

## Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

<b>Bill Number:</b> 6612 SB	<b>Title:</b> Water supply/population
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

## Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name						
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
<b>Total \$</b>						

Local Gov. Courts *						
Local Gov. Other **						
Local Gov. Total						

## Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2001-03			2003-05			2005-07		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Community, Trade, and Economic Development	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Financial Management	.5	96,800	96,800	1.0	179,600	179,600	1.0	179,600	179,600
Department of Ecology	.1	19,299	19,299	.2	36,108	36,108	.3	47,007	47,007
<b>Total</b>	0.6	\$116,099	\$116,099	1.2	\$215,708	\$215,708	1.3	\$226,607	\$226,607

Local Gov. Courts *									
Local Gov. Other **									
Local Gov. Total									

--

<b>Prepared by:</b> Robin Campbell, OFM	<b>Phone:</b> 360-902-0575	<b>Date Published:</b> Final 2/ 1/2002
---	-------------------------------	---

\* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

\*\* See local government fiscal note

# Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 6612 SB	<b>Title:</b> Water supply/population	<b>Agency:</b> 103-Community, Trade & Economic Develop
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	--

## Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

*The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Susan Bogni	Phone: (360) 786-7432	Date: 01/24/2002
Agency Preparation: Chris Parsons	Phone: 360-725-3058	Date: 01/30/2002
Agency Approval: Steve Wells	Phone: 360-725-3003	Date: 01/30/2002
OFM Review: Robin Campbell	Phone: 360-902-0575	Date: 01/30/2002

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

*Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.*

This bill requires that GMA population projections to be accompanied by a statement from the director of financial management, in consultation with appropriate state agencies and officials, stating that adequate water supplies exist or will be available to serve the projected populations.

This bill will require that OFM consult with “appropriate state agencies and officials” and determine the adequacy of the water supply to serve projected populations. OCD may have a role in coordinating this action for OFM with the other “appropriate” state and local officials. Other state and local agencies that will need to provide information includes Washington Departments of Ecology (water rights), Fish and Wildlife (instream flows), Department of Health (DOH) (water system plans and coordinated water system plan approval), water utilities (Group B systems serving 15 residences or fewer and Group A systems serving 1,000 services or more than 71% of the state’s total population)

There will be no fiscal impact to OCD. OCD staff already works with OFM in a technical assistance role. The legislation does not require OCD to collect the water availability data or interpret it. This responsibility will be primarily on Ecology and DOH.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

*Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.*

II. C - Expenditures

*Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.*

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

*Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.*

# Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 6612 SB	<b>Title:</b> Water supply/population	<b>Agency:</b> 105-Office of Financial Management
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	---

## Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

<b>FUND</b>					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### Estimated Expenditures from:

	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>	<b>2001-03</b>	<b>2003-05</b>	<b>2005-07</b>
FTE Staff Years	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
<b>Fund</b>					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	96,800	96,800	179,600	179,600
<b>Total \$</b>	0	96,800	96,800	179,600	179,600

*The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Susan Bogni	Phone: (360) 786-7432	Date: 01/24/2002
Agency Preparation: Aaron Butcher	Phone: 360-902-0406	Date: 01/24/2002
Agency Approval: Nick Pender	Phone: 360-902-0637	Date: 01/25/2002
OFM Review: Robin Campbell	Phone: 360-902-0575	Date: 01/25/2002

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

SB 6612 specifies that director of the Office of Financial Management (OFM) provide a statement, based on population data, that adequate water supplies exist or will be available to serve the projected population.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The cost would be for a demographer to work with existing staff in the areas related to water resources and growth. OFM also needs to develop and maintain data sets that help bridge the gap in measuring water usage/needs and population change. The added FTE would work on developing and maintaining small area household estimates and projection procedures to merge with the current population projection models. Water usage is both estimated and forecast using households as a variable by USGS.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
FTE Staff Years		1.00	0.5	1.0	1.0
A-Salaries and Wages		66,000	66,000	132,000	132,000
B-Employee Benefits		14,000	14,000	28,000	28,000
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services		14,800	14,800	15,600	15,600
G-Travel		2,000	2,000	4,000	4,000
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$	0	96,800	96,800	179,600	179,600

III. B - Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
Demographer	66,000		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Total FTE's			1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

**Part V: New Rule Making Required**

*Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.*

# Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 6612 SB	<b>Title:</b> Water supply/population	<b>Agency:</b> 461-Department of Ecology
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------	--

## Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

### Estimated Cash Receipts to:

<b>FUND</b>					
<b>Total \$</b>					

### Estimated Expenditures from:

	<b>FY 2002</b>	<b>FY 2003</b>	<b>2001-03</b>	<b>2003-05</b>	<b>2005-07</b>
FTE Staff Years	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3
<b>Fund</b>					
GF - State-State 001-1	0	19,299	19,299	36,108	47,007
<b>Total \$</b>	0	19,299	19,299	36,108	47,007

*The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

<b>Legislative Contact:</b> Susan Bogni	<b>Phone:</b> (360) 786-7432	<b>Date:</b> 01/24/2002
<b>Agency Preparation:</b> Ken Slattery	<b>Phone:</b> (360) 407-6603	<b>Date:</b> 01/29/2002
<b>Agency Approval:</b> Nancy Stevenson	<b>Phone:</b> (360) 407-7007	<b>Date:</b> 02/01/2002
<b>OFM Review:</b> Ann-Marie Sweeten	<b>Phone:</b> 360-902-0538	<b>Date:</b> 02/01/2002

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 of the bill requires that the Office of Financial Management (OFM), in connection with making population projections for use by local governments in growth planning, consult with appropriate state agencies and officials (presumed to include the Department of Ecology) regarding whether adequate water supplies exist or will be available to serve the projected population. OFM would be required to issue statements regarding water availability when it issues the population projections.

