

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2018 S HB	Title: Livestock inspection	Agency: 495-Department of Agriculture
-------------------------------	------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

☐

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT	FY 2018	FY 2019	2017-19	2019-21	2021-23
Agricultural Local	101,931	101,931	203,862	203,862	203,862
Account-Non-Appropriated 126-6					
Total \$	101,931	101,931	203,862	203,862	203,862

Estimated Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

This bill was identified as a proposal governed by the requirements of RCW 43.135.031 (Initiative 960). A fiscal analysis was prepared to show the projected ten-year cost to tax or fee payers of the proposed taxes or fees. The ten-year projection can be viewed at

<http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 02/20/2017
Agency Preparation: Laura Butler	Phone: 360-902-1842	Date: 02/21/2017
Agency Approval: Walter Hamilton	Phone: (360) 902-1989	Date: 02/21/2017
OFM Review: Heather Matthews	Phone: (360) 902-0543	Date: 02/22/2017

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Substitute House Bill 2018 amends the original bill by:

- reducing “the time and mileage fee” from \$42 to \$27.50, and;
- directing the Washington State Department of Agriculture (WSDA) to submit a report to the Legislature each year that describes the revenues collected and expenditures made in connection with the inspection program, and that, if appropriate, provides recommendations for improving the efficiency of the inspection program.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The cash receipt impact of this substitute legislation results from the increased fees below:

1. Raising the fee for inspection of cattle at a processing plant with a daily capacity of no more than five hundred head of cattle where United States Department of Agriculture maintains a meat inspection program from four dollars to six dollars per head could generate \$38,768 per year.
2. Increasing the minimum inspection certificate fee from five to ten dollars could generate \$10,105 per year.
3. Increasing the time and mileage fee from \$17 to \$27.50 could generate \$4,057 per year.
4. Changing the minimum inspection fee for any one sale day from \$100 to the time and mileage fee or the per head fee could generate \$49,001 per year.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

This substitute legislation would have no additional expenditure impact on the program.

The program's funding is based on the fees received.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

The WSDA would require no new rule making because of this legislation.