

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2021-23			2023-25			2025-27		
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of Attorney General	0	0	284,000	0	0	238,000	0	0	168,000
Department of Revenue	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Department of Ecology	0	0	220,613,259	0	0	888,184,263	0	0	914,955,488
Department of Ecology	In addition to the estimate above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note.								
Total \$	0	0	220,897,259	0	0	888,422,263	0	0	915,123,488

Agency Name	2021-23		2023-25		2025-27	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts						
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.					
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2021-23				2023-25				2025-27			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of the Governor	.0	230,000	230,000	230,000	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	1.0	0	0	284,000	.9	0	0	238,000	.6	0	0	168,000
Department of Commerce	.2	66,029	66,029	66,029	.0	0	0	0	.1	40,350	40,350	40,350
Office of Financial Management	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Revenue	.2	30,200	30,200	30,200	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Revenue	In addition to the estimate above,there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note.											
Utilities and Transportation Commission	.5	0	0	136,850	.3	0	0	75,066	.5	0	0	136,850
Department of Licensing	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Health	.5	118,000	118,000	118,000	.9	198,000	198,000	198,000	.9	198,000	198,000	198,000
University of Washington	.0	1,832,360	1,832,360	1,832,360	.0	3,466,613	3,466,613	3,466,613	.0	3,409,734	3,409,734	3,409,734
Washington State University	.3	1,717,614	1,717,614	1,717,614	.5	3,800,148	3,800,148	3,800,148	.5	4,351,919	4,351,919	4,351,919
Department of Transportation	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.											
Department of Ecology	38.9	18,856,351	18,856,351	20,928,446	31.4	(3,172,000)	(3,172,000)	17,721,297	29.0	(3,172,000)	(3,172,000)	16,251,377
Department of Ecology	In addition to the estimate above,there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note.											
Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office	.0	0	0	0	.0	145,800	145,800	145,800	.0	145,800	145,800	145,800
Department of Natural Resources	1.2	899,100	899,100	899,100	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	42.8	23,749,654	23,749,654	26,242,599	34.0	4,438,561	4,438,561	25,644,924	31.6	4,973,803	4,973,803	24,702,030

Agency Name	2021-23			2023-25			2025-27		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2021-23			2023-25			2025-27		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Office of the Governor	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Financial Management	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Revenue	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Utilities and Transportation Commission	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Licensing	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Health	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
University of Washington	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Washington State University	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Transportation	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Ecology	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Natural Resources	.0	0	0	1.7	0	5,129,300	3.3	0	10,000,000
Total \$	0.0	0	0	1.7	0	5,129,300	3.3	0	10,000,000

Agency Name	2021-23			2023-25			2025-27		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Agency Name	2021-23		2023-25		2025-27	
	Total		Total		Total	
Department of Ecology	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.					
Other	0		4,802,300		9,326,600	
Staff	0		327,000		673,400	
Total \$	0		5,129,300		10,000,000	

--

Prepared by: Lisa Borkowski, OFM	Phone: (360) 902-0573	Date Published: Revised 6/17/2021
---	---------------------------------	---

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 075-Office of the Governor
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	230,000	0	230,000	0	0
Total \$	230,000	0	230,000	0	0

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Jim Jenkins	Phone: 360-902-0403	Date: 06/10/2021
Agency Approval: Jamie Langford	Phone: (360) 870-7766	Date: 06/10/2021
OFM Review: Tyler Lentz	Phone: (360) 790-0055	Date: 06/14/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 5:

Establishes the Environmental Justice Council (EJ Council), as created in Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill 5141, to provide recommendations to the legislature, agencies, and the governor in the development and implementation of the program established in sections 8 through 24 of this bill, and the programs funded from the carbon emissions reduction account.

The EJ Council must:

- 1) Provide recommendations to the legislature, agencies and the governor in the development of :
 - (a) The program established in Section 8 through 24 of this bill and linkage agreements with other jurisdictions, protocols for establishing offset projects and securing offset credits, and administration of allowances under the program; and
 - (b) Investment plans and funding proposals for the programs funded from the climate investment account.
- 2) Provide a forum to analyze policies adopted under this chapter to determine if the policies lead to improvements within overburdened communities;
- 3) Recommend procedures and criteria for evaluating programs, activities, or projects for review ;
- 4) Recommend emissions reduction goals in overburdened communities ;
- 5) Evaluate the level of funding provided to assist vulnerable populations, low-income individuals, and impacted workers and the funding of projects and activities located within or benefiting overburdened communities ;
- 6) Recommend environmental justice and environmental health goals for programs, activities, and projects funded from the climate investment account, and review agency annual reports on outcomes and progress toward meeting goals ;
- 7) Provide recommendations to implementing agencies for meaningful consultation with vulnerable populations; and
- 8) Recommend how to support public participation through capacity grants for participation.

Section 7:

The governor shall establish a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitment to provide accountability for achieving the state's greenhouse gas limits in RCW 70A.45.020, to establish a coordinated and strategic statewide approach to climate resilience, to build an equitable and inclusive clean energy economy, and to ensure that the government provides clear policy and requirements, financial tools, and other mechanisms to support achieving those limits.

The governor's office shall develop policy and budget recommendations to the legislature necessary to implement the state's climate commitment by December 31, 2021.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

In section 7 the governor is required to establish a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitment under the authority provided under this chapter and other statutory authority to provide accountability for achieving the

state's greenhouse gas limits in RCW 70A.45.020, to establish a coordinated and strategic statewide approach to climate resilience, to build an equitable and inclusive clean energy economy, and to ensure that the government provides clear policy and requirements, financial tools, and other mechanisms to support achieving those limits.

The Governor's Office is required to develop policy and budget recommendations to the legislature necessary to implement the state's climate commitment by December 31, 2021. It is assumed that the contract costs to provide the report for the requirements of section 7 will be \$230,000 in FY 2022.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	230,000	0	230,000	0	0
Total \$			230,000	0	230,000	0	0

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Professional Service Contracts	230,000		230,000		
E-Goods and Other Services					
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	230,000	0	230,000	0	0

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 100-Office of Attorney General
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Legal Services Revolving Account-State 405-1	131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000
Total \$	131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.6
Account					
Legal Services Revolving Account-State 405-1	131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000
Total \$	131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Michael Shinn	Phone: 360-759-2122	Date: 04/28/2021
Agency Approval: Edd Giger	Phone: 360-586-2104	Date: 04/28/2021
OFM Review: Tyler Lentz	Phone: (360) 790-0055	Date: 05/03/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1 is a statement of legislative findings.

Section 2 is a definitions section, and including defining Department as the Department of Ecology (ECY), and climate commitment as the process and institutional mechanism to achieve greenhouse gas limits in RCW 70A.45.020. Language defining allowances as not property rights is removed. Balancing authority definitions added. Unless a federal power marketing administration opts to comply with the act, the Washington utility becomes the electricity importer. Overburdened communities are more expansively defined. Specified and unspecified source or electricity are defined.

Section 3 requires ECY to use the Department of Health's (DOH) environmental health disparities map to make a high priority list of overburdened communities, analyze and monitor greenhouse gas emissions there, and beginning in 2023 conduct biannual reviews to determine if emissions are being reduced in those communities. Stricter air quality standards must be adopted if emissions are not decreasing. Actions imposed under section may not be disproportionate to contributions.

Section 4 requires agencies using Climate Investment Account funds to provide at least 35 percent of funding with a goal of 40 percent to overburdened communities. Annual reporting is required to the environmental justice and equity advisory panel.

Section 5 requires the office of equity to establish an environmental justice counsel, with membership composition set forth.

Section 6 requires consultation with federally recognized tribes on certain funding decisions and programs. Projects funded under the act must be paused or ceased in response to notices from federally recognized Tribes or the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation.

Section 7 requires the governor to create a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitment.

Section 8 requires ECY to implement a program for a greenhouse gas emissions cap for covered entities. ECY must make agency request legislation in the 2022 session in consultation with stakeholder groups. Legislative reporting beginning in 2027 and every four years is mandated.

Section 9 sets budgets and timelines, requiring ECY to commence the program by October 1, 2022.

Section 10 defines covered entities. Railroad companies are added, certain landfill owners are accepted. Fuels used in agriculture by farm users are accepted.

Section 11 calls for ECY adopting rules for registry of covered entities.

Section 12 creates auctions for greenhouse gas allowances, with ECY required to adopt rules to implement. Allowances are limited to the amount enhancing likelihood of achieving goals of RCW 70A.45.020.

Section 13 provides for allocation of allowances to emissions-intensive and trade-exposed industries and for ECY to adopt rules. Addresses requirements of ECY report to the legislature. Substantial changes to allocation of no cost allowances, other parts of section. ECY reporting requirement moved from 2030 to 2026.

Section 14 requires ECY to adopt rules establishing allowances for consumer-owned and investor-owned electric

utilities.

Section 15 requires allocation of allowances to natural gas utilities and for ECY to adopt rules.

Section 16 requires ECY to maintain an emissions containment reserve to help ensure the price of allowances remains sufficient to incentivize reductions in greenhouse gas emission and for ECY to adopt rules.

Section 17 requires ECY to adopt rules for setting floor auction prices of allowances and for holding auctions.

Section 18 requires ECY to establish a price ceiling, defined not to be a property right.

Section 19 requires ECY to adopt rules for offset projects and credits. Beginning in 2031 limits apply unless ECY modifies by rule after a public consultation process.

Section 20 requires ECY to establish an assistance program for offsets on federally recognized tribal lands.

Section 21 requires the Department of Natural Resources to contract to establish a small forestland owner workgroup.

Section 22 establishes compliance obligations, with ECY to adopt rules.

Section 23 sets up enforcement of submitting allowances to meet compliance obligations, including penalties of allowances and fines. WAC chapter 173-442 is preempted.

Section 24 requires ECY to seek to link with other jurisdictions with established greenhouse gas trading programs.

Section 25 requires ECY to adopt rules, including emergency rules, to implement sections 8-24.

Section 26 sets expenditure targets for overburdened communities and Tribes.

Section 27 creates the carbon emissions reduction account.

Section 28 creates a climate investment account. Substantial changes to section.

Section 29 creates the climate commitment account.

Section 30 creates the natural climate solutions account.

Section 31 creates the air quality and health disparities improvement account.

Section 32 requires a JLARC audit and review of the first five years of the program by December 1, 2029.

Section 33 amends RCW 70A.15.2200 to require rules be adopted to implement section 7.

Section 34 adds a section to Chapter 43.21C RCW requiring reviews of facilities subject to the chapter created by Section 38 to be consistent with Section 10.

Section 35 adds a new section to Chapter 70A.15 RCW regarding issuing orders consistent with section 3 of the act when pollutants are not being reduced in overburdened communities.

Section 36 adds a new section to Chapter 70A.45 RCW requiring approving facility placements only where in the economic and environmental interests of the state.

Section 37 names the act the Washington climate commitment act.

Section 38 adds sections 1-32 and 37 to a new chapter in title 70A RCW.

Section 39 provides that sections 8-24, and any rules adopted by ECY to implement those sections, are suspended on December 31, 2055 if certain conditions are met.

Section 40 amends RCW 43.88.055 to add the climate investment account.

Section 41 amends RCW 43.21B.110.

Section 42 amends RCW 43.21B.300.

Section 43 amends RCW 43.52A.040.

Section 44 amends RW 70A.45.005.

Section 45 is a severability clause.

Section 46 requires annual reporting by ECY of all distributions from funds created in sections 27-31. ECY must require by rule that fund recipients report information to ECY necessary to carry out these reporting duties.

Section 47 requires ECY to collaborate with stakeholders to make recommendations for assisting households using fuels other than electricity or natural gas to heat residences. If legislation is needed to implement proposal, ECY shall submit proposed legislation by September 15, 2022.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

Cash receipts are assumed to equal the Legal Services Revolving Account (LSRA) cost estimates. These will be billed through the revolving account to the client agency.

The client agencies are the Department of Ecology (ECY) and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR). The Attorney General's Office (AGO) will bill all clients for legal services rendered.

These cash receipts represent the AGO's authority to bill and are not a direct appropriation to the AGO. The direct appropriation is reflected in the client agencies' fiscal note. Appropriation authority is necessary in the AGO budget.

AGO AGENCY ASSUMPTIONS:

ECY will be billed:

FY 2022 through FY 2025: \$119,000 per FY.

FY 2026 and in each FY thereafter: \$84,000 per FY.

DNR will be billed:

FY 2022: \$12,000.

FY 2023: \$34,000.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

Attorney General's Office (AGO) Agency Assumptions:

1. This bill is assumed effective 90 days after the end of the 2021 legislative session.
2. Total workload impact in this request includes standard assumption costs for good & services, travel, and capital outlays for all FTE identified.
3. Agency administration support FTE are included in the tables below, using a Management Analyst 5 as a representative classification.
4. The AGO Transportation & Public Construction Division (TPC) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase the division's workload in representing the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT). This bill creates a cap and invest program to reduce, over time, greenhouse gas emissions. The substitute bill made a number of changes, a few affecting WSDOT. The most prominent change includes specifying amounts from auction proceeds be directed to the Forward Flexible Account and the Climate Investment Account. TPC expects to devote minimal legal resources to WSDOT in its implementation of this bill, should it be enacted. New legal services are nominal and costs are not included in this request.

Assumptions for the AGO Ecology Division's (AGO-ECY) Legal Services for the Department of Ecology (ECY):

1. The AGO will bill ECY for legal services based on the enactment of this bill.
2. This bill requires ECY to engage in several complex rulemakings from FY 2022 through FY 2025 to implement the cap and trade program, as well as robust stakeholder engagement, reporting to the legislature, and drafting proposed legislation. AGO-ECY assumes 0.5 Assistant Attorney General (AAG) beginning in FY 2022 to provide legal research and advice on the complex issues raised by these activities, rulemakings, and by the implementation and enforcement of the cap and trade program.
3. Beginning in FY 2026, AGO-ECY assumes a need for 0.35 AAG for advice on implementation and enforcement of the cap and trade program and related requirements including the possible issuance of enforceable orders under Section 3 and 35. AGO-ECY estimates 1 appeal every 1.5 years of ECY enforcement actions taken as a result of this bill. The legal costs of defending ECY against these appeals are included in the 0.35 AAG beginning in FY 2026.
4. This fiscal note assumes that ECY will adopt a rule under Section 10 (9) to determine how to evaluate net cumulative emissions reductions during the July 1, 2023-2025 period.
5. This fiscal note assumes that compliance obligations under the act are not delayed or suspended due to the lack of the passage of a separate additive transportation funding law per Section 22 of the bill.
6. Total workload impact:
 - FY 2022 through FY 2025: 0.5 AAG and 0.25 Legal Assistant (LA) at a cost of \$119,000 per FY.
 - FY 2026 and in each FY thereafter: 0.35 AAG and 0.18 LA at a cost of \$84,000 per FY.

Assumptions for the AGO Public Lands & Conservation Division's (PLC) Legal Services for the Department of Natural Resources (DNR):

1. The AGO will bill DNR for legal services based on the enactment of this bill.
2. DNR anticipates the need for new legal services to implement this bill for review of contracts for the Small Forest Land Owner Work Group and for carbon sequestration on private lands.

3. Beginning in FY 2024, any legal services will be provided with existing resources.

4. Total workload impact:

FY 2022: 0.05 AAG at a cost of \$12,000.

FY 2023: 0.15 AAG and 0.08 Legal Assistant (LA) at a cost of \$34,000.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
405-1	Legal Services Revolving Account	State	131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000
Total \$			131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27	
FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.6	
A-Salaries and Wages	86,000	101,000	187,000	156,000	110,000	
B-Employee Benefits	28,000	32,000	60,000	50,000	34,000	
E-Goods and Other Services	15,000	18,000	33,000	28,000	20,000	
G-Travel	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	
J-Capital Outlays	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	
Total \$		131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27	
Assistant Attorney General	108,600	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	
Legal Assistant 3	54,108	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	
Management Analyst 5	88,644	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Total FTEs			1.0	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.6

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27	
Ecology Division (ECY)	119,000	119,000	238,000	238,000	168,000	
Public Lands and Conservation Division (PLC)	12,000	34,000	46,000			
Total \$		131,000	153,000	284,000	238,000	168,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 103-Department of Commerce
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	45,854	20,175	66,029	0	40,350
Total \$	45,854	20,175	66,029	0	40,350

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Marla Page	Phone: 360-725-3129	Date: 04/27/2021
Agency Approval: Joyce Miller	Phone: 360-725-2710	Date: 04/27/2021
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 05/12/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Differences between the engrossed second substitute senate bill and the second substitute senate bill as passed by the Legislature:

Section 7 states that the governor shall establish a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitment under the authority provided under this chapter. The purpose of the governance structure is to provide accountability and authority for achieving the state's greenhouse gas limits in RCW 70A.45.020, to establish a coordinated and strategic statewide approach to climate resilience, and to build an equitable and inclusive clean energy economy. Establishes principles on which the commitment is to be based and required elements.

Summary of the second substitute senate bill passed by the Legislature :

Climate Commitment Act - Establishes a cap and trade program for greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Department of Ecology (Ecology) establishes and operates the cap and trade program. Allowances are auctioned and the Legislature appropriates the revenue.

Section 7 states that the governor shall establish a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitment under the authority provided under this chapter. The purpose of the governance structure is to provide accountability and authority for achieving the state's greenhouse gas limits in RCW 70A.45.020, to establish a coordinated and strategic statewide approach to climate resilience, and to build an equitable and inclusive clean energy economy. Establishes principles on which the commitment is to be based and required elements.

Section 10 requires Ecology in consultation with the Department of Commerce (department) and Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), to adopt a methodology by October 1, 2026 for addressing imported electricity associated with a centralized electricity market.

Section 14(2)(a)(b) requires Ecology in consultation with the department and UTC, to adopt rules to establish the methods and procedures to mitigate the impact on rates or charges on citizens of the state for electricity services and to adopt an allocation schedule. The rules must be adopted by October 1, 2022.

Section 14(2)(c) requires Ecology in consultation with the department and UTC, to adopt rules for provision of allowances for the second compliance period at no cost to citizens of the state for electricity services, and must be consistent with a forecast that is approved by the appropriate governing board or UTC. The rule must be adopted by October 1, 2026.

Section 14(2)(d) requires Ecology in consultation with the department and UTC, to adopt rules for provision of allowances for the compliance periods contained within calendar years 2031 through 2045. The rule must be adopted by October 1, 2028

Section 14(3) adds the requirement for Ecology in consultation with the department and UTC, to adopt rules governing the amount of allowances allocated at no cost under subsection (2)(c) of this section that must be consigned to auction. The rule must be adopted by October 1, 2026.

Section 14(4) states benefits of all allowances consigned to auction under this section must be used by consumer-owned and investor-owned electric utilities for the benefit of ratepayers, prioritizing mitigation of any rate impacts to low-income customers.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

For the purposes of the fiscal note, the department assumes it will provide support for development of recommendations for the governance structure.

The bill states Ecology will consult with the department and UTC for rulemaking to adopt rules in order to mitigate the impact on rates or charges on citizens of the state for electricity services, and in developing a methodology for attributing emissions to electricity purchased in centralized electricity markets imported from other states.

Additionally, Ecology will also consult with the department and UTC for rulemaking to adopt rules governing the amount of allowances allocated at no cost that must be consigned to auction.

To complete this work, the department estimates the following costs :

0.1 FTE Assistant Director (208 hours) in FY22 to assist with development and recommendations for the governance structure.

0.1 FTE EMS2 Energy Policy Specialist (208 hours) in FY22-FY23, and 0.1 FTE EMS2 Senior Energy Policy Specialist (208 hours) in FY26-FY27, and 0.1 FTE EMS2 Senior Energy Policy Specialist (208 hours) in FY28-FY29 to coordinate with Ecology and consult on rulemaking and development of methodologies for attributing emissions.

Salaries and Benefits

FY22: \$33,411

FY23: \$14,655

FY26-FY27: \$14,655 per fiscal year

Goods and Other Services

FY22: \$1,083

FY23: \$537

FY26-FY27: \$537 per fiscal year

Intra-agency Reimbursement:

FY22: \$11,360

FY23: \$4,983

FY26-FY27: \$4,983 per fiscal year

Note: Standard goods and services costs include supplies and materials, employee development and training, Attorney General costs, central services charges and agency administration. Agency administration costs (e.g., payroll, HR, IT) are funded under a federally approved cost allocation plan.

Total Estimated Costs

FY22: \$45,854

FY23: \$20,175

FY26-FY27: \$20,175 per fiscal year

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	45,854	20,175	66,029	0	40,350
Total \$			45,854	20,175	66,029	0	40,350

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.2	0.1	0.2		0.1
A-Salaries and Wages	25,601	11,106	36,707		22,212
B-Employee Benefits	7,810	3,549	11,359		7,098
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services	1,083	537	1,620		1,074
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	11,360	4,983	16,343		9,966
9-					
Total \$	45,854	20,175	66,029	0	40,350

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Administrative Services - Indirect	69,552	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
EMS Band 2	111,060	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
EMS Band 4	144,948	0.1		0.1		
Total FTEs		0.2	0.1	0.2		0.1

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 105-Office of Financial Management
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Jim Jenkins	Phone: 360-902-0403	Date: 05/06/2021
Agency Approval: Jamie Langford	Phone: 360-902-0422	Date: 05/06/2021
OFM Review: Tyler Lentz	Phone: (360) 790-0055	Date: 05/12/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 5: Establishes the Environmental Justice Council (EJ Council), as created in Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill 5141, to provide recommendations to the legislature, agencies, and the governor in the development and implementation of the program established in sections 8 through 24 of this bill, and the programs funded from the carbon emissions reduction account.

In collaboration with the Office of Equity, the Office of Financial Management (OFM), the EJ Council, and covered agencies, the Department of Health (DOH) must:

- (a) Establish standards for the collection, analysis, and reporting of disaggregated data as it pertains to tracking population level outcomes of communities;
- (b) Create statewide and agency-specific process and outcome measures to show performance; and
- (c) Create an online performance dashboard to publish performance measures and outcomes for the state and each covered agency.

The EJ Council must:

- 1) Provide recommendations to the legislature, agencies and the governor in the development of :
 - (a) The program established in Section 8 through 24 of this bill and linkage agreements with other jurisdictions, protocols for establishing offset projects and securing offset credits, and administration of allowances under the program; and
 - (b) Investment plans and funding proposals for the programs funded from the climate investment account.
- 2) Provide a forum to analyze policies adopted under this chapter to determine if the policies lead to improvements within overburdened communities;
- 3) Recommend procedures and criteria for evaluating programs, activities, or projects for review ;
- 4) Recommend emissions reduction goals in overburdened communities ;
- 5) Evaluate the level of funding provided to assist vulnerable populations, low-income individuals, and impacted workers and the funding of projects and activities located within or benefiting overburdened communities ;
- 6) Recommend environmental justice and environmental health goals for programs, activities, and projects funded from the climate investment account, and review agency annual reports on outcomes and progress toward meeting goals ;
- 7) Provide recommendations to implementing agencies for meaningful consultation with vulnerable populations; and
- 8) Recommend how to support public participation through capacity grants for participation.

DOH must convene the first meeting of the EJ Council by January 1, 2022.

Section 7: The governor shall establish a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitment to provide accountability for achieving the state's greenhouse gas limits in RCW 70A.45.020, to establish a coordinated and strategic statewide approach to climate resilience, to build an equitable and inclusive clean energy economy, and to ensure that the government provides clear policy and requirements, financial tools, and other mechanisms to support achieving those limits.

The governor's office shall develop policy and budget recommendations to the legislature necessary to implement the state's climate commitment by December 31, 2021.

The work of collaborating with DOH, Office of Equity, the EJ Council, and covered agencies, for the requirements of Section 5, can be done within current resources by reprioritizing existing workload and has no fiscal impact to OFM.

Previous Engrossed Second Substitute bill version :

The changes to this new bill version does not change the work related to the Office of Financial Management (OFM). The fiscal impact remains the same as the previous bill version.

Previous Second Substitute bill version :

Section 5:

The Office of Equity shall establish an environmental justice and equity advisory panel to provide recommendations to the legislature and the governor in the development and implementation of the program established in Sections 7 through 22 including, but not limited to, linkage agreements with other jurisdictions, protocols for establishing offset projects and securing offset credits, designation of emissions-intensive and trade-exposed industries, and administration of allowances under the program.

The Office of Equity must convene the environmental justice and equity advisory panel by January 1, 2023.

The purpose of the panel is to :

- 1) Provide recommendations to the legislature, agencies and the governor in the development of :
 - (a) The program established in Section 7 through 22 of this bill and linkage agreements with other jurisdictions, protocols for establishing offset projects and securing offset credits, and administration of allowances under the program; and
 - (b) Investment plans and funding proposals for the programs funded from the climate investment account.
- 2) Provide a forum to analyze policies adopted under this chapter to determine if the policies lead to improvements within overburdened communities;
- 3) Recommend procedures and criteria for evaluating programs, activities, or projects for review ;
- 4) Recommend emissions reduction goals in overburdened communities ;
- 5) Evaluate the level of funding provided to assist vulnerable populations, low-income individuals, and impacted workers and the funding of projects and activities located within or benefiting overburdened communities ;
- 6) Recommend environmental justice and environmental health goals for programs, activities, and projects funded from the climate investment account, and review agency annual reports on outcomes and progress toward meeting goals ;
- 7) Provide recommendations to implementing agencies for meaningful consultation with vulnerable populations; and
- 8) Recommend how to support public participation through capacity grants for participation.

The governor shall appoint a chair, subject to confirmation by the senate, who is responsible for overseeing the duties of the environmental justice and equity advisory panel. The chair is paid a salary fixed by the governor in accordance with RCW 43.03.040.

The environmental justice and equity advisory panel shall meet on a schedule established by the office of equity, in consultation with the department of ecology.

The office of equity shall provide all administrative and staff support for the environmental justice and equity advisory panel.

The environmental justice and equity advisory panel is designated as a class one group under RCW 43.03.220. Expenses for this group must be included in costs to support and administer the program and are an allowable expense under the Climate Investment Account (Section 24(2)(a)).

In consultation with the office of equity and the environmental justice council, the governor may administratively address how to effectively coordinate the work of the environmental justice and equity advisory panel with the work of

the environmental justice council.

Section 24:

The climate investment account is created in the state treasury.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Department of Revenue Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 140-Department of Revenue
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.2	0.1	0.2		
Account					
GF-STATE-State 001-1	20,200	10,000	30,200		
Total \$	20,200	10,000	30,200		

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Preston Brashers	Phone: 360-534-1473	Date: 05/06/2021
Agency Approval: Don Gutmann	Phone: 360-534-1510	Date: 05/06/2021
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 902-0573	Date: 05/12/2021

Request # 5126-5-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe, by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Note: This fiscal note reflects language in 2SSB 5126 as passed in the 2021 Legislative Session.

CURRENT LAW:

Washington does not currently have a cap and trade system and there is no Washington-based market for businesses to trade carbon allowances.

DIFFERENCES FROM PREVIOUS VERSION:

2SSB 5126 as passed in the 2021 Legislative Session makes various changes. These changes include :

- Section 7 is added, giving the governor the authority to establish a governance structure to implement the state's climate commitments under this bill.
- Section 8 requires that, during the 2022 regular legislative session, the Department of Ecology (Ecology) develop agency request legislation in consultation with emissions-intensive, trade-exposed (EITE) businesses and other stakeholders. The legislation must provide a pathway for EITE businesses to achieve their share of emissions reductions through 2050.
- Section 9 clarifies that annual allowance budgets should account for allowance offsets, such that these offsets do not prevent the achievement of emission limits established in RCW 70A.45.020.
- Section 10(1) directs Ecology and the Department of Commerce (Commerce) to adopt a methodology to govern the inclusion of importers of electricity in centralized markets as covered entities during the first compliance period.
- Section 10(3) specifies that railroad companies with more than 25,000 metric tons of annual carbon dioxide (CO₂)-equivalent emissions are included as covered entities.
- Section 10(7) adds an exemption for motor vehicle fuel or special fuel used exclusively for agricultural purposes, where the buyer of fuel provides the seller with an exemption certificate. Ecology must determine a method to expend this exemption for the first five years to include fuels used to transport agricultural products on public highways.
- Section 12 stipulates an initial amount of auction proceeds in each fiscal year that must be deposited in the carbon emissions reduction account (instead of the forward flexible account), before directing funds to the climate investment account and air quality and health disparities improvement account.
- Section 13 alters the calculation of the allotment of zero-cost allowances to EITE businesses.
- Section 22 changes the condition under which the bill's compliance obligations go into effect. Under the change, the obligations are conditional on an additive transportation revenue act that increases the state fuel tax rate by five cents per gallon.
- Section 27 is added, creating the carbon emission reduction account in the state treasury. Expenditures from this account are intended to reduce transportation sector carbon emissions.
- Section 28 changes the allocation of funds out of the climate investment account. Beginning July 1, 2024, after using funds for required administration, the state treasurer is directed to distribute 75% of remaining funds to the climate commitment account and 25% to the natural climate solutions account.
- Section 29 is added, creating the climate commitment account in the state treasury. Programs funded by this account must be located in Washington. Various potential uses for the funds are listed, including funding of the working families tax rebate.
- Section 30 is added, creating the natural climate solutions account in the state treasury. Expenditures from this account are intended to increase the resilience of the state's waters, forests, and ecosystems from the effects of climate change.
- Section 31 is added, creating the health disparities improvement account in the state treasury. Expenditures from this account are intended to improve air quality and reduce health disparities in overburdened communities.

OVERVIEW

The bill requires Ecology to implement a program to cap greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the state. Under the program, Ecology must set a budget of annual emissions and distribute emission allowances by auction through a qualified contractor. The program must also allow participants to buy, sell, and trade allowances to and from other participants. The governor must

establish the governance structure to implement the program as described in the bill, in order to achieve the GHG emission limits of RCW 70A.45.020.

Allowance Auction and Trading

The bill requires Ecology to set the annual allowance budget to ensure that the emission limits for 2030, 2040, and 2050 in RCW 70A.45.020 are met. The bill stipulates that Ecology must distribute emission allowances by auction not more than four times per year, except for any necessary reserve auctions. Ecology must use an electronic tracking system to track compliance and to track the buying, selling, and trading of allowances obtained at auction. The first compliance period ends December 31, 2026 and begins the later of January 1, 2023 or upon the enactment of a transportation funding act with an additional state fuel tax of at least five cents per gallon. Subsequent compliance periods are each four year periods beginning with January 1, 2027.

Covered Entities

Covered entities under the program in the first compliance period generally include entities that reported emissions under RCW 70A.15.2200 in 2015-2019. Owners and operators of facilities, electricity generators and importers of electricity, and fossil fuel suppliers are also covered entities more generally if they account for 25,000 metric tons or more of annual CO₂-equivalent emissions. (The calculation of CO₂-equivalent emissions for natural gas depends on the point of delivery to end-use customers.) Entities may also opt into the program. Landfills and railroad companies with more than 25,000 metric tons of annual CO₂-equivalent emissions become covered entities in the second or third compliance periods. Exemptions include emissions from the combustion of aviation fuels, watercraft fuels combusted outside of Washington, exempt coal-fired electric generation facilities, combustion of biomass and biofuels, national security entities, and agricultural fuels. The exemption for agricultural fuels is expanded for the first five years to also include fuels used to transport agricultural products on public highways. Ecology must determine a method for expanding the agricultural fuels exemption to cover agricultural products transported on public highways.

Offset Credits

Ecology is required to adopt rules that allow entities to meet a limited portion of their compliance obligation with offset credits. Ecology may grant offset credits to entities that carry out projects that result in a reduction in GHG emissions and that directly benefit Washington or take place in Washington or a linked jurisdiction. Ecology must ensure that offset credits are registered and tracked. Annual allowance budgets should account for allowance offsets, such that these offsets do not prevent the achievement of emission limits established in RCW 70A.45.020.