Assumptions:

Ecology would not be required to do any new studies, but rather would rely on information already existing in reports and files.

Data would not be needed by OFM until 7/1/2006 for inclusion in the next population forecast in 2007.

Water availability data linked generally to locally determined needs would be available for about 2/3 of the state through watershed planning efforts by 1/1/2006.

Other water availability estimates – not necessarily linked to population or other growth estimates – would be available for the balance of the state.

Ecology would not be required to develop a statewide water availability data base.

Ecology would meet its consultation responsibilities by pulling together existing information on water availability, assembling it into a report for each county of the state (OFM's projections are made on a county basis) and presenting the information to the OFM forecasting division. To assemble this information and to coordinate with OFM, the Department of Health and water utilities, Ecology would need 0.2 new staff beginning in 2003 to begin collecting and assembling water availability information and 0.5 staff during fiscal year 2006, the year prior to the next release of population projections by OFM (released every five years).

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Put Part IIB text here

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Ecology would need to add one-half new staff person for one year during fiscal year 2006, the year prior to the next release of population projections by OFM (released every five years). This staff person would assemble existing reports and data on water availability in 39 county reports and would coordinate with the Department of Health, OFM and water utilities in the development and presentation of the information.

FTE Detail: Ecology would need to add 0.2 annual FTEs beginning in FY 2003 and extending through FY 2005 to begin collecting and assembling water availability information for various areas of the state. This partial position would also coordinate with water utilities to receive information possessed by the utilities that would be important for the availability analysis. Staffing would need to increase to 0.5 annual FTE during fiscal year 2006 when all water availability



information would need to be organized by county and incorporated into a report that could be used or referenced by OFM in preparing and publishing its population projections. The partial position would also be responsible for participating in meetings with OFM to assure proper transmission of the information. It is proposed the position would be an Environmental Specialist 4 (Range 55, step I).

Salaries and Wages Detail: Direct program salaries are calculated at step I

Employee Benefits for direct program staff are calculated at the agency average of 22% of salaries.

Goods and Services are calculated at the agency average of \$4,357 per direct FTE. Standard agency administrative overhead costs are also included.

Travel Expenditures are calculated at the agency average rate of \$1,437 per direct program FTE.

Equipment Detail: \$6,223 for start-up equipment is budgeted for each new direct FTE, based on current costs for an office chair, 1/5 motor pool vehicle, and basic computer equipment.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
FTE Staff Years		0.20	0.1	0.2	0.3
A-		10,161	10,161	20,322	25,403
B-		2,235	2,235	4,470	5,589
C-					
E-		5,371	5,371	10,742	13,429
G-		287	287	574	719
J-		1,245	1,245		1,867
N-					
P-					
S-					
<b>Total \$</b>	0	19,299	19,299	36,108	47,007

### III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
Environmental Spec. 4	50,805		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3
<b>Total FTE's</b>			0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

*Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.*

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development

<b>Bill Number:</b> 6612 SB	<b>Title:</b> Water supply/population
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

**Part I: Jurisdiction**-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

**Legislation Impacts:**

- ☐ Cities:
- ☐ Counties:
- ☐ Special Districts:
- ☐ Specific jurisdictions only:
- ☐ Variance occurs due to:

**Part II: Estimates**

- ☒ No fiscal impacts.
- ☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- ☐ Legislation provides local option:
- ☐ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:

**Part III: Preparation and Approval**

Fiscal Note Analyst: Louise Deng Davis	Phone: (360) 725-5034	Date: 01/24/2002
Leg. Committee Contact: Susan Bogni	Phone: (360) 786-7432	Date: 01/24/2002
Agency Approval: Val Richey	Phone: 360-725-5036	Date: 01/25/2002
OFM Review: Mike Cheney	Phone: 360-902-0582	Date: 01/25/2002

**Part IV: Analysis**

**A. SUMMARY OF BILL**

*Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.*

SB 6612 requires that the Office of Financial Management's 20-year growth management population projections be accompanied by a statement from the director, in consultation with appropriate state agencies and officials, stating that water supplies exist or will be available to serve the projected population

**B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

*Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.*

There are no local government impacts under SB 6612.

**ASSUMPTION:**

It is assumed that local government officials [which under SB 6612 would consult with the director of the Office of Financial Management (OFM) regarding adequate supplies of water to serve the projected population], would not be required to incur additional expenses in their jurisdictions to conduct additional studies regarding water supplies since such actions would be a local option under existing law (under a provision in the section being amended which states that local governments have the local option of providing to OFM information they determine to be relevant to OFM's projection).

Sources:  
Association of Washington Cities

**C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS**

*Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.*

There are no revenue impacts to local governments under this bill