Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1159 HB Title: Interstate cannabis agrmts.

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2023-25		2025-27			2027-29			
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of Attorney General	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Department of Revenue	Non-zero but	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.							
Liquor and Cannabis Board	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Total \$							0		

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name		20	023-25		2025-27 2027-29							
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of the Governor												
Office of Attorney General	Non-zei	ro but indeterm	inate cost and/	or savings. Ple	ease see	discussion.						
Department of Revenue	.2	14,700	14,700	176,100	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Liquor and Cannabis Board	Non-zei	ro but indeterm	inate cost and/	or savings. Ple	ease see	discussion.						
Department of Health	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Agriculture	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	0.2	14,700	14,700	176,100	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	
Office of the Governor	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Department of Revenue	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Liquor and Cannabis Board	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Department of Health	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Department of Agriculture	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Amy Hatfield, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 000-0000	Final

Bill Number: 1159 HB	Title: Interstate cannabis	agrmts.	Agency: 075-Office of the Governor
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Operating Expend	itures from:		
Noi	n-zero but indeterminate cost and	or savings. Please see discuss	sion.
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact:		
NONE			
	ture estimates on this page represent the priate), are explained in Part II.	e most likely fiscal impact. Factors	impacting the precision of these estimates,
	follow corresponding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is greater form Parts I-V.	than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the	current biennium or in subsequ	ent biennia, complete entire fiscal note
X If fiscal impact is less th	nan \$50,000 per fiscal year in the cu	rrent biennium or in subsequent	t biennia, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, o	complete Part IV.		
Requires new rule making	-		
	σ, r- w		
Legislative Contact: Pete	r Clodfelter	Phone: 360-78	
Agency Preparation: Trac	ey Sayre	Phone: 360-89	
	ny Cody	Phone: (360) 4	
OFM Review: Cher	ri Keller	Phone: (360) 5	584-2207 Date: 01/12/2023

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Sec 1 allows the Governor to enter into an agreement with other states for cross-jurisdictional coordination, delivery, and enforcement of cannabis related activities.

This legislation will not take effect until federal law is amended or the US Department of Justice issues an opinion or memorandum allowing or tolerating the interstate transfer of cannabis.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The Governor's Office cannot determine an impact because it is unknown if the US Department of Justice will issue an opinion or memorandum or if federal law will be amended. It is unknown if or when the Governor will choose to enter into an agreement.

If the Governor chooses to enter into an agreement, the Governor's Office estimates a minimal workload impact with attending stakeholder meetings and working on the agreement. The Office estimates this work can be accomplished within existing resources.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Bill Number: 1159 HB	Title: Interstate cannabis agrmts.	Agency:	100-Office of Attorney General
Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
Non-zer	o but indeterminate cost and/or savings. P	lease see discussion.	
Estimated Operating Expenditure Non-zer	es from: o but indeterminate cost and/or savings. P	lease see discussion.	
T (OIL EG)	o and manager and an angel and		
Estimated Capital Budget Impact			
NONE			
The cash receipts and expenditure e and alternate ranges (if appropriate	stimates on this page represent the most likely fisca c), are explained in Part II.	al impact. Factors impacting	the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and follo	w corresponding instructions:		
X If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.	n \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienniu	um or in subsequent biennia	a, complete entire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less than \$	50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium	or in subsequent biennia, c	complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, comp	lete Part IV.		
Requires new rule making, c	omplete Part V.		
Legislative Contact: Peter Clo	odfelter	Phone: 360-786-7127	Date: 01/06/2023
Agency Preparation: Chad Sta	ndifer	Phone: 3605863650	Date: 01/11/2023
Agency Approval: Joe Zawi	slak	Phone: 360-586-3003	Date: 01/11/2023

Cheri Keller

OFM Review:

Date: 01/12/2023

Phone: (360) 584-2207

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

The Attorney General's Office (AGO) Government Compliance and Enforcement (GCE) Division litigates licensing and enforcement actions for the Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB). GCE has reviewed this bill and determined that this bill would have no current fiscal impact on GCE. Implementation of the bill's provisions, including the execution of any agreements with other states, are contingent on preconditions set by the United States government. Specifically, the bill requires either that the federal government change federal law to permit the interstate transfer of cannabis or that the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) issue an opinion or memorandum allowing or tolerating the interstate transfer of cannabis between authorized businesses.