Price Containment Mechanisms

To minimize price volatility, Ecology must establish a price containment reserve. Certain allowances are to be placed in the price containment reserve, including at least 2% of 2023 - 2026 budgeted allowances, budgeted allowances that go unsold, and unused allowances of closed facilities. Ecology must set a trigger price for auctions, such that if auction prices are below the trigger price, then a portion of allowances for sale in the auction will automatically be withheld. Such withheld allowances will be placed in the price containment reserve. Ecology also must establish an auction floor price, an auction ceiling price, and a schedule for these prices to increase by a predetermined amount each year. Companies will not be allowed to purchase allowances below the auction floor price. To prevent prices from exceeding auction ceiling prices, Ecology may auction allowances held in the price containment reserve. If the number of allowances in the price containment reserve approaches zero, then Ecology may issue price ceiling units as compliance instruments. Price ceiling units will be offered for sale only to entities that do not hold enough compliance instruments for the current compliance period. Price ceiling units cannot be sold or transferred and must be retired at the end of the compliance period.

Linkage With Other Jurisdictions' Cap and Trade Programs

Ecology should evaluate the potential impact of linking the auction and allowance trading system with other jurisdictions. Ecology should link the state's auction and cap and trade system with other jurisdiction (s) if such a linkage would not reduce the effectiveness of Washington's program. If Washington links its program to other jurisdiction (s), then trigger prices should be set equal to the levels established in such other jurisdiction (s), including suspension of trigger prices as necessary.

Emissions-Intensive Trade-Exposed Industries and Utilities

During the 2022 regular legislative session, Ecology must prepare agency request legislation in consultation with EITE businesses, environmental advocates, and other stakeholders. This legislation must outline a path for EITE businesses to achieve their proportionate share of the state's emissions reduction limits through 2050.

For the first compliance period, beginning in 2023, Ecology must allocate a portion of allowances at zero cost to businesses in EITE industries, in proportion with their baseline carbon intensity (2015-2019) multiplied by actual production for the current year. For subsequent compliance periods, Ecology must adjust the allocation of zero-cost allowances to EITE businesses according to a benchmark reduction schedule. The benchmark reduction schedule for EITE businesses reduces the allowance by 3% per compliance period, while allowing for certain additional adjustments to the reduction schedule. Ecology must provide rules to allow businesses in EITE industries to apply for additional zero-cost allowances based on facility-specific benchmarks.

Similarly, the bill provides for Ecology to allocate a portion of allowances at zero cost to electric utilities and natural gas companies.

New Accounts

Several new accounts are created in the state treasury, including the carbon emission reduction account, the climate investment account, the climate commitment account, the natural climate solutions account, and the health disparities improvement account.

The auction administrator contracted by Ecology will conduct the allowance auctions and transfer funds to the designated accounts created in the state treasury. The initial auction proceeds (the first \$127.3 million, \$356.7 million, \$366.6 million, and \$359.1 million, respectively, in FY 2023, FY 2024, FY 2025, and each year in FY 2026 - FY2037) are designated for the carbon emissions reduction account. The remaining proceeds are then designated for the climate investment account and air quality and health disparities improvement account. Beginning July 1, 2024, each year the state treasurer will distribute 25% of the funds in the climate investment account to the natural climate solutions account and 75% of the funds in the climate investment account to the climate commitment account. Upon appropriation, money in the climate investment account may be used to implement eligible programs within the state, including but not limited to: the working families tax rebate, supplement growth management and environmental review planning, programs that mitigate GHG impacts in overburdened communities, renewable energy programs, energy efficiency programs, clean energy transition programs, CO2 removal projects, and climate change mitigation projects for Indian tribes.

EFFECTIVE DATE

The bill takes effect 90 days after final adjournment of the session in which it is enacted. Section 22, which requires entities to transfer compliance instruments annually to pay for emissions during the period, is contingent on the enactment of a transportation funding act with an additional state fuel tax of at least five cents per gallon.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS

- A transportation funding act meeting the conditions in 22 (7) is passed, so there is no delay to the bill's compliance obligations.
- Ecology will administer the program described in Sections 8-24, including the emission allowance auction and market.

Request # 5126-5-1

- The emission allowances budgeted by Ecology for a compliance period may be purchased at auction and /or traded in secondary markets.
- Auction sales of emission allowances and sales on secondary markets will begin in January 2023.
- The market price of emission allowances are determined by market participants participating in auctions and otherwise buying and selling allowances between one another.

Background

- The Department currently administers the business and occupation (B&O) tax, which is a tax on the gross proceeds of sales and the gross income of a business.
- The B&O tax applies to most types of business receipts.
- The B&O tax rate for "services and other activities" is 1.5% or 1.75%, with the latter rate applying to entities with more than \$1 million of taxable gross receipts in the services and other category.

Applicability of B&O Tax

- Since no specific B&O exemption is created for sales of emission allowances to other entities, it is assumed such sales will qualify as taxable events under the B&O tax and will be taxed at the services and other activities tax rate, as described in RCW 82.04.290.
- It is assumed that all entities selling emission allowances will meet the taxable receipts threshold to be required to pay the higher 1.75% services and other B&O tax rate.
- Given that there is currently no data to estimate the buying, selling, or trading of emission allowances in Washington, the revenue impact to the state general fund from any B&O taxes collected as a result of the sale of carbon credits is indeterminate.

B&O Tax Collections (Example)

- For example, if in FY 20XX:
 - The market price of emission allowances is \$20 per allowance, with each allowance representing 1 MT of CO2-equivalent emissions.
 - The year begins with 20 million emission allowances available, including allowances budgeted by Ecology for auction in FY 20XX and unused allowances carried over from the previous year.
 - Of these, 15 million allowances are either utilized in FY 20XX by the original owner of the allowance or banked for future use.
 - The remaining 5 million allowances are sold to other entities on Washington's emission allowance market during FY 20XX.
- Then the B&O tax collected on such allowance sales in FY 20XX would be :
 $\$20 \times 5 \text{ million} \times 1.75\% = \1.75 million.

The example above is provided to illustrate the calculation of the B&O tax on sales of emission allowances and is not intended as a revenue estimate.

TOTAL REVENUE IMPACT:

Indeterminate

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS:

- This legislation will affect 100 taxpayers.
- Expenditures assume that reporting of tradable credits, sold under the Clean Fuels Program, will begin January 2023.
- The Department will assist the Department of Ecology with regards to the use of RCW 82.08.865 in defining the exemption found in Section 10(7)(e). The Department will have minimal costs for this and will absorb within current

Request # 5126-5-1

funding.

FIRST YEAR COSTS:

The Department will incur total costs of \$20,200 in Fiscal Year 2022. These costs include :

Labor Costs - Time and effort equates to 0.21 FTE.

- Create a Special Notice and identify publications and information that need to be created or updated on the Department's website.
- Respond to letter ruling requests, email inquiries, and more difficult call backs from the telephone information center.
- Adopt one new Excise Tax Advisory

SECOND YEAR COSTS:

The Department will incur total costs of \$10,000 in Fiscal Year 2023. These costs include :

Labor Costs - Time and effort equates to 0.1 FTE.

- Process tax returns, payments and all associated work items,
- Assist taxpayers with reporting questions and respond to inquiries via email and web message and paper correspondence.

ONGOING COSTS:

There are no ongoing costs.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.2	0.1	0.2		
A-Salaries and Wages	12,500	6,000	18,500		
B-Employee Benefits	4,600	2,100	6,700		
E-Goods and Other Services	1,900	1,200	3,100		
J-Capital Outlays	1,200	700	1,900		
Total \$	\$20,200	\$10,000	\$30,200		

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings . Please see discussion.

III. B - Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
EMS BAND 4	119,061	0.0		0.0		
EMS BAND 5	139,090	0.0		0.0		
EXCISE TAX EX 3	59,688		0.1	0.1		
MGMT ANALYST4	70,956	0.0		0.0		
TAX INFO SPEC 4	64,332	0.1		0.1		
TAX POLICY SP 2	72,756	0.0		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 3	82,344	0.1		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 4	88,644	0.0		0.0		
WMS BAND 3	101,257	0.0		0.0		
Total FTEs		0.2	0.1	0.2		

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 215-Utilities and Transportation Commission
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5
Account					
Public Service Revolving Account-State 111-1	99,317	37,533	136,850	75,066	136,850
Total \$	99,317	37,533	136,850	75,066	136,850

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Amanda Hathaway	Phone: 360-664-1249	Date: 04/28/2021
Agency Approval: Amanda Hathaway	Phone: 360-664-1249	Date: 04/28/2021
OFM Review: Jenna Forty	Phone: (564) 999-1671	Date: 04/28/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 2 defines balancing authority, balancing authority area, electricity importer, imported electricity, multijurisdictional electric company, specified source and unspecified source. UTC assumes clarification about how these statutory definitions will affect the implementation of the act will be addressed in the Ecology rulemakings.

Section 4 establishes requirements for an environmental justice assessment and reporting for state agencies allocating funds from the Climate Investment Account. Section 6 establishes tribal consultation requirements for those agencies. For the purposes of this fiscal note, the Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC) assumes it is not an implementing agency under these sections and that there will be no fiscal impact from these sections.

Section 9(1) establishes the compliance periods of the program, beginning with 2023-2026, then 2027-2030, followed by annual program budgets for 2031-2040.

Section 10(1)(c) requires the Department of Ecology (Ecology) to consult with the UTC in the adoption of a methodology for addressing imported electricity associated with a centralized electricity market by October 1, 2026. UTC assumes Ecology will adopt the methodology through a rulemaking. UTC assumes this consultation will occur between April 1, 2025, and September 30, 2026.

Section 14(2)(a) requires Ecology to consult with the UTC in the development of rules establishing the methods and procedures for allocation of allowances to electrical companies. The rules must be adopted by October 1, 2022. UTC assumes this consultation will occur between July 1, 2021, and September 30, 2022.

Section 14(2)(b) requires the UTC to approve each utility's forecast of electric supply and demand and the resulting cost burden for the 2023-2026 compliance period. UTC assumes this approval should be provided to Ecology by July 1, 2022, so that Ecology can include the results in its rules. UTC assumes it will provide rulemaking consultation to Ecology on the electric allocation schedule between July 1, 2021, and September 30, 2022.

Section 14(2)(c) requires the UTC to approve each utility's forecast of electric supply and demand and the resulting cost burden for the 2027-2030 compliance period. UTC assumes this approval should be provided to Ecology by July 1, 2026, so that Ecology can include the results in its rules. UTC assumes it will provide rulemaking consultation to Ecology on the electric allocation schedule between July 1, 2024, and September 30, 2026.

Section 14(2)(d) requires the UTC to approve each utility's forecast of electric supply and demand and the resulting cost burden for the compliance periods from 2031 through 2040. UTC assumes this approval should be provided to Ecology by July 1, 2028, so that Ecology can include the results in its rules. UTC assumes the fiscal impact will be considered in a later biennium.

Section 14(3)(b) requires Ecology to consult with the UTC on the amount of electric allowances that must be consigned to auction under Section 13(2)(c). UTC assumes it will provide consultation on this issue to Ecology in a rulemaking between July 1, 2024, and September 30, 2026.

Section 15(1)(a) requires Ecology to consult with the UTC in the development of rules establishing the methods and procedures to allocate allowances to natural gas companies. The rules must be adopted by October 1, 2022. The UTC assumes this consultation will occur between July 1, 2021, and September 30, 2022.

Section 15(1)(b) requires Ecology to consult with the UTC in the adoption of a natural gas allocation schedule by October 1, 2022, for the first two compliance periods. UTC assumes this consultation will occur between July 1, 2021, and September 30, 2022.

Section 15(1)(c) requires Ecology to consult with the UTC in the adoption of a natural gas allocation schedule by October 1, 2028, for the compliance periods from 2031 through 2040. UTC assumes the fiscal impact will be considered in a later biennium.

Section 23(8) requires electric and gas utilities to notify their customers and the environmental justice council in published form within 3 months of paying a monetary penalty. UTC assumes any review of such notices will occur in the normal course of business.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

none

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

Rulemaking consultation with Department of Ecology

FY 2022 and 2023

Ecology must adopt rules by October 1, 2022, under Section 14 (2)(a) and (b) and Section 15. UTC must provide consultation on the rules. Ecology assumes the rulemakings will begin July 1, 2021, and be completed by September 30, 2022. UTC assumes it will provide consultation on the following issues throughout the rulemaking.

Section 14(2)(a) concerns rules establishing the methods and procedures for allocation of allowances to electrical companies.

Section 14(2)(b) concerns the adoption of the specific electric allocation schedule for the first compliance period.

Section 15(1)(a) concerns rules establishing the methods and procedures to allocate allowances to natural gas companies.

Section 15(1)(b) concerns the adoption of the specific natural gas allocation schedule for the first two compliance periods.

FY 2022

\$56,299 (Asst Director Regulatory Services, 0.03 FTE; Policy Advisor, 0.09 FTE; Resource Planning Manager, 0.09 FTE; Regulatory Analyst 3, 0.15 FTE; Director Policy, 0.03 FTE)

FY 2023

\$37,533 (Asst Director Regulatory Services, 0.02 FTE; Policy Advisor, 0.06 FTE; Resource Planning Manager, 0.06 FTE; Regulatory Analyst 3, 0.10 FTE; Director Policy, 0.02 FTE)

FY 2025, 2026, 2027

Ecology must adopt rules by October 1, 2026, under Section 10 (2), Section 14(2)(c) and Section 14(3)(b). UTC must provide consultation on the rules. Ecology assumes the rulemakings will begin July 1, 2024, and be completed by September 30, 2026. UTC assumes it will provide consultation on the following issues throughout the rulemaking.

Section 10(2) concerns the adoption of a methodology for addressing imported electricity from any linked jurisdiction.

Section 14(2)(c) concerns the adoption of an electric allocation schedule for the second compliance period.

Section 14(3)(b) concerns the amount of electric allowances that must be consigned to auction under Section 13 (2)(c).

FY 2025

\$75,066 (Asst Director Regulatory Services, 0.04 FTE; Policy Advisor, 0.12 FTE; Resource Planning Manager, 0.12 FTE; Regulatory Analyst 3, 0.20 FTE; Director Policy, 0.04 FTE)

FY 2026

\$56,299 (Asst Director Regulatory Services, 0.03 FTE; Policy Advisor, 0.09 FTE; Resource Planning Manager, 0.09 FTE; Regulatory Analyst 3, 0.15 FTE; Director Policy, 0.03 FTE)

FY 2027

\$37,533 (Asst Director Regulatory Services, 0.02 FTE; Policy Advisor, 0.06 FTE; Resource Planning Manager, 0.06 FTE; Regulatory Analyst 3, 0.10 FTE; Director Policy, 0.02 FTE)

Approve forecast of supply and demand for three utilities

FY 2022

Section 14(2)(b) requires the UTC to approve each electric utility’s forecast of supply and demand and the resulting cost burden for the 2023-2026 compliance period. UTC assumes this approval should be provided to Ecology by July 1, 2022, so that Ecology can include the results in its rules.

FY 2026

Section 14(2)(c) requires the UTC to approve each electric utility’s forecast of supply and demand and the resulting cost burden for the 2027-2030 compliance period. UTC assumes this approval should be provided to Ecology by July 1, 2026, so that Ecology can include the results in its rules.

FY 2022, 2026

\$43,017 (Administrative Law Judge, 0.02 FTE; Commissioner, 0.01 FTE; Asst Director Regulatory Services, 0.02 FTE; Resource Planning Manager, 0.02 FTE; Regulatory Analyst 3, 0.12 FTE; Attorney General, 0.02 FTE; Director Policy, 0.01 FTE; Director Regulatory Services, 0.01 FTE; Policy Advisor, 0.04 FTE)

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
111-1	Public Service Revolving Account	State	99,317	37,533	136,850	75,066	136,850
Total \$			99,317	37,533	136,850	75,066	136,850

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5
A-Salaries and Wages	67,563	25,533	93,096	51,066	93,096
B-Employee Benefits	23,646	8,936	32,582	17,872	32,582
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services	8,108	3,064	11,172	6,128	11,172
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	99,317	37,533	136,850	75,066	136,850

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Administrative Law Judge	111,996	0.0		0.0		0.0
Asst. Director, Regulatory Services	115,908	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Attorney General	188,400	0.0		0.0		0.0
Commissioner	149,028	0.0		0.0		0.0
Director, Policy	114,744	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Director, Regulatory Services	126,504	0.0		0.0		0.0
Policy Advisor	109,284	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Regulatory Analyst 3	90,883	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Resource Planning Manager	87,924	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total FTEs		0.7	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.5

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 240-Department of Licensing
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Xia Zhan	Phone: 360 902-0113	Date: 05/04/2021
Agency Approval: Kristin Bettridge	Phone: 360-902-3644	Date: 05/04/2021
OFM Review: Kyle Siefering	Phone: (360) 995-3825	Date: 05/04/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This bill requires the Department of Ecology (DOE) to implement a cap and invest program for greenhouse gas emissions, establishes an Environmental Justice and Equity Advisory Panel, and authorizes DOE to require individuals who produce/distribute fossil fuels that emit greenhouse gases to comply with air quality /emission standards or emission limitations. Since this bill requires DOE to implement a cap and invest program for greenhouse gas emissions, there is no fiscal impact to DOL.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The passed legislation requires the Department of Licensing (DOL) to notify the legislature after a separate additive transportation act is passed, which will trigger the ability for the Clean Fuels Program to begin assigning compliance obligations and allowing credit generation. This separate additive transportation act is defined as an act passed after 4/1/21 that raises the state fuel tax by at least 5 cents per gallon. There is no direct revenue impact.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 303-Department of Health
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.9
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	1,000	117,000	118,000	198,000	198,000
Total \$	1,000	117,000	118,000	198,000	198,000

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Jayme Hills	Phone: 360-338-2900	Date: 04/30/2021
Agency Approval: Carl Yanagida	Phone: 360-789-4832	Date: 04/30/2021
OFM Review: Danielle Cruver	Phone: (360) 522-3022	Date: 05/03/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This fiscal note has changed from the previous fiscal note on E2S SB 5126. Section 2 (54)(a) adds language to the definition of “overburdened community”; section 3 changed from the previous bill, and it requires the Department of Health (DOH) to work with the Department of Ecology to help coordinate and staffed an Environmental Justice Review; section 5 changed from the previous bill and it requires the Environmental Justice Council to carry out several tasks and responsibilities; section 32.1.a changed from the previous bill and it states that DOH, along with Ecology, will provide consultation to JLARC on persons most impacted by air pollution. As a result of these changes there is a fiscal impact to DOH.

Section 2(54)(a) adds language to the definition of "Overburdened community" saying it includes, but is not limited to :

(i) Highly impacted communities as defined in RCW 19.405.020;

(ii) Communities located in census tracts that are fully or partially on "Indian country" as defined in 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1151; and

(iii) Populations, including Native Americans or immigrant populations, who may be exposed to environmental contaminants and pollutants outside of the geographic area in which they reside based on the populations' use of traditional or cultural foods and practices, such as the use of resources, access to which is protected under treaty rights in ceded areas, when those exposures in conjunction with other exposures may result in disproportionately greater risks, including risks of certain cancers or other adverse health effects and outcomes.

Section 3 requires Department of Ecology to conduct an Environmental Justice Review, identifying “overburdened communities” by a process that depends in part upon: a. information provided by DOH hosted on the Washington Tracking Network under the Environmental Health (EH) Disparities map; and b. discourse with the Environmental Justice Council established under SB 5141 (HEAL Act), which is to be coordinated and staffed by DOH.

Section 3(2)(a): Starting in 2023 and every two years after that, Ecology must conduct a review of criteria pollutant levels in overburdened communities. The review would need to evaluate health impacts of criteria pollutants, and Ecology may conduct this evaluation jointly with DOH.

Section 5 requires the Environmental Justice Council to carry out several tasks and responsibilities. Under SB 5141 (enrolled as the HEAL Act), DOH staff will coordinate, support the EJ Council members, and reimburse council member’s costs, for which a separate Fiscal Note has been completed. The Climate Commitment Act (E2SB 5126.PL) increases the scope of the EJ Council’s responsibilities, and Sec. 5.3 adds two tribal member seats to the Council. The fiscal impact of these new responsibilities and additional council members are not reflected in the Fiscal Note for 5141 (HEAL Act).

Sec. 32(1)(a) states that DOH, along with Ecology, will provide consultation to JLARC on persons most impacted by air pollution, as part of JLARC’s analysis of costs and benefits, including environmental and health benefits, of the first five years of the program.

DOH assumes that in 2029 this will require an Epi 2 approximately 8 hours total to provide consultation on populations covered and assumptions used in environment and health related cost benefit analyses. These costs are absorbable under regular work duties.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

None

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

Section 3(2)(a): DOH assumes 0.2 FTE of an Air Quality Epidemiologist 2 will be needed between July 1, 2023 – Dec. 1, 2023 and every two years after that (0.4 FTE over 6 months) to: jointly plan with Ecology an approach and methods to be used in reviewing air pollution exposures and health impacts in overburdened communities; carry out tribal consultation where needed as part of planning and conducting the review; vet the approach with EJ Council members; gather, clean and analyze data and write the report; vet the draft report with the EJ Council, tribes and other parties; and finalize report.

Work for EPI 2 from July 1 – Dec 1, 2023 will include :

- Meetings and planning analysis (data sources, methods) in collaboration with ECY staff.
- Gathering, cleaning, analyzing data.
- Report writing.
- Tribal consultation and vetting plan and (later) report with EJ Council.

Total cost for section 3(2)(a) are;

FY 2023 – 0.2 FTE and \$36,000 (GFS)

FY 2025 – 0.2 FTE and \$36,000 (GFS)

FY 2027 – 0.2 FTE and \$36,000 (GFS), and every two years thereafter

Section 5: DOH assumes an additional staff will be needed support the Council in carrying out the additional duties required under E2SB 5126.PL, such as reviewing EJ assessment analyses from other agencies, reviewing investment plans and funding proposals for programs funded out of the climate account (Sec. 5.2.a.ii.), and other duties. Beginning in FY 2023, a 0.5 FTE Management Analyst 4 position will need to be hired to gather and synthesize information needed by Council members to conduct evaluations, provide recommendations, and set environmental health goals to inform Council recommendations. The department assumes the Department of Ecology will take a lead role in developing information and preparing materials for the Council's review.

In addition, the two additional Council members will require travel costs and per diem. The council will meet four to six times per year starting September 2021, the majority of meetings will be held virtually, and one to two meetings will take place in person which will be held in Western and or Eastern Washington facilities.

Travel assumptions for 2 nongovernmental council members is \$1,100 per fiscal year to attend in person meetings ;

- \$187 per council member meals & lodging (average of Spokane & Thurston county rates)
- \$364 per council member personal vehicle mileage (650 miles round trip x \$0.56)
- \$200 per council member pay (\$100 x 2)

Total costs for section 5 are;

FY 2022 – 0.0 FTE and \$1,000 (GFS)

FY 2023 – 0.7 FTE and \$81,000 (GFS), and each year thereafter

Total cost for this bill is as follow:

FY 2022 – 0.0 FTE and \$1,000 (GFS)

FY 2023 – 1.0 FTE and \$117,000 (GFS)

FY 2024 – 0.7 FTE and \$81,000 (GFS)

FY 2025 – 1.0 FTE and \$117,000 (GFS)
 FY 2026 – 0.7 FTE and \$81,000 (GFS)
 FY 2027 – 1.0 FTE and \$117,000 (GFS)

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	1,000	117,000	118,000	198,000	198,000
Total \$			1,000	117,000	118,000	198,000	198,000

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years		1.0	0.5	0.9	0.9
A-Salaries and Wages		74,000	74,000	124,000	124,000
B-Employee Benefits		28,000	28,000	48,000	48,000
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		7,000	7,000	12,000	12,000
G-Travel	1,000	1,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements		7,000	7,000	12,000	12,000
9-					
Total \$	1,000	117,000	118,000	198,000	198,000

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
EPIDEMIOLOGIST 2 (NON-MEDICAL)	95,484		0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Fiscal Analyst 2	53,000		0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
Health Svcs Conslt 1	53,000		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
MANAGEMENT ANALYST 4	80,292		0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
Total FTEs			1.0	0.5	0.9	0.9

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

None

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 360-University of Washington
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	50,000	1,782,360	1,832,360	3,466,613	3,409,734
Total \$	50,000	1,782,360	1,832,360	3,466,613	3,409,734

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Jessie Friedmann	Phone: 206-685-8868	Date: 04/28/2021
Agency Approval: Kelsey Rote	Phone: 2065437466	Date: 04/28/2021
OFM Review: Breann Boggs	Phone: (360) 485-5716	Date: 05/12/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

This bill seeks to institutionalize and operationalize the greenhouse gas limits by expressly providing such authority under chapter 70A.15 RCW, the Clean Air Act, to enact emission standards, and create a cap on greenhouse gas emissions, as part of a comprehensive state climate, energy, and resilience program. Consistent with lead agency assumptions, there is no change in fiscal impact based on the amendments incorporated in 2S SB 5126 PL.

Section 4 - Creates the Environmental Justice Assessment in the Climate Investment Account

Section 8 - Sets a cap on greenhouse gases

Section 9 - Sets annual allowance budget & timelines

Section 12 - Describes auction allowances

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Our current expenditure estimates are based on the following assumptions:

- There would be no additional reporting burden under this bill as UW already reports greenhouse gas emissions to the Department of Ecology (DOE). If, however, the UW would need to conduct additional or more detailed reporting, the UW would need additional staff time to run a compliance program (likely 1 FTE environmental engineer, with salary and benefits of approx. \$108,000 per year). Additionally, if the UW elected to apply for grants administered through NCS accounts, costs reporting and coordination costs would increase.
- The UW would not be responsible for allocating funds from the climate investment account, and would thus not have expenses associated with conducting an environmental justice assessment. If the UW was responsible for allocating costs from this account, there would be indeterminate expenses associated with performing this assessment per lead agency assumptions.
- If DOE chose to adopt rules regarding emission assumptions for electricity, there may be greater expenditures to cover the cost of additional allowances.
- Emissions that would be subject to this bill are those associated with the UW's power plant and contiguous properties.
- Offsets would not be used to cover any of the UW's compliance obligation.
- The UW would not be considered a substantive contributor to cumulative pollution. However, if this assumption is incorrect, we estimate a cost between \$10,000-\$30,000 to hire a consultant to calculate emissions inventories, conduct air dispersion modeling, and write a health impact assessment each time that UW is determined to meet this classification.”

We estimate that the following expenditures would be necessary in order for the UW to comply with allowance and compliance provisions set forth in this bill:

- \$100,000 spread equally between the latter half of FY22 and early half of FY23 to retain a consultant with expertise in cap & trade compliance, greenhouse gas allowances, and allowance auctions. Currently, the UW does not employ anyone with experience or knowledge of cap & trade policies in-house. This fee is estimated based on previous consultants hired by the University to implement comparable programs.
- Allowances to cover UW's emissions levels. For the purpose of fiscal note, and per guidance from DOE, we have used baseline emissions averages from the 2015-2019 time period. Under the assumption that emissions remain

constant, and given the provided emission reduction pathways, calendar year allowance obligations will be:

2023: \$1,732,360
 2024: \$1,736,025
 2025: \$1,730,588
 2026: \$1,717,102
 2027: \$1,692,632

These estimates are based on the estimated allowance prices provided by DOE. Please note that the proposed law regulates emissions based on calendar year reporting, as opposed to fiscal year reporting. As such, actual expenditures will not be cleanly aligned with either calendar or fiscal years, as the UW will likely purchase allowances at times in which UW receives the best price, as is allowable under the bill. Allowance costs are detailed in the “Good & Services” line of the Expenditures table.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	50,000	1,782,360	1,832,360	3,466,613	3,409,734
Total \$			50,000	1,782,360	1,832,360	3,466,613	3,409,734

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Professional Service Contracts	50,000	50,000	100,000		
E-Goods and Other Services		1,732,360	1,732,360	3,466,613	3,409,734
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	50,000	1,782,360	1,832,360	3,466,613	3,409,734

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 365-Washington State University
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	1,717,614	1,717,614	3,800,148	4,351,919
Total \$	0	1,717,614	1,717,614	3,800,148	4,351,919

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Chris Jones	Phone: 509-335-9682	Date: 04/28/2021
Agency Approval: Chris Jones	Phone: 509-335-9682	Date: 04/28/2021
OFM Review: Breann Boggs	Phone: (360) 485-5716	Date: 05/12/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Bill 5126 2S SB.PL would do the following:

Sec. 8 creates a carbon pollution cap, allowances, and an auction for reducing greenhouse gas emissions that is capable of being integrated with emissions reduction programs in other jurisdictions.

Sec. 9 requires the program to commence January 1, 2023 and the state to review greenhouse emissions allowances on an annual basis.

Sec. 11 establishes compliance requirements for covered and opt-in entities.

Sec. 23 (3) imposes monetary penalties if compliance is not achieved, up to \$10,000 per day per violation.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Washington Department of Ecology provided floor price assumptions by calendar year based on the current pricing used in California's program. Based on this assumption and WSU's 2019 reported amount of 79,851mt of eCO₂, WSU would spend the following annually to be in compliance with the program:

Year Cost per allowance Annual Total Cost:

2023 \$ 20.60 \$ 1,644,930

2024 \$ 22.09 \$ 1,763,908

2025 \$ 23.68 \$ 1,890,872

2026 \$ 25.41 \$ 2,029,014

2027 \$ 27.27 \$ 2,177,537

For purposes of the fiscal note, WSU is estimating based on a fiscal year basis, although in practice the allowance costs will change each calendar year.

WSU will require at least 0.5 FTE of a project engineer's time to maintain compliance with the program, including the purchasing of allowances. Annual salary is estimated at \$108,000 and benefits at 34.6%.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	0	1,717,614	1,717,614	3,800,148	4,351,919
Total \$			0	1,717,614	1,717,614	3,800,148	4,351,919

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years		0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
A-Salaries and Wages		54,000	54,000	108,000	108,000
B-Employee Benefits		18,684	18,684	37,368	37,368
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		1,644,930	1,644,930	3,654,780	4,206,551
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	0	1,717,614	1,717,614	3,800,148	4,351,919

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Project Engineer	108,000		0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5
Total FTEs			0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: karin Landsberg	Phone: 360-705-7491	Date: 05/05/2021
Agency Approval: Eric Wolin	Phone: 360-705-7487	Date: 05/05/2021
OFM Review: Jenna Forty	Phone: (564) 999-1671	Date: 05/05/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

See attached WSDOT fiscal note.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency, identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources. Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 E2SSB.PL	Title: Climate Commitment Act	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions, use the fiscal template table provided to show fiscal impact by account, object, and program (if necessary), **add rows if needed**. If no fiscal impact, check the box below, skip fiscal template table, and go to Part II to explain briefly, why the program believes there will be no fiscal impact to the department.

No Fiscal Impact (Explain in section II. A)

If a fiscal note is assigned to our agency, someone believes there might be, and we need to address that, showing why there is no impact to the department.

Indeterminate Cash Receipts Impact (Explain in section II. B)

Indeterminate Expenditure Impact (Explain in section II. C)

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, **complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V**

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, **complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V**

Capital budget impact, **complete Part IV**

Requires new rule making, **complete Part V**

Revised

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this fiscal template represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Agency Assumptions

Per the Department of Ecology's lead agency assumptions, the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) assumes that an additive transportation funding revenue act has been implemented and the act is fully implemented (section 22) and that a pathway for emissions-intensive, trade-exposed businesses has been enacted (Section 26(5)).

Agency Contacts:

Preparer: Karin Landsberg	Phone: 907-830-1714	Date: 4/26/2021
Approval: Eric Wolin	Phone: 360-705-7126	Date: 4/26/2021
Budget Manager: Doug Clouse	Phone: 360-705-7535	Date: 4/28/2021
Economic Analysis: Lizbeth Martin-Mahar	Phone: 360 705-7942	Date: 4/27/2021

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact

Briefly describe by section number (sections that will change WSDOT costs or revenue), the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency. List the sections that have fiscal impact to WSDOT only. E.g., “Section 3 directs the Department to ...” No summarizing, no interpreting, and save any background context for the revenue and expenditure parts.

Key Changes from Previous Version

- The Forward Flexible Account has been replaced with the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account and limits the new account to funding activities that reduce transportation greenhouse gas emissions.
- The requirement for a Governance Structure has been added back to the bill.

Section 3 – Environmental Justice Review – The Department of Ecology must identify overburdened communities; Ecology may accomplish this requirement through Ecology’s process to do the same under ESSB 5141. In overburdened communities, Ecology must identify sources that are the greatest contributors of criteria pollutants, develop a high priority list of significant emitters, and take described steps to reduce criteria pollutant emissions.

Section 4 – Environmental Justice Assessment – When allocating funds from the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, Climate Investment Account, or the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account, or administering grants or programs funded by these accounts, agencies shall conduct an environmental justice assessment consistent with ESSB 5141 and establish a minimum of 35% of total investments that provide direct and meaningful benefits to vulnerable populations in overburdened communities. Agencies allocating funds or administering grants from these accounts must report annually to the Environmental Justice Council created under ESSB 5141 and consider recommendations from the Council.

Section 5 – Environmental Justice Council – The Council created under ESSB 5141 must provide recommendations, input, and oversight regarding the implementation of the Carbon Cap and Invest Program.

Section 6 – Tribal Consultation – Agencies allocating funding from the Climate Investment Account, must develop a consultation framework in coordination with tribes and offer consultation on funding decisions and programs that may impact tribal interests. If an activity funded by the Climate Investment Account affects tribes and they were not offered consultation, the tribe may request that the activity stop until meaningful consultation has occurred. A project or activity funded by the Climate Investment Account must pause or cease if an affected federally recognized tribe or the Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation provides timely notice of a determination that the activity will adversely impact cultural resources, archaeological sites, or sacred sites.

Section 7 – Governance Structure – The Governor shall establish a governance structure to implement the state’s climate commitment, providing for accountability for achieving the state’s GHG limits, establishing a coordinated and strategic statewide approach to climate resilience, building an equitable and inclusive clean energy economy, and ensuring that state government provides clear direction. The governance structure must include a strategic plan, common policies and practices, processes for prioritization and coordination, updating a statewide strategy for addressing climate risks, comprehensive community engagement plan, and an analysis of gaps and conflicts in state law and programs.

Section 8 – Cap on GHG Emissions – Ecology must establish a cap on GHG emissions from entities covered by the program.

Section 9 – Annual Allowance Budget and Timelines – here budget refers to carbon budget, not financial budget.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Section 12 – Auctions of Allowances – Outlines the steps for holding auctions for emission allowances. The State Treasurer must deposit specified amounts of the auction proceeds to the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account for fiscal years 2023, 2024, and 2025, and \$359 million each year for fiscal years 2026 through 2037 with the remaining auction proceeds going to the Climate Investment Account and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account. For the first 16 years, the total amount of the deposits into the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account must not exceed \$5.2 billion.

For fiscal year 2038 and each year thereafter, 50 percent of the auction proceeds must be deposited to the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account and the remainder goes to the Climate Investment Account and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account.

Section 22 – Compliance Obligations – This section describes the compliance obligations for covered entities. These obligations do not take effect until a separate additive transportation revenue act becomes law. An “additive transportation revenue act” means an act, enacted after April 1, 2021, in which the state fuel tax under RCW 82.38.030 increases by at least five cents per gallon.

Section 26 – Expenditure Targets – Sets a minimum of at least 35 percent and a goal of 40 percent of total investments to provide direct and meaningful benefits to vulnerable populations within the boundaries of overburdened communities identified per ESSB 5141. An additional 10 percent of total investments are to be used for programs and activities formally supported by a resolution of an Indian tribe.

No expenditures may be made from the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, the Climate Investment Account, or the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account if, by April 1, 2023, the legislature has not enacted legislation for a compliance pathway specific to emissions-intensive, trade-exposed businesses.

Section 27 – Carbon Emissions Reduction Account – Establishes the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account and identifies that it is intended to affect reductions in transportation sector emissions. Investments may include:

- Alternatives to single occupancy vehicles
- Reduction in single occupancy vehicle miles traveled
- Reductions in per mile emissions in vehicles, including funding alternative fuel infrastructure and incentive programs
- Emission reductions for freight, including motor vehicles and rail.
- Ferries and other maritime and port activities

Section 28 – Climate Investment Account – Except as otherwise authorized, all auction receipts must be deposited into the Climate Investment Account. Beginning July 2024, the state treasurer must distribute the funds:

- Climate Commitment Account – 75 percent
- Natural Climate Solutions Account – 25 percent

Section 29 – Climate Commitment Account – Funds may be used for a variety of purposes that reduce emissions, including:

- Supplementing the growth management planning and environmental review fund
- Programs and projects that reduce and mitigate greenhouse gases and co-pollutants in overburdened communities
- Deploying renewable energy resources
- Energy efficiency improvements in industry and buildings
- Clean energy transition and assistance programs that assist affected workers or people with lower incomes

Section 30 – Natural Climate Solutions Account – Funds from this account are intended to improve the resilience of the state’s waters, forests, and other vital ecosystems. Fish passage correction investments such as those that are considered by the fish passage barrier removal board are also eligible.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Section 31 – Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account – Expenditures from this account are intended to reduce criteria pollutant emissions and their health effects in overburdened communities and provide for monitoring to track emissions in these communities. Moneys in the account may be used for the transportation budget (or capital budget) for projects that would result in long-term environmental benefits and increase resilience to climate change.

Section 34 – Directs lead agencies to review GHG emissions from a new or modified facility under the Carbon Cap and Invest Program concurrently with SEPA review.

Section 46 – Requires ecology to annually report on distributions from all accounts created in this act. Requires entities receiving funding to report to Ecology.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

If there are cash receipts components of the fiscal note, contact BFA-Economics to share your assumptions, and calculations. BFA-Economics will develop and supply cash receipts narrative to ensure consistent department messaging.

This bill directs the State Treasurer to deposit specified amounts of the auction proceeds to the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account and the Climate Investment Account. The exact amount of those transfers to the new accounts is outlined in the bill and will be reported on the State Treasurer’s fiscal note. There are no cash receipts to the department.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Environmental Justice Assessment (Section 4) – When allocating funds from the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, the Climate Investment Account (or subaccounts), or the Natural Solutions Account, agencies must conduct an environmental justice assessment and ensure that at least 35% of total investments provide direct and meaningful benefits to vulnerable populations in overburdened communities. Because the Washington State Department of Transportation is assumed to allocate funds from these accounts, it would be required to conduct environmental justice assessments for these programs and projects. It is assumed that costs to administer funding programs and implement projects would be covered by appropriations from the accounts, including the costs of required environmental justice assessments. Funding for these activities depends on the availability of the funds; per Ecology’s lead agency assumptions this work could begin in fiscal year 2023. ***Fiscal impact is indeterminate.***

Tribal Consultation (Section 6) – Before allocating funding from the Climate Investment Account, agencies must develop a consultation framework in coordination with tribal governments and offer consultation with tribes on all funding activities that may impact them. WSDOT could receive funding appropriated from the Climate Investment Account subaccounts (Climate Commitment Account & Natural Climate Solutions Account). The department assumes that costs to administer funding programs and implement projects would be covered by appropriations from the accounts, including the costs of tribal consultation. Funding for these activities depends on the availability of funds; per Ecology’s lead agency assumptions this work could begin in fiscal year 2023. ***No fiscal impact.***

Governance Structure (Section 7) – The Governor is directed to establish a governance structure to implement the state’s climate commitment and provide oversight and coordination. At this time, the department’s role in the governance structure is unknown as is the level of support the department would provide to this task. ***Fiscal impact is indeterminate.***

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Carbon Emissions Reduction Account (Section 27) – WSDOT has existing programs in areas that are eligible for funding by the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, and it is assumed those types of projects and programs will be funded through WSDOT (e.g., transit, active transportation, electric vehicle infrastructure, trip reduction). Currently, allocation of funds to WSDOT is unknown and the department’s role in implementing those projects or programs is also unknown. It is assumed that costs to administer funding programs and implement projects would be covered by appropriations from the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account. The department could receive appropriations from this account beginning in fiscal year 2023. *Fiscal impact is indeterminate.*

Climate Investment Account (Section 28) – WSDOT could receive funding appropriations from the Climate Investment Account subaccounts (Climate Commitment Account (Section 29) and the Natural Climate Solutions Account (Section 30)). The department assumes that costs to administer funding programs and implement projects funded by these accounts would be covered by appropriations from the accounts. Funding for these activities depends on the availability of the funds; per Ecology’s lead agency assumptions this work could begin in fiscal year 2023. *No fiscal impact.*

Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account (31) – Funds from this account may be appropriated through either the capital budget or the transportation budget for investments that would provide long-term environmental benefits and increased resilience to the impacts of climate change. Currently, the allocation of funds from this account to WSDOT is unknown, as is the department’s role in administering the funds. The department assumes that costs to administer funding programs and implement projects with this funding would be covered by the appropriations from the same account. The department could receive funds from this account beginning in fiscal year 2023. *Fiscal impact is indeterminate.*

Annual Reporting (Section 46) – Per the Department of Ecology’s lead agency assumptions, Ecology would request information from governmental entities implementing projects or allocating funds to be included in the required annual reporting. Because WSDOT has existing programs in areas that are eligible for funding under the act, it is assumed the department would have annual reporting requirements. Currently, allocation of funds to WSDOT is unknown. It The department assumes that reporting costs would be covered by funds allocated for administering funding projects and programs. *Fiscal impact is indeterminate.*

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object or Purpose

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

N/A

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

The bill does not direct the department to adopt or revise rules. It is unknown at this time if WSDOT would need to engage in rulemaking to deliver the projects, programs, and activities resulting from future appropriation.



Ten Year Analysis

Bill Number: E2SSB 5126	Title: Relating to the Washington climate commitment act	Agency: 405 Washington State Department of Transportation
-----------------------------------	---	--

Ten-year analyses are to be completed by the WSDOT BFA-Economics Office and are limited to agency-estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at <http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp>.

Estimates

No Cash Receipts Partially Indeterminate Cash Receipts Indeterminate Cash Receipts

Name of Tax or Fee	Account Code and Title	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Total 2019-29
	Choose an item.											
Totals												

Narrative Explanation (Required for all Taxes and/or Fees including "Indeterminate," "Partially Indeterminate," or "No Cash Receipts.")

Brief Description of What the Measure does that has I-960 Implications

Briefly describe by section number, the provisions of the bill that make it subject to the requirements of I-960.

Section 12 of the outlines the steps for holding auctions for emission allowances. The bill also directs the State Treasurer to deposit specified amounts of the auction proceeds to the carbon emissions reduction account for fiscal years 2023, 2024, and 2025, with \$127.3 million for the first fiscal year 2023, \$356.7 million in fiscal year 2024, \$366.6 million in fiscal year 2025. The remaining auction proceeds are distributed to the climate investment account. For fiscal years 2026 through 2037; there is specified that \$359.1 million is to be deposited into the carbon emissions reduction account each year with the remainder going to the climate investment account. The deposits into the carbon emissions reduction account must not exceed \$5.2 billion over the first 16 years. For fiscal year 2038 and each year thereafter, the auction proceeds must be deposited with 50% of the proceeds to the carbon emissions reduction account and 50% to the climate investment account.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

This bill directs the State Treasurer to deposit specified amounts of the auction proceeds to the carbon emissions reduction and climate investment accounts. The exact amount of those transfers to the new accounts is outlined in the bill and will be reported on the State Treasurer’s fiscal note. There are no cash receipts to the department.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 461-Department of Ecology
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

ACCOUNT	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Air Pollution Control Account-State 216-1					2,573,188
Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account-State NEW-1		20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000
Carbon Emissions Reduction Account-State NEW-1		127,341,000	127,341,000	723,255,000	718,234,000
Climate Investment Account-State NEW-1		73,272,259	73,272,259	144,929,263	174,148,300
Total \$		220,613,259	220,613,259	888,184,263	914,955,488

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	43.1	34.7	38.9	31.4	29.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	11,068,279	7,788,072	18,856,351	(3,172,000)	(3,172,000)
Air Pollution Control Account-State 216-1	0	0	0	0	2,573,188
Model Toxics Control Operating Account-State 23P-1	(2,150,603)	(2,367,000)	(4,517,603)	(1,562,000)	(1,562,000)
Climate Investment Account-State NEW-1	0	6,589,698	6,589,698	22,455,297	18,412,189
Total \$	8,917,676	12,010,770	20,928,446	17,721,297	16,251,377

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Pete Siefer	Phone: 360-407-6646	Date: 05/11/2021
Agency Approval: Erik Fairchild	Phone: 360-407-7005	Date: 05/11/2021
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 902-0573	Date: 05/12/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Compared to E2SSB 5126, E2SSB.PL 5126 has the following substantive changes related to the Department of Ecology (Ecology):

Section 2 (67) adds a definition for the “voluntary renewable reserve account,” as a holding account maintained by Ecology, from which allowances may be retired for voluntary renewable electricity generation directly delivered to the state on behalf of voluntary renewable energy purchasers or end users and would not be used to meet other state mandatory requirements.

Section 3 would align requirements of the environmental justice review with the requirements in E2SSB 5141, would require the first review of criteria pollutants by 2023, and the review would require evaluations of initial and subsequent health impacts in overburdened communities. Section 3 would also require Ecology to issue an enforceable order for compliance within six months of adoption of standards, in the event that more stringent standards must be adopted for failure to reduce concentrations of criteria pollutants in overburdened communities.

Section 7 would require the Governor’s Office to submit policy and budget recommendations to the Legislature by December 31, 2021.

Section 8 (3) would require Ecology to evaluate whether linkage of program with other jurisdictions would provide a more cost-effective means for covered entities to meet compliance obligations in Washington.

Sections 8 (4) and 8 (6) would require Ecology to consult with energy-intensive, trade-exposed (EITE) businesses, covered entities, environmental advocates, and overburdened communities to develop agency request legislation for the 2022 regular legislative session that outlines a compliance pathway for EITE entities for achieving their proportionate share of the emissions reduction limits through 2050. Ecology would be required to submit agency request legislation if any provision of the chapter were to prevent Washington’s Cap and Invest Program from being linked with a similar program in another jurisdiction.

Section 8 (5) would require Ecology to submit a report to the Legislature and the Environmental Justice Council by December 1, 2027, and at least every four years thereafter, providing a comprehensive review of the program implementation to date, including outcomes relative to emissions reduction targets, overburdened communities, covered entities, and emissions-intensive, trade-exposed businesses.

Section 10 (1) would include all sources of electricity in the first compliance period.

Section 10 (1) (c) would require Ecology to consult with Commerce, UTC, and any jurisdiction that is linked with the program created in the act, to adopt a method for addressing imported electricity associated with a centralized electricity market. This method would be adopted by rule by October 1, 2026.

Section 10 (3) (b) would exempt landfills from program coverage requirements if they capture at least 75% of landfill gases, from which they produce renewable natural gas or electricity.

Section 10 (3) (c) would only include the provisions of the act with regard to landfills if the Legislature were not to pass a landfill-specific GHG emissions reduction policy by January 1, 2030.

Section 10 (7) (e) would exempt fuels used exclusively for agricultural purposes by a farm fuel user. This exemption would only be available if a buyer of motor vehicle fuel or special fuel provides the seller with an exemption certificate in a form and manner prescribed by Ecology. For the purposes of this subsection, "agricultural purposes" and "farm fuel

user" would have the same meanings as provided in RCW 82.08.865. Ecology would also be required to determine a method for expanding the exemption for fuels used for transporting agricultural products on public highways and maintain the expanded exemption for a period of five years.

Section 11 (11) would require Ecology to include a voluntary renewable reserve account.

Section 12 (2) would require Ecology to provide auction notices to the Environmental Justice Council at least 60 days prior to each auction and report on auction results and public proceeds within 60 days after each auction. Beginning in 2024 and annually thereafter, Ecology would be required to provide a summary of the previous calendar year's auction results to the Environmental Justice Council.

In section 12 (7), the Forward Flexible Account would be replaced by the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, created in section 27; specified revenue allocations would remain the same. Remaining auction proceeds would be deposited in the Climate Investment Account created in section 28 and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31.

Section 13 (3) would modify provisions relating to the compliance obligations of emissions-intensive and trade-exposed industries (EITEs) by removing the requirement that Ecology convene a work group for developing carbon intensity benchmarks calculations and moving up the deadline for Ecology to provide a report to the Legislature on alternative methods and allowance schedules for EITEs to be due by December 1, 2026.

Section 13 (8) would require the rules adopted for new eligible facilities to include requirements for consultation with affected tribal nations for facilities built on tribal lands or determined by Ecology to impact tribal lands or resources.

Section 23 (9) (c) would pre-empt the provisions of chapter 173-442 WAC regarding the Clean Air Rule.

Section 24 (3) would require Ecology to evaluate and make a finding regarding whether the aggregate number of unused allowances in a linked program would reduce the stringency of Washington's program and the state's ability to achieve its greenhouse gas emissions reduction limits.

Section 26 (4) would require the state to develop a process to evaluate impacts of investments made under the chapter, work across state agencies to develop and track priorities across the different eligible funding categories, and work with the Environmental Justice Council pursuant to section 5.

Section 26 (5) would restrict expenditures from the Climate Investment Account, Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account if by April 1, 2023, the Legislature has not considered and enacted the request legislation required under section 8.

Section 27 creates the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account.

Section 28 (2) would set a limitation on costs for the administration of the requirements of this bill to 5% of allowance auction proceeds under this chapter per biennium.

Section 28 (2) would require the State Treasurer starting in FY 2025 to distribute funds in the Climate Investment Account after administrative costs are covered as follows: 75% of funds to the Climate Commitment Account created in section 29, and 25% of funds to the Natural Climate Solutions Account created in section 30.

Section 29 establishes the Climate Commitment Account, and provides for its uses. Funds would come from Climate Investment Account distributions under section 28 beginning in FY 2025.

Section 30 establishes the Natural Climate Solutions Account, and provides for its uses. Funds would come from Climate Investment Account distributions under section 28 beginning in FY 2025.

Section 31 establishes the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account, and provides for its uses. Funds would come from auction proceeds under section 12. Section 31 (3) describes the intent of the Legislature that not less than \$20,000,000 per biennium be dedicated to the account for its purposes.

Section 46 would require Ecology to post to the Ecology website, and submit to appropriate committees of the Legislature an annual report by September 30 each year, which summarizes distribution of moneys from the accounts created in sections 27 through 31.

Section 47 would require Ecology, in collaboration with interested stakeholders, to develop a proposal for assisting households that use fuels other than electricity or natural gas for home heating. The proposal must give priority to assisting low-income households through weatherization, conservation and efficiency services, and bill assistance. In the event the proposal would require legislative action, Ecology would be required to submit recommendations for proposed legislation to the appropriate committees of the Legislature no later than September 15, 2022.

Under current law, RCW 70A.15.2200, the Department of Ecology (Ecology) manages the greenhouse gas (GHG) reporting program, which requires specified large producers of GHG emissions to report annual emissions.

This bill would create a Climate Commitment program for the state of Washington, which would modify requirements of the GHG reporting program and create a market system of tradeable carbon allowances to meet emissions reduction targets.

(Note that the Governor's proposed 2021-2023 Biennial Operating Budget includes funding for this proposed legislation based on a previous bill version under CIP Climate Commitment Act.)

Section 2:

Section 2 establishes definitions. Biomass-based fuels would need to have demonstrated forty percent lower lifecycle GHG emissions compared to petroleum-based fuels in order to meet the definition of biomass fuels under the bill. For the purposes of estimating allowance budgets and auction revenue, this fiscal note assumes that all biogenic emissions reported under current GHG reporting rules are exempted from coverage, and that covered entities would be responsible for demonstrating the lower lifecycle emissions in order to benefit from the biomass-based fuels exemption provided under section 10 (7) (d).

Section 3:

Section 3 would require Ecology to follow criteria per E2SSB 5141 to identify overburdened communities where the highest concentrations of GHG emissions and criteria pollutants are occurring, identify sources of the emissions, and monitor GHG and criteria pollutant emissions in the overburdened communities. Ecology would review the status of GHG emissions and criteria pollutants in these communities every two years, beginning in 2023. If emissions are not reduced in these communities, Ecology would be required to adopt more stringent air quality standards and limits, and issue enforceable orders. Ecology would be required to create and adopt a community engagement plan to provide communication, outreach, and engagement with overburdened communities in the monitoring and review of emissions changes. The plan would include methods to reach those who face participation barriers, language or otherwise.

Section 4:

Agencies allocating funds from, or administering grants funded by, the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account created in section 27, the Climate Investment Account created in section 28, or the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31, would be required to conduct an environmental justice assessment meeting criteria specified in this section, consider recommendations by the Environmental Justice Council, prepare a community engagement plan to engage with overburdened communities and vulnerable populations in allocating funds or administering grants, and would be required to report annually to the Environmental Justice Council on progress toward meeting environmental justice and environmental health goals.

Section 5:

The Environmental Justice Council created in E2SSB 5141 would provide recommendations to the Governor, Legislature, and implementing agencies in the development of the programs established in this Act.

Section 6:

Agencies allocating funding or administering grant programs from the Climate Investment Account would be required to offer consultation with federally recognized tribes on all funding decisions and programs that affect federally recognized tribes' rights and interests in their tribal lands, independently of any public participation process required by state law, and regardless of whether a tribe requests consultation.

Section 7:

The Governor's Office would establish a governance structure to implement the state's Climate Commitment, and submit policy and budget recommendations to the Legislature by December 31, 2021.

Section 8:

Section 8 would establish requirements for Ecology to implement a cap on GHG emissions and use a carbon allowance trading market to incentivize GHG emissions reductions to meet statewide GHG reduction targets established in statute. Section 8 specifies criteria and requirements for the program.

Section 8 (3) would require Ecology to evaluate whether linkage of program with other jurisdictions would provide a more cost-effective means for covered entities to meet compliance obligations in Washington.

Sections 8 (4) and 8 (6) would require Ecology to consult with energy-intensive, trade-exposed (EITE) businesses, covered entities, environmental advocates, and overburdened communities to develop agency request legislation for the 2022 regular legislative session that outlines a compliance pathway for EITE entities for achieving their proportionate share of the emissions reduction limits through 2050. Ecology would be required to submit agency request legislation if any provision of the chapter were to prevent Washington's Cap and Invest Program from being linked with a similar program in another jurisdiction.

Section 8 (5) would require Ecology to submit a report to the Legislature and the Environmental Justice Council by December 1, 2027, and at least every four years thereafter, providing a comprehensive review of the program implementation to date, including outcomes relative to emissions reduction targets, overburdened communities, covered entities, and emissions-intensive, trade-exposed businesses.

Section 9:

This bill would establish a cap and invest program that would phase in regulatory requirements for different classes of GHG reporters. Section 9 sets the timing for the regulatory requirements; the first compliance period would start January 1, 2023, and end December 31, 2026, and the second compliance period would start January 1, 2027.

Ecology would be required to set annual GHG allowance budgets, which would be designed to reduce emissions over time in order to meet statewide emission limits established in RCW 70A.45.020. The initial annual allowance budgets, for calendar years 2023 to 2026, would be adopted through rulemaking by October 1, 2022.

The annual program budgets for entities covered during the second compliance period, set to begin January 1, 2027 and end December 31, 2030, would be adopted by October 1, 2026.

The annual program budgets would be set to achieve the share of reductions by covered entities necessary to achieve the 2030, 2040, and 2050 statewide emissions limits established in RCW 70A.45.020.

Ecology assumes that progress toward achieving the 2040 GHG emissions reduction targets would be monitored in the years approaching 2040, and that the rulemaking authority provided in section 25 would authorize a rule at a later date

to adjust allowance budgets if needed to achieve the statutory target.

Future evaluations and rulemaking would be required for the 2030 through 2050 calendar years. The costs related to these requirements are beyond the scope of this fiscal note.

Section 9 would also require Ecology to conduct evaluations of program performance in reducing GHG emissions by December 31, 2027, and December 31, 2035, and adjust annual allowance budgets as needed to ensure achievement of future GHG emissions reduction targets. Ecology would be required to share the evaluation and supporting data, metrics, and processes publicly and include public consideration of proposed adjustments.

Section 10:

Section 10 would designate the criteria for program coverage and criteria for participation. This section would direct Ecology to evaluate the net cumulative GHG emissions for a new or expanded facility that require review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and would result in annual GHG emissions in excess of 25,000 metric tons per year. Ecology may adopt rules to determine how to evaluate net cumulative emissions reductions. The evaluation would need to consider net displacement of global emissions resulting from the project.

Section 10 (1) would include all sources of electricity in the first compliance period.

Section 10 (1) (c) would require Ecology to consult with Commerce, UTC, and any jurisdiction that is linked with the program created in the act, to adopt a method for addressing imported electricity associated with a centralized electricity market. This method would be adopted by rule by October 1, 2026.

Section 10 (3) (b) would exempt landfills from program coverage requirements if they capture at least 75% of landfill gases, from which they produce renewable natural gas or electricity.

Section 10 (3) (c) would only include the provisions of the act with regard to landfills if the Legislature were not to pass a landfill-specific GHG emissions reduction policy by January 1, 2030.

Section 10 (7) (e) would exempt fuels used exclusively for agricultural purposes by a farm fuel user. This exemption would only be available if a buyer of motor vehicle fuel or special fuel provides the seller with an exemption certificate in a form and manner prescribed by Ecology. For the purposes of this subsection, "agricultural purposes" and "farm fuel user" would have the same meanings as provided in RCW 82.08.865. Ecology would be required to determine a method for expanding the exemption for fuels used for transporting agricultural products on public highways and maintain the expanded exemption for a period of five years.

Section 11:

Section 11 would require Ecology to establish registration procedures by rule and requires the use of a secure, online electronic tracking system.

Section 11 (7) would require Ecology to display information about the contents of each holding account, including the number of allowances in each account, on our public website, and section 11 (10) would require Ecology to maintain a public roster of all covered entities, opt-in entities, and general market participants on the public website. Section 11 (11) would require a voluntary renewable reserve account.

Section 12:

Ecology would hold a maximum of four auctions for allowances annually. Ecology would adopt by rule: floor prices; ceiling prices; allowance holding limits; and timing to offer allowance price containment reserve auctions. Ecology would also adopt by rule provisions to guard against bidder collusion and minimize the potential for market manipulation. Ecology would engage a qualified, independent contractor to run the auctions and a qualified financial services administrator to hold and evaluate bid guarantees and inform Ecology of bid guarantee values once bids are accepted. Ecology would design auctions to allow linkage with GHG trading programs in other jurisdictions where

possible and may conduct auctions jointly with linked jurisdictions, using the same financial services administrator, market monitor, and auction administrator.

Section 12 (2) would require Ecology to provide auction notices to the Environmental Justice Council at least 60 days prior to each auction and report on auction results and public proceeds within 60 days after each auction. Beginning in 2024 and annually thereafter, Ecology would be required to provide a summary of the previous calendar year's auction results to the Environmental Justice Council.

Once auction results were verified and approved by Ecology, and successful bidders notified by Ecology, auction proceeds would be collected by the financial services administrator and transferred to the State Treasurer for deposit into the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account created in section 27, the Climate Investment Account (CIA) created in section 28, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31; specific amounts for deposits are specified in section 12.

Section 13:

Section 13 (2) would require Ecology to adopt a rule by July 1, 2022, establishing objective numerical criteria for both emissions intensity and trade exposure for the purpose of identifying emissions-intensive trade-exposed manufacturing businesses during the second and subsequent compliance periods.

Section 13 (3) would direct Ecology to allow EITEs to apply and receive an adjustment to their allocation of allowances based on a facility-specific carbon intensity (CI) benchmark, and would require Ecology to establish methods to award additional no cost allowance allocations for EITEs, if appropriate based on projected production, to achieve a similar on-going result through the adjustment of the facility's mass-based baseline. This would be adopted in rule according to the timeline and requirements specified in this subsection.

Section 13 (4) would require Ecology by December 1, 2026, to provide a report to the legislature describing alternative methods and best practices for allocating allowances to EITE, ensuring against emissions leakage. The report would require input from an advisory group including representatives of industry classified as EITE under section 12 (1).

Section 13 (8) would require the rules adopted for new eligible facilities to include requirements for consultation with affected tribal nations for facilities built on tribal lands or determined by Ecology to impact tribal lands or resources.

Section 14:

Section 14 would require Ecology, in consultation with the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC), to establish in rule by October 1, 2022, allocations of free allowances for electricity providers in order to mitigate potential impacts on electricity rates; by October 1, 2026, Ecology would consult with Commerce and the UTC to adopt rules for allocating allowances to be provided at no cost during the second compliance period for electricity providers.

By October 1, 2028, Ecology would adopt an allocation schedule by rule in consultation with Commerce and the UTC for provision of no-cost allowances to electricity utilities and providers for calendar years 2031 through 2040. Based on an assumption of a 15 month rule, rulemaking would begin July 1, 2027, which would be outside the scope of the fiscal note. Ecology, Commerce, and the UTC would need to prepare a decision package for any costs not covered in carry-forward authority.

Section 15:

Section 15 (1) would require Ecology, in consultation with the UTC, to establish in rule by October 1, 2022, allocations of free allowances to be distributed to natural gas utilities during the first two compliance periods for the benefit of rate payers. This fiscal note assumes concurrent rulemaking with the rule for section 14 to ensure that allocations are set for the compliance period for natural gas utilities as needed.

By October 1, 2028, Ecology would adopt an allocation schedule by rule in consultation with the UTC for provision of

no-cost allowances to natural gas utilities for calendar years 2031 through 2040. Based on an assumption of a 15-month rule, rulemaking would begin July 1, 2027, which would be outside the scope of the fiscal note. Ecology and the UTC would need to prepare a decision package for any costs not covered in carry-forward authority.

In order to qualify for the no-cost allowances, natural gas utilities would be required to provide GHG reporting data specified in section 15 (3) to Ecology on or before March 1, 2022, and would be required to continue providing data for each reporting year per section 15 (4).

Section 16:

Section 16 would require Ecology to set an emissions containment reserve price by rule to ensure that the price of allowances remain sufficient to incentivize reductions of GHG emissions. Ecology would withhold allowances from auction when the price falls below the emissions containment reserve price, in order to allow the price to stabilize and ensure achievement of GHG reductions.

Section 17:

Section 17 would require Ecology to adopt by rule floor prices, allowance holding limits, ceiling prices, and timing to offer allowance price containment reserve auctions. During calendar years 2023 through 2026, Ecology would place a minimum of four percent of available allowances in an allowance price containment reserve to assist in containing compliance costs for covered and opt-in entities in the event of unanticipated high costs. Ecology would adopt rules for dedicated allowance price containment reserve auctions when settlement prices in the preceding auction approach adopted auction ceiling prices; Ecology would also set reserve auction floor prices, establish the requirements and schedule for the allowance price containment reserve auctions, and establish the amount of allowances to be placed in the allowance price containment reserve after calendar year 2026.

Section 18:

Section 18 would require sale of price ceiling units as an alternative to price containment reserve auctions, in the event that price containment reserve allowances were not available and allowance prices were to reach the ceiling limits designated in rule. This section would require funds raised in connection with the sale of price ceiling units to be expended to achieve emissions reductions on at least a metric ton for metric ton basis that are real, permanent, quantifiable, verifiable, enforceable by the state, and in addition to any greenhouse gas emission reduction otherwise required by law or regulation and any other greenhouse gas emission reduction that otherwise would occur.

Section 19:

Section 19 would require Ecology to establish protocols by rule for offset projects and credits that may be used to meet a portion of a covered or opt-in entity's compliance obligation under section 22 in alignment with RCW 70A.45.090 and 70A.45.100, that includes offset project requirements, specifies maximums for offset credit use, and details the use and counting of offset projects on federally recognized tribal lands.

Section 20:

Section 20 would require Ecology to establish an assistance program for offset projects on federally recognized tribal lands in Washington. The assistance could include, but would not be limited to, funding or consultation for federally recognized tribal governments to assess proposed offset projects' technical feasibility, investment requirements, development and operational costs, expected returns, administrative and legal challenges, and project risks and pitfalls. Funding or assistance may be provided upon request by a federally recognized tribe. Section 20 (2) specifies legislative intent that not less than five million dollars be provided in the biennial operating budget for the purposes of this section.

Section 22:

Section 22 would establish four-year compliance cycles, and would delay compliance obligations under the act unless a separate additive transportation revenue act were to become law, in which the state fuel tax is increased by at least five cents per gallon, at which time the Department of Licensing would provide a written notice to the Chief Clerk of House of Representatives, the Secretary of the Senate, and the Code Reviser.

Section 23:

Section 23 specifies enforcement requirements and penalties. Penalties of four allowances would be applied for each missing allowance. Ecology would be authorized to issue monetary civil penalties described in this section for failure to comply with any provision of this chapter or the rules adopted under this chapter. Monetary penalties would be deposited in the Climate Investment Account.

Section 23 would preempt local jurisdictions from implementing a charge or tax based exclusively on quantities of GHG emissions, and would preclude any state agency from adopting or enforcing a program that regulates GHG emissions for a stationary source except as provided under this act.

Section 23 (9) (c) would pre-empt the provisions of chapter 173-442 WAC regarding the Clean Air Rule.

Section 24:

Section 24 would encourage Ecology to seek linkage agreements with other jurisdictions. Ecology would be required to conduct a public comment process to obtain input and a review of the linkage agreement by relevant stakeholders and other interested parties. Input from the public comment process would be considered before finalizing a linkage agreement.

Section 24 (3) would require Ecology to evaluate and make a finding regarding whether the aggregate number of unused allowances in a linked program would reduce the stringency of Washington's program and the state's ability to achieve its greenhouse gas emissions reduction limits.

Section 25:

This section would authorize Ecology to adopt rules to implement the provisions of this chapter. This section would also authorize emergency rules for initial implementation of the program.

Section 26:

Section 26 would set expenditure targets for the accounts created in the act. Section 26 (5) would restrict expenditures from the Climate Investment Account, Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account if by April 1, 2023, the Legislature has not considered and enacted the request legislation required under section 8.

Section 26 (4) would require the state to develop a process to evaluate impacts of investments made under the chapter, work across state agencies to develop and track priorities across the different eligible funding categories, and work with the Environmental Justice Council pursuant to section 5.

Section 27:

This section would establish the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, an appropriated account to which receipts from auctions as specified in section 12 (7) would be deposited. The section describes the allowable uses of the funds in the account.

Section 28:

This section would establish the Climate Investment Account, an appropriated account to which receipts from auctions, except for those described in section 12 (7), would be deposited. The section describes the allowable uses of the funds in the account, which include Ecology's and other agencies' costs to support and administer the program. Section 28 (2) would set a limitation on administrative costs to 5% of allowance auction proceeds under this chapter per biennium. Starting in FY 2025, after administrative costs are covered, the State Treasurer would distribute 75% of the funds to the Climate Commitment Account and 25% of the funds to the Natural Climate Solutions Account.

Section 29:

This section would establish the Climate Commitment Account, an appropriated account to which revenue from the Climate Investment Account would be transferred by the Treasurer per section 28 (2) (a). The section describes the

allowable uses of the funds in the account.

Section 30:

This section would establish the Natural Climate Solutions Account, an appropriated account to which revenue from the Climate Investment Account would be transferred by the Treasurer per section 28 (2) (b). The section describes the allowable uses of the funds in the account.

Section 31:

This section would establish the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account, an appropriated account to which receipts from auctions as specified in section 12 (7) would be deposited. The section describes the allowable uses of the funds in the account. Section 31 (3) describes the intent of the legislature that not less than \$20,000,000 per biennium be dedicated to the account for its purposes.

Section 33:

This section would incorporate the requirements of the proposed cap and invest Program into the Greenhouse Gas (GHG) reporting program, RCW 70A.15.2200 and modify reporting requirements in the current GHG reporting program.

Section 39:

Section 39 would suspend the provisions of the act on December 31, 2055, if Ecology were to determine by December 1, 2055 that the 2050 emissions limits of RCW 70A.45.020 have been met for two or more consecutive years. Ecology would be required to provide written notice of the suspension date to affected parties, the Chief Clerk of the House of Representatives, the Secretary of the Senate, the Office of the Code Reviser, and others as deemed necessary. In this event, Ecology would submit a decision package for the sunset of the provisions of the act.

Section 46:

Section 46 would require Ecology to post to the Ecology website, and submit to appropriate committees of the Legislature an annual report by September 30 each year, which summarizes distribution of moneys from the accounts created in sections 27 through 31.

Section 47:

Section 47 would require Ecology, in collaboration with interested stakeholders, to develop a proposal for assisting households that use fuels other than electricity or natural gas for home heating. The proposal must give priority to assisting low-income households through weatherization, conservation and efficiency services, and bill assistance. In the event the proposal would require legislative action, Ecology would be required to submit recommendations for proposed legislation to the appropriate committees of the Legislature no later than September 15, 2022.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

This bill would have a significant cash receipts impact beginning in Fiscal Year 2023 and ongoing.

Note regarding the Clean Energy Transformation Act: Revenue estimates do not account for changes in GHG emissions related to the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which could have an indeterminate impact on auction revenue approaching and following calendar year 2030.

Note regarding Transportation Revenue Act Contingency: Section 22 would delay compliance obligations under the act unless a separate additive transportation revenue act were to become law, as defined in section 22 (7). The fiscal note assumes that these conditions would be met; in the event that these conditions were not met, Ecology would suspend compliance obligations for covered entities. This could reduce costs and auction revenue during the suspension of compliance requirements.

Cash Receipts from Purchases of GHG Emissions Allowances:

Under section 12, auction proceeds would be collected by the financial services administrator and transferred to the State Treasurer for deposit as follows:

Auction revenue up to the amounts specified below would first be deposited in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account (CERA) each fiscal year, not to exceed \$5,200,000,000 over sixteen years, and the remaining proceeds would be deposited into the Climate Investment Account (CIA) created in section 28 and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account (AQHDIA) created in section 31.

- a) FY 2023: first \$127,341,000 to the CERA, with the remaining auction revenue to the CIA /AQHDIA;
- b) FY 2024: first \$356,697,000 to the CERA, with the remaining auction revenue to the CIA /AQHDIA;
- c) FY 2025: first \$366,558,000 to the CERA, with the remaining auction revenue to the CIA /AQHDIA;
- d) FY 2026 through FY 2037: first \$359,117,000 to the CERA, with the remaining auction revenue to the CIA/AQHDIA;

For fiscal year 2038 and each fiscal year thereafter, fifty percent of auction proceeds would be deposited in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, and the remaining auction proceeds would be deposited in the Climate Investment Account and Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account. Auction revenue estimates were calculated based on program requirements as established in the bill, and the following assumptions.

Ecology would be required to set annual GHG allowance budgets, which would be designed to reduce emissions over time in order to meet statewide emission limits established in RCW 70A.45.020. The initial annual allowance budgets for the first compliance period, calendar years 2023 to 2026, would have to be adopted through rulemaking by October 1, 2022. For purposes of revenue assumptions, allowance budgets for the first and second compliance period beginning January 1, 2027 are based on currently available GHG reporting data and the statewide GHG inventory published in accordance with RCW 70A.45.020.

Section 10 would designate the criteria for program coverage and criteria for participation for groups of covered entities that would enter the program during the first and second compliance periods. The first compliance period would begin January 1, 2023, and the second compliance period would begin January 1, 2027.

The average annual eligible emissions from 2015 to 2019 (excluding biogenic emissions, which would be exempted as biomass under section 10) were calculated for all entities meeting the thresholds and criteria for each compliance period, as described in Section 10. The total baseline annual emissions for covered entities in the first compliance period was calculated to be 56.5 MMT CO₂e, which is 58% of total statewide emissions.

Statewide emissions would be limited to achieve the reductions specified in RCW 70A.45.020, which are as follows: 45% below 1990 levels by 2030, 70% below 1990 levels by 2040, and 95% below 1990 levels by 2050.

1990 statewide emissions were 90.5 MMT CO₂e

The 2035 statewide emissions target is 49.8 MMT CO₂e

The 2022 statewide emissions estimate (based on a four-year average of annual emissions for 2015 through 2018 in the Statewide GHG Inventory Report, published January 2021) is projected to be 97.9 MMT CO₂e

The goal for emissions reduction from 2023 to 2030 is $49.8 - 97.9 = -48.1$ MMT CO₂e

The emissions reduction goal for covered entities, based on their 58% share of statewide emissions is calculated to be -36.4 MMT CO₂e over the eight-year compliance period through 2030.

Per section 13, emissions allowances for energy-intensive, trade-exposed (EITE) entities would decline by 3% in the first year of the second and third compliance periods. Future allowance budgets and no-cost allocations would hold at the same levels if the legislature were not to adopt a compliance obligation for EITE by December 1, 2027. For purposes of this fiscal note, the allowances are held at the calendar year 2031 levels through calendar year 2040.

Emissions allowances would need to decline by roughly 7.1% each year for all non-EITE entities incurring coverage obligations during the first compliance period, starting with calendar year 2023. This reduction pathway assumes that non-covered entities would also be voluntarily reducing emissions at a rate proportionate to the regulated /covered entities, based on the non-covered entities' portions of statewide emissions.

The initial allowance budget for January 1 to December 31, 2023, would equal a calculated reduction of 7.1% from the baseline annual emissions for each of the covered entities during this compliance period. The budget would continue to decrease from the baseline by an additional 7.1% of baseline emissions each successive year.

During the second compliance period, starting on January 1, 2027, the reduction curve for non-EITE entities continuing from the first compliance period would be remain at 7.1% of initial baseline emissions. For new CO₂e emissions that would be added to the program for the second compliance period, the reduction pathway would be 12.3% of baseline emissions each year.

Each covered entity would need to purchase an allowance for each ton of carbon dioxide it emits.

Per section 12, Ecology would hold a maximum of four auctions for allowances annually. Ecology assumes the auctions for the first period would be conducted January, April, July, and October 2023. The schedule is assumed to remain the same for future compliance periods. Each covered entity would need to purchase an allowance for each ton of carbon dioxide it emits, and Ecology assumes that 100% of all available allowances subject to auction would be sold at each auction.

Section 13 would provide for an allocation of free allowances equal to the allowance budgets to EITE entities.

Section 13 (3) would provide for a carbon intensity (CI) benchmarking pathway for EITE allowance allocations; because the allocations would be based on the benchmarks, which would be calculated based on consultation with industries and rulemaking, impacts to allowance budgets and no-cost allocations from CI calculations would be indeterminate and are not considered in this revenue estimate. The CI benchmarks would be a different metric from the allowances used in this revenue model. The application of CI benchmarks would have an indeterminate impact on allowance budgets, allocations of no-cost allowances, and auction revenue.

Section 14 would provide for an allocation of no-cost allowances to be distributed directly to electricity utilities in order to mitigate potential impacts on electricity rates. This fiscal note assumes that all electricity utilities and providers supplying electricity to Washington State rate payers would receive no-cost allowances equivalent to their allowance budgets for each year of program coverage.

Section 15 would require Ecology to adopt rules for allocating no-cost allowances to natural gas utilities for the benefit of Washington State rate payers. This fiscal note assumes that all natural gas utilities supplying natural gas to Washington rate payers would receive free allowances equivalent to their allowance budgets for each year of program coverage. Section 15 (2) would require natural gas utilities to consign a specified percentage of the no-cost allowances to auction each calendar year for the benefit of rate payers, prioritizing low-income customers.

Section 16 would authorize establishment of an emissions containment reserve, allowing available allowances to be placed in reserve to meet emissions limits per RCW 70A.45.020, and would require 2% of allowances to be placed in the reserve in CYs 2023 through 2026. The fiscal note assumes that allowances would continue to be withheld to the reserve at the same rate in future compliance periods.

Section 17 would require Ecology to set a minimum of 2% of the total number of allowances available aside for a price containment reserve during the years 2023 through 2026. The department would establish by rule the amount to be placed in the reserve beginning in the 2026 compliance period. This fiscal note assumes that allowances would continue to be withheld to the reserve at the same rate in future compliance periods.

For purchases from the price containment reserve, we looked at reserve price auction activity in other jurisdictions. California holds vintage allowances for several years. To provide a conservative estimate, this estimate assumes that 30% of the prior year's reserve will be sold at the prior year floor price each year, leaving unsold allowances in the reserve to carry forward.

Section 19 (3) (a), (b), and (e) would provide for regulated entities to meet up to 5% of compliance obligations with offset credits in the first compliance period, and up to 4% of compliance obligations in the second compliance period, and ongoing, for offset projects; entities can apply offset projects on federally recognized tribal land to meet an additional 3% of compliance obligations in the first compliance period and 2% of obligations in the second compliance period.

Section 9 (2) would require annual allowance budgets to be reduced by the emissions equivalent of offset projects.

Ecology would also be required to establish by rule auction floor prices. Allowance floor prices are assumed to be the same as those in California. California floor prices are projected to grow approximately 7% per year, from a current price of \$16.68 in 2020 to \$33.73 in 2030. Ecology assumes that allowance prices in Washington would be equivalent to those in California. Cost of allowances is estimated to start at \$20.60 in 2023 and increase by 7% each year in ensuing years.

The reduction of the auction allowance budget for estimated offset usage and the less stringent compliance curve for EITE reduces the number of available allowances to purchase in auction for all entities that would not receive allocations of no-cost allowances. The percentage change in the auction allowance budget from a scenario excluding these factors is used to calculate a purchase price by increasing the floor price by the percentage reduction of available allowances each calendar year resulting from offset adjustments and compliance pathway adjustments to compensate for the EITE compliance curve. Estimated purchase price is still conservatively low when compared to CA projected ceiling prices (included for reference purposes only).

Over Allocations attributed to COVID-19 – The Rhodium Group published a report on estimated GHG emissions changes in the future, depending on the recovery rates from the COVID-19 pandemic. This study is available at this link (<https://rhg.com/research/taking-stock-2020/>) and supports an assumption that the carbon market may have excess allowances in the future. Excess allowances are subtracted from the net priced allowances and are based on estimated emissions reductions following a curve informed by the Rhodium Group study. The net effect is a reduction in revenue based on the excess allocations.

Total proceeds from auctions for each calendar year, starting with calendar year 2023, were estimated for each corresponding fiscal year, based on an assumption of all required allowances being purchased equally across each of the four annual auctions.

The fiscal year-based auction revenue is estimated in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, the Climate Investment Account, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account based on the allocations specified in Section 12 and specified revenue in section 31.

Per section 28, after 5% of revenue earnings is reserved for administrative costs, beginning July 1, 2024, the Treasurer would transfer 75% of the Carbon Investment Account balance to the Climate Commitment Account created in section 29, and 25% of the balance to the Natural Climate Solutions Account created in section 30. The revenue tables do not include the Treasurer transfers, only the distributions of auction revenue as specified in section 12.

Estimates of revenue from consigned no-cost allowances, sections 14 and 15

The attached revenue tables provide estimates of revenue from allowances consigned to auction for the benefit of rate payers from electricity and natural gas utilities. This revenue would not be transferred to the Treasurer or deposited in a state account but consigned to the utilities to benefit their rate payers. Revenue estimates are based on an assumption that when consignment is not required, covered entities would use all no-cost allowances to meet compliance obligations.

Penalty Revenue Assumptions:

Section 23 specifies enforcement requirements and penalties. For the purposes of this fiscal note, enforcement actions and penalties are assumed to be limited, but unknown, and therefore are not estimated in this fiscal note.

Greenhouse Gas Reporting Fee Revenue:

Section 33 would modify GHG reporting requirements, which would influence GHG reporting workload costs and the number of reporting facilities. Ecology assumes that fee modifications related to the changes in section 33 would be set during rulemaking for this section and would incorporate workload changes related to the modification of GHG reporting requirements. The fee changes would take effect in FY 2026.

Under current law, RCW 70A.15.2200, GHG reporting fees are set to equal but not exceed projected direct and indirect costs for Ecology's development and implementation of the program in the forthcoming year. Cash receipts are estimated to equal expenditure estimates for the GHG reporting program in the Air Pollution Control Account in this fiscal note.

SUMMARY: See attached Revenue Table for detail of projected revenue for the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, the Climate Investment Account, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account.

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

SEE ATTACHED PART II.C – Expenditures

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	11,068,279	7,788,072	18,856,351	(3,172,000)	(3,172,000)
216-1	Air Pollution Control Account	State	0	0	0	0	2,573,188
23P-1	Model Toxics Control Operating Account	State	(2,150,603)	(2,367,000)	(4,517,603)	(1,562,000)	(1,562,000)
NEW-1	Climate Investment Account	State	0	6,589,698	6,589,698	22,455,297	18,412,189
Total \$			8,917,676	12,010,770	20,928,446	17,721,297	16,251,377

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings . Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	43.1	34.7	38.9	31.4	29.0
A-Salaries and Wages	3,597,878	2,885,734	6,483,612	5,251,646	4,792,896
B-Employee Benefits	1,320,424	1,059,073	2,379,497	1,927,360	1,759,001
C-Professional Service Contracts	1,935,744	1,444,895	3,380,639	2,544,572	2,011,610
E-Goods and Other Services	439,306	438,121	877,427	845,742	722,003
G-Travel	81,722	65,827	147,549	119,282	110,069
J-Capital Outlays	194,984	36,232	231,216	65,646	60,573
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services		5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	5,000,000
9-Agency Administrative Overhead	1,347,618	1,080,888	2,428,506	1,967,049	1,795,225
Total \$	8,917,676	12,010,770	20,928,446	17,721,297	16,251,377

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings . Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
COM OUTREACH & ENV ED SP 3	61,224	2.0	1.5	1.7	0.9	1.0
COM OUTREACH & ENV ED SP 4	70,956	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0
COMMUN CONSULTANT 5	84,396	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
ECONOMIC ANALYST 3	82,344	0.3	1.4	0.8	0.4	0.5
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER 3	95,484	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.5
ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER 5	105,384	3.5	2.8	3.1	2.4	3.0
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER 3	78,408	5.1	3.1	4.1	1.6	2.3
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER 4	86,484	1.3	1.0	1.1	2.4	1.0
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER 5	95,484	9.4	5.5	7.4	5.6	5.5
ENVIRONMENTAL SPEC 4	70,956	(14.7)	(9.8)	(12.2)	(9.4)	(9.4)
ENVIRONMENTAL SPEC 5	78,408	9.4	6.0	7.7	6.7	7.7
FISCAL ANALYST 2		3.7	3.0	3.4	2.7	2.5
FISCAL ANALYST 3	62,748	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1
FISCAL ANALYST 5	78,408	0.5		0.3		
IT APP DEVELOP-JOURNEY	96,888	3.7	3.2	3.5	2.8	2.5
IT APP DEVELOP-MANAGER	123,636	0.1	0.1	0.1		
IT APP DEVELOP-SR/SPEC	112,176	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.5	1.0
IT APP DEV-JOURNEY-Admin		1.9	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.3
IT BUSINESS ANALYST-ENTRY	83,892	0.5		0.3		
IT DATA MGMT-JOURNEY	101,748	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3
IT PROJECT MGMT-SR/SPEC	112,176	1.0	0.5	0.8		
MANAGEMENT ANALYST 5	88,644	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
NATURAL RES SCIENTIST 4	88,644	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
REGULATORY ANALYST 2	80,292	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
WMS BAND 2	95,000	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
WMS BAND 3	112,000	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0
Total FTEs		43.1	34.7	38.9	31.5	29.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings . Please see discussion.

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

New accounts are created in sections 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31. Funding is estimated to be available beginning in FY 2023 for the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account created in section 27, the Climate Investment Account created in section 28, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31. Funding is estimated to be available beginning in FY 2025 for the Climate Commitment Account created in section 29, and the Natural Climate Solutions Account created in section 30.

The Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31 could fund grants or other projects related to the environmental justice reviews under section 3. Section 31 specifies that the account could support Capital or Transportation budget expenditures. The currently estimated costs for section 3 are all related to the operating budget; grant programs or capital projects for this account are not required under section 3 and are currently indeterminate.

Under current law, Ecology has operating and capital budget programs related to several of the funding areas identified under these sections for these new accounts. Ecology assumes that some of the investment areas would be administered by Ecology. However, the bill does not specify which agency would implement these programs. Therefore, Ecology would have an indeterminate fiscal impact to administer capital projects related to the activities under this section.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules .

Ecology would need to establish new rules in the WAC to establish the program requirements for the cap and invest program, EITE criteria and allocations, and allocations of no-cost allowances for electricity and natural gas utilities as specified in sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 24, and 25.

Ecology would need to establish a new rule for the new grant program created in section 20.

Section 23 would preempt the provisions of WAC chapter 173-442 (Clean Air Rule).

Section 33 would require rulemaking to modify GHG reporting requirements in 173-441 WAC.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

The expenditure impact to Ecology under this bill is estimated to be greater than \$50,000 in Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 and ongoing to implement the requirements of sections 3, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 33, 46, and 47.

Indeterminate Costs: The following costs are indeterminate and not included in the fiscal impact estimates summarized below.

Section 3 – Environmental Justice Review

Section 3 would require Ecology to identify a list of overburdened communities where the highest concentrations of GHG emissions and criteria pollutants are occurring, identify sources of the emissions, and monitor GHG and criteria pollutant emissions in the overburdened communities. Ecology would review the status of GHG emissions and criteria pollutants in these communities every two years, beginning in 2023. In the event that emissions were not reduced, in addition to adopting stricter standards, as specified in this subsection, Ecology would be required to issue an enforceable order for compliance within six months of adoption of standards. For purposes of this fiscal note, we are assuming that all priority communities would experience a decrease in emissions. In the event that emissions were not reduced, Ecology may need to submit legislative proposals and implement rule changes and corresponding updates to the State Implementation Plan of the Federal Clean Air Act with the Environmental Protection Agency, and coordinate with Local Air Authorities on changes needed in their areas of jurisdiction. The level of changes needed and future costs associated with this are currently indeterminate.

Section 4 – Environmental Justice Assessments

Section 4 would require agencies allocating funds from the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, Climate Investment Account, or Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account to conduct an environmental justice assessment, consider recommendations by the Environmental Justice Council (Council), prepare a community engagement plan to engage with overburdened communities and vulnerable populations in allocating funds or administering grants, and report annually to the Environmental Justice Council on progress. Funding under the current legislation is estimated to be available beginning in FY 2023. Ecology assumes that some of the investment areas in these accounts would be administered by Ecology. However, the bill does not specify which agency would implement these programs. In addition, further guidance would need to be developed by the Council to implement the requirements of this section. Therefore, Ecology would have an indeterminate fiscal impact to implement section 4.

Section 6 – Tribal Consultation

Section 6 would require agencies to offer consultation with federally recognized tribes on all funding decisions from the Climate Investment Account, for purposes described in section 28, that affect federally recognized tribes' rights and interests in their tribal lands, independent of any public participation process required by state law and regardless of whether a tribe requests consultation. Funding under the current legislation is estimated to be available beginning in FY 2023. Ecology assumes that some of the investment areas under section 28 would be administered by Ecology. However, the bill does not specify which agency would implement these programs. Therefore, Ecology would have an indeterminate fiscal impact to implement section 6.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Section 10 – GHG Lifecycle Analyses for Qualifying SEPA Projects

Section 10 would direct Ecology to evaluate the net cumulative GHG emissions for a new or modified facility that would require review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and would result in annual GHG emissions in excess of 25,000 metric tons per year. Ecology would be authorized to adopt rules to determine how to evaluate net cumulative emissions reductions. The evaluation would need to consider net displacement of global emissions resulting from the project.

Rulemaking costs are estimated in the fiscal note. As described below, ongoing costs to implement lifecycle GHG emissions analyses for qualifying projects would be indeterminate.

Based on GHG emissions of new and modified projects over the past several years, Ecology estimates between one and three GHG lifecycle analyses each year for qualifying projects. The actual needs of each analysis could be variable, based on the specific nature of each project being reviewed. Because of the variability in quantity and depth of analyses, these costs are considered indeterminate for purposes of this fiscal note. A minimum cost estimate is provided below.

For a one-year analysis, an Environmental Planner 5 (1.0 FTE) would coordinate with a professional consultant and the project proponent to gather information for the analysis. An Environmental Specialist 5 (1.0 FTE) would collect data and perform analysis of GHG emissions to support the lifecycle analysis. Total estimated staff costs, including standard costs, would be \$317,898.

Based on previous state contracts for greenhouse gas emissions analysis, Ecology estimates a \$250,000 contract to provide the expertise and modeling capability for each analysis. Minimum estimated costs for each evaluation would be \$567,898.

Sections 11 and 12 – Auction Revenue management

The cost estimates for auction implementation include a contract with a financial services administrator (FSA), and Ecology assumes that the FSA would transmit auction revenue to the Treasurer via a wire transfer. In the event that Ecology were to be responsible for collection and/or transmittal of auction receipt, we would have costs related to accounts receivable management. Because these costs would depend on information that would become available during program implementation and rulemaking, they are indeterminate for the purposes of this fiscal note.

Section 22 – Transportation Revenue Act Contingency

Section 22 would delay compliance obligations under the act unless a separate additive transportation revenue act were to become law, as defined in section 22 (7). The fiscal note assumes that these conditions would be met; in the event that these conditions were not met, Ecology would suspend compliance obligations for covered entities. This could reduce costs and auction revenue during the suspension of compliance requirements.

Section 23 – Limitation of other State GHG Regulations

Section 23 would preclude any state agency from adopting or enforcing a program that regulates GHG emissions for a stationary source except as provided under this act.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Under current law, RCW 70A.15.2200, Ecology manages the greenhouse gas (GHG) reporting program, which requires specified large producers of GHG emissions to report annual emissions. This act modifies GHG reporting rules. Ecology assumes that the GHG reporting program would continue to be active, as well as the limits established in RCW 70A.45.020, which are referenced in the act.

The following potential preclusions are indeterminate for the purposes of this fiscal note, pending further legal analysis. In the event that the provisions of section 23 were to limit the following regulatory activities, Ecology would submit a decision package for the fiscal impacts of this change.

Ecology issues a Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit for industrial sources of air pollution. Some projects are regulated by Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC), EPA, or tribes. PSD permits may require use of best available technologies to reduce GHG emissions.

Under Governor’s Directive 19-18, Ecology is currently conducting rulemaking to guide GHG evaluations for SEPA projects meeting a minimum GHG emissions threshold. Section 26 has some similar requirements to Directive 19-18, but thresholds and other specific criteria vary.

Section 26 – Spending Authority Contingency on EITE Legislation

Section 26 (5) would restrict expenditures from the Climate Investment Account, Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account if by April 1, 2023, the Legislature has not considered and enacted the request legislation required under section 8. This fiscal note assumes that the conditions would be met for continued expenditures. In the event that this condition were not met, implementation of the act would need to be suspended due to insufficient funding authority.

Sections 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 – Projects in New Dedicated Accounts

Ecology assumes that OFM would be the administering agency for the Accounts created in sections 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31, because they would likely be appropriated to multiple agencies to fulfill the allocations and outcomes described in these sections. Funding is estimated to be available beginning in FY 2023 for the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account created in section 27, the Climate Investment Account created in section 28, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31. Funding is estimated to be available beginning in FY 2025 for the Climate Commitment Account created in section 29, and the Natural Climate Solutions Account created in section 30.

Under current law, Ecology has operating and capital budget programs related to several of the funding areas identified under these sections. Ecology assumes that some of the investment areas would be administered by Ecology. However, the bill does not specify which agency would implement these programs. Therefore, Ecology would have an indeterminate fiscal impact to the operating and capital budget for implementation of programs.

As noted in the narrative for cost estimates related to section 3, the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account (AQHDIA) could fund grants or other projects related to the environmental justice reviews. Section 31 specifies that the funding in the AQHDIA could support Capital or Transportation budget expenditures, or both. This fiscal note assumes that this account would not be used for operating budget costs.

Ecology assumes some of the investment areas administered under these sections would be grant programs. Ecology assumes that we could begin the grant programs without rule changes given language in statute and existing rules, but that we would need to do rulemaking for grant programs to

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

ensure alignment with this bill and guidance from the Council. The costs for this rulemaking are indeterminate pending determination of which programs Ecology would conduct, as well as guidance provided by Council.

Section 33 - Travel costs for auditing and technical assistance to out of state transportation fuel suppliers

Costs are indeterminate due to uncertainty regarding the number of out of state suppliers and actual travel required. Additionally, the ability and capacity for suppliers to provide secure remote access for sensitive documents differs from supplier to supplier and would render travel costs indeterminate, estimated to range between \$6,384 and \$97,608 per year, based on the following assumptions.

Audits for third-party reviews of data could require one-week on-site visits with verifiers to observe practices and methods. We estimate between fifteen and sixty fuel suppliers would be required to participate in the program. Based on this, we may need to visit between three and twelve suppliers per year over a five-year period. The costs for a one-week visit are as follows:

Per Diem: \$66 per day x 7 days = \$462 Lodging: \$161 per night x 6 nights = \$966 Vehicle rental: \$350 per week = \$350 Airfare: \$350 roundtrip (average)
Total one week cost: \$2,128

Based on these costs, the minimum estimated cost would be \$6,384 per year for 3 visits x \$2,128 per visit.

Ecology may need to perform full-length desk audits each year. It is assumed that desk audits would require three weeks of in-travel status, costed as follows:

Per Diem: \$66 per day x 21 days = \$1,386 Lodging: \$161 per night x 20 nights = \$3,220 Vehicle rental: \$350 per week x 3 weeks = \$1,050 Airfare: \$350 roundtrip (average)
Total three week cost: \$6,006

Desk audit cost: \$6,006 per trip x 12 audits per year = \$72,072

The maximum costs estimated would include 12 additional one-week site visits each year costing \$2,128 per visit x 12 visits = \$25,536 per year.

Some site visits and audits may be performed remotely, depending on company policy and capacity. Based on this, the extent of required travel costs are unknown. In the early stages of implementation, Ecology would refine our understanding of travel requirements and submit a decision package in first supplemental budget for travel costs if needed.

Note on Funds Designated for Costs: All costs for implementing and administering the act would be eligible in the Climate Investment Account. Eligible costs are assumed in the Climate Investment Account starting January 1, 2023 when the cap and invest program created in this act becomes effective. Unless otherwise noted for specific sections, costs are estimated in the General Fund-State Account until December 31, 2022, and in the Climate Investment Account starting January 1, 2023.

Because the first portion of revenue up to a specified amount would first be deposited in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, the Climate Investment Account, the Climate Commitment Account, the Natural Climate Solutions Account, and the Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account could have insufficient cash balance for costs that accrue during the first few months of fiscal years 2023 through 2037.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Staff for Program Coordination and Public Engagement

The proposed legislation would create a highly technical program with broad public interest that would require streamlined, coordinated efforts to implement. The following staff would provide essential support to ensure that requirements of the bill are implemented according to statutory timelines, and that the public is engaged and informed throughout the implementation process.

Policy Manager - Washington Management Service 3 (WMS3) - this position would report to the Air Quality Program Manager and would provide policy support and agency coordination for the implementation of the Climate Commitment Act and for the climate commitment and task force requirements in sections 25 and 26.

This position would coordinate efforts, provide high-level policy advisement, and support government- to-government relations. Ecology estimates that WMS 3 is the most suitable level, because the role would be responsible for the following:

- Making important decisions that require analysis in unknown and unexplored areas having significant effect on clients and citizens;
- Being accountable for providing reliable and professionally sound guidance, consultation, and advice to Ecology executive management at an advanced level of expertise where the impact is highly consequential;
- Having the authority to make judgements having a long term impact on Ecology and the success of the program created in the proposed legislation;
- Providing innovative and highly effective solutions in exceptionally sensitive legal and political circumstances.

The staff time needed is estimated to be 0.75 FTE in FY 2022 and 1.0 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter, based on the allocations of time summarized above and in detailed in the relevant sections below.

Cap and Invest Manager - Washington Management Service 2 (WMS2) - this position would coordinate all processes to ensure that timelines and criteria would be met, and would supervise the entire cap and invest program. This position would perform general supervision functions over implementation staff, and coordinate the various reports and timelines required for successful program implementation. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter.

Communications Consultant 5 – this position would serve as agency media lead for the program, managing interview requests, coordinating with program and agency leadership on messaging and strategy, working with stakeholders, and overseeing public engagement for the program. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE each year in FY 2022 through FY 2025.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 4 (COEES4) – this position would develop and implement statewide public engagement and education for the new program. The COEES4 would organize public meetings, develop educational materials, coordinate with stakeholders, lead development of program implementation and compliance materials, and oversee work of supporting

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

COEES positions dedicated to specific program needs. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter.

Based on the number of new positions and professional services contracts needed to implement the act, the estimated revenue volumes, the number of accounts that would require monitoring, and annual financial reporting requirements, Ecology estimates the following staff resources to provide budget and financial management support for the Cap and Invest Program:

Budget Manager (WMS1) – this position would manage the budget for program implementation, prepare revenue forecasts as needed, prepare decision packages to accompany agency request legislation as appropriate, monitor expenditures and budget variances, and monitor revenue and account balances. The additional time needed would be 1 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter.

Environmental Specialist 5 – This position would serve as subject matter expert for implementation of grants and contracts to implement the act, including required professional services contracts. This position would coordinate agency processes to implement required contracts and grants, including provisions of sections 4 and 6, and annual reporting requirements in section 46, in accordance with state and agency purchasing and grant funding guidelines. The additional time needed would be 1 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter.

Environmental Justice Review - Sections 3 and 31

Ecology estimates two dedicated positions to create and implement the community engagement plan coordinating with other agency staff to ensure that it meets the requirements of E2SSB 5141. These positions would work with members of the public to share data and develop criteria for identifying overburdened communities to be monitored. Once communities are identified, Ecology staff would keep communities apprised of monitoring status, provide technical assistance to sources that are identified in the assessment, and prepare the evaluations required in 2023 and every two years thereafter. These positions would also coordinate with other program and agency staff on follow-up actions based on the findings of the evaluations. This fiscal note assumes that new priority communities would be identified in future years as conditions change, and that community engagement, assessment, monitoring, technical assistance with sources, evaluations, and corrective actions would be ongoing processes.

Environmental Specialist 5 – this position would consult with University of Washington and Department of Health as needed to perform analysis of available air quality monitoring and environmental health disparity data, work with public participants to develop criteria for high priority communities, perform analysis based on chosen criteria, and share preliminary results with communities for consideration.

This position would identify monitoring needs, provide outreach and coordinate technical assistance for identified sources in priority communities, coordinate with local air authorities as applicable, prepare evaluations of emissions in priority communities in 2025 and every two years thereafter, provide recommendations for corrective actions as needed, coordinate with air quality program staff to implement and monitor corrective actions, and perform continuing analysis to identify new priority communities as conditions change. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE ES 5 in FY 2022 and ongoing.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 4 (COEES 4) – this position would lead the development and implementation of the community engagement plan, organize opportunities for public participation on an ongoing basis while high priority communities are monitored for purposes of evaluations, and while corrective actions are taken, if needed. This position would provide education and outreach in overburdened communities to raise awareness of criteria pollutants and their health impacts and coordinate resources to support public access and participation, accessibility, and engagement. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE COEES 4 in FY 2022 and ongoing.

Ecology estimates ten public meetings in overburdened communities on an annual basis to implement the community engagement plan during the initial and continuing identification of high priority communities, to provide education and outreach, and to inform communities of evaluation results and corrective actions. Facility costs are estimated to be \$1,000 per meeting. Translation services would be provided at public meetings; based on a review of prices for DES Master Contract translation services, costs are estimated to be \$100 per hour per language. Based on an estimated need for up to six languages per meeting, and two-hour meetings, the estimated cost for translation services is \$1,200 per meeting.

Section 3 would require Ecology to deploy an air monitoring network in overburdened communities to collect sufficient air quality data for the 2025 review and subsequent reviews of greenhouse gas and criteria pollutant reductions in those communities.

Based on an assumption that legislative intent of the term “network” is to operate multiple monitoring sites in each community, this fiscal note estimates costs to establish and operate monitoring networks in 10 priority communities based on the following assumptions:

- As PM2.5 is the primary criteria pollutant of concern in Washington, monitoring networks would only measure PM2.5
- Each of the 10 communities would have one central fixed site running established but non-regulatory PM2.5 monitoring equipment (correlated nephelometer) plus five additional locations with PM2.5 sensors to capture PM2.5 gradients within communities
- Priority communities would be located in each of Ecology’s four regions (NWRO, SWRO, CRO and ERO), requiring operational staff in each region
- Monitoring sites would be established in FY 2023 after the first priority communities had been identified through analysis and public engagement
- Staff and supply costs are estimated to be ongoing based on an assumption that new priority communities would continue to be identified; as priority communities’ emissions improve, monitoring networks would be relocated to other, new priority communities.

This fiscal note assumes 10 communities would be identified, though the exact number would be determined through a process involving extensive public engagement. As there are economies of scale to establishing multiple sites at once, the cost per community would likely be somewhat higher than a proportionate share of these estimates if a smaller number of priority communities were chosen.

Staff needed to deploy monitoring networks would be as follows.

Operations - 2 FTE Environmental Specialist 4 (ES4) (0.5 per region) in FY 2022 and 1 FTE (0.25 per region) in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter. These positions would select monitoring sites, install monitors, and perform routine operation, quality control, and site management on an ongoing basis.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Calibration and Repair - 0.33 FTE ES4 in FY 2022 and 0.2 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter. This position would acquire, test, and configure equipment in the first year and perform annual maintenance on an ongoing basis.

Quality Assurance - 0.25 FTE ES4 in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter. This position would review and validate data from fixed sites and air sensors.

IT Coordination - 0.6 FTE IT Data Management Journey in FY 2022 and 0.3 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter. This position would establish a telemetry, data management, and operator notification system in the first year and provide ongoing maintenance of site communications, telemetry and the monitoring database on an ongoing basis.

Project Management, Coordination, and Data Analysis - 0.25 FTE Natural Resource Scientist 4 in FY 2022 and 0.125 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter. This position would plan and coordinate the network, and manage contracts, leases, data analysis, and best practices for sensor data use.

The networks would require the following equipment and supplies

- \$15,000 in equipment and supplies to establish each new central fixed site per community (including shelters, instrumentation, electrical, communications and telemetry equipment), estimated in Object J.
- \$1,100 per year in ongoing telemetry and supply costs for each central fixed site, estimated in Object E.
- \$6,000 per year for sensor acquisition and data access costs for each group of 5 sensors per community, estimated in Object E.

Total equipment and supply costs per community would be \$22,100 in FY 2022, and \$7,100 in FY 2023 and ongoing. The full equipment estimate would be \$150,000 one-time in FY 2022 and the supply estimate would be \$71,000 in FY 2024 and ongoing thereafter for ten communities.

Overburdened communities could be identified in cities under the jurisdiction of a Local Air Authority. In such an event, Ecology would coordinate with the local air authority to ensure mutual agreement on monitoring plans and corrective actions as needed. For purposes of this fiscal note, Ecology assumes full responsibility for the requirements of section 3.

For purposes of this fiscal note, we are assuming that all priority communities would experience a decrease in emissions. In the event that emissions were not reduced, Ecology may need to submit legislative proposals and implement rule changes for appropriate enforcement orders and corresponding updates to the State Implementation Plan of the Federal Clean Air Act with the Environmental Protection Agency, and coordinate with Local Air Authorities on changes needed in their areas of jurisdiction. The level of changes needed would be determined for each instance of failure to achieve emissions reductions.

The Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Account (AQHDIA) created in section 31 could fund grants or other projects related to the environmental justice reviews. Section 31 specifies that the funding in the AQHDIA could support Capital or Transportation budget expenditures, or both. This fiscal note assumes that this account would not be used for operating budget costs. Because the costs estimated to implement the environmental justice reviews required in section 3 are suitable for the

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

operating budget and authorized in the Climate Investment Account (CIA) created in section 28, section 3 costs are estimated in the CIA beginning January 1, 2023.

Governance Structure – Section 7

Ecology assumes consultation support for the Governor’s Office. Assuming monthly meetings of eight hours from July 2021 through December 2021, and sixteen hours preparation time for each meeting, Ecology estimates 0.07 FTE WMS3 Policy Manager and 0.07 FTE Environmental Planner 5 to provide agency support for the requirements of this section.

First and Second Compliance Period Requirements and Criteria – Rulemaking for Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 24, and 25

The proposed legislation would establish a cap and invest program that would phase in regulatory requirements for different classes of GHG reporters. Ecology would be required to set annual greenhouse gas allowance budgets, which would be designed to reduce emissions over time in order to meet statewide emission limits established in RCW 70A.45.020. The initial annual allowance budgets for calendar years 2023 through 2026, would have to be adopted through rulemaking by October 1, 2022.

Ecology would adopt revised annual program budgets and include additional covered entities for phase two, covering calendar years 2027 through 2030, by October 1, 2026. Allowance budgets and the reduction pathway would be scaled as necessary to target the 2030 GHG emissions reductions specified in statute.

The proposed legislation would require Ecology to adopt rules by October 1, 2028, which would set annual budgets for calendar years 2031 through 2040 to achieve 2040 emission reduction limits identified in RCW 70A.45.020. Ecology assumes that progress toward achieving the 2040 GHG emissions reduction targets would be monitored in the years approaching 2040, and that the rulemaking authority provided in section 20 would authorize a rule at a later date to adjust allowance budgets if needed to achieve the statutory target.

Future evaluations rulemaking would be required for the 2040 through 2050 calendar years. The costs related to these requirements are not included in the timeframe for this fiscal note; additional expenditure authority for these costs would be requested for that timeframe in a future budget request.

Section 9 would require rulemaking to set allowance auction schedules, allowance budgets, and other criteria and provisions. By October 1, 2028, Ecology would adopt by rule annual budgets for calendar years 2031 through 2040 to achieve 2040 emission reduction limits identified in RCW 70A.45.020. Costs for this rule making cycle are not assumed in this fiscal note. Ecology would submit a budget request in the future as necessary.

Section 10 would designate the criteria for program coverage and define the entities who would have regulatory requirements in each compliance period. Rulemaking would require extensive outreach to impacted entities, and section 11 would require Ecology to establish registration procedures by rule. Per section 10, all electricity would be included in the first compliance period. Because certain forms of electricity are not currently covered by greenhouse gas reporting rules, additional calculations will

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

be needed to prepare baseline allowance budgets for these entities.

Section 12 would require Ecology to adopt by rule provisions to guard against bidder collusion and minimize the potential for market manipulation.

Section 16 would require Ecology to set an emissions containment reserve price by rule to ensure that the price of allowances remain sufficient to incentivize reductions of GHG emissions.

Section 17 would require Ecology to adopt by rule floor prices, allowance holding limits, ceiling prices, and timing to offer allowance price containment reserve auctions.

Section 24 would encourage Ecology to seek linkage agreements with other jurisdictions. Ecology assumes that a memorandum of understanding (MOU) would be prepared in the first year for linkage with California. The process of MOU drafting and approval would take eighteen months. As described later in this fiscal note, linkage with California would require substantial changes in the greenhouse gas reporting program protocols and systems. Ecology would begin making these changes in FY 2022, but estimates that it would take a minimum of four years to change GHG reporting rules and implement the necessary changes to ensure compatible data and successful linkage. Section 24 would require Ecology to conduct a public comment process to obtain input and a review of a proposed linkage agreement by relevant stakeholders and other interested parties. Input from the public comment process would be considered before finalizing a linkage agreement.

Section 25 would authorize rulemaking to implement the provisions of the chapter and emergency rules pursuant to RCW 34.05.350 for initial implementation of the program, and to ensure that reporting and other program requirements are determined early for the purpose of program design and early notice to registered entities with a compliance obligation under the program.

The rulemaking for both the first and second compliance periods would be complex and require extensive outreach to provide sufficient opportunity for public comments during the process. A rulemaking of this nature would normally require a minimum of two and a half years, but the initial process would need to be accelerated to ensure that rules would be adopted by October 1, 2022, and all provisions would be in place to allow time for registration prior to the first auction in January 2023. Initial rulemaking would start July 1, 2021, and end September 30, 2022 (15 months). More staff than normal would be needed to meet this very tight timeline for a large and complex rule. Ecology would need to rely on data as available, and requirements in place in other jurisdictions to establish initial rules; the second rulemaking process would provide an opportunity to refine requirements for Washington, based on available data, program performance, and lessons learned. For the phase two and subsequent decade's allowance budgets, rulemaking would begin on July 1, 2024. The rulemaking process for the second compliance period requirements would end September 30, 2026 (2 years, three months), and rulemaking for the 2030 through 2040 budgets would continue through September 30, 2028 (beyond the scope of this fiscal note). Future rules would require additional resources. Ecology would request needed funding for future rules through the budget process. Rulemaking staff and resources are estimated as follows:

Environmental Planner 3 – under the direction of the WMS2, the rulemaking lead would coordinate the rulemaking timeline, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for rule changes. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022, 0.25 FTE in FY 2023, and 0.85 FTE each year in FY 2025, FY 2026, and FY 2027.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would provide policy and technical expertise to support rulemaking, coordinate with other jurisdictions to plan linkage agreements based on the public comments process, ensure rules align with existing programs in other jurisdictions, and coordinate contract agreements with Western Climate Initiative (described below for section 12 regarding auction administration). The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 2.1 FTE in FY 2022, 0.5 FTE in FY 2023, and 2.0 FTE each year in FY 2025, FY 2026, and FY 2027.

Environmental Specialist 5 – these positions would provide technical support, respond to public questions during the rulemaking and linkage agreement development process, calculate baseline allowances for imported electricity covered during the first compliance period, and support ongoing data analysis and evaluation. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 3.6 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.75 FTE in FY 2023, and 3.0 FTE each year in FY 2025, FY 2026, and FY 2027.

Environmental Engineer 5 – this position would provide technical support in rule development and provide technical support to GHG reporters and covered entities during notification and rulemaking. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2023, and 1.0 FTE each year in FY 2025, FY 2026, and FY 2027.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3 – this position would provide outreach to ensure that the public is aware of the program and requirements and provided opportunities to participate during rulemaking, as well as support outreach for the public comment process for the proposed linkage agreement in FY 2022. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.6 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.5 FTE in FY 2023, and 0.5 FTE each year in FY 2025, FY 2026, and FY 2027.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be

- Economic Analyst 3 at 0.25 FTE in FY 2023 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2027; and
- Regulatory Analyst 2 at 0.1 FTE in FY 2023 and 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

Ecology would hold the following numbers of public meetings or hearings for the two rulemaking processes: sixteen events in FY 2022 (four of which dedicated to the review of proposed linkage agreements), five events in FY 2023, four events in FY 2025, four events in FY 2026, and four events in FY 2027. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting.

The Attorney General's Office (AGO) estimates 0.5 FTE Assistant Attorney General (AAG) and 0.25 FTE Legal Assistant each year in fiscal years 2022 through 2025, and 0.35 FTE Assistant Attorney General (AAG) and 0.18 FTE Legal Assistant in FY 2026 and each fiscal year thereafter would provide and support consultation throughout all rulemakings required for the proposed program and criteria, as well as to support program implementation. AGO support is estimated to cost \$119,000 each year from FY 2022 to FY 2025, and \$84,000 each year in FY 2026 and ongoing thereafter, and is included in Object E. Consistent with the AGO fiscal note estimates and for purposes of simplicity, the AGO time to support all rulemaking functions for this bill are included in this portion of the fiscal note and are referenced where other related rulemaking efforts described below.

Ecology estimates that an independent contractor would be required to provide consultation and guidance on initial rule provisions to guard against bidder collusion and minimize the potential for market manipulation. The cost for this contract is estimated to be \$200,000 in FY 2022 and \$80,875 in FY 2023, based on Ecology's cost for 1.20 FTE of Environmental Planner 5 (EP5) in FY 2022 and

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

roughly 0.5 FTE EP5 in FY 2023.

Legislation for EITE Compliance Pathway - Sections 8 (4) and 8 (6)

Sections 8 (4) and 8 (6) would require Ecology to consult with energy-intensive, trade-exposed (EITE) businesses, covered entities, environmental advocates, and overburdened communities to develop agency request legislation for the 2022 regular legislative session that outlines a compliance pathway for EITE entities for achieving their proportionate share of the emissions reduction limits through 2050. Ecology would be required to submit agency request legislation if any provision of the chapter were to prevent Washington’s Cap and Invest Program from being linked with similar program in another jurisdiction.

The legislation for EITE compliance pathway would need to be finalized prior to December 2021 for consideration in the 2022 Legislative Session. The need for legislation to support linkage feasibility is indeterminate; in the event that such legislation were needed, Ecology would also submit a decision package for supplemental funding to recover costs beyond the ones estimated below for additional legislation. For EITE legislation, Ecology estimates the following staff:

Environmental Planner 4 – This position would coordinate the drafting of the legislation and public outreach and stakeholder communication. The additional time needed is estimated to be 0.25 FTE in FY 2022.

Environmental Planner 5 – This position would develop the policy recommendations for the proposed legislation. The estimated staff time needed is 0.25 FTE in FY 2022.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 4– This position would support public input for the legislative proposal. The estimated staff time needed is 0.20 FTE COESS4.

Ecology would hold three public meetings for stakeholder and public engagement. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting.

Professional services contracts would be required for facilitation of the workgroup. Based on the range of pricing under the Department of Enterprise Services (DES) Master Contract for Facilitation Services, this fiscal note estimates \$300 dollars per hour. Assuming four-hour meetings at \$1,200 per meeting, facilitation costs for FY 2022 would be \$3,600.

Comprehensive Program Review – Section 8 (5)

Section 8 (5) would require Ecology to submit a report to the Legislature and the Environmental Justice Council by December 1, 2027 and at least every four years thereafter, providing a comprehensive review of the program implementation to date, including outcomes relative to emissions reduction targets, overburdened communities, covered entities, and emissions-intensive, trade-exposed businesses.

Ecology assumes that development of the report would begin January 1, 2027 and require a year to draft. Based on an assumption that this effort would be combined with the program evaluation required in section 9 (3), Ecology estimates the following staff for the last six months of FY 2027:

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Environmental Specialist 5 – these positions would lead the drafting of the report and analyze emissions changes relative to targets and provide data on program covered entities, including EITE status. The estimated additional time needed is 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would evaluate the implementation of the program, coordinate with the team performing environmental justice assessments required in section 3 to gather information on impacts for overburdened communities, and evaluate policy outcomes. The estimated additional time needed is 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

Additional staff time would be needed for the positions described above, as well as Regulatory Analyst 3 and Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3, to support review, submittal and publication in FY 2028. These additional resources would be needed beyond the timeframe of the fiscal note.

Evaluation of Program Performance and Linkage – Sections 8 and 9

Section 8 (3) would require Ecology to evaluate whether linkage of program with other jurisdictions would provide a more cost-effective means for covered entities to meet compliance obligations in Washington.

Ecology estimates a professional services contract to prepare this evaluation. Estimated cost in FY 2022 is \$151,000 based on costs for 1.0 FTE Economic Analyst 3 and associated standard costs. Ecology estimates 0.1 FTE Environmental Planner 5 to prepare and manage the contract.

Section 9 (3) would require Ecology to conduct evaluations of program performance by December 31, 2027, and December 31, 2035, and adjust annual allowance budgets as needed to ensure achievement of future GHG emissions reduction targets. Ecology would be required to share the evaluation and supporting data, metrics, and processes publicly and include “public consideration” of proposed adjustments.

For the first evaluation of performance, this fiscal note assume a full year of evaluation to allow time for public input for adjustment recommendations. Staff would begin collecting data and performing analysis January 2027. The preliminary evaluation and recommendations would be posted publicly by May 1, 2027, and three public meetings would be offered for public comments in June, July, and August of 2027. The report and recommendations would be drafted starting in September and published by December 31st.

Based on the assumptions above, estimated staffing would be as follows between January and June 2027:

Environmental Specialist 5: This position would perform analysis of current emissions data and calculate attainment of emissions reduction targets under proposed adjustments and alternatives, and respond to public questions and comments. The estimated additional time needed is 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

Environmental Planner 5: This position would analyze changes in emissions under previous allowance

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

budgets and develop proposed approaches and adjustments to meet targets, as well as respond to public questions and comments. The estimated additional time needed is 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3: This position would support publication of the evaluation and proposed adjustments for public review and support the public comments process. The estimated additional time needed is 0.05 FTE in FY 2027.

Facility Costs for a public meeting in June 2027 are estimated to be \$1,000 in Object E.

Costs in FY 2028 to complete the evaluation, and costs for the second evaluation in FY 2035 and FY 2036 fall outside the time scope of this fiscal note, and Ecology would submit a decision package for additional authority as needed.

Methods for Imported Electricity Associated with a Centralized Electricity Market – Section 10

10 (1) (c) would require Ecology to consult with Commerce, UTC, and any jurisdiction that is linked with the program created in the act, to adopt a method for addressing imported electricity associated with a centralized electricity market. This method would be adopted by October 1, 2026.

Rulemaking would begin April 1, 2025 and end with rule adoption by October 1, 2026. Commerce and UTC would provide consultation support, and their costs are described on their respective fiscal notes. Ecology Rulemaking staff and resources are estimated as follows:

Environmental Planner 3 –The rulemaking lead would coordinate the rulemaking timeline, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for rule changes. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.15 FTE in FY 2025, 0.5 FTE in FY 2026 and 0.15 FTE in FY 2027.

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would provide policy and technical expertise to support rulemaking, coordinate with UTC and Commerce, and ensure rules align with existing programs in other jurisdictions. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.2 FTE in FY 2025, 0.75 FTE in FY 2026 and 0.2 FTE in FY 2027.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be

- Economic Analyst 3 at 0.2 FTE in FY 2026; and
- Regulatory Analyst 2 at 0.05 FTE in FY 2026.

Ecology would hold 1 public meeting in FY 2026 and 1 public hearing in FY 2027. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting. AGO support costs are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

Exemptions for Farm Fuels and Agricultural Products – Section 10

Section 10 (7) (e) would exempt fuels used exclusively for agricultural purposes by a farm fuel user. This exemption would only be available if a buyer of motor vehicle fuel or special fuel provides the seller with an exemption certificate in a form and manner prescribed by “the department.” For purposes of this fiscal note, we assume “the department” refers to Ecology. However, this sub-

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

subsection specifically references RCW 82.08.865, which provides a fuel tax exemption for fuels used for farm and agricultural purposes, and the documentation for this exemption is prescribed by the Department of Licensing (DOL). Ecology would also be required to determine a method for expanding the exemption for fuels used for transporting agricultural products on public highways and maintain the expanded exemption for a period of five years.

Estimates for section 10 (7) (e) assume that the exemption certificate referenced in this section would refer to the one that is already used for purposes of a fuel tax exemption, the form and manner of which is prescribed by DOL under RCW 82.08.865. Ecology would adopt the exemptions as part of the initial rulemaking process to establish the provisions of the cap and invest program. Ecology assumes a data sharing agreement with Department of Revenue (DOR) and DOL to gather information on fuel tax exemptions for purposes of adjusting transportation fuel supplier allowance budgets to account for this exemption. Ecology would consult with DOL and DOR to determine a method for expanding the exemption for fuels used to transport agricultural products on public highways, which could require a legislative proposal. The costs to implement the methods are indeterminate for the purposes of this fiscal note. Once the methods have been determined, Ecology and DOR would coordinate on any legislative or budget proposals needed to authorize implementation of this exemption.

Rulemaking would begin July 1, 2021 and end with rule adoption by October 1, 2022. DOL and DOR would provide consultation, technical, and policy support, and these costs are described in DOL's and DOR's fiscal notes. Ecology rulemaking staff and resources are estimated as follows:

Environmental Planner 3 – The rulemaking lead would coordinate the rulemaking timeline, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for rule changes. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2023.

Environmental Specialist 5 – these positions would technical expertise to the initial rule and development of proposed methods to expand the exemption to fuels for transport of agricultural products on public highways; 0.2 FTE would be needed annually ongoing thereafter to analyze the fuel tax exemption data and advise on allowance budget adjustments. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2023, and 0.2 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter.

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would provide policy expertise to support rulemaking, coordinate with DOR, and ensure rules align with existing programs in other jurisdictions. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2023.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be

- Economic Analyst 3 at 0.2 FTE in FY 2023; and
- Regulatory Analyst 2 at 0.05 FTE in FY 2023.

Ecology would hold 1 public meeting in FY 2022 and 1 public hearing in FY 2023. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting. AGO support costs are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Consistency with Review of New Facilities – Section 10

Section 10 would direct Ecology to evaluate the net cumulative GHG emissions for a new or modified facility that would require review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and would result in annual GHG emissions in excess of 25,000 metric tons per year. Ecology would be authorized to adopt rules to determine how to evaluate net cumulative emissions reductions. The evaluation would need to consider net displacement of global emissions resulting from the project.

This fiscal note assumes rule adoption to document the methods for evaluating net cumulative emissions reductions and to provide a formal process for stakeholder input on the methods. Ecology is currently preparing a rule related to GHG evaluations for SEPA reviews to meet the requirements of Governor's Directive 19-18; rulemaking for section 18 would clarify relationships to this directive and seek alignment where there are differences. The rulemaking timeline is assumed to be two years, starting July 1, 2023.

The rulemaking lead would oversee project management, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and perform other tasks as necessary. Ecology estimates that this work would require 0.85 FTE Environmental Planner 4 in each year in FY 2024 and FY 2025.

Technical staff would work with the rulemaking lead to create the rule language and drive the overall policy change of the rulemaking. Ecology estimates that 0.75 FTE Environmental Planner 5, 0.5 FTE Environmental Planner 4, and 0.25 FTE Environmental Specialist 5 in the Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program would provide technical guidance related to Environmental Assessment (SEPA) each year in FYs 2024 and FY 2025.

In addition to technical expertise for SEPA, Ecology assumes that technical expertise would be required from Ecology's Air Quality program. Environmental Planners 5 (0.75 FTE each year in FY 2024 and FY 2025) would serve as the technical and policy leads for implementing these requirements in coordination with the Shorelands and Environmental Assistance rulemaking lead. These positions would also evaluate available research and data to develop methods and guidelines. An Environmental Specialist 5 (0.5 FTE each year in FY 2024 and FY 2025) would provide the necessary technical support and data analysis to support the development of methods and guidance.

To support public engagement, Ecology estimates 0.10 FTE Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 4 each year in FY 2024 and FY 2025.

We assume a contract with a professional facilitator to support four four-hour public stakeholder meetings, three in FY 2024 and one in FY 2025, estimated at \$1,200 per four-hour meeting, based on DES Master Contract pricing for facilitation. Facility costs are estimated at \$1,000 per meeting in Object E.

Economic research staff would complete economic and regulatory analysis in support of the rule as required by law. Ecology estimates that this work would require 0.10 FTE Regulatory Analyst 2 and 0.25 FTE Economic Analyst 3 in FY 2025.

Based current contracting for Governor's Directive 19-18, Ecology estimates a \$250,000 contract in FY 2024 to provide the expertise to develop criteria and guidelines for GHG emissions lifecycle analysis.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Assistant Attorney General (AAG) and Legal Assistant support is included in the estimates provided at the beginning of this fiscal note.

Allowance Trading and Tracking Systems – Section 11

Section 11 would require a robust web-based system to provide access to data, verification, and routine monitoring. Ecology assumes we would use existing systems accessible through membership with WCI and used by other jurisdictions with which the state may engage in a linkage agreement. We may need to set-up other systems and accounts, such as an allowance retirement tracking system and on-line notification systems.

Ecology would need to engage in contracts with WCI to provide system development to ensure all modules needed to meet monitoring and compliance requirements specified in the bill be built and running smoothly. When WCI first contracted with CARB, full online systems development took nineteen months and cost \$1.6 million, per WCI's 2013 budget document. Estimated costs for system modules and start-up costs for Washington's needs are estimated to be \$300,000 in FY 2022 and \$150,000 in FY 2023 for system development. These estimates align with Oregon's estimated systems start-up costs with WCI.

Section 11 (7) would require Ecology to display information about the contents of each holding account, including the number of allowances in each account, on our public website, and Section 11 (10) would require Ecology to maintain a public roster of all covered entities, opt-in entities, and general market participants on the public website.

Ecology assumes WCI could support the display of holding account allowance balances for public reference. Based on similar requirements for Quebec's program, this data would be provided to Ecology by WCI in spreadsheet form. Ecology estimates that this additional feature would require additional

contract costs of \$25,000 each year in Object C. The posting of this data following each auction, and maintenance of the roster of entities would be managed by the Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 4 estimated in the section for Staff for Program Coordination and Public Engagement above.

Ecology estimates the following implementation phases and roles. Detailed position descriptions follow this summary.

Phase 1 – Coordination with WCI, adaptation of systems for Washington, testing, and implementation: July 1, 2011 – December 31, 2022. The first auction would be in January 2023. This would provide eighteen months total to have the allowance trading and tracking systems ready

- Project Management: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist (the Washington Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO) requires a position at this job classification or equivalent to serve in the project management role for IT projects of this scope)
- Technical Expert: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist
- Developer: 2.0 FTE IT Application Development - Journey

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Phase 2 – Support and troubleshooting for first year of auctions and coordination with QA review contractor: January 1, 2023 – December 31, 2023

- Project Management: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist
- Technical Expert: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist
- Developer: 2.0 FTE IT Application Development – Journey

Phase 3 – Ongoing maintenance, support, and system validation: January 1, 2024 and ongoing

- Project Management: 0.5 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist (for one year – January 1 through December 31, 2024)
- Technical Expert: 0.5 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist (for one year – January 1 through December 31, 2024)
- Developer: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Journey ongoing

IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist– Project Manager. This position would provide project management for development and deployment of the auction trading and tracking systems, which would require installation and testing of several modules. This position would conduct business analysis and end-user needs, coordinate with other jurisdictions to ensure successful linkages in the development of new trading and tracking modules, and work with WCI to apply their compliance instrument tracking systems to Washington’s program during the three phases of systems development, which would focus on registration, verification, and trading. This position would serve as primary contact for systems coordination with WCI and the quality assurance (QA) reviewer described below. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023, 0.75 FTE in FY 2024, and 0.25 FTE in FY 2025.

IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist– Technical Expert. This position will have subject matter expertise on the IT infrastructure and operating platforms needed to support installation of the allowance trading and tracking systems. This position would serve as technical lead for implementing coding and application development, guide installation requirements to support linkage agreements, and design protocols for data testing and verification. This position would serve as technical subject matter experts for any questions from the QA reviewer. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023, 0.75 FTE in FY 2024, and 0.25 FTE in FY 2025.

IT Application Development - Journey – Developer and Analyst. These positions would implement coding and application development or installation requirements to support linkage agreements, and would provide ongoing maintenance, support, and validation for WCI’s systems and modules, particularly the auction platform and CITSS. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 2.0 FTE in FY 2022, 1.5 FTE in FY 2023, and 1.0 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing thereafter.

Because of the scope and scale of this project, Ecology would be required to contract with an OCIO-approved QA reviewer to analyze project management and implementation. Based on pricing for a current project, the contract costs are estimated to be \$51,842 in FY 2022 (includes readiness assessment, project QA plan, and seven periodic assessments), \$64,680 in FY 2023 (twelve assessments), \$43,120 in FY 2024 (eight assessments), and \$21,560 in FY 2025 (three assessments and a post implementation report).

In addition to the IT resources, Ecology estimates that an Environmental Specialist 5 would consult with AGO staff and develop procedures for handling and safeguarding confidential and personal

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

information provided by market participants, set up communications and a website, and prepare forms and other documents on the use of the on-line systems by December 31, 2022. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.3 FTE ES5 in FY 2022 and 0.15 FTE ES5 in FY 2023.

AGO support cost assumptions related to consultation for handling and safeguarding confidential and personal information are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

Implement Auctions and Carbon Market – Sections 12, 16, and 17

Sections 12, 16, and 17 describe the requirements and criteria for administering auctions and emissions and price containment reserve auctions. Costs to adopt these criteria by rule are described above. Below are the estimated costs to implement and administer the auctions.

A qualified independent contractor would run the auctions, a qualified financial services administrator would provide financial services related to auctions, and a market monitor would monitor the auctions. For the purposes of this fiscal note, Ecology assumes these services would be provided through the Western Climate Initiative Inc. (WCI), a non-profit organization formed to provide coordinated administrative and technical support to California and other states and provinces implementing emissions trading programs. The services provided by the auction administrator, financial services administrator, and market monitor would include access to electronic forms, applications and standard on-line systems (i.e., compliance instrument tracking system services (CITSS), registration interface, and auction platform). Annual participation dues to WCI covering the costs of these services would be paid starting FY 2022, to ensure the following requirements are met in time for the first auction in January 2023: CITSS is set up for Washington; covered entities are able to apply and register; accounts are set up for registered entities; and the auction administrator, financial services administrator and market monitor are selected and ready to administer the first auction.

The membership cost for services provided by WCI are estimated to cost \$770,000 per year. This estimate was established in 2019 legislative session based on our estimated emissions budget for the initial calendar year, and WCI's annual budget at the time. WCI allocates its costs among participating jurisdictions based on their carbon budgets. Ecology assumes additional start-up costs for systems development, and these are described in the expenditure estimates below.

The first auction would be held in January 2023. A maximum of four allowance auctions would be conducted per year under Section 12. Allowance price containment reserve auctions would be held separately when the settlement prices in the preceding auction approach the adopted auction ceiling price, per Section 16.

Because of the volume of revenue that is estimated to be generated through the auctions, Ecology would contract to have an auditor perform an audit of the auction results and accounting data annually for the first five years of the program. Following the first five years, audits would be conducted every two years. If the State Auditor's Office (SAO) has capacity to support the audits, costs are estimated to be \$33,250 per audit. If SAO cannot provide an audit team, costs would be \$60,000 per audit. This fiscal note assumes contracts with SAO, \$33,250 each year from FY 2022 through FY 2026. Costs are estimated in Object E. Cost estimates were provided by SAO and are based on 350 hours of audit

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

work multiplied by the 2019 billing rate of \$95 per hour.

CITSS requires a dedicated staff person for each of the roles of Registrar, Administrator, and Auction Authority. Ecology received information from Quebec on their program staffing, and they have two full-time staff dedicated to each role to ensure that a representative for each role is available to support covered entities year-round during business hours. In addition to the services provided through WCI membership and estimated costs for internal auditing, Ecology estimates that the following staff would be needed to manage CITSS and perform auction administration functions, manage contracts and coordinate with WCI and other jurisdictions.

Environmental Planner 5 – This position would manage auction implementation and coordinate with the auction administrator, the financial services administrator, the auction monitor, and other jurisdictions. This position would attend inter-jurisdictional meetings with WCI. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE each year in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Specialist 4 - these positions would perform the roles of registrar, auction authority, and auction administrator, which are required year-round. Two staff would be dedicated to each role. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 6.0 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Specialist 4 – additional staffing at this level would be needed to manage contractual agreements with the auction administrator, financial services administrator, and auction monitor, and to ensure funds are transferred to the state treasurer. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.75 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter.

Section 12 (2) would require Ecology to provide auction notices to the Environmental Justice Council at least 60 days prior to each auction and report on auction results and public proceeds within 60 days after each auction. Beginning in 2024 and annually thereafter, Ecology would be required to provide a summary of the previous calendar year's auction results to the Environmental Justice Council.

Ecology estimates that 16 hours Environmental Specialist 4 (ES4) time would be needed to prepare auction notices and reporting for each auction. Assuming 4 auctions year, total staff time would be 0.03 FTE ES4 per year. For the annual auction results report, we estimate 200 hours, or 0.1 FTE ES4 time. Total estimated staff time needed would be 0.13 FTE ES4 in FY 2023 and ongoing every fiscal year thereafter.

Compliance and Market Oversight - Sections 11 and 22

Section 11 would require Ecology to use a secure, online electronic tracking system to register entities in the state program, issue compliance instruments, facilitate program compliance, and support market oversight, and section 22 would establish four-year compliance cycles. Ecology estimates an Environmental Planner 4 would manage the market oversight, monitor market functions and program performance, track auctions, coordinate with other jurisdictions and federal market regulatory agencies, and track compliance. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE Environmental Planner 4 each year in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter

Section 11 would also require Ecology to include a voluntary renewable reserve account, which is defined under section 2 as a holding account from which allowances may be retired for voluntary renewable electricity generation directly delivered to the state on behalf of voluntary renewable energy purchasers or end users and would not be used to meet other state mandatory requirements. Ecology would retire eligible allowances from the allowance account and track the allowances that

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

had been retired under this designation. Ecology estimates 0.12 FTE Environmental Specialist 4 in FY 2023 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter, to track voluntary renewable usage and adjust allowances accordingly.

EITE Criteria, Carbon Intensity Benchmarks Rulemaking and Implementation, and Legislative Report – Section 13

Section 13 (2) would require Ecology to adopt a rule by July 1, 2022 establishing objective numerical criteria for both emissions intensity and trade exposure for the purpose of identifying emissions-intensive trade-exposed manufacturing businesses during the second and subsequent compliance periods.

Section 13 (3) would direct Ecology to allow EITEs to apply and receive an adjustment to their allocation of allowances based on a facility-specific carbon intensity (CI) benchmark, and would require Ecology to establish methods to award additional no cost allowance allocations for EITEs, if appropriate based on projected production, to achieve a similar on-going result through the adjustment of the facility's mass-based baseline. This would be adopted in rule according to the timeline and requirements specified in this subsection.

Section 13 (4) would require Ecology by December 1, 2026, to provide a report to the legislature describing alternative methods and best practices for allocating allowances to EITE, ensuring against emissions leakage. The report would require input from an advisory group including representatives of industry classified as EITE under section 13 (1).

For the rulemaking process required in section 13 (2) to determine the second compliance period EITE criteria, it is assumed that the rules adopted by July 2022 would be based on best available data.

The rule required in Section 13 (2) would identify criteria for EITE designation in the second compliance period (beginning CY 2027) and all future compliance periods. Criteria for EITE designation would have strong public interest and economic impacts. Because of this, the rulemaking would require a robust stakeholder process, and a rule of this nature would typically require a two-and-a-half-year timeline. In order to ensure a rule that meets emissions reduction goals and minimizes economic impacts to the regulated community, Ecology estimates that a minimum of eighteen months would be needed, and that the rule could not be adopted by July 1, 2022. Assuming a start date of July 1, 2021, Ecology would adopt the rule required by section 13 (2) by June 30, 2023, which would still provide sufficient time for the regulated community to be informed of criteria before the start of the second compliance period in CY 2027.

Based on the assumptions and requirements described above, the estimated staff time and costs for the requirements of section 13 are as follows.

Environmental Planner 3 - the rulemaking lead would oversee project management, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for both rule changes, and draft the report required in section 13 (4). The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.85 FTE in FY 2022, 0.85 FTE in FY 2023, 0.3 FE in FY 2026, and 0.2 FTE in FY 2027.

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would identify and invite advisory group members for the report required in section 13 (4), and coordinate with stakeholders on EITE criteria. These positions

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

would focus on cross-jurisdictional considerations and policy implications of proposals, evaluate emissions reductions implications of proposed approaches, and consult with tribes during the rule development process to ensure concurrence with rule language requiring consultation per section 13 (8). Ongoing staff time would support continued evaluation of emissions reduction under the benchmarks and recommend adjustments as needed, and contribute analysis for the report required in section 13 (4). The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 2.0 FTE in FY 2022, 1.5 FTE in FY 2023, 0.25 FTE in FY 2024 and FY 2025, 0.55 FTE in FY 2026, 0.45 FTE in FY 2027, and 0.25 FTE in FY 2028 and ongoing.

Environmental Specialist 5 – this position would support covered entities in CI benchmark analysis and calculations, provide technical support for public comments during rulemaking, and provide ongoing technical assistance to EITE for calculating and submitting CI data each year. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.8 FTE in FY 2022, 0.8 FTE in FY 2023, 0.75 FTE each year in FY 2025 and FY 2026, 0.25 FTE in FY 2027, and 0.35 FTE in FY 2028 and ongoing thereafter.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3 – this position would provide outreach to ensure that the public is aware of the process and provided opportunities to participate during rulemaking. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.25 FTE each year in FY 2022, FY 2023, FY 2025, and FY 2026, and 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the two rules for section 13, and the Regulatory Analyst 2 would also provide coordination support for the legislative report. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be

- Economic Analyst 3, 0.45 FTE in FY 2023; and
- Regulatory Analyst 2, 0.2 FTE in FY 2023.

Professional services contracts would be required for facilitation of the advisory group for the report. Based on the range of pricing under the Department of Enterprise Services (DES) Master Contract for Facilitation Services, this fiscal note estimates \$300 dollars per hour. From July 2025 through June 2026, the advisory group and Ecology would meet monthly for four hour meetings. Estimated facilitation costs for FY 2026 would be \$300/hour x 48 hours = \$14,400.

Professional services contracts would be required to consult with a third-party expert to assist in gathering data and conduct analysis to support EITE designations. The cost for this contract is estimated to be \$110,000 in FY 2022 and \$50,000 in FY 2023. The total estimated agreement cost of \$160,500 for each rulemaking process is based on Ecology's cost for roughly 0.9 FTE of Environmental Planner 5.

Cost estimates also include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting. AGO support costs are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

Ecology would also need to contract with a consultant to evaluate best practices for allocating allowances to EITE, ensuring against emissions leakage, for the report required in section 13 (4). Based on the range of pricing under the Department of Enterprise Services (DES) Master Contract for Environmental Consultation related to energy regulatory compliance, estimated contract rates would be \$275/hour. Estimated consultant time would be 100 hours in FY 2026, for an estimated contract cost of \$27,500.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

A new Information Technology application would be needed for the EITE entities to submit CI benchmarks and supporting data and calculations as needed. Ecology would need the following staff to support system development and maintenance.

IT Application Developer – Journey – one position full-time for 6 months (0.5 FTE in FY 2022) would be needed for initial development of the CI reporting program.

IT Business Analyst – Entry- one position half-time for 12 months (0.5 FTE in FY 2022) would be needed for project management and development of reports.

IT Application Developer – Journey – 0.2 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter would be needed for ongoing system maintenance.

Allocations of No-Cost Allowances for Electricity Utilities and Providers, and Natural Gas Utilities - Sections 14 and 15

Section 14 would require Ecology to consult with Commerce and the UTC to establish in rule by October 1, 2022 allocations of allowances provided at no cost to electricity utilities. By October 1, 2026, Ecology would consult with Commerce and the UTC to adopt rules for allocating allowances to be provided at no cost during the second compliance period to consumer-owned or investor-owned electricity utilities and providers or electricity providers, as well as the amount of allowances allocated at no cost that must be consigned to auction for the second compliance period.

By October 1, 2028, Ecology would adopt an allocation schedule by rule, in consultation with Commerce and the UTC, for the provision of allowances at no cost to consumer-owned or investor-owned electricity utilities and providers for the compliance periods contained within calendar years 2031 through 2040. This allocation would be consistent with a forecast approved by the appropriate governing board or the UTC, of each utility's supply and demand, and the cost burden resulting from the inclusion of the covered entities in the compliance periods. This fiscal note assumes that expenditure authority for rules to adopt allowances for the compliance periods beginning calendar year 2030 would be requested in a future budget request, based on rulemaking experience for the previous compliance periods.

Section 15 would require Ecology to consult with the UTC and adopt rules by October 1, 2022 for allocations of free allowances to be provided to natural gas utilities. Ecology would need to consult with the UTC and adopt rules prior to each subsequent compliance period for natural gas utilities' allowance provisions during the future compliance periods. Ecology assumes that the rule adopted by October 1, 2026 for electricity allowances in the second compliance period would include the allowance provisions for natural gas utilities.

By October 1, 2028, Ecology would consult with the UTC to adopt allocations of no cost allowances for natural gas utilities for calendar years 2030 through 2040. This fiscal note assumes that expenditure authority for rules to adopt allowances for the compliance periods beginning calendar year 2030 would be requested in a future budget request, based on rulemaking experience for the previous compliance periods.

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Based on the assumptions and requirements above, the initial rules would require a standard rulemaking process with opportunity for public comments during the process, but rulemaking would need to be accelerated to adopt the allocations for electricity providers by October 1, 2022.

Rulemaking would start July 1, 2021. Rulemaking for initial electricity allocations would conclude September 30, 2022 (fifteen months). The rules would incorporate, to the extent possible, approaches used by other jurisdictions with which Ecology may engage in a linkage agreement.

The second rulemaking process would begin July 1, 2024 and conclude by September 30, 2026 for incorporation in the second compliance period beginning January 1, 2027. This second rulemaking process would incorporate new covered entities and have expanded complexity and public interest. Ecology estimates that this rulemaking effort would require expanded resources and time to ensure capacity for public engagement and balancing stakeholder needs with GHG emissions reduction goals.

This fiscal estimate assumes a contract with third-party experts not financially affiliated with industries under consideration to assist in gathering data and conduct analysis to support rulemaking for both the initial and second rulemaking processes. Rulemaking for criteria and allocations would require the following resources:

Environmental Planner 3 - the rulemaking lead would oversee project management, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for both rule changes. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.54 FTE in FY 2022, 0.54 FTE in FY 2023, 0.85 FTE each year in FY 2025 and FY 2026, and 0.43 FTE in FY 2027.

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would provide policy and technical expertise to support rulemaking, conduct ongoing analysis and evaluation and coordination with the UTC and Commerce. These positions would consult with Commerce and UTC to determine appropriate allowance consignment levels to support low income rate payers in FY 2025 and 2026. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.64 FTE in FY 2022, 0.64 FTE in FY 2023, 1.0 FTE each year in FY 2025 and FY 2026, 0.25 FTE in FY 2027, and 0.2 FTE in FY 2028 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Engineer 5 – this position would lead allocation calculation efforts based on best available data. This position would continue to evaluate allocations on an ongoing basis as reporting data is updated to support linkage agreements. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in FY 2022, 0.65 FTE in FY 2023, 0.75 FTE each year in FY 2025 and FY 2026, 0.25 FTE in FY 2027, and 0.35 FTE in FY 2028 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Engineer 3 – this position would support allocation calculations and provide technical support for public comments during rulemaking. This position would support ongoing evaluation of EITE allocations at the FTE level estimated for FY 2024. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in FY 2022, 0.65 FTE in FY 2023, 0.75 FTE each year in FY 2025 and FY 2026, 0.25 FTE in FY 2027, and 0.35 FTE in FY 2028 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Specialist 5 – this positions would provide analysis to support an evaluation of recommended allowance consignment levels in the second compliance period. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.2 FTE each year in FY 2025 and FY 2026.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3 – this position would provide outreach to ensure that the public is aware of the process and provided opportunities to participate

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

during rulemaking. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.25 FTE each year in FY 2022, FY 2023 FY 2025 and FY 2026, and 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be

- Economic Analyst 3, 0.25 FTE in FY 2023 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2027; and
- Regulatory Analyst 2, 0.1 FTE in FY 2023 and 0.1 FTE in FY 2027.

Ecology would hold the following numbers of public meetings or hearings for the two rulemaking processes: two events in FY 2022, two events in FY 2023, five events in FY 2025, five events in FY 2026, and two events in FY 2027. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting. AGO support costs are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

Price Ceiling Units – Section 18

Section 18 would require sale of price ceiling units as an alternative to price containment reserve auctions, in the event that price containment reserve allowances were not available and allowance prices were to reach the ceiling limits designated in rule. This section would require funds raised in connection with the sale of price ceiling units must be expended to achieve emissions reductions on at least a metric ton for metric ton basis that are real, permanent, quantifiable, verifiable, enforceable by the state, and in addition to any greenhouse gas emission reduction otherwise required by law or regulation and any other greenhouse gas emission reduction that otherwise would occur.

The price ceiling unit would be a new allowance instrument that would not be supported by WCI, Inc. Ecology assumes that the receipts from the sales of price ceiling units would be managed by Ecology and deposited in the Climate Investment Account. This would require the following staff to develop protocols implement the sale transactions and manage the revenue as well as track balances of these units, because they would not be tracked in the WCI system for purposes of compliance tracking.

Environmental Planner 5 – This position would develop protocols for managing price ceiling units and examine impacts of price ceiling unit sales on linkage agreements with other jurisdictions. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.75 FTE FY 2022.

Fiscal Analyst 5 – This position would provide technical guidance related to fiscal requirements and integration of the trading platform with Ecology’s integrated fiscal management and invoicing system. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE FY 2022.

Fiscal Analyst 3 - This position would manage invoicing and receipts associated with price ceiling unit sales transactions. Based on an assumption that price ceiling unit sales would be infrequent, the additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE FY 2022 during system development and 0.1 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter.

Environmental Specialist 4 – This position would implement price ceiling unit sales, track price ceiling unit balances, and track revenue in the Climate Investment Account to be designated for the purposes described in this section. Based on an assumption that price ceiling unit sales would be infrequent, the additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE FY 2022 during system and

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

protocol development and 0.1 FTE in FY 2023 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter.

Because this separate allowance would not be supported by WCI, Inc., Ecology would need to develop and maintain a system to assign, track, and facilitate trade of price ceiling units. Because this system would support financial transactions between regulated entities and could have significant public impacts, this fiscal note assumes that the development of the ceiling unit tracking and trading system would require quality assurance (QA) oversight per The Washington State Office of the Chief Information Officer (OCIO).

The project would need to start by July 2021 to ensure that the project and quality assurance review are complete before the program's start date of January 1, 2023. The estimated staff time needed would be as follows:

System Developer/Systems Integration – IT Application Development Senior/Specialist: This position would prepare the technical systems implementation and coordinate on requirements for integration with the agency's financial systems. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022 and .75 FTE in FY 2023.

Project Management - IT Project Management Senior/Specialist: OCIO requires a staff person of this job classification to serve in the project management role for IT projects that have a substantial public impact. This position would conduct business analysis, research end-user needs, and serve as project contact for the QA reviewer. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.50 FTE in FY 2023.

Application Developer - IT Application Development Journey: This position would prepare the coding and for the new application and provide ongoing maintenance. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022, 1.0 FTE in FY 2023, and 0.3 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing.

IT App Development – Manager: This position would provide general oversight and staff coordination for the project. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.1 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.1 in FY 2023

Ecology would be required to contract with an OCIO-approved QA reviewer to analyze project management and implementation. Based on pricing for a current Ecology IT project, the contract costs are estimated to be \$51,802 in FY 2022 (includes readiness assessment (\$9,945), project QA plan (\$4,127), and seven periodic assessments at \$5,390 per report) and \$32,340 in FY 2023 (five assessments and a post implementation report at \$5,390 each).

Offset Protocols– Section 19

Ecology would be required to adopt by rule protocols for establishing offset projects and securing offset credits that may be used to meet a portion of a covered or opt-in entity's compliance obligation under section 22.

Ecology would review existing protocols, coordinate the review with other state agencies and provinces, develop new or adapt existing protocols, and adopt rules for offset protocols appropriate

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

for Washington. Ecology assumes that initial development of offset protocols would take 2.5 years, starting July 1, 2021, and completing on December 31, 2023. Ecology assumes routine reviews of protocols every three years starting in FY 2027 and subsequent rule updates. Ecology estimates that development of and updates to offset protocols would require the following staff.

Environmental Planner 3 - the rulemaking lead would oversee rulemaking project management, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for both rule changes. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023, 0.25 FTE in FY 2024, and 0.5 FTE in FY 2027 and every three years thereafter.

Environmental Planner 5 – these positions would provide policy analysis, environmental justice analysis and coordination with environmental justice groups, technical expertise to support rulemaking, and analysis of offset protocols in other jurisdictions. They would lead ongoing analysis and evaluation to support intermittent reviews and updates of protocols. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.85 FTE each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023, and 0.55 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Specialist 5 - These positions would support cross-agency communications, support public outreach efforts related to rulemaking, and perform analysis and ongoing evaluation of the offset protocols. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023, and 0.75 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Engineer 5 – this position would evaluate criteria and models needed to evaluate GHG emissions reductions of proposed offset projects and provide technical support for the ongoing analysis and evaluation of protocols. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.75 FTE each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023, and 0.5 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing thereafter.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3 – this position would provide outreach to ensure that the public is aware of the process and provided opportunities to participate during rulemaking. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in FY 2022, 0.25 FTE in FY 2023, 0.25 FTE in FY 2024, and 0.25 FTE in FY 2027 and every three years thereafter.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be

- Economic Analyst 3 at 0.25 FTE in FY 2024, and 0.25 FTE in FY 2027 and every three years thereafter;
- Regulatory Analyst 2 at 0.1 FTE in FY 2024, and 0.1 FTE in FY 2027 and every three years thereafter.

Ecology would hold three public meetings each year in FY 2022 and FY 2023 and one hearing in FY 2024. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting. AGO support cost assumptions are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

Ecology assumes that independent contractors would be required to provide technical expertise related to forestry protocols, agricultural practices, oceanic sequestration investments and other classes of offset projects. The cost for these contracts are estimated to be \$160,500 each year FY 2022 and FY 2023 and \$80,250 in FY 2027 and every three years thereafter. Estimates are based on the cost for approximately 0.90 FTE of Environmental Planner 5 with associated administrative and standard costs for the first two fiscal years, and approximately 0.45 FTE EP5 for future intermittent evaluations

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative
of the protocols.

Assistance for Offset Projects – Section 20

Section 20 would require Ecology to establish an assistance program for offset projects on federally recognized tribal lands in Washington. The assistance could include, but would not be limited to, funding or consultation for federally recognized tribal governments to assess proposed offset projects' technical feasibility, investment requirements, development and operational costs, expected returns, administrative and legal challenges, and project risks and pitfalls. Funding or assistance may be provided upon request by a federally recognized tribe. Section 20 (2) specifies legislative intent that not less than five million dollars be provided in the biennial operating budget for the purposes of this section.

To meet the stated intent of this section, Ecology assumes we would administer an ongoing grant program in the operating budget beginning in the 2021-23 biennium with a minimum of \$5 million per biennium dedicated to grants. Because the program would require collaboration with tribes and stakeholders to establish grant criteria and time to establish grant applications in Ecology's grants system, Ecology assumes that grants would first become effective on July 1, 2022.

We estimate 1 FTE Environmental Specialist 5 in FY 2022 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter to develop and implement the grant program, support grant program rulemaking, and coordinate technical support and resources to provide consultation and analysis of technical feasibility, investment requirements, development and operational costs, expected returns, administrative and legal challenges, and project risks and pitfalls.

Also included are costs to establish and maintain the grant program and applications in the agency's grant and loan system (\$27,500 in FY 2022 and \$7,500 in FY 2023 and each year thereafter shown in object E).

Ecology assumes that we could begin the grant programs without rule changes, but that we would need to do rulemaking to ensure alignment with this bill. Ecology assumes we would conduct rulemaking for the grant program to establish grant funding criteria. Ecology estimates that rulemaking would be moderately complex and generate substantial interest and input from federally recognized tribes. It would require eighteen months, from July 1, 2021 to December 31, 2022, and would require the following staff:

Rulemaking Lead: Ecology estimates that an Environmental Planner 3 would spend 0.5 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.25 FTE in FY 2023 coordinating the rulemaking effort.

Technical Lead: Ecology estimates that an Environmental Engineer 5 would spend 0.25 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.13 FTE in FY 2023 to develop guidance and resources for grantees to evaluate offset project technical feasibility.

Policy Lead: Ecology estimates that an Environmental Planner 5 would spend 0.25 FTE in FY 2022 and 0.13 FTE in FY 2023 to advise on offset projects and assistance practices in other jurisdictions, as well as resources for identifying administrative and legal challenges.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule: Economic

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

Analyst 3, 0.20 FTE in FY 2023; Regulatory Analyst 2, 0.05 FTE in FY 2023. Ecology would hold two public meetings in FY 2022 and one public hearing in FY 2023 for rulemaking. Goods and services estimates include facility rental costs estimated at \$1,000 per meeting.

Preemption of the Clean Air Rule – Section 23

Section 23 would preempt the provisions of WAC chapter 173-442 (Clean Air Rule). For the purposes of this fiscal note, Ecology assumes that the effective date of this change would be July 1, 2021, and would eliminate funding authority for the program beginning that first day of FY 2022.

Background: Ecology has a base Operating Budget for the Clean Air Rule Program of \$4,734,000 and 21.3 FTEs. The base resources appropriated to Ecology for the Clean Air Rule Program are for purposes of ongoing rulemaking, development and maintenance of reporting and tracking systems, and program implementation for the clean air rule. This budget has largely been on hold due to litigation. Note that the 2021 Supplemental Conference Operating Budget includes one-time GF-S savings of \$1,000,000 from the Clean Air Rule in FY 2021 as part of FY 2021 Budget Savings.

Ecology funds this program split in the 2019-21 biennium between General Fund–State (67 percent) and Model Toxics Control Operating Account (33 percent) based on the existing funding of this activity following a directed 2017-19 operating budget fund shift from GF-S to STCA in the agency, and subsequent shift of STCA to MTCA Operating when the accounts were changed in the 2019-21 operating budget. The funding for this program in the 2021-23 biennium would all be in MTCA Operating, before returning to the previous split between GF-S and MTCA, as a result of the 2021-23 Conference Operating Budget which shifts the remaining GF-S for the program to MTCA-Op Operating one-time in the 2021-23 biennium.

Based on the 2021-23 Carryforward Level Operating Budget, Ecology’s budget would be reduced by 21.3 FTE and \$4,734,000 in the 2021-23 biennium and ongoing. For the 2021-23 biennium, the reduction would be from the MTCA Operating Account based on the funding after the one-time fund shift in the 2021-23 Conference Operating Budget. For the 2023-25 and future biennia, the reduction would be split between General Fund–State (GF-S - 67 percent) and MTCA Operating (MTCA-Op - 33 percent).

For the purposes of this fiscal note, direct FTE reductions are shown at an average Environmental Specialist 4 level, with FTEs reduced by 18.5 direct FTEs and 2.8 FTEs agency indirect. Summary: - \$2,367,000 in FY 2022 and ongoing.

Rulemaking would be required during FY 2022 to eliminate Clean Air Rule enforcement from 173-442 WAC and 173-441 WAC. This work would include drafting rule changes, soliciting and responding to public comments, performing economic analyses, coordinating with Ecology management, and providing technical support.

Ecology estimates during FY 2022 this work would require the following rulemaking staff:

- Environmental Planner 3 - rulemaking lead, 0.75 FTE;
- Environmental Planner 5 – policy and technical leads, 0.25 FTE;
- Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3 – communications and outreach, 0.10 FTE;

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

- Economic Analyst 3 – economic analysis, 0.25 FTE; and
- Regulatory Analyst 2 – economic analysis, 0.10 FTE.

Ecology would hold three public meetings and one public hearing in 2022. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1000 per meeting.

AGO support cost assumptions are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking. Rulemaking costs would be paid with MTCA Operating consistent with where the funding for the program is being reduced in the 2021-23 biennium .

Linkage with Other Jurisdictions – Section 24

Section 24 (3) would require Ecology to evaluate and make a finding regarding whether the aggregate number of unused allowances in a linked program would reduce the stringency of Washington's program and the state's ability to achieve its greenhouse gas emissions reduction limits.

Ecology estimates a professional services contract to prepare this evaluation. Estimated cost in FY 2022 is \$100,000 based on costs for 0.6 FTE Environmental Planner 5 and associated standard costs. Ecology estimates 0.1 FTE Environmental Planner 5 to prepare and manage the contract.

Evaluating Investments – Section 26

Section 26 (4) would require the state to develop a process to evaluate impacts of investments made under the chapter, work across state agencies to develop and track priorities across the different eligible funding categories, and work with the Environmental Justice Council pursuant to section 5.

Ecology assumes a lead role in coordinating the process to evaluate impacts and coordinate across state agencies. Ecology assumes an environmental facilitation agreement for executive coordination among agencies and with the Environmental Justice Council. Estimated contract costs are \$100,000 per year for the contract, based on contract pricing for executive coordination of a cross jurisdictional task force. Ecology estimates 0.1 FTE Environmental Planner 5 in FY 2023 and 0.2 FTE Environmental Planner 5 in FY 2024 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter to manage the contract, and collect and evaluate information.

GHG Reporting Modifications – Sections 8, 15, 24, and 33

Sections 8 and 24 would encourage Ecology to seek to enter into linkage agreements with other jurisdictions. A linkage agreement would require coordination of several elements of the carbon pollution market program. Ecology would be required to adopt a rule before executing a linkage agreement. For the purposes of this fiscal note, Ecology assumes that we would work toward entering into linkage agreements with other jurisdictions.

Section 33 would modify Greenhouse Gas (GHG) reporting program requirements in RCW 70A.15.2200 to align with the data requirements and coverage of the proposed GHG cap program

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

proposed in section 8.

The cost estimates for these sections are broken into three components: rulemaking costs, IT systems needs, and GHG reporting data needs.

GHG Reporting Rule Updates

Coverage requirements for the proposed carbon market program and data requirements to fulfil future linkage agreements for sections 8 and 24 would require significant amendments to the existing mandatory GHG emissions reporting rule in order to incorporate data verification requirements and align GHG reporting criteria with those of other states in order to implement linkage agreements in the future. Ecology estimates that this would require complex rulemaking spanning four years. This would provide opportunity for extensive outreach and public comments during the process of revising GHG reporting protocols to incorporate changes in statute and accommodate linkage agreements. The initial rulemaking would start July 1, 2021, and end June 30, 2025 and would need the following staff and resources.

Environmental Planner 3 - the rulemaking lead would coordinate the rulemaking timeline, lead rule development, manage stakeholder engagement, and other tasks as necessary for both rule changes. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in each year from FY 2022 through FY 2025.

Environmental Planner 5 – this position would provide policy and technical expertise to support rulemaking. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.5 FTE in each year from FY 2022 through FY 2025.

Community Outreach and Environmental Education Specialist 3 – this position would provide outreach to ensure that the public is aware of the process and provided opportunities to participate during rulemaking. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 0.25 FTE in each year from FY 2022 through FY 2025.

The following positions would complete an economic and regulatory analysis of the rule. The additional staff time needed is estimated at

- Economic Analyst 3 at 0.25 FTE in FY 2025;
- Regulatory Analyst 2 at 0.1 FTE in FY 2025.

Ecology would hold six public meetings in FY 2024 and one hearing in FY 2025. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting. AGO support cost assumptions are included in the estimates provided above for initial rulemaking.

GHG Reporting IT Systems Needs, Sections 24 and 33

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

In order to pursue a linkage agreement with California, substantial changes to greenhouse gas reporting systems would be needed to align Washington’s Greenhouse Gas Reporting Tool (e-GGRT) with California’s system (Cal e-GGRT). Ecology’s GHG reporting program protocols and systems align with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) reporting requirements. California’s GHG reporting program is based on different criteria and calculation methods. GHG reporting systems would need to be re- configured to accommodate different data requirements to align with California’s system. Ecology assumes in this fiscal note that we would be able to engage in a contract with SAIC, the IT systems contractor that built Cal e-GGRT, and adopt the system for Washington State. If this is not possible, the first and second phases of the timeline described below would need to each be extended by one more year to allow time for Ecology to re-develop the system in-house, and phases one and two would need one more IT Application Development - Journey developer. Under both scenarios, a QA review contract would be required per OCIO for a project of this scale. Ecology estimates the following implementation phases and roles. Detailed position descriptions follow this summary.

Phase 1 – Coordinate with SAIC, Build, Test, and Implement system – 2 years: July 1, 2023 – June 30, 2024; The estimated start date is July 1, 2023 to allow for sufficient rulemaking to have been accomplished to incorporate data requirements that align with California’s reporting program.

- Project Management: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist (per OCIO requirements)
- Technical Expert: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist
- Developer: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Journey

Phase 2 – Support and troubleshooting for first year of reporting and coordination with QA review coordinator – because the program will also need to provide technical assistance to reporters, the transition for the new system will require more time. This phase is estimated to last two years: July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2026

- Project Management: 0.5 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist
- Technical Expert: 0.5 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist
- Developer: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Journey

Phase 3 – Ongoing maintenance, support, and system validation. SAIC updates Cal e-GGRT annually, and a developer would be needed to support these updates, in addition to providing ongoing maintenance and troubleshooting: July 1, 2026 and ongoing

- Project Management: 0.5 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist (FY 2027 only)
- Technical Expert: 0.5 FTE IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist (FY 2027 only)
- Developer: 1.0 FTE IT Application Development - Journey ongoing

IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist– Project Manager. This position would provide project management for development and deployment of e-GGRT changes, which would require installation and testing. This position would conduct business analysis of program operator and end-user needs, coordinate with California Air Resources Board and SAIC. This position would serve as primary contact for the quality assurance (QA) reviewer described below. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2024 and 0.5 FTE each year from FY 2025 to FY 2027.

IT Application Development - Senior/Specialist– Technical Expert. This position will have subject matter expertise on the IT infrastructure and operating platforms needed to support installation of the

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

new e-GGRT system. This position would serve as technical lead for implementing coding and application development, guide installation requirements to support linkage with California, and design protocols for data testing and verification. This position would serve as technical subject matter experts for any questions from the QA reviewer. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2024 and 0.5 FTE each year from FY 2025 to FY 2027.

IT Application Development – Journey – Developer. This position would implement coding and application development or installation requirements to implement the new e-GGRT system, and would provide ongoing maintenance, support, and validation. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2024 and ongoing thereafter.

Ecology assumes a contract with SAIC to support adoption of the CAL e-GGRT system for Washington State. When SAIC contracted with CARB to establish the system, the full development cost was approximately \$800,000. Estimated costs for system installation and modifications are estimated to be \$200,000 in FY 2024 and \$100,000 in FY 2025.

Because of the scope and scale of this project, Ecology would be required to contract with an OCIO-approved QA reviewer to analyze project management and implementation. Based on pricing for a current project, the contract costs are estimated to be \$57,192 in FY 2024 (includes readiness assessment, project QA plan, and eight periodic assessments), \$53,900 in FY 2025 (ten assessments), \$43,120 in FY 2026 (eight assessments), and \$32,340 in FY 2027 (five assessments and a post implementation report).

GHG Reporting – Data Collection and Technical Support for Reporters – Sections 15 and 33

Section 33 would add certain electricity suppliers as a new class of reporters and add transportation fuel supplier reporting requirements, which would require data conversion. Because tax records are the most reliable source of information to source emissions data for petroleum emissions, the program would need to add staff capacity with sufficient expertise to analyze tax records and calculate emissions information. A linkage agreement with California would require Ecology to re-assemble the GHG reporting data history and current data to align with California's protocols. Ecology estimates that the following staff would be needed to re-assemble historic data, support testing of the reporting systems platform as it is developed, provide technical support to reporters as reporting criteria and protocols change, and audit GHG data for accuracy.

Environmental Engineer 5 – this position would lead data audits and updates for all non-petroleum-supplier GHG sources, develop and implement GHG calculation updates to support linkage agreements with California, develop audit criteria for third party verifier reports for industrial facilities, and lead data assurance efforts on an ongoing basis. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 1.0 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter.

Environmental Engineer 3 –support GHG calculation updates to support linkage agreements with California, support testing of the new reporting systems platform during development, and audit third party verifier reports for industrial facilities. The additional staff time needed is estimated to be 2.0 FTE in FY 2022 and ongoing thereafter.

Management Analyst 5 – These positions would perform the following functions to support GHG data

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

reporting for petroleum suppliers and third party verification requirements:

During the first four years, a dedicated position would design GHG calculation protocol and develop a training curriculum for third-party verifiers. Estimated time needed is 1.0 FTE MA5 each year from FY 2022 through FY 2025.

In order to calculate an accurate allowance budget and adjustments needed to meet future targets, we would need to assemble calculations of current and historical GHG emissions for petroleum suppliers to align with California's protocols. This would require review of tax record data to trace line item transactions associated with delivery of fuel supplies to Washington. In order to protect sensitive information, staff must review these records at fuel suppliers' headquarters offices using the fuel suppliers' systems. The program estimates that fifteen to sixty individual petroleum suppliers would be covered in the program, and a low percentage of covered entities would have headquarters offices in Washington State. Each audit would require at least three weeks for review of transactions associated with fuel supplies to Washington State and calculation of GHG emissions. Estimated time needed is 2.0 FTE MA5 each year in FY 2022 through FY 2025.

Ecology would need to audit third-party reviews of data on an ongoing basis to ensure that criteria and analysis are accurate; this would include week-long on-site visits with verifiers to observe practices and methods and full-length desk audits each year. Staff would also continue to provide training and certification to third party verifiers on a regular basis to ensure that sufficient qualified verifiers are available to support reporters. Estimated time needed is 2.0 FTE MA5 each year in FY 2026 and ongoing.

The total additional MA5 staff time needed is estimated to be 3.0 FTE in each year from FY 2022 through FY 2025 and 2.0 FTE in FY 2026 and ongoing thereafter.

Travel costs for auditing and technical assistance to petroleum suppliers are indeterminate, estimated between \$6,384 and \$97,608 per year. Please refer to the indeterminate costs attachment for details.

Section 15 would require natural gas utilities to provide GHG reporting data specified in section 15 (3) to Ecology on or before March 1, 2022 in order to qualify for no-cost allowances; utilities would be required to continue providing data for each reporting year per section 15 (4). These data were required under the Clean Air Rule, and several natural gas utilities have been submitting this data voluntarily for several years. Reporting infrastructure for this purpose is in place.

The increase in number of reports received would require 0.1 FTE Environmental Specialist 5 (ES5) processing time in FY 2022 to verify prior year data and 0.05 FTE ES5 in FY 2023 and ongoing thereafter to process new ongoing annual data submittals.

Costs for GHG reporting changes for sections 14 and 25 would be paid with General Fund-State until December 31, 2022, with the Climate Investment Account from January 1, 2023 through June 30, 2025, and with the Air Pollution Control Account starting July 1, 2025 and ongoing thereafter.

Annual Reporting – Section 46

Section 46 would require Ecology to post to the Ecology website, and submit to appropriate committees of the legislature an annual report by September 30 each year, which summarizes

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

distribution of moneys from the accounts created in sections 27 through 31.

This fiscal note assumes that the first report would be required on September 30, 2023, which would be the first year of the cap and invest program and the first year during which moneys would be distributed from the accounts created in sections 27 through 31.

Ecology estimates 0.2 FTE in FY 2023, and 0.4 FTE Environmental Specialist 4 in FY 2024 and ongoing each fiscal year thereafter, to collect data from each agency allocated spending authority or implementing grants from the accounts, and prepare and submit the report.

Home Heating Assistance – Section 47

Section 47 would require Ecology, in collaboration with interested stakeholders, to develop a proposal for assisting households that use fuels other than electricity or natural gas for home heating. The proposal must give priority to assisting low-income households through weatherization, conservation and efficiency services, and bill assistance. In the event the proposal would require legislative action, Ecology would be required to submit recommendations for proposed legislation to the appropriate committees of the legislature no later than September 15, 2022.

Ecology estimates 0.1 FTE Environmental Specialist 5 in FY 2022 to coordinate with stakeholders and prepare a proposal. The need for legislation would be indeterminate, and costs related to development of a legislative proposal are not included in this fiscal note. Ecology would hold two public meetings for stakeholder and public engagement. Cost estimates include facility rental costs, estimated at \$1,000 per meeting.

SUMMARY: See attached Expenditure Overview Table for summary of costs by section.

Notes on costs by object:

Salary estimates are current biennium actual rates at Step L. Benefits are the agency average of 36.7% of salaries.

Professional Services Contracts include \$1,784,744 in FY 2022, \$1,444,895 in FY 2023, \$1,466,912 in FY 2024, \$1,084,660 in FY 2025, 992,020 in FY 2026, and \$1,019,590 in FY 2027.

Goods and Services include the agency average of \$4,144 per direct program FTE, plus facility costs for meetings of \$46,000 in FY 2022, \$24,000 in FY 2023, \$14,000 in FY 2024, and \$20,000 in FY 2025 and FY 2026, and \$18,000 in FY 2027.

Object E includes estimated costs for SAO auditing of \$33,250 each year FY 2022 through FY 2026, and Grant application system development and maintenance costs of \$29,500 in FY 2022, and \$7,500 in FY 2023 and each fiscal year thereafter.

AAG costs of \$119,000 each year in FY 2022 through FY 2025, and \$84,000 in FY 2026 and ongoing thereafter for AAG consultation for rulemaking and appeals are also included in Object E.

Object E includes monitoring network supplies, estimated at \$71,000 each year, beginning in FY 2023.

Object N includes estimated grant funds of \$5,000,000 in FY 2023, and \$2,500,000 per fiscal year, starting in FY 2024, to support offset projects evaluation on federally recognized tribal lands.

Travel is the agency average of \$2,182 per direct program FTE.

Equipment is the agency average of \$1,201 per direct program FTE. Object J also includes a one-time

PART II.C – Expenditures Narrative

cost of \$150,000 in FY 2022 for monitoring equipment.

Agency Administrative Overhead is calculated at the federally approved agency indirect rate of 27.4% of direct program salaries and benefits, and is shown as object 9. Agency Administrative Overhead FTEs are included at 0.15 FTE per direct program FTE, and are identified as Fiscal Analyst 2 and IT App Development - Journey.

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Ecology Programs Coordination and Communications - Multiple Sections	815,490	866,142	866,135	866,135	788,880	788,880
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	815,491	433,070				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		433,072	866,135	866,135	788,880	788,880
Total FTEs	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	5.8	5.8
Total Staff costs	815,490	866,142	866,135	866,135	788,880	788,880
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs						
Environmental Justice Review - Sections 3 and 31	936,733	633,934	633,931	633,931	633,931	633,931
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	936,734	316,969				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		316,965	633,933	633,933	633,933	633,933
Total FTEs	6.2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Total Staff costs	764,733	540,934	540,931	540,931	540,931	540,931
Professional Services Contracts	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Meeting costs	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Supplies and Equipment	150,000	71,000	71,000	71,000	71,000	71,000
Governance Structure - Section 7	26,347					
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	26,347					
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account						
Total FTEs	0.2					
Total Staff costs	26,347					
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs						
Initial Requirements and Criteria - Rulemaking for Sections 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 24, and 25	1,622,330	593,176	119,000	1,273,477	1,238,477	1,290,947
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	1,622,329	533,675				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		59,501	119,000	1,273,477	1,238,477	1,290,947
Total FTEs	9.5	3.0		8.5	8.5	8.9
Total Staff costs	1,287,330	388,301		1,150,477	1,150,477	1,202,947
Professional Services Contracts	200,000	80,875				
Meeting costs	16,000	5,000		4,000	4,000	4,000
AAG Support for Rulemaking (all sections)	119,000	119,000	119,000	119,000	84,000	84,000
Legislation for EITE Pathway - Sections 8 (4) and 8 (6)	115,810					
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	115,810					
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account						
Total FTEs	0.8					
Total Staff costs	109,210					
Professional Services Contracts	3,600					
Meeting costs	3,000					

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Comprehensive Program Review - Section 8 (5)						31,788
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S						
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account						31,788
Total FTEs						0.2
Total Staff costs						31,788.0
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs						
AAG Support for Rulemaking (all sections)						
Evaluate Program Performance and Linkage - Sections 8 and 9	168,380					38,495
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	168,380					
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account						38,495
Total FTEs	0.1					0.3
Total Staff costs	17,380					37,495
Professional Services Contracts	151,000					
Meeting costs						1,000
Imported Electricity - Section 10 (1)				56,375	240,960	57,375
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S						
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account				56,375	240,960	57,375
Total FTEs				0.4	1.7	0.4
Total Staff costs				56,375	239,960	56,375
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs					1,000	1,000
Farm Fuels Exemptions - Section 10 (7)	231,991	154,050	28,816	28,816	28,816	28,816
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	231,991	154,051				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account			28,816	28,816	28,816	28,816
Total FTEs	1.7	1.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total Staff costs	230,991	153,050	28,816	28,816	28,816	28,816
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs	1,000	1,000				

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Implement a Carbon Market for Washington - Sections 11, 12, 16, 17, and 22	2,411,909	3,072,018	2,706,812	2,394,233	2,271,229	2,237,979
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	2,411,909	1,605,427				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		1,466,591	2,706,810	2,394,231	2,271,227	2,237,977
Total FTEs	8.1	15.0	14.1	12.2	11.6	11.5
Total Staff costs	1,231,817	2,029,588	1,835,442	1,544,423	1,442,979	1,442,979
Professional Services Contracts	1,146,842	1,009,180	838,120	816,560	795,000	795,000
SAO Services	33,250	33,250	33,250	33,250	33,250	
Implement a Carbon Market - Sections 12, 16, and 17	1,075,396	1,879,043	1,879,045	1,879,045	1,879,045	1,845,795
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	1,075,396	931,000				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		948,043	1,879,044	1,879,044	1,879,044	1,845,794
Total FTEs	2.0	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
Total Staff costs	272,146	1,075,793	1,075,795	1,075,795	1,075,795	1,075,795
Professional Services Contracts	770,000	770,000	770,000	770,000	770,000	770,000
SAO Services	33,250	33,250	33,250	33,250	33,250	
Compliance and Market Oversight - Sections 11 and 22	158,145	173,878	190,920	190,920	190,920	190,920
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	158,145	79,073				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		94,805	190,919	190,919	190,919	190,919
Total FTEs	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Total Staff costs	158,145	173,878	190,920	190,920	190,920	190,920
Professional Services Contracts						
AAG Support for Rulemaking						
Allowance Trading and Tracking Systems - Section 11	1,178,368	1,019,097	636,847	324,268	201,264	201,264
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	1,178,368	595,354				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		423,743	636,847	324,268	201,264	201,264
Total FTEs	4.9	4.7	3.7	1.7	1.2	1.1
Total Staff costs	801,526	779,917	568,727	277,708	176,264	176,264
Professional Services Contracts	376,842	239,180	68,120	46,560	25,000	25,000

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Identify and Implement Criteria and Allocations for EITE - Section 13	930,103	704,437	78,708	215,306	352,574	189,721
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	930,103	448,387				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		256,050	78,708	215,306	352,574	189,721
Total FTEs	5.9	4.8	0.5	1.7	2.4	1.4
Total Staff costs	814,103	652,437	78,708	215,306	310,674	189,721
Professional Services Contracts	110,000	50,000			41,900	
Meeting costs	6,000	2,000				
Allocations for Electricity Suppliers and Natural Gas Utilities - Sections 14 and 15	402,023	509,223		632,299	632,299	262,512
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	402,023	228,377				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		280,846		632,299	632,299	262,512
Total FTEs	2.8	3.5		4.4	4.4	1.9
Total Staff costs	400,023	507,224		627,299	627,299	260,512
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs	2,000	2,000		5,000	5,000	2,000
Price Ceiling Units - Section 18	982,488	509,287	77,668	77,668	77,668	77,668
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	982,488	408,761				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		100,526	77,668	77,668	77,668	77,668
Total FTEs	6.2	2.9	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Total Staff costs	930,686	476,946	77,668	77,668	77,668	77,668
Professional Services Contracts	51,802	32,340				
Meeting costs						
Offset Protocols - Section 19	727,738	699,200	417,218	299,190	299,190	532,489
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	727,738	351,998				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		347,202	417,218	299,190	299,190	532,489
Total FTEs	4.1	3.9	3.0	2.1	2.1	3.4
Total Staff costs	564,238	535,700	416,218	299,190	299,190	452,239
Professional Services Contracts	160,500	160,500				80,250
Meeting costs	3,000	3,000	1,000			
Assistance for Offset Projects - Section 20	336,840	5,273,594	2,651,579	2,651,579	2,651,579	2,651,579
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	336,839	2,697,802				
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		2,575,791	2,651,579	2,651,579	2,651,579	2,651,579
Total FTEs	2.3	2.0	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Total Staff costs	307,340	265,094	144,079	144,079	144,079	144,079
Grants		5,000,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
Grant System Maintenance Costs	27,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Meeting costs	2,000	1,000				

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
GHG Reporting Changes – Sections 8, 15, 24, and 33, total costs of components below	1,226,309	1,219,110	2,064,336	1,805,627	1,291,984	1,281,204
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	1,226,309	609,555				
<i>Total Costs - 216-1 APCA</i>					1,291,984	1,281,204
<i>Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account</i>		609,555	2,064,336	1,805,627		
Total FTEs	8.5	8.5	11.8	11.1	8.1	8.1
Total Staff costs	1,226,309	1,219,110	1,801,144	1,650,727	1,248,864	1,248,864
Professional Services Contracts			263,192	154,900	43,120	32,340
GHG Reporting Changes – Rulemaking	100,579	100,580	106,579	154,049		
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	100,579	50,290				
<i>Total Costs - 216-1 APCA</i>						
<i>Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account</i>		50,290	106,579	154,049		
Total FTEs	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.3		
Total Staff costs	100,579	100,580	100,579	153,049		
Meeting costs			6,000	1,000		
GHG Reporting Changes – Align GGRT with California's System			839,232	533,053	422,273	411,493
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S						
<i>Total Costs - 216-1 APCA</i>					422,273	411,493
<i>Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account</i>			839,232	533,053		
Total FTEs			3.5	2.3	2.3	2.3
Total Staff costs			582,040	379,153	379,153	379,153
Professional Services Contracts			257,192	153,900	43,120	32,340
GHG Reporting Changes – Reassemble Data, Collect and Verify Data, and Provide Support for New Reporters	1,125,730	1,118,530	1,118,525	1,118,525	869,711	869,711
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	1,125,730	559,265				
<i>Total Costs - 216-1 APCA</i>					869,711	869,711
<i>Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account</i>		559,265	1,118,525	1,118,525		
Total FTEs	7.6	7.6	7.5	7.5	5.8	5.8
Total Staff costs	1,125,730	1,118,530	1,118,525	1,118,525	869,711	869,711

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
GHG Lifecycle Emissions Analysis - Section 10			851,991	650,061		
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S						
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account			851,991	650,061		
Total FTEs			4.1	4.7		
Total Staff costs			595,391	647,861		
Professional Services Contracts			253,600	1,200		
Meeting costs			3,000	1,000		
Pre-emption of Clean Air Rule - Section 23	(2,150,603)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S			(1,586,000)	(1,586,000)	(1,586,000)	(1,586,000)
Total Costs - 23P-1 MTCA-Op	(2,150,603)	(2,367,000)	(781,000)	(781,000)	(781,000)	(781,000)
Total FTEs	(19.6)	(21.3)	(21.3)	(21.3)	(21.3)	(21.3)
Total Staff costs	(2,151,603)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)	(2,367,000)
Meeting costs	1,000					
Linkage with Other Jurisdictions - Section 24	117,380					
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	117,380					
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account						
Total FTEs	0.1					
Total Staff costs	17,380					
Professional Services Contracts	100,000					
Meeting costs						
Evaluating Investments - Section 26		117,380	134,764	134,764	134,764	134,764
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S						
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		117,380	134,764	134,764	134,764	134,764
Total FTEs		0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Total Staff costs		17,380	34,764	34,764	34,764	34,764
Professional Services Contracts		100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
Meeting costs						

Implementing E2SSB PL 5126, The Climate Commitment Act: Department of Ecology Fiscal Estimates Expenditure Overview

OPERATING BUDGET	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Annual Reporting - Section 46		26,219	52,439	52,439	52,439	52,439
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S						
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		26,219	52,439	52,439	52,439	52,439
Total FTEs		0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total Staff costs		26,219	52,439	52,439	52,439	52,439
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs						
Home Heating Assistance - Section 47	16,408					
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	16,408					
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account						
Total FTEs		0.1				
Total Staff costs	14,408					
Professional Services Contracts						
Meeting costs	2,000					
ECOLOGY TOTAL OPERATING FTEs	43.1	34.7	25.8	37.1	30.3	27.7
ECOLOGY TOTAL OPERATING EXPENDITURES	8,917,676	12,010,770	8,316,397	9,404,900	8,327,790	7,923,587

*Total FTEs include 0.15 FTE administrative overhead. Staff costs include salary and benefits, travel, equipment,

Fiscal Note Totals - Operating Summary by Account	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Total Costs - 001-1 GF-S	11,068,279	7,788,072	(1,586,000)	(1,586,000)	(1,586,000)	(1,586,000)
Total Costs - 23P-1 MTCA-Op	(2,150,603)	(2,367,000)	(781,000)	(781,000)	(781,000)	(781,000)
Total Costs - 216-1 APCA					1,291,984	1,281,204
Total Costs - NEW-1 Climate Investment Account		6,589,698	10,683,397	11,771,900	9,402,806	9,009,383
Grand Total	8,917,676	12,010,770	8,316,397	9,404,900	8,327,790	7,923,587

Fiscal Note Totals - Operating Summary by Cost Category	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Professional Services Contracts	1,935,744	1,444,895	1,466,912	1,084,660	992,020	1,019,590
AAG Support for Rulemaking (all sections)	119,000	119,000	119,000	119,000	84,000	84,000
Meeting costs	46,000	24,000	14,000	20,000	20,000	18,000
SAO Services	33,250	33,250	33,250	33,250	33,250	
Grants		5,000,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
Grant System Maintenance Costs	27,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Total Staff costs	6,606,182	5,311,125	4,104,735	5,569,490	4,620,020	4,223,497
Supplies and Equipment	150,000	71,000	71,000	71,000	71,000	71,000
Grand Total	8,917,676	12,010,770	8,316,397	9,404,900	8,327,790	7,923,587

Notes for E2SSB 5126 as Passed Legislature, Revenue Estimates

1 Baseline Allowance Budgets and Reduction Pathways

Total allowances are based on baseline GHG emissions data for 2015 through 2019 and the coverage requirements for the first compliance period.

Allowances for EITE decrease by 3% of the previous year's baseline or budgeted allowances in CY 2027 and CY 2031 and then budgets remain at CY 2031 levels through 2040. Allowances for all non-EITE covered entities entering the program during the first compliance period decrease by approximately 7.1% of the base emissions value each year, and these entities maintain this reduction pathway of 7.1% of base emissions each year through the 2030 compliance year; The non-EITE entities entering the program in the second compliance period are assumed to maintain business as usual emissions until the start of the second compliance period in CY 2027, and then have a reduction pathway of 12.3% of baseline emissions each year during the second compliance period. From 2030 through 2040, the reduction pathway is 6.1% of 2030 allowances each year through 2040 for all covered non-EITE entities.

2 Price and Emissions Containment Reserves

A minimum of 2% allowances are to be placed in a price containment reserve to provide lower cost allowances in future years - this estimate assumes that 2% of total allowances would be held in the containment reserve to be available at the current floor price in future years.

This estimate assumes that 30% of the previous year's containment reserve allowances will be purchased in the following year. The containment reserve allowances are subtracted from the allowance budget, but are available for purchase.

A minimum of 2% of allowances would be required to be placed in the emissions containment reserve for the first compliance period. This model assumes that 2% continue to be withheld each year moving forward for both the price containment reserve and the emissions containment reserve.

3 Allocations of No-Cost Allowances

Sections 13, 14, and 15 specify requirements regarding allocations of no-cost allowances for EITE, electricity utilities, and natural gas utilities. These no-cost allowances are subtracted from the total allowances for the calendar year. All three classes of covered entities receive 100% of their allowance budgets each year in no-cost allowances.

Section 13 would provide for a carbon intensity (CI) benchmarking pathway for EITE allowance allocations; because the allocations would be based on the benchmark calculations based on consultation with industries and rulemaking, impacts to allowance budgets and no-cost allocations from CI calculations would be indeterminate and are not considered in this revenue estimate.

Electricity allocations of no-cost allowances would be determined by rulemaking in consultation with Department of Commerce and the Utilities and Transportation Commission. For purposes of this fiscal note, because the legislation does not specify a minimum number of allowances to be consigned to auction for the benefit of rate-paying customers, this model assumes that there is not a minimum requirement, and that all electricity utilities use their full complement of no-cost allowances to meet compliance obligations.

Natural Gas Utility allocations of no-cost allowances would be determined by rulemaking in consultation with the Utilities and Transportation Commission. Section 15 requires a minimum of 65% of no-cost allowances to be consigned to auction in the first year, and for the proportion to increase by 5% each year until 100% of allowances are consigned. This model does not assume increased demand for allowances in auctions resulting from consignment requirements.

Consigned allowances are assumed to be purchased at the estimated auction price; revenue from the sale of the allowances is intended for utilities to provide rebates and other support for rate-paying customers.

4 Offset Credits

Section 19 would provide for regulated entities to meet up to 5% of compliance obligations with offset credits in the first compliance period, and up to 4% of compliance obligations in the second compliance period, and ongoing, for offset projects; entities can apply offset projects on federally recognized tribal land to meet an additional 3% of compliance obligations in the first compliance period and 2% of obligations in the second compliance period. Revenue estimates assume maximum usage of offset credits.

Section 9 would require estimated offset usage to be removed from annual allowance budgets. This adjustment to allowance budgets places upward pressure on auction prices due to restriction of supply.

5 COVID-19 Over-allocations and Voluntary Renewable Reserve Account

Based on study published by the Rhodium Group, revenue estimates include an adjustment for potential over-allocation impacts related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The overallocations are calculated by multiplying the total allowances for the year by an overallocation factor (estimated to be 9% in 2023 and decreasing to 6% by 2030, and 2% in 2040). The estimated over-allocations are removed from the net purchased allowances.

Section 11 (11) would require Ecology to include a voluntary renewable reserve account. Based on the estimated VRE figures calculated by Ecology, in consultation with Department of Commerce, this would reduce purchase of allowances by nearly 940,000 in CY 2023, and the reduction would decrease by roughly 133,000 per year until it zeroes out in 2030. These allowances are removed from the purchased allowances.

6 Allowance Purchase Price Estimates

Allowance floor prices are assumed to be the same as those in California. California floor prices are projected to grow approximately 7% per year, from a current price of \$16.68 in 2020 to \$33.73 in 2030. California's ceiling prices are included for reference purposes only.

Price containment reserve allowances are assumed to be purchased at the previous calendar year's floor price. All other allowances are assumed to be purchased at the estimated average purchase prices, which is the floor price, adjusted by the percentage change in the annual allowance budget for auctions caused by the removal of offset usage and compliance curve adjustments for EITE allowances.

7 Second Compliance Period

The second compliance period adds compliance obligations for certain transportation fuels, and waste to energy facilities. Landfills will have delayed entry until CY 2031. The baseline allowances for these entities are based on estimated CO₂e emissions from the statewide emissions inventory and GHG reporting data. Entities beginning coverage in 2027 would have a 12.3% compliance curve to support achievement of 2030 emissions reduction targets. After 2030, all non-EITE entities would have a 6.1% reduction pathway.

8 Distribution of Auction Revenues

Per section 12, for fiscal year 2022 through 2037, specified dollar amounts would be deposited in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account (CERA) created in section 27, not to exceed \$5,200,000,000 dollars over sixteen years, and the remaining proceeds would be deposited via wire transfer into the Climate Investment Account created in section 28, and the Air Pollution and Health Disparities Improvement Account created in section 31. Based on the \$5.2 billion limit on funding in the first 16 years to the CERA, only \$40,000,000 is distributed to the account in FY 2038. Section 31 specifies legislative intent that \$20,000,000 of revenue per biennium is available for the purposes of the Air Pollution and Health Disparities Improvement Account (AQHDIA); estimates assume that \$20 million is transferred to the AQHDIA in FY 2023 to meet this intent, and \$10 million each fiscal year thereafter. Section 28 authorizes eligible costs for administering the act to be expended from the Climate Investment Account, not to exceed 5% of auction receipts.

For fiscal year 2038 and each fiscal year thereafter, fifty percent of auction proceeds would be deposited in the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account, and the remaining auction proceeds would be deposited in the Climate Investment Account and the Air Pollution and Health Disparities Improvement Account.

In this model, auction receipts are first distributed to meet the specified allocations for the Carbon Emissions Reduction Account and the Air Pollution and Health Disparities Improvement Account, and a placeholder is added for estimated statewide agency administrative costs.

Not Shown Treasurer Transfers to the Climate Commitment Account and Natural Climate Solutions Account

Section 28 would require the Climate Investment Account to first be appropriated for administrative costs, not to exceed 5% of auction receipts. Of the remaining funds in the Climate Investment account, the Treasurer would transfer 75% to the Climate Commitment Account, and 25% to the Natural Climate Solutions Account, beginning July 1, 2024. For purposes of this fiscal note, these amounts are not shown.

**** *The revenue estimates for 2030 through 2040 do not take into account changes in emissions and demand for allowances resulting from the Clean Energy Transformation Act of 2019.***

E2SSB 5126 as Passed Legislature, Revenue Estimates, Fiscal Year Allocations

Fiscal Year	Estimated Fiscal Year Auction Revenue	Carbon Emissions Reduction Account Revenue ⁸	Climate Investment Account Revenue ⁸	Air Quality and Health Disparities Improvement Acct ⁸	Estimated Fiscal Year Consigned Revenue for Sections 13 & 14 (not designated for a state account) ¹
2022					
2023	\$ 220,613,259	\$ 127,341,000	\$ 73,272,259	\$ 20,000,000	\$ 66,534,269
2024	\$ 443,968,977	\$ 356,697,000	\$ 77,271,977	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 138,232,925
2025	\$ 444,215,286	\$ 366,558,000	\$ 67,657,286	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 148,151,402
2026	\$ 441,849,184	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 72,732,184	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 157,139,872
2027	\$ 470,533,116	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 101,416,116	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 161,298,839
2028	\$ 495,876,356	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 126,759,356	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 163,799,332
2029	\$ 485,832,310	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 116,715,310	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 167,798,007
2030	\$ 466,815,300	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 97,698,300	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 169,159,359
2031	\$ 470,388,425	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 101,271,425	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 170,908,288
2032	\$ 486,108,221	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 116,991,221	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 176,557,959
2033	\$ 490,674,387	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 121,557,387	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 181,375,176
2034	\$ 498,735,402	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 129,618,402	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 182,948,391
2035	\$ 504,609,792	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 135,492,792	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 183,806,736
2036	\$ 507,668,899	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 138,551,899	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 183,756,021
2037	\$ 506,778,175	\$ 359,117,000	\$ 137,661,175	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 182,437,913
2038	\$ 501,066,590	\$ 40,000,000	\$ 451,066,590	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 179,608,559
2039	\$ 489,488,516	\$ 244,744,258	\$ 234,744,258	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 174,979,217
2040	\$ 488,408,507	\$ 244,204,253	\$ 234,204,254	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 164,983,104

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 468-Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	0	0	145,800	145,800
Total \$	0	0	0	145,800	145,800

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact . Factors impacting the precision of these estimates , and alternate ranges (if appropriate) , are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia , complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Nina Carter	Phone: 360 664-9171	Date: 04/27/2021
Agency Approval: Nina Carter	Phone: 360 664-9171	Date: 04/27/2021
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 902-0573	Date: 05/11/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Brief Summary of sections from 2SSB. CL Climate Commitment Act :

Establishes a cap and invest program for greenhouse gas emissions to be implemented by the Department of Ecology.

