million to five million will increase the overall number of minor works projects however, we support this change as it will give CWU more flexibility in our overall minor works program.

The removal of language that would allow higher education institutions to enter into alternative financing contracts (certificates of participation) without legislative approval will have a minimal impact on CWU as we rarely use this type of financing.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 1769	E S HB Title:	Higher ed efficiencies	Agency:	376-The Evergreen State College
-------------------	---------------	------------------------	---------	------------------------------------

Par	t 1	•	Es	tii	m	ate	c

Χ	No Fiscal Impact
^	No riscai impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates,

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Steve Trotter	Phone: (360) 867-6185	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Approval:	Steve Trotter	Phone: (360) 867-6185	Date: 03/14/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/14/2013

Request # TESC0040-1

Bill # 1769 E S HB

FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

2S HB 1769 would establish higher thresholds for the current mandatory OFM capital project reviews prior to the official approval of allotments for appropriated capital construction projects. By raising this threshold the largest fiscal impact will be on those medium projects between \$5-10 million where there will no longer be a requirement to do a pre-design that would result in a \$300,000 savings within those new limits. Evergreen currently does not have any projects within our current ten year plan that would benefit from this change. In addition 2S HB 1769 would also result in some time savings on minor works due to the limits being increased to \$5 million but we will still have to put the project in through BASS and it will still require effort to do this work so making the savings minor for Evergreen.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

	-		
Bill Number: 1769 E S HB	Title: Higher ed efficiencies	Agency:	380-Western Washington University
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
No riscai Illipact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Expenditures from:			
NONE			
Estimated Capital Budget Impact:			
NONE			
NONE			
The cash receipts and expenditure estimathere these estimates,	ites on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact.	Factors impacting the precision of	
Check applicable boxes and follow co	orresponding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is greater than \$50 form Parts I-V.	0,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in	subsequent biennia, complete en	ntire fiscal note
X If fiscal impact is less than \$50,0	000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in sub	osequent biennia, complete this	page only (Part I).
Capital budget impact, complete	Part IV.		
Requires new rule making, comp	olete Part V.		
Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation: Kirk Engla	und	Phone: 360-650-4694	Date: 03/18/2013
Agency Approval: Linda Teat	er	Phone: 360-650-4762	Date: 03/18/2013
OFM Review: Jeanne Ryi	nne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/19/2013

Request # -1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # <u>1769 E S HB</u>

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

ES HB 1769 as written will have a positive fiscal impact on WWU. The bill provides WWU with added flexibility, and increases monetary thresholds for evaluation purposes on certain projects. It is anticipated that a level of cost savings will be generated as a result.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill Number: 1769 E S HB	Title: Higher ed efficiencies	Agency: 699-Community/Technical College System
--------------------------	-------------------------------	---

Part	T٠	Esti	mates

ı		1	
	Х	No Fiscal	Impact

these estimates,			
Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:			
If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.			
If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).			
Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.			
Requires new rule making, complete Part V.			

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of

Legislative Contact:		Phone:	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Preparation:	Arnel Blancas	Phone: 360-704-4384	Date: 03/14/2013
Agency Approval:	Nick Lutes	Phone: (360) 704-1023	Date: 03/14/2013
OFM Review:	Jeanne Rynne	Phone: (360) 902-3068	Date: 03/14/2013

Request # -1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # <u>1769 E S HB</u>

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 amends RCW 43.88.110 to exempt projects at institutions of higher education valued up to \$10 million, from the requirement that OFM review the projects at the predesign stage prior to approving allotments. The value for minor works projects is increased to \$5 million for institutions of higher education.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

This bill increases the predesign threshold from \$5 million to \$10 million for capital projects at institutions of higher education. This is not expected to impact CTCs because their major projects cost more than \$10 million.

The threshold for minors works at institutions of higher education is increased from \$2 million to \$5 million. Presently, CTC minor work subprojects are significantly less than the \$2 million threshold.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required