

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2435 S HB	Title: Fish & wildlife documents	Agency: 477-Department of Fish and Wildlife
-------------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

FUND	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
Wildlife Account-State 104-1		(58,000)	(58,000)	(116,000)	(116,000)
Total \$		(58,000)	(58,000)	(116,000)	(116,000)

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
Fund					
Total \$					

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 02/14/2002
Agency Preparation: Dora Austin	Phone: 360-902-2203	Date: 02/20/2002
Agency Approval: James Lux	Phone: 360-902-2444	Date: 02/20/2002
OFM Review: Jim Skalski	Phone: 360-902-0654	Date: 02/21/2002

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 authorizes the Director to establish fees less than the original cost for the duplicate licenses, rebates, permits, tags, and stamps by rule. Fees paid for replacement (duplicate) licenses are currently based on an old manual license issuance system. With the advent of the agency's automated licensing system, verification of an original license sale is more easily determined and less expensive. Duplicate fees no longer need to be set as high. Reducing the fee for duplicate licenses also corrects an inequity whereby persons receiving reduced-fee licenses (youth, disabled, and veterans with service-connected disabilities) pay more for a duplicate license than they did for the original. Fees for duplicate licenses may not exceed the actual cost to the department for issuing the duplicate license.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

There are two potential fiscal impacts and they both make assumptions the Commission will set a flat fee for duplicate licenses of \$10.00 or less and that duplicate tag fees will be set substantially higher to reduce abuse of over kill of wild game.

This fiscal note considers a flat \$7.50 fee when the original license cost was greater than \$10.00. The other assumption is that any original license that costs less than \$10.00 would have a duplicate fee set at 70% of the original cost.

Existing Duplicate License and Tag Revenue from March 2001:

2 Day Comb - Quantity 119 - Revenue 114.00
Shellfish - Quantity 1134 - Revenue \$11,300.00
Saltwater License - Quantity 886 - \$7,525.00
Fish Comb - Quantity 4864 - \$46,415.00
3-Day Small Game - Quantity 2 - Revenue \$20.00
Bear Tag - Quantity 400 - Revenue \$3,970.00
Bear/Cougar - Quantity 4 - Revenue \$390.00
Mig Birds - Quantity 496 - Revenue \$2,532.00
Cougar Tag - Quantity 391 - Revenue \$3,880.00
Turkey Tag 1 - Quantity 84 - \$840.00
Turkey Tag 2 - Quantity 9 - \$90.00
Deer License - Quantity 477 - \$4,750.00
Deer Tag - Quantity 1998 - Revenue \$19,940.00
Deer+ Elk - Quantity 582 - Revenue \$5,790.00
Deer+Elk+Coug - Quantity 100 - Revenue \$990.00
Deer+Elk+Coug+Bear - Quantity 750 - Revenue \$7,470.00
Total Quantity 12,296 - Total Revenue \$116,016.00

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Passage of the legislation would result in a cost to reprogram Fee Table in WILD. The Department will absorb the cost.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2002	FY 2003	2001-03	2003-05	2005-07
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Personal Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Services					
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.