

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 5754 SB	Title: Noxious weeds on state lands
-----------------------------	--

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Estimated Expenditures

Agency Name	2017-19			2019-21			2021-23		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
State Parks and Recreation Commission	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Fish and Wildlife	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Natural Resources	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Agriculture	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0	0.0	\$0	\$0

Local Gov. Courts *									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other **	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Impact

NONE

Prepared by: Heather Matthews, OFM	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date Published: Final 2/15/2017
---	---------------------------------	---

* See Office of the Administrator for the Courts judicial fiscal note

** See local government fiscal note

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5754 SB	Title: Noxious weeds on state lands	Agency: 465-State Parks and Recreation Commission
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Curt Gavigan	Phone: 3607867437	Date: 02/08/2017
Agency Preparation: Lisa Lantz	Phone: (360) 902-8641	Date: 02/13/2017
Agency Approval: Chris Leeper	Phone: (360) 902-8542	Date: 02/13/2017
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 02/13/2017

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Senate Bill 5754 addresses the responsibility for noxious weed control on state lands.

Section 1(3) allows for liens against lands owned by the state for expenses accrued by county weed boards to control noxious weeds on those state properties. Currently, lands owned by the state are exempt.

By statute, State Parks is already required to control noxious weeds on its lands, and the agency strives to carry out this work. The agency is responsive to notices issued by county noxious weed control boards. It is unlikely that a weed control situation would reach the point where a lien would be placed on any State Parks property. Therefore, this bill is not likely to have a fiscal impact on State Parks.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

This bill does not change existing noxious weed control requirements for state lands therefore no change to workload. State Parks is responsive to requests from the noxious weed control board. The lien provisions of this bill would not have a fiscal impact.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

No provisions that require rule changes.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5754 SB	Title: Noxious weeds on state lands	Agency: 477-Department of Fish and Wildlife
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Curt Gavigan	Phone: 3607867437	Date: 02/08/2017
Agency Preparation: Brandon Bean	Phone: 3609028307	Date: 02/09/2017
Agency Approval: Owen Rowe	Phone: (360) 902-2204	Date: 02/09/2017
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 02/10/2017

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 removes the exemption that precludes county noxious weed control boards from placing a lien on state lands if the state agency that owns the land does not control weeds appropriately.

There is no fiscal impact anticipated. It is unlikely that WDFW would willfully ignore informal and formal requests by county weed boards to control listed noxious weeds.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5754 SB	Title: Noxious weeds on state lands	Agency: 490-Department of Natural Resources
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Curt Gavigan	Phone: 3607867437	Date: 02/08/2017
Agency Preparation: Angela Konen	Phone: 360-902-2165	Date: 02/13/2017
Agency Approval: Megan Duffy	Phone: (360) 902-1034	Date: 02/13/2017
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 02/13/2017

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1. (3): If the owner does not take action to control the noxious weeds in accordance with the notice, the county board may control them, or cause their being controlled, at the expense of the owner. The amount of the expense constitutes a lien against the property and may be enforced by proceedings on the lien, including on lands owned by the state.

Section 2. Exempts state lands from being subject to a lien for unpaid assessments.

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) assumes that inspections and notifications by the weed board will not increase with this bill, therefore the response by DNR to noxious weed issues will be the same and have no fiscal impact.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5754 SB	Title: Noxious weeds on state lands	Agency: 495-Department of Agriculture
-----------------------------	--	--

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Curt Gavigan	Phone: 3607867437	Date: 02/08/2017
Agency Preparation: Alison Halpern	Phone: 360 902-2053	Date: 02/10/2017
Agency Approval: Mark Johnson	Phone: (360) 902-1986	Date: 02/10/2017
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 02/10/2017

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Senate Bill 5754 authorizes county noxious weed control boards under RCW 17.10.170 to place liens against public lands for unpaid expenses accrued for noxious weed control work performed by the county weed board.

Because enforcement proceedings are not conducted by the state noxious weed control board, this bill does not have a fiscal impact to the Washington Department of Agriculture (WSDA).

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

There is no cash receipt impact to the WSDA because of this legislation.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

There is not expenditure impact to the WSDA because of this legislation.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

WSDA would require no new rule making because of this legislation.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 5754 SB	Title: Noxious weeds on state lands
-----------------------------	--

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- ☐ Cities:
- ☐ Counties:
- ☒ Special Districts: Indeterminate cost savings to weed districts for enforcement of noxious weed laws on state lands
- ☐ Specific jurisdictions only:
- ☐ Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- ☐ No fiscal impacts.
- ☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- ☐ Legislation provides local option:
- ☒ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: Amount of state land within various weed district jurisdictions

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

Indeterminate Impact

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Heather May	Phone: 360-725-5043	Date: 02/15/2017
Leg. Committee Contact: Curt Gavigan	Phone: 3607867437	Date: 02/08/2017
Agency Approval: Steve Salmi	Phone: (360) 725 5034	Date: 02/15/2017
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 02/15/2017

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

The bill removes the exemption for state lands from the use of lien proceedings to recoup costs to counties of noxious weed control.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

Weed districts that have a large amount of state land within their jurisdiction could incur cost savings to streamline enforcement of noxious weed laws. The amount of enforcement by weed districts on state lands varies by jurisdiction so the cost savings are indeterminate. A survey of 14 weed districts found zero instances of enforcements on state lands in 2016.

As an illustrative example, a weed district spent approximately \$10,000 to enforce laws regarding two noxious weeds on Department of Natural Resources land. Under the new bill, they would be guaranteed to recoup their costs.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

No new revenue is associated with the bill.

SOURCES:

Department of Natural Resources
Department of Fish and Wildlife
State Parks
Thurston County Weed Board
Washington State Weed Board
Thurston County Treasurer
Lewis County Weed Board
Skamania County Weed Board
Kittitas County Weed Board
Whatcom County Weed Board
Stevens County Weed Board
Pend Oreille County Weed Board
Clark County Weed Board
King County Weed Board
Mason County Weed Board
Franklin County Weed Board
Chelan County Weed Board
Columbia County Weed Board
Lincoln County Weed Board
Okanogan County Weed Board