

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1448 HB 1448-S2 AMS HSMH S4936.1	Title: Suicide threats, response to
---	--

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2015-17		2017-19		2019-21	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Department of Social and Health Services	0	250,000	0	500,000	0	500,000
Total \$	0	250,000	0	500,000	0	500,000

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2015-17			2017-19			2019-21		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Criminal Justice Training Commission	Fiscal note not available								
Department of Social and Health Services	.0	484,000	734,000	.0	968,000	1,468,000	.0	968,000	1,468,000
Total	0.0	\$484,000	\$734,000	0.0	\$968,000	\$1,468,000	0.0	\$968,000	\$1,468,000

Local Gov. Courts *									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other **	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Prepared by: Devon Nichols, OFM	Phone: (360) 902-0582	Date Published: Preliminary 3/15/2016
--	---------------------------------	---

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

FNPID: 44543

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Revised

Bill Number: 1448 HB 1448-S2 AMS HSMH S4936.1	Title: Suicide threats, response to	Agency: 300-Dept of Social and Health Services
---	--	---

Part I: Estimates

☐

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
General Fund-Federal 001-2		250,000	250,000	500,000	500,000
Total \$		250,000	250,000	500,000	500,000

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	484,000	484,000	968,000	968,000
General Fund-Federal 001-2	0	250,000	250,000	500,000	500,000
Total \$	0	734,000	734,000	1,468,000	1,468,000

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☒

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

☐

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

☐

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

☐

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Kevin Black	Phone: (360) 786-7747	Date: 02/25/2016
Agency Preparation: Sara Corbin	Phone: 360-902-8194	Date: 02/29/2016
Agency Approval: Ken Brown	Phone: 360-902-7583	Date: 02/29/2016
OFM Review: Devon Nichols	Phone: (360) 902-0582	Date: 03/01/2016

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

New Section 1. adds a new section to chapter 71.05 RCW; Subsection (1) that a peace officer or other first responder who responds to a report of threatened or attempted suicide may notify a local designated mental health professional of the incident. Subsection (2) A peace officer or other first responder and their respective agencies are immune from liability for notifying, or the failure to notify, a designated mental health professional pursuant to this section. Subsection (3) As soon as possible, but no later than twenty-four hours after receiving a notification from a peace officer or other first responder, a mental health professional assigned by the designated mental health professional must attempt to contact the person who is the subject of the notification to assess whether or not the person meets initial detention criteria under RCW 71.05.150 or 71.05.153. Documentation of the designated mental health professional's contact and assessment of the person must be maintained by the designated mental health professional agency.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The federal funding associated with this legislation is Medicaid Title XIX. The fund split assumed is 53.7 percent GF-State and 46.3 percent GF-Federal.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Implementation of this bill could utilize the services of a Mental Health Professional (MHP) and/or Designated Mental Health Professional (DMHP), but the difference in cost would be minimal. In some areas of the state, MHPs may not be available or a provider may prefer to send out a DMHP instead of a MHP.

The average cost per hour for a DMHP in King County is \$60. The average cost in other counties could be as low as \$40. For the purposes of this fiscal note, the department assumes an average rate of \$50 per hour. It takes six hours for the DMHP to perform an investigation, which equates to \$300 each. There are approximately 20,000 annual cases seen by a DMHP. Assuming this bill results in a 2.5 percent increase in the number of cases investigated by a DMHP, the estimated annual cost would be \$150,000 (500 x \$50 x 6 hours). DMHP costs are 100 percent GF-State.

Some of those evaluated by a DMHP will be detained and hospitalized. Clients are hospitalized for an average of 13.5 days at an average cost of \$800 per day. Assuming that ten percent of those evaluated are hospitalized, the cost is estimated at \$540,000 per year (50 clients x 13.5 days x \$800). The fund split calculation for hospitalization is 53.7 percent GF-State; 46.3 percent GF-Federal.

Regional Support Network (RSN) Court Costs: 50 individuals x \$600 average state only court costs is \$30,000. These costs are 100 percent GF-State.