Additionally, if the preconditions set forth in this bill are met, the LCB would be required to adopt rules necessary to authorize the sale, deliver, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with agreements the terms of which are currently unknown. Although it is possible that GCE would provide some advice during an ensuing rulemaking and, depending on the extent of the new business generated by the agreements, GCE could see an increase in litigating some licensing or enforcement actions, the extent of such impact cannot currently be quantified. Further, because implementation of this bill is contingent on an act of another government, it is impossible to determine when, or if, any such impact will occur, GCE projects no fiscal impact at this time.

The AGO Licensing and Administrative Law (LAL) Division has reviewed this bill and determined that there is no current fiscal impact. Implementation of the bill is contingent on preconditions set by the United States government. Specifically, the bill requires either that the federal government change federal law to permit the interstate transfer of cannabis or that the DOJ issue an opinion or memorandum specifically allowing or tolerating the interstate transfer of cannabis. Any interstate transfer of cannabis is, at this time, a federal crime.

The bill also imposes specific requirements on LCB if any of the triggering events occurs. For instance, Sec. 1(2) requests that LCB ensure that public health and safety standards are met; cannabis sold in interstate commerce is tested, packaged, and labeled in accordance with Washington law, and that all applicable taxes are collected.

The bill further creates exceptions to Washington's current bans on delivery of cannabis to persons, permitting Washington licensees to deliver cannabis to (or receive cannabis from) out-of-state persons.

Should this bill be implemented, LAL projects a fiscal impact that will likely exceed 90 hours of AAG time. This will include, but may not be limited to: (1) advising LCB on the negotiation of interstate compacts, which may include negotiations with up to 38 states/territories (at present); (2) advising LCB on rulemaking related to implementation of testing, packaging, delivery provisions of out-of-state cannabis transfers; (3) defending any litigation related to the rulemaking and implementation, which would likely include defending potential differential treatment on delivery to in-state vs. out-of-state persons; and (4) additional advice on final orders related to any increased enforcement activity.

Because implementation of this bill is contingent on an act of another government, it is impossible to determine when, or if, any such impact will occur, LAL projects no fiscal impact at this time.

The AGO Agriculture and Health (AHD) Division has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase the division's workload. New legal services are nominal, and costs are not included in this request.

The AGO Solicitor General's Office has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload. New legal services are nominal, and costs are not included in this request.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Department of Revenue Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1159 HB	Fitle: Interstate cannabis	agrmts.	Agency	: 140-Departmo	ent of Revenue
Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact			•		
Estimated Cash Receipts to:					
	t indeterminate cost and/o	r savings. Pleas	e see discussion.		
Estimated Expenditures from:		3			
	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	0.3		0.2		
Account					
GF-STATE-State 001-1	14,700		14,700		
Business License Account-State 03N-1	161,400		161,400		
	tal \$ 176,100		176,100		
The cash receipts and expenditure estin		e most likely fiscal i	impact. Factors impacti	ing the precision oj	these estimates,
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), a					
Check applicable boxes and follow of					
X If fiscal impact is greater than \$5 form Parts I-V.	50,000 per fiscal year in the	current biennium	or in subsequent bier	nnia, complete er	ntire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less than \$50,0	000 per fiscal year in the cu	rrent biennium or	in subsequent bienni	a, complete this 1	page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, complete	Part IV.				
X Requires new rule making, com	plete Part V.				
Legislative Contact: Peter Clodfe	elter		Phon&60-786-7127	Date: 01	/06/2023
Agency Preparation: Van Huynh			Phon&60-534-1512	Date: 01	/11/2023
Agency Approval: Valerie Torre	es ————		Phon&60-534-1521	Date: 01	/11/2023
OFM Review: Cheri Keller		T	Phon(360) 584-2207	Date: 01	/12/2023

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Note: This fiscal note reflects a revision to the expenditures, and replaces fiscal note number 1159-1.

