

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5691 SB AMH JUDI H2455.1	Title: Guardianship alternatives	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
---	---	---

Part I: Estimates



No Fiscal Impact

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:



If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.



If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).



Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 04/18/2017
Agency Preparation: Sam Knutson	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 04/19/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 04/19/2017
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 04/27/2017

Request # 5691 SBAMH-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Please see attached Judicial Impact Note (JIN).

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Part II: Narrative Explanation

This bill would require a court to modify or terminate a guardianship when a less restrictive alternative such as a power of attorney or trust will provide for the needs of an incapacitated person.

Part II.A – Brief Description of what the Measure does that has fiscal impact on the Courts

A court would be required to modify or terminate a guardianship when a less restrictive alternative such as a power of attorney or trust will provide for the needs of an incapacitated person. In making its decision, a court would be required to consider:

- Recent medical reports;
- Testimony of the incapacitated person and the person's relatives;
- Testimony of persons entitled to notice of special proceedings; and
- Other needs of the incapacitated person that may be better served in a less-restrictive manner.

Note: This version of the bill differs from 5691 SB by removing the word “alleged” before the phrase “incapacitated person”. This modification does not change impact to the courts.

No fiscal impact to the courts.