Directs distribution of auction revenues for the Forward Flexible Account and for specified purposes including clean transportation, natural climate resiliency, clean energy transition and assistance, and energy efficiency projects.

Requires an environmental justice review to ensure that the cap and invest program achieves reduction in criteria pollutants in overburdened communities highly impacted by air pollution.

Convenes an Environmental Justice and Equity Advisory Panel to provide recommendations on the development and implementation of the cap and invest program.

Directs that compliance obligations for covered and opt-in entities will not take effect until a separate additive transportation funding act is enacted.

Fiscal Impact to Pollution Control Hearings Board (PCHB):

Sec. 22. COMPLIANCE OBLIGATIONS. (1) A covered or opt-in entity has a compliance obligation for its emissions during each four-year compliance period, with the first compliance period commencing January 1, 2023 followed by a variety of timing requirements.

A covered or opt-in entity submitting insufficient compliance instruments to meet its compliance obligation is subject to a penalty as provided in section 23 of this act.

However, this section does not take effect until a separate additive transportation revenue act becomes law.

Sec. 23. ENFORCEMENT. (1) All covered and opt-in entities are required to submit compliance instruments in a timely manner to meet the entities' compliance obligations and shall comply with all requirements for monitoring, reporting, holding, and 9 transferring emission allowances and other provisions of this chapter. Ecology may impose penalties for non-compliance.

Orders and penalties issued under this chapter are appealable to the pollution control hearings board under chapter 43.21B RCW.

Sec. 42 AMENDS RCW 43.21B.300 to allow appeals of civil penalties issued under this law to be heard by the PCHB.

FISCAL IMPACT: Yes.

To address appeals from 5126, ELUHO assumes it would contract as needed with administrative appeals judges experienced in environmental law. RCW 43.21B.005 (2) authorizes the ELUHO director to appoint such judges to assist the PCHB. Contracting for these services allows the agency the flexibility to hire AAJ at the time of appeals resulting from 5126.

ELUHO assume the PCHB will receive at least 6 appeals resulting from 5126. This assumption is based on ELUHO's experience with parties who are interested in testing new law or state regulations with "cases of first impression ;" legal questions never raised before in prior courts.

ELUHO assumes that contracted AAJs will be experienced and qualified in environmental law and their primary duties will be preparing pre-trial case materials, assemble or mediated between parties to a case, draft bench memos or other

duties to assist the PCHB.

ELUHO assumes the average appeal requires approximately 54 hours (24 hours pre-trial work and 30 hours hearing and post-hearing work). This assumption is based on current work analysis at the PCHB.

ELUHO assumes a \$225/hour rate for a contracted attorney.

$\$225/\text{hour} \times 54 \text{ hours} = \$12,150 \text{ per } 5126 \text{ appeal} \times 6 \text{ appeals per year} = \$ 72,900 \text{ per year.}$

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

To address appeals from 5126, ELUHO assumes it would contract as needed with administrative appeals judges experienced in environmental law. RCW 43.21B.005 (2) authorizes the ELUHO director to appoint such judges to assist the PCHB. Contracting for these services allows the agency the flexibility to hire AAJ at the time of appeals resulting from 5126.

ELUHO assume the PCHB will receive at least 6 appeals resulting from 5126. This assumption is based on ELUHO’s experience with parties who are interested in testing new law or state regulations with “cases of first impression ;” legal questions never raised before in prior courts.

ELUHO assumes that contracted AAJs will be experienced and qualified in environmental law and their primary duties will be preparing pre-trial case materials, assemble or mediated between parties to a case, draft bench memos or other duties to assist the PCHB.

ELUHO assumes the average appeal requires approximately 54 hours (24 hours pre-trial work and 30 hours hearing and post-hearing work). This assumption is based on current work analysis at the PCHB.

ELUHO assumes a \$225/hour rate for a contracted attorney.

$\$225/\text{hour} \times 54 \text{ hours} = \$12,150 \text{ per } 5126 \text{ appeal} \times 6 \text{ appeals per year} = \$ 72,900 \text{ per year.}$

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	0	0	0	145,800	145,800
Total \$			0	0	0	145,800	145,800

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Professional Service Contracts				145,800	145,800
E-Goods and Other Services					
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	0	0	0	145,800	145,800

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act	Agency: 490-Department of Natural Resources
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	1.1	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	427,300	471,800	899,100	0	0
Total \$	427,300	471,800	899,100	0	0

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

	2021-23		2023-25		2025-27	
	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027
Pre-design/Design	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grants/Loans	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staff	0	0	0	327,000	336,700	336,700
Other	0	0	0	4,802,300	4,663,300	4,663,300
Total \$	0	0	0	5,129,300	5,000,000	5,000,000

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Preparation: Angela Konen	Phone: 360-902-2165	Date: 04/29/2021
Agency Approval: Stephen Bernath	Phone: 360-902-1028	Date: 04/29/2021
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 902-0573	Date: 05/11/2021

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Briefly describe by section number, the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions, that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

2SSB 5126 – Public Law Effects of Note:

Aligns environmental justice provisions with those of the Washington HEAL Act (EJTF-SB 5141).

Creates two subaccounts within the Climate Investment Account: The Climate Commitment Account and the Natural Climate Solutions Account.

Directs 75 percent of the funds deposited into the Climate Investment Account into the Climate Commitment Account and 25 percent into the Natural Climate Solutions Account.

Directs DNR to contract with an eligible entity to establish and work with a small forest landowner work group. The Small Forest Landowner work group will develop recommendations for the implementation and funding of a pilot program. This pilot program will develop an aggregator account for small forestland owners seeking to enroll land or trees for carbon offset markets.

Section 21. SMALL FORESTLAND OWNER WORK GROUP

(1) The Department of Natural Resources must contract with an eligible entity capable of providing public value to the state through the establishment and implementation of a small forest landowner work group. The purpose of the work group is to forward the goals and implementation of this chapter by identifying possible carbon market opportunities, including the provision of offset credits that qualify under section 19 of this act, and other incentive-based greenhouse gas reduction programs for small forestland owners that seeks to benefit from carbon sequestration markets.

(2) The work group established by the eligibility entity under this section must:

(a) Provide recommendations for the implementation and funding of the pilot program to develop an aggregator account that will pursue carbon offset projects for small forestland owners in Washington state, including recommendations based on programs established in other jurisdictions:

(b) Coordinate with ECY on the development of offset protocols related to landowners under section 19 (4) (d) of this act: in adopting protocols governing offset projects and covered opt-in entities use of offset credits, ECY shall make use of aggregation or other mechanisms, including cost-effective inventory and monitoring provisions, to increase the development of offset and carbon removal projects by landowners across the broadest possible variety of types and sizes of lands, including lands owned by small forest landowners.

(c) Develop a framework and funding proposals for establishing a program to link interested small forestland owners with incentive-based carbon reducing programs that facilitate adoption of forest practices that increase carbon storage and sequestration in forests and wood products. The framework may include:

(i) Identifying areas of coordination and layering among state, federal, and private landowner incentive programs and identifying roadblocks to better scalability;

(ii) Assisting landowners with access to feasibility analyses, market applications, stand inventories, pilot project support, and other services to reduce the transaction costs and barriers to entry to carbon markets or carbon incentive programs;

(iii) Sharing information with private and other landowners about best practices employed to increase carbon storage and access to incentive programs; and

(d) Recommend policies to support the implementation of incentives for participation in carbon markets.

(3) The work group must transmit a final report to Ecology by December 1, 2022, that provides recommendations for incentives, the implementation of incentives, and payment structures necessary to support small forest landowners and any recommendations around extending the work group or making the work group permanent. DNR must submit the

final report to the legislature, in compliance with RCW 43.01.036 by December 31, 2022.

(4) For the purposes of this section, "eligible entity" means a nonprofit entity solely based in Washington that can demonstrate a membership of at least 1000 small forestland owners and that has, as part of its mission, the promotion of the sustainable stewardship of family forestlands.

(5) This section expires July 1, 2023.

Section 30 (2) (b) (iii): Forestry Riparian Easement Program (FREP)

Because the bill language specifies that the intent is to appropriate a minimum of \$10 million each biennium to DNR for FREP or to Conservation Commission for agriculture easement programs with the goal of riparian long term enhancement. DNR would need to collaborate with Conservation Commission to determine proportions of funding available for each program. DNR may elect to estimate \$10 million in easement acquisitions and associated staff and professional contracts as needed.

FREP was created to address a disproportionate financial impact the 2001 Forest Practices rules had on small forest landowners. FREP compensates eligible small forest landowners for trees required to be retained in riparian areas to protect fish habitat and water quality, as well as for timber required to be retained on adjacent unstable slopes. In exchange for a direct payment, willing landowners grant a 50-year conservation easement to the state. Landowners still own the property and retain full access, but cannot cut or remove the required leave trees.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Briefly describe and quantify the cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency , identifying the cash receipts provisions by section number and when appropriate the detail of the revenue sources . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) . Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates . Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions .

For the purposes of this public law, the Department of Natural Resources' Small Forest Landowner Office (SFLO) will provide oversight and technical assistance in carrying out the legislative intent of sections 21 and 30. This is congruent with the purpose of the SFLO where the office shall be a resource and focal point for small forestland owner concerns and policies (RCW 76.12.110) and shall have significant expertise regarding the management of small forest holdings, governmental programs applicable to such holdings, and the forestry riparian easement program (RCW 76.12.120).

Section 21:

The SFLO will need to hire an Environmental Planner 4 (EP4) to work with the Forest Practices Contract Specialist in researching the administrative and legal requirements; the expertise required in carbon sequestration program development; and contract management. The EP4 will have the facilitation expertise required for oversight of the contract and the small landowner work group over two years. This position will work with the subject matter experts in researching the feasibility of aggregating willing forestland owners to enroll their land as carbon sequestration credits. The EP4 will be working in collaboration with Ecology to ensure the pilot program can transition easily within the Natural Climate Solutions account. The SFLO assumes the work group meetings will be virtual. The EP4 will be responsible for drafting and completing the mandated legislative report to be submitted by December 1, 2022.

DNR will require a Senior Policy Advisor to participate in the work group, as well as contribute to research and stakeholder engagement relevant to achieving the group's goals, and support the development and review of the final report for the legislature.

The Contractor will provide expertise in leading a workgroup in understanding the options of carbon market opportunities, including options for offset credits, and other incentive-based gas reduction programs. The Contractor

will research options in forest practices that increase carbon storage and sequestration in forests and wood products. The Contractor will identify avenues that assist landowners with access to feasibility analyses, market applications, stand inventories, pilot project support, and other services to reduce the transaction costs and barriers to entry to carbon markets or carbon incentive programs. These will be reviewed by the SFLO work group in developing the pilot project recommendations. The Contractor is expected to possess expert, professional facilitation skills and experience working with natural resource agencies and small forest landowner issues. The Contractor will facilitate effective decision-making for the SFLO work group in reaching a recommendation for the pilot project.

The SFLO will need to hire subject matter experts/consultants in carbon offset markets and incentive-based gas reduction programs geared toward small forest landowners. These subject matter experts will provide expertise to the SFLO work group in identifying the pros and cons of protocols governing offset projects and covered opt-in entities use of offset credits. These subject matter experts will have practical experience in incentive-based carbon reducing programs that increase carbon storage and sequestration in forests and wood products. These consultants will help the SFLO work group in identifying the market for potential purchasers of carbon off credits and matching corresponding carbon sequestration holders.

The Attorney General's Office (AGO) will need to analyze the feasibility of the approach and review contracts for the SFLO work group and for carbon sequestration on private lands. AGO estimates \$12,00 in FY 2022 and \$34,000 in FY 2023.

Administrative costs are calculated at 31% of staff salary and benefits and staff-related goods and services and travel. For fiscal note purposes, this cost is represented as a Fiscal Analyst 2 position.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
001-1	General Fund	State	427,300	471,800	899,100	0	0
Total \$			427,300	471,800	899,100	0	0

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years	1.1	1.3	1.2		
A-Salaries and Wages	73,200	86,500	159,700		
B-Employee Benefits	25,100	29,700	54,800		
C-Professional Service Contracts	255,000	255,000	510,000		
E-Goods and Other Services	27,500	48,300	75,800		
G-Travel	9,800	11,800	21,600		
J-Capital Outlays	2,500		2,500		
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	34,200	40,500	74,700		
9-					
Total \$	427,300	471,800	899,100	0	0

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Environmental Planner 4	86,484	0.8	1.0	0.9		
Fiscal Analyst 2	54,108	0.2	0.3	0.3		
Senior Policy Advisor	100,000	0.1		0.0		
Total FTEs		1.1	1.3	1.2		0.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
NEW-1	Natural Climate Solutions Account	State	0	0	0	5,129,300	10,000,000
Total \$			0	0	0	5,129,300	10,000,000

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
FTE Staff Years				1.7	3.3
A-Salaries and Wages				235,400	487,000
B-Employee Benefits				91,600	186,400
C-Professional Service Contracts				80,000	80,000
E-Goods and Other Services				178,700	307,400
G-Travel				39,300	78,600
J-Capital Outlays				4,504,300	8,860,600
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	0	0	0	5,129,300	10,000,000

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Identify acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and describe potential financing methods

Construction Estimate	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Predesign/Design					
Construction					
Grants/Loans					
Staff				327,000	673,400
Other				4,802,300	9,326,600
Total \$				5,129,300	10,000,000

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation . Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part IVB*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2022	FY 2023	2021-23	2023-25	2025-27
Land Surveyor 3	82,344				0.2	0.3
Natural Resource Specialist 2	61,224				0.8	1.0
Natural Resource Specialist 4	74,604				0.4	1.0
Natural Resource Specialist 5	80,292				0.4	1.0
Total FTEs					1.7	3.3

DNR's costs are indeterminate for expenditures associated with Environmental Justice assessments and Tribal consultations. DNR has identified proposed work by FREP that is detailed below.

Section 30 (2) (b) (iii): The SFLO FREP will need to hire one Natural Resource Specialist 5 and one Natural Resources Specialist 4 (2 FTEs); two Natural Resources Specialist 2 (2 FTEs); and a Land Surveyor 3 (0.33 FTE). These are predominately field positions required to do all things necessary to: receive and evaluate applications; conduct timber cruises and establish the value of applications; conduct surveys; and do all of the other things leading up to executing the easements.

The NRS5 reports to the SFLO Program Manager (existing WMS 1) and supervises the NRS4 and two NRS2. This lead NRS5 will provide the annual report on expenditures and easements purchased to ECY as mandated in Section 46. DNR is one of the “covered agencies” participating in E2SSB 5141 and the fact FREP exists to assist small forest landowners retaining their working forests could be defined as reducing environmental barriers to a targeted vulnerable population.

The amended E2SSB 5141 exempted forest practices applications (FPAs) as a “significant agency action” therefore the issuance of forest practice permits on state forestlands do not require an environmental justice assessment. FREP easements are tied to an FPA. These permits exist to reflect forest practices activities related to constructing roads, growing, harvesting or processing timber as connected to the Forest Practices Rules. Once a landowner has submitted an FPA, these are reviewed by DNR Forest Practices staff, Ecology, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington Tribal Governments, local governments, environmental organizations, and timber landowners. This review preserves the goal in protecting public resources while maintaining a viable timber industry. This inter-disciplinary review also preserves cultural, archaeological or historic sites; water quality protection and identification of risks associated with unstable soils or threatened/endangers species. This review process of the FPAs reflects tribal consultation early in the process. The FREP reviews the completed FPA (sometimes 6 years old) when a small landowner signs up for the program and conducts field review as well as timber cruises and establish the value of applications. This extensive review is completed prior to entering into a conservation agreement with small forest landowners.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 5126 2S SB PL	Title: Climate commitment act
-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- Cities:** Municipal electric producers/suppliers and municipal landfills emitting more than 25 ,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent annually would have to comply with the provisions of the Department of Ecology’s (Ecology) Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions program.
- Counties:** Publically-owned electric producers/suppliers and county landfills emitting more than 25 ,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent annually would have to comply with the provisions of Ecology’s Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions program.
- Special Districts:** Air Pollution Control Authorities may be involved in developing stricter air quality standards , emissions standards, or emissions limitations on criteria pollutants that impact overburdened communities .
- Specific jurisdictions only:** Public utility districts emitting more than 25,000 metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent annually would have to comply with the provisions of Ecology’s Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions program . Air pollution control authorities may be involved in rule making with Ecology to curb emissions within their jurisdictions.
- Variance occurs due to:** Covered entities have varying amounts of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions that exceed the 25 ,000 metric ton threshold and the costs associated with limiting these emissions may also have considerable variance .

Part II: Estimates

- No fiscal impacts.
- Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- Legislation provides local option:** Other greenhouse gas emitters may opt-in to Ecology’s Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions program but would not receive an allocation of allowances outlined in Sec . 12 through 14 of this legislation.
- Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:** The number of local governments that would opt-in to the Cap on GHG Emissions Program; different tier prices in the annual allowance price containment reserve auctions (through the emissions containment reserve withholdings); allocation of allowances set by the Department of Ecology and the Department of Commerce for electricity utilities that would benefit ratepayers of the utility; costs of offset projects for covered entity’s compliance obligations in the different compliance periods.

Estimated revenue impacts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Jordan Laramie	Phone: 360-725-5044	Date: 04/30/2021
Leg. Committee Contact:	Phone:	Date: 04/25/2021
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone: 360-725-5033	Date: 04/30/2021
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 902-0573	Date: 05/11/2021

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Provide a clear, succinct description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government .

CHANGES FROM PRIOR BILL:

Sec. 3: Environmental Justice Review

(2)(c) The Department of Ecology (Ecology) and local Air Pollution Control Authorities may not impose requirements on covered or opt-in entities that are disproportionate to their contribution to air pollution compared to other sources of criteria pollutants in the overburdened communities.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:

This legislation would create the Washington Climate Commitment Act and would grant additional statutory authority to the Ecology to institute and adopt greenhouse gas (GHG) limits under the provisions of the Clean Air Act (RCW 70A.15). Limits to GHG emissions would include enacting emissions standards and establishing a GHG emissions cap-and-trade program as part of the state's climate , energy, and resiliency program. The Washington Climate Commitment Act (CCA) also changes emissions definitions in the Washington Clean Air Act.

To accomplish these goals, the CCA would set declining and enforceable carbon dioxide equivalent emissions thresholds that phase out statewide pollution over time. Pollution reductions are separated into different compliance periods for emitters in Washington's economy that produce the largest share of the pollutants . These sectors are: emissions-intensive, trade-exposed industries; fossil fuel and natural gas producers and distributors; electricity producers , suppliers, and deliverers, and municipal and county landfills.

A fundamental part of the CCA would be the integrating climate policy and goals with environmental justice in the form of reviews , assessments, and coordination between affected overburdened communities and vulnerable populations , Ecology, Air Pollution Control Authorities, and all levels of state government.

BACKGROUND:

In *Association of Washington Business v. Washington Department of Ecology* (No. 12 95885-8, January 16, 2020), the Washington Supreme Court held that certain regulations establishing emission standards for producers and distributors of fossil fuels were invalid because Ecology lacked sufficient statutory authority to establish emission standards for indirect emissions associated with the combustion of the fuel produced or distributed by those particular entities .

In 2019, Ecology's GHG Reporting Program listed 123 entities in Washington State who reported emissions greater than 25 ,000 mtCO₂e with a total of 66.159 million mtCO₂e between all reported sources with greater than 25 ,000 mtCO₂e. The 123 entities represent 98.76% of all emissions reported to Ecology's GHG Reporting Program . Of this total:

46.82% (31,366,556 mtCO₂e) of these emission were from transportation fuel supplier sources;

21.95% (14,709,225 mtCO₂e) was from coal, natural gas, and other power plant sources;

9.86% (6,607,202 mtCO₂e) was from petroleum refineries;

2.05% (1,376,857 mtCO₂e) was from municipal landfills and solid waste combustion sources , and

0.94% (629,045 mtCO₂e) was from natural gas system (transmission/distribution) sources.

The remaining contributing sources were from chemical, food production, government, metal, mineral, pulp and paper, and wood product sources.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments , identifying the expenditure provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts .

CHANGES FROM PRIOR BILL:

This legislation puts a limit on the actions taken by the Department of Ecology (Ecology) and local Air Pollution Control Authorities (APCAs) to curb pollution in overburdened communities . The requirements of these actions cannot be disproportionate to a covered or opt-in entity's contribution to air pollution compared to other sources of criteria pollutants in overburdened communities . However, these changes do not change the prior bill's indeterminate expenditure impact , as the total impact would vary by local government, what type of entity is emitting pollution, when the covered entity must comply with Ecology's Cap on Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Program, and the covered entity's annual metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions (mtCO₂e).

SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:

This legislation would have indeterminate, and potentially significant, local government expenditure impacts on municipal and quasi-municipal utilities, municipal and county landfills, and potentially several types of special purpose districts . In total, there are two

public utility districts (PUDs) and one municipal utility that are considered covered entities in the first compliance period per Sec 9 (1). There may be up to nine municipal- or county-owned landfills that this legislation would affect in the third compliance period per Sec . 9(3), but these entities would have to sustain their current emissions levels until calendar years 2027 to 2029 . This legislation may also affect up to seven APCAs depending on the scope of GHG emissions reductions in overburdened communities that may be necessary per Sec. 3(3)(a) and Sec. 17(3)(b)(ii). Solid Waste Disposal Districts may also be impacted by the provisions of this legislation as they relate to emissions management of landfills within their jurisdictions during the compliance period starting in 2031 .

COVERED ENTITIES IN THE CAP ON GHG EMISSIONS PROGRAM:

Compliance Period One (CY23-26):

Covered entities include first jurisdictional deliverers and generators of electricity that have qualifying reported emissions any year between 2015 and 2019. Per Sec. 9(1)(b), there are three local governments that are first jurisdictional deliverers and generators of electricity meeting the emissions thresholds:

There is one local government meeting the emissions threshold in electricity generated by natural gas turbine plants:

Clark County Utilities; River Road Generating Plant – Vancouver

There are two local governments meeting the emissions threshold in electricity generated from other power plants sources:

Public Utility District No. 1 Klickitat County; H.W. Hill Landfill Gas Power Plant – Roosevelt

Seattle Steam Company LLC; Western Avenue Steam Plant – Seattle

Compliance Period Three (CY31-CY34):

The total number of affected landfills or solid waste management program is indeterminate . Between 2015 and 2019 there were two municipal- and seven county-landfills that emitted more than 25 ,000 mtCO₂e, however, the number of qualifying landfills may change during the compliance timeline for the third compliance period . Qualifying covered entities in this compliance period include landfill facilities that have reported qualifying emissions any year between 2027 and 2029 . Sec. 9(3) specifies that a person who operates a landfill or waste to energy facility utilized by a county and city solid waste management program , where the facility’s emissions equal or exceed 25,000 mtCO₂e, is considered a covered entity .

Current Land Fills and Solid Waste Facilities Meeting Emissions Thresholds:

Municipal:

City of Richland; Horn Rapids Sanitary Landfill - Richland

City of Tacoma; City of Tacoma Solid Waste Facility – Tacoma

County:

Cowlitz County; Cowlitz County Headquarters Landfill - Castle Rock

Grant County; Grant County Landfill 1 - Ephrata

King County Solid Waste Division; King County Solid Waste Cedar Hills Landfill - Maple Valley

Okanogan County; Okanogan County Central Landfill - Okanogan

Snohomish County; Cathcart Landfill - Snohomish

Yakima County Public Services - Solid Waste Division; Cheyne Landfill – Zillah , and Terrace Heights Landfill - Yakima

COMPLIANCE INSTRUMENTS REQUIRED FOR COVERED ENTITIES EMITTING GHGS:

Costs to Electricity Suppliers/Producers:

These costs are indeterminate as they would depend on the number of electric utilities and the amount of allowances they may consign to auction in the first compliance period, which currently unknown. Also unknown are the amount of allowances that must be consigned to auction in the second compliance period, which is pending rule making by Ecology.

These costs would be dependent on rules established by Ecology and the amount of allowances provided to electric utilities subject to the requirements of RCW 19.405 (Washington Clean Energy Transformation Act (CETA)). In their fiscal note for S SB5126, Ecology assumes that all electricity utilities and providers supplying electricity to ratepayers would receive no-cost allowance equal to their allowance budgets for each year of program coverage . Sec. 13(2)(d) states that these allowance allocations end in 2045 .

The compliance obligations of these entities is equal to their covered emissions for each calendar year , per Sec. 20(1). Additionally, for the first compliance period, no-cost allowances may be consigned for auction to benefit ratepayers , but in the second compliance period, a certain portion of these no-cost allowances must be consigned to auction to benefit ratepayers . If an electric utility sells their allowances

at auction to benefit ratepayers, the covered entity would have costs that would depend on the dollar value of offsetting compliance projects constructed with environmental benefits to the state or within the jurisdiction that has entered into a linkage agreement with Ecology, if applicable, per Sec. 21.

Costs to Landfills and Solid Waste Facilities:

Costs to these covered entities is indeterminate and would depend on either the dollar value of offsetting compliance projects constructed , or the dollar value of offsetting credits purchased at auction , which would be necessary to meet the covered entity's compliance obligations. Compliance measure costs will vary greatly between affected covered entities and depend on their annual mtCO₂e emissions , as the baseline emissions for landfills range from 25,764 mtCO₂e to 228,580 mtCO₂e at these facilities. Ecology anticipate that the offset provisions in Sec. 18, where at least 75% of a covered entity's compliance obligations would come through offset projects and 4 % from offsetting credits, would hold for future compliance periods starting CY31 .

COSTS TO APPLY FOR GRANT FUNDING THROUGH AGENCIES USING THE CLIMATE INVESTMENT ACCOUNT:

There are indeterminate costs for affected local government covered entities , or local government opt-in participants, to apply for grant funding to pay for projects or activities that reduce and mitigate the impact from GHG and co-pollutants in overburdened communities per Sec. 23(2)(c) and to inform the analysis, monitoring, and pollution reduction measures that are required in Sec . 3 Environmental Justice Review. According to the 2021 Local Government Fiscal Note Unit Cost Model , applications for state grant or loan programs have costs ranging from \$10,000 to \$20,000 for each application. However, the number of funding applications submitted by local governments for the purposes of this program are unknown at this time .

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITIES INVOLVEMENT WITH ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE REVIEW:

There are indeterminate costs for APCAs to assist Ecology with the Environmental Justice Review (EJR) that are published on a biennial basis, starting in 2025. Whether or not an overburdened community meets increased air quality standards over the duration of the Cap on GHG Emissions Program is not known at this time . APCAs may assist Ecology in developing and enforcing stricter air quality standards , emissions standards, or emissions limitations on criteria pollutants. However, the local and number of staff that may be required to provide assistance would depend on the findings of the biennial EJRs . There are seven APCAs, with coverage across Washington State .

LOCAL GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION WITH ECOLOGY'S ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ASSESSMENT:

The scope of local government involvement for the purposes of the Environmental Justice Review is currently unknown and would like vary widely by jurisdiction. Sec. 3(4)(a) includes a provision for the Ecology to implement a community engagement plan to meet with overburdened communities and vulnerable populations . There may be an element of local government assistance required to implement Ecology's community engagement plan. The Department of Health (DOH) Health Disparities Mapping tool describes where these impacted communities might be located, with the majority in urban areas along the I-5 corridor (from Everett to Vancouver) as well as the, Yakima, the Tri-cities, and the greater Spokane metropolitan area .

ENFORCEMENT PENALTIES:

For the purposes of this fiscal note, Ecology assumes the use of orders and the threat of penalties to be sufficient to achieve compliance . By extension, the Local Government Fiscal Note Program assumes no penalties would be assessed by local governments per Sec . 20 of this act.

OPT-IN PROVISION:

Sec. 8 states that emitters of carbon dioxide, and its equivalents, may opt-in to the provisions of this legislation if they choose enter into the Cap on GHG Emissions Program created by Ecology . Opt-in participants to this legislation would not be provided with an allocation of allowances through rules established by Sec. 12, 13, and 14 of this act.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Briefly describe and quantify the revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments , identifying the revenue provisions by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineate between city, county and special district impacts.

NO CHANGE FROM PRIOR BILL:

Local governments may experience indeterminate increases in revenues from program funds through the allocation of allowances in Sec . 14 and the CIA in Sec. 23. While local governments may receive grants from the CIA the funding allocations are indeterminate at this time. The local government impact on electricity deliverers and generators , which would be depend on the allocation of allowances set by Ecology and Commerce for the electricity utilities , is currently unknown for the affected local governments .

ALLOWANCE ALLOCATIONS FOR ELECTRIC UTILITIES

There are two public utility districts and one municipal utility this legislation affects as covered entities . The revenue created by the allocation of allowances in Sec. 13, through sales of the allowances at auction to benefit ratepayers , is not yet known. The Department of Ecology (Ecology), in their fiscal note for S SB5126, assume that all electricity utilities and providers supplying electricity to ratepayers

would receive no-cost allowance equal to their allowance budgets for each year of program coverage . For the first compliance period beginning in CY2023 public electric utilities may consign allowances to auction , but in the second compliance period starting CY2027 , these electric utilities must consign a certain amount of allowances to auction . The Ecology’s rules for the amount of allowance consignment in the second compliance period would not be determined until October 1 , 2026.

GRANT AND FUNDING ALLOCATIONS FROM THE CLIMATE INVESTMENT ACCOUNT:

The impact of the CIA on local governments still indeterminate since the account itself is still the same . There are changes with how the allocations and program distributions made from the account can be distributed per Sec . 4(1) in the Environmental Justice Assessment, which would target certain amounts of funding to overburdened communities identified in Sec . 3, with meaningful environmental benefit to those areas. The CIA now includes provisions for (2)(a) grant funds to be used for community participation in decision making; (2)(c) Allows for grants to be used for projects that mitigate impacts on overburdened communities; (2)(i) Funds can go to bill assistance and energy efficiency programs for low-income and rural residents of Washington State; (2)(g)(vii): CIA funds may go to projects that reduce emissions from landfills, through diversion of organic materials, methane capture or conversion strategies, or other means.

Sources:

Data.WA.gov, Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program Publication 2021
Department of Ecology
Department of Ecology, Facility Greenhouse Gas Reports 2020
Department of Ecology, SB 5981 (2019)
Department of Ecology, SSB 5126 (2021)
Department of Revenue, 2SSB 5126 (2021)
Local Government Fiscal Note Program, SB 5981, 2019
Local Government Fiscal Note Program, Unit Cost Model 2021
MRSC, Special Purpose Districts
National Conference of State Legislatures, “Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Targets and Market-Based Policies”
Washington Public Utility Districts Association, PUD Electric Utility Map
Washington State Association of Counties
University of Washington, DEOHS, Washington Environmental Health Disparities Map Project
Utilities and Transportation Commission, Energy