CURRENT LAW:

There is no statute allowing for interstate cannabis agreements.

PROPOSAL:

Allows the governor to enter into an agreement with other states for the purposes of:

- Cross-jurisdictional coordination and enforcement of cannabis-related businesses authorized to conduct business in Washington, the other state, or both; and
- Cross-jurisdictional delivery of cannabis between Washington and the other state.

An agreement must ensure:

- Enforceable public health and safety standards are met, and include a system to regulate and track the interstate delivery of cannabis;
- Any cannabis delivered into Washington, before sale to a consumer, is:
- Tested in accordance with rules adopted by the Department of Agriculture, by the Department of Health, and by the state Liquor and Cannabis Board;
- Packaged and labeled in accordance with the Uniform Controlled Substances Act and rules adopted by the state Liquor and Cannabis Board; and
- Applicable taxes on the sale, delivery, and receipt of cannabis are collected.

An agreement entered into must provide that:

- A cannabis producer, processor, researcher, or retailer licensed may deliver cannabis to a person located in, and authorized to receive cannabis by, the other state; and
- A cannabis producer, processor, researcher, or retailer licensed may receive cannabis from a person located in, and authorized to export cannabis by, the other state.

EFFECTIVE DATE:

This bill takes effect on the earlier of the date on which:

- Federal law is amended to allow for the interstate transfer of cannabis between authorized cannabis-related businesses; or
- The U.S. Department of Justice issues an opinion or memorandum allowing or tolerating the interstate transfer of cannabis between authorized cannabis-related businesses.

If either of the conditions above occurs, the state Liquor and Cannabis Board must:

- Provide written notice of the effective date of this bill to affected parties, the chief clerk of the House of Representatives, the secretary of the Senate, the Office of the Code Reviser, and others as deemed appropriate by the board;
- Provide written notice of statutory changes necessary to authorize the sale, delivery, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with an agreement entered into under this bill to the governor and the appropriate committees of the legislature; and
- Adopt rules as necessary to authorize the sale, delivery, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with an agreement entered into under this bill.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

This bill grants the governor the authority to enter into an agreement with other states and requires the federal government to act to become effective.

At this time:

- It is unknown when or if the governor will reach an agreement.
- It is unknown when the federal government will act.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS:

- The governor will enter into one or more marijuana agreements with another state in fiscal year 2024; however, it is unknown when the actual expenditures will occur.
- The department will need to add a license for interstate cannabis agreements.

FIRST YEAR COSTS:

The department will incur total costs of \$176,100 in fiscal year 2024. These costs include:

Labor Costs - Time and effort equate to 0.33 FTE.

- Program and test computer system changes.
- Create one new administrative rule.

Object Costs - \$132,000.

- Computer system changes, including contract programming.

ONGOING COSTS:

There are no further ongoing costs.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	0.3		0.2		
A-Salaries and Wages	27,900		27,900		
B-Employee Benefits	9,200		9,200		
C-Professional Service Contracts	132,000		132,000		
E-Goods and Other Services	4,800		4,800		
J-Capital Outlays	2,200		2,200		
Total \$	\$176,100		\$176,100		

III. B - Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
EMS BAND 4	126,619	0.0		0.0		
EMS BAND 5	147,919	0.0		0.0		
IT SYS ADM-JOURNEY	92,844	0.2		0.1		
MGMT ANALYST4	73,260	0.0		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 2	75,120	0.0		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 3	85,020	0.1		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 4	91,524	0.0		0.0		
WMS BAND 3	107,685	0.0		0.0		
Total FTEs		0.3		0.2		

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Should this legislation become law, the department will use the standard process to adopt WAC 458-20-NEW, titled: "Tax on Interstate Cannabis Agreements." Persons affected by this rulemaking would include out-of-state cannabis businesses selling into Washington.

	·		
Bill Number: 1159 HB	Title: Interstate cannabis agrmts.		195-Liquor and Cannabis Board
Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
	but indeterminate cost and/or savings. 1	Please see discussion.	
Estimated Operating Expenditure	s from:		
Non-zer	o but indeterminate cost and/or savings. l	Please see discussion.	
Estimated Capital Budget Impact:			
Estimated Capital Budget Impacts			
NONE			
The cash receipts and expenditure exand alternate ranges (if appropriate	stimates on this page represent the most likely fisc), are explained in Part II.	cal impact. Factors impacting th	ne precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and follo	w corresponding instructions:		
X If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.	\$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienni	ium or in subsequent biennia	, complete entire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less than \$5	50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienniun	n or in subsequent biennia, co	omplete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, compl	ete Part IV		
X Requires new rule making, co	omplete Part V.		
Legislative Contact: Peter Clo	dfelter	Phone: 360-786-7127	Date: 01/06/2023
Agency Preparation: Colin O		Phone: (360) 664-4552	Date: 01/10/2023
Agency Approval: Aaron Ha	nson	Phone: 360-664-1701	Date: 01/10/2023
OFM Review: Amy Hat	field	Phone: (360) 000-0000	Date: 01/11/2023

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1: Would allow the governor to enter into interstate compacts with other states for the purposes of:

- a) coordination and enforcement of cannabis businesses in this state, the other state, or both
- b) cross-jurisdictional delivery of cannabis between this state and the other state.

Section 2(1): This act would take effect on the earlier of the date on which federal law is amended to allow for interstate transfer of cannabis between authorized cannabis-related businesses, or the US Department of Justice issues an opinion or memorandum allowing or tolerating the interstate transfer of cannabis between authorized cannabis-related businesses.

Section 2(2): If this act takes effect because of federal action as outlined in section 2, then the Liquor and Cannabis Board must provide written notice of the effective date to affected parties, and statutory changes necessary to authorize the sale, deliver, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with the agreements entered into under section 1 of this bill.

Section 2(2c): The Board must also adopt rules necessary to authorize the sale, deliver, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with the agreements entered into under section 1.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 1 requires that any agreement entered into under this section must ensure that applicable taxes on the sale, delivery, and receipt of cannabis are collected. While it is assumed that any cannabis delivered into this state would be subject to Washington state cannabis excise tax at the point of sale to consumer, it is unknown what effect this would have on tax revenues. Imported cannabis could replace existing in-state cannabis products (no net effect on purchases by consumers) or it could actually decrease tax revenue if the imported products are cheaper than products produced in-state. Or imported products may tend to be purchased in addition to the consumer's regular purchases (increasing tax revenues).

Due to the uncertainty of how imported product will impact the existing in-state market, cash receipt impact is indeterminate.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

This bill would allow the governor to enter into interstate compacts with other states for the purposes of coordination and enforcement of cannabis businesses between the states as well as cross-jurisdictional delivery of cannabis between this state and the other state. If this act takes effect, the Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board ("Board") must provide written notice of the effective date to affected parties, and statutory changes necessary to authorize the sale, deliver, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with the agreements.

The agency has determined that while indeterminate at this point, the possibility exists for considerable expense.

LICENSING DIVISION:

Licensing System

The agency will need the ability in the licensing system to issue an approval or authorization for out-of-state cannabis licenses. Part of the approval would require Licensing staff to verify the out-of-state business has a valid license in another

state.

Tracking Product and Label Approval

The agency will need a system to track product and labeling requests and approvals. The current system (SharePoint) used by the agency will not suffice for reporting and tracking purposes.

FTE's

The number of FTE's needed will be determined by the number of agreements entered into with other states:

- · Program Specialist 4 (packaging and labeling approval)
- · Licensing Specialist (process out-of-sate authorizations)
- · Customer Service Specialist 3 (setup applications, approval letters, increased phones calls)

ENFORCEMENT DIVISION:

The agency assumes that it would create a team of LCB officers to educate out of state businesses and to enforce the provisions of this bill. The division has 2 units of 6 FTE each that enforce and educate out of state industries currently. Based on the number of agreements the state enters into a unit of up to 6 FTEs (5 officers and 1 Lieutenant) would need to be created.

Assumed responsibilities.

- · Pesticide Investigations and Recalls
- · Quality Control Sampling
- · Cannabis product packaging and labeling requirements.
 - o Ensure out of state packaging and labeling meet our requirements prior to entering Washington state.
- o Ensuring products are not "especially appealing to children" and contain proper warning before making to Washington state consumers.
 - · Overproduction of cannabis in the state due to introducing new products into the state.
 - · Increased opportunities for diversion into illicit markets due to over production.

Additionally, Washington State grown and packaged cannabis products must adhere to strong testing standards and labeling/packaging standards that safeguard public health. Others states may or may not have similar standards and may impact public health for Washington state consumers.

Requiring tracking of these transactions will impact the agency's traceability system with new users. There will also be impact to the traceability of product in the market with the introduction of out of state product.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DIVISION:

1. Changes would require tracking of interstate transactions and would impact CCRS (the agency's traceability system) with new users. There would also be an impact to the traceability of product in the market with the introduction of out of sate products.

- 2. CCRS reports would need to be updated. Potential new reports created.
- 3. Agreements between states will dictate any reporting and data sharing requirements.
- 4. Licensing impacts and changes for licensee and tracking product and packaging approvals would be handled within the Salesforce implementation mid-2024 or beyond.
- 5. Licensing reporting changes and new reports needed would be handled within the Salesforce implementation mid-2024 or beyond.
- 6. Out-of-state licensees would not need to be added to the ArcGIS cannabis maps
- 7. Changes to the Tax and Fee system would only be needed if new privileges are required
- 8. Data being sent to Data.wa.gov would need to be updated

EFFECTS TO LEEADS PROJECT (agency's licensing/enforcement system upgrade)

There will be significant impact, but how much s indeterminant based on the following:

- -Licensing has indicated that this will create an Authorization/Approval. Unknown if a unique Authorization/Approval would be needed for each agreement or a single Authorization/Approval would be acceptable.
- -The project would need to better understand the business needs before being able to engage the project vendor for a change request estimate.
- -There would be potential effort to modify the Packaging and Labeling requirements and process build in the Salesforce Solution.
- The project would need to better understand the business needs before being able to engage the project vendor for a change request estimate.

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

-RULEMAKING

The agency assumes the Board will be tasked with agreement lead and coordination, drafting, and implementation. This type of work would likely require about 6 meetings, but could be more like 8 or 9 with interstate coordination, which would include a few with industry, and a few with Oregon, and 1-2 with governor staff. Staff time would be 90 to 120 min per meeting, with most likely 5 mandatory FTE (mangers from Licensing, Enforcement, Finance, Rules, and Policy). And of course there will be time spent with the Board's Director and the Board members on its development.

This work (if the estimate is correct), could be absorbed within the agency's existing rulemaking/policy staff workload.

-ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE BILLING

The Washington State Attorney General's Office (AGO) has determined that while indeterminate at this point, any costs associated with this legislation (and billed to the Board) are likely to be significant. Impacts are likely from (but not limited to):

- (1) advising the Board on the negotiation of interstate compacts, which may include negotiations with up to 38 states/territories (at present);
- (2) advising LCB on rulemaking related to implementation of testing, packaging, delivery provisions of out-of-state cannabis transfers;
- (3) defending any litigation related to the rulemaking and implementation, which would likely include defending potential differential treatment on delivery to in-state vs. out-of-state persons; and
- (4) additional advice on final orders related to any increased enforcement activity.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Section 2(2c): The Board must also adopt rules necessary to authorize the sale, deliver, and receipt of cannabis in accordance with the agreements entered into under section 1.

Bill Number: 1159 HB	Title: Interstate cannab	ois agrmts.	Agency: 303-Department of Health
Part I: Estimates	·		
X No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Operating Expend NONE	litures from:		
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact:		
NONE			
	ture estimates on this page represent priate), are explained in Part II.	the most likely fiscal impact. Factor	s impacting the precision of these estimates,
	follow corresponding instructions	s:	
			uent biennia, complete entire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less th	nan \$50,000 per fiscal year in the	current biennium or in subsequen	t biennia, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, of	complete Part IV.		
Requires new rule makir	ng, complete Part V.		
Legislative Contact: Pete	r Clodfelter	Phone: 360-7	86-7127 Date: 01/06/2023
Agency Preparation: Kris	tin Bettridge	Phone: 36079	11657 Date: 01/09/2023
Agency Approval: Stac	y May	Phone: (360)	236-4532 Date: 01/09/2023
OFM Review: Brea	ann Boggs	Phone: (360)	485-5716 Date: 01/11/2023

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1(2)(b) (i) states that any cannabis delivered into this state, prior to sale, will be tested in accordance with existing Department of Health rules. There are no DOH statutes referenced in this bill, nor does it direct the Department of Health to take any actions. Therefore, no fiscal impact

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Bill Number: 1159 HB	Title: Interstate cannabis	agrmts.	Agency: 495-Department of Agricultu
Part I: Estimates			
X No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Operating Expend NONE	litures from:		
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact:		
NONE			
	ture estimates on this page represent the priate), are explained in Part II.	most likely fiscal impact. Factors	impacting the precision of these estimates,
	follow corresponding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is greater form Parts I-V.	than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the	current biennium or in subseque	ent biennia, complete entire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less th	nan \$50,000 per fiscal year in the cur	rent biennium or in subsequent	biennia, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, o	complete Part IV.		
Requires new rule makir	ng, complete Part V.		
Legislative Contact: Pete	r Clodfelter	Phone: 360-78	6-7127 Date: 01/06/2023
Agency Preparation: Tree	zia Ehrlich	Phone: 360584	3711 Date: 01/09/2023
Agency Approval: Jean	nnie Brown	Phone: 360-902	2-1989 Date: 01/09/2023
OFM Review: Mat	thew Hunter	Phone: (360) 5	29-7078 Date: 01/10/2023

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

HB 1159 allows for the Governor to enter into an agreement with another state to transport cannabis across state lines, should federal guidance on cannabis change. Currently, federal guidance does not allow for the transportation of cannabis across state lines, so in the event that the bill does pass, nothing will immediately change (unless federal guidance changes during legislative session).

The bill allows for the governor to come to agreements with Governors from other states on how cannabis might be transported across state lines. It would be the details of those agreements that could have a fiscal impact on the WSDA. If the Governor were to enter an agreement in which only finished and packaged cannabis products could be imported or exported out of the state, it is possible that there would be no impact to the WSDA. However, if the Governor entered into an agreement with a state to provide raw cannabis to a state that phytosanitary certificate requirements, or different pesticide regulations, it is possible there would be a large impact to a variety of programs at WSDA.

The actual fiscal impact would depend upon a change in federal guidelines, and the nature of the individual agreements the Governor made with different states. Until those agreements are formulated, there is no immediate financial impact to the WSDA based on the passage of the bill alone.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

No cash receipt/revenue impacts

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

No fiscal impacts

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

No capital impacts.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.