Average Transportation costs: 50 individuals x \$280 transportation (30 miles at \$5.04 plus \$130 basic life support ambulance) = \$14,000. These costs are 100 percent GF-State.

The department assumes that the number of referrals will be 500 starting in Fiscal Year 2017. The fiscal impact

of this bill is estimated to be \$734,000 Total Funds (\$484,000 GF-State) in FY 2017 and each Fiscal Year thereafter.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services					
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services		734,000	734,000	1,468,000	1,468,000
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total:	\$0	\$734,000	\$734,000	\$1,468,000	\$1,468,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

None.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 1448 HB 1448-S2 AMS HSMH S4936.1	Title: Suicide threats, response to
---	--

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- ☐ Cities:
- ☐ Counties:
- ☒ Special Districts: Please see the DSHS fiscal note for costs for the regional support networks and designated mental health professionals
- ☐ Specific jurisdictions only:
- ☐ Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- ☐ No fiscal impacts.
- ☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- ☐ Legislation provides local option:
- ☒ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: Cost to law enforcement for evaluation of a referral policy and implementation of the policy

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

Indeterminate Impact

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Laura Medrud	Phone: 360/725-5041	Date: 02/29/2016
Leg. Committee Contact: Kevin Black	Phone: (360) 786-7747	Date: 02/25/2016
Agency Approval: Steve Salmi	Phone: (360) 725 5034	Date: 02/29/2016
OFM Review: David Dula	Phone: (360) 902-7437	Date: 02/29/2016

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The substitute version of the bill provides updated procedures for development and adoption of policy for use by law enforcement agencies and association relating to suicide threats. It also updates when a mental health professional attempts to contact a referred person and who documents this contact.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT VERSION:

This bill pertains to procedures for responding to reports of threatened or attempted suicide.

New Section 1 states that the Legislature finds that law enforcement officers may respond to situations in which an individual has threatened harm to themselves, but that the individual does not meet the criteria to be taken into custody for an evaluation under the Involuntary Treatment Act. In these situations, officers are encouraged to facilitate contact between the individual and a mental health professional.

New Section 2 states that when funded, the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC) must develop and adopt a model policy for use by law enforcement agencies relating to a law enforcement officer's referral of a person to a mental health agency after receiving a report of threatened or attempted suicide.

New Section 3 states that all general authority Washington law enforcement agencies must adopt a policy establishing criteria and procedures for a law enforcement officer to refer a person to a mental health agency after receiving a report of threatened or attempted suicide.

Section 4 is updated to state that peace officers and their employing agencies are not liable for providing or not providing notification under Section 2 of this act if such actions or inaction is taken in good faith and without gross negligence.

New Section 5 states that no later than 24 hours from receiving a referral from a law enforcement agency, a mental health professional contacted by the designated mental health agency must attempt to contact the referred person to determine whether additional mental health intervention is necessary. Documentation of the mental health professional's attempt to contact and assess the person must be maintained by the designated mental health professional agency.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

All Washington law enforcement agencies must adopt a policy by July 1, 2017 establishing criteria and procedures for an officer to refer a person to a mental health agency after receiving a report of threatened or attempted suicide. A mental health agency must contact a mental health professional who must attempt to contact a person to determine whether additional mental health intervention is needed within 24 hours, and the attempt must be documented.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT VERSION:

the legislation would have indeterminate expenditure impacts on city and county law enforcement agencies. The incident reporting process for law enforcement presently exists, and may require modification to document the need for a mental health evaluation of a person who is the subject of a report of threatened or attempted suicide. In addition, a process would be needed to be added to review incident reports and forward the incident report to the proper mental health professional agency. No data presently exists for this new process.

Currently, law enforcement officers respond to persons who are a threat to themselves or others in two ways. An officer will attempt to get the person to voluntarily undergo a mental health evaluation at an evaluation and treatment center (E&T). If the person refuses, the officer may take the individual into custody and the evaluation would be involuntary.

Please see the fiscal note of the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS) for a discussion of the costs for the 11 regional support networks and the DMHPs.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The legislation would have no revenue impact for local government.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT VERSION:

The legislation would have no revenue impact for local government.

SOURCES:

Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs

Department of Social and Health Services fiscal note