

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6134 SB	Title: Pretrial alcohol monitoring	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost. Please see discussion.

Estimated Expenditures from:

COUNTY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$					

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). Therefore, this fiscal analysis includes a projection showing the ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees.

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☒ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 06/15/2015
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 06/16/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 06/16/2015
OFM Review: Erik Hansen	Phone: 360-902-0423	Date: 06/16/2015

Request # SB 6134-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

RCW 10.01.160 would be amended to remove pretrial electronic alcohol monitoring from costs for administering a pretrial supervision. Currently the cost may not exceed one hundred fifty dollars. There is the potential for an increase in revenue, however, that information is not available in JIS. There is no judicial impact to the courts. The only possible impact to AOC might be the need for two cost fee codes and a new BARS code. This work would be absorbed.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

RCW 10.01.160 would be amended to remove pretrial electronic alcohol monitoring from costs for administering a pretrial supervision. Currently the cost may not exceed one hundred fifty dollars. There is the potential for an increase in revenue, however, that information is not available in JIS. It is assumed that the additional revenue would not exceed \$50,000.

II. C - Expenditures

Existing Administrative Office of the Courts resources would be used to make minimal modifications to the judicial information system to track the additional revenue.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages					
Employee Benefits					
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services					
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$					

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact