

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1467 HB	Title: Property infill/GMA
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Agency Name	2023-25		2025-27		2027-29	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts						
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other	No fiscal impact					
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	No fiscal impact								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	No fiscal impact								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Gwen Stamey, OFM	Phone: (360) 790-1166	Date Published: Final
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1467 HB	Title: Property infill/GMA	Agency: 103-Department of Commerce
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Kellen Wright	Phone: 360-786-7134	Date: 01/20/2023
Agency Preparation: Buck Lucas	Phone: 360-725-3180	Date: 01/25/2023
Agency Approval: Jason Davidson	Phone: 360-725-5080	Date: 01/25/2023
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 790-1166	Date: 01/25/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 2 adds a new section to RCW 36.70A that amends rural infill development for certain property.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

There is no impact to the department. The activities stated in HB 1467 are already part of the normal operating procedures within the Local Government Division, and can absorb expected rulemaking activities to update rural infill development guidance.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

The department will update its guidance for local governments under chapter 365-196 WAC.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1467 HB	Title: Property infill/GMA	Agency: 468-Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office
-----------------------------	-----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Kellen Wright	Phone: 360-786-7134	Date: 01/20/2023
Agency Preparation: Dominga Soliz	Phone: 3606649173	Date: 01/24/2023
Agency Approval: Dominga Soliz	Phone: 3606649173	Date: 01/24/2023
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 742-2239	Date: 01/26/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

HB 1467 allows infill development adjacent to existing limited areas of more intensive rural development and commercial or industrial development.

This bill could possibly result in fewer challenges to rural development than under current law.

HB 1467 is unlikely to result in additional petitions to the Growth Management Hearings Board (GMHB). ELUHO assumes any petitions as a result of the bill can be absorbed by the GMHB.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

None

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 1467 HB

Title: Property infill/GMA

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- Cities:
 Counties:
 Special Districts:
 Specific jurisdictions only:
 Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- No fiscal impacts.
 Expenditures represent one-time costs:
 Legislation provides local option: Updating zoning and land use maps.
 Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

None

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Jordan Laramie	Phone: 360-725-5044	Date: 01/27/2023
Leg. Committee Contact: Kellen Wright	Phone: 360-786-7134	Date: 01/20/2023
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone: 360-725-5033	Date: 01/27/2023
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 790-1166	Date: 02/03/2023

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This legislation would authorize rural infill development in properties situated between a boundary of a limited area of more intensive rural development (LAMIRD) and commercial or industrial development that exists outside of the boundary.

Sec. 2 would be a new section added to chapter 36.70A

Would include properties situated between a boundary of a LAMIRD and commercial or industrial development that exists outside of the boundary as allowable rural infill development authorized under the Growth Management Act.

So long as a property to be developed is not designated agricultural, forest, or mineral resource lands, any such property that is surrounded on two or more sides by existing commercial or industrial development with access to a state highway as defined in RCW 46.04.560 and able to connect to existing public facilities may also be developed in a manner that is comparable to the adjacent properties.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

There would be no expenditure impact from the provisions of this bill on local governments because it does not require them to take action. Counties that choose to update zoning and land use maps would do so as a local option.

This legislation would increase the discretionary capacity of counties to authorize development beyond the boundaries of a limited area of more intensive rural development. These amendments provide flexibility for more varied economic uses in rural areas, while maintaining rural character and scale.

The Association of Washington Counties indicate that if a local government chose to update their plans to accommodate this act, the update would be to zoning and land use maps. The range of costs would be between \$10,000 to \$30,000 depending on how much of the existing zoning and land use maps need to be updated. The actions to update the maps would require public notice and hearing with both the planning commission and the legislative authority.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

This legislation would not impact local government revenues:

SOURCES:

Municipal Research and Services Center, Rural Land Use Regulation and Development
Washington State Association of Counties