# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 1163 S HB Title: Leasehold tax/arenas

### **Estimated Cash Receipts**

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of	(2,400,000)	(2,400,000)	(2,400,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,100,000)	(3,100,000)	(3,100,000)
Revenue									
Total \$	(2,400,000)	(2,400,000)	(2,400,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,100,000)	(3,100,000)	(3,100,000)

Agency Name	2023-25		2025	-27	2027-29	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts						
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other		(2,200,000)		(2,600,000)		(2,800,000)
Local Gov. Total		(2,200,000)		(2,600,000)		(2,800,000)

## **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29					
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of	.1	14,100	14,100	14,100	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Revenue												
Total \$	0.1	14,100	14,100	14,100	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

## **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Department of Revenue	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

## **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 584-2207	Final 2/6/2023

# **Department of Revenue Fiscal Note**

### **Part I: Estimates**

	No	Fiscal	Impact
--	----	--------	--------

#### **Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

Account	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
GF-STATE-State	(900,000)	(1,500,000)	(2,400,000)	(3,000,000)	(3,100,000)
01 - Taxes 59 - Leasehold Excise Tax					
Total \$	(900,000)	(1,500,000)	(2,400,000)	(3.000.000)	(3,100,000)

#### **Estimated Expenditures from:**

		FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		0.2		0.1		
Account						
GF-STATE-State	001-1	14,100		14,100		
	Total \$	14,100		14,100		

#### **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

Χ	If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
	If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
	Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
Х	Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Tracey Taylor	Phon&60-786-7152	Date: 01/26/2023
Agency Preparation:	Frank Wilson	Phon&60-534-1527	Date: 02/05/2023
Agency Approval:	Valerie Torres	Phon&60-534-1521	Date: 02/05/2023
OFM Review:	Cheri Keller	Phon(360) 584-2207	Date: 02/06/2023

Bill # 1163 S HB

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Note: This fiscal note reflects language in SHB 1163, 2023 Legislative Session.

#### COMPARISON OF THE SUBSTITUTE BILL WITH THE ORIGINAL:

The substitute version changes the seating capacity from 4,000 to 2,000. Also adds to qualifying criteria to include an arena that is a multipurpose sports and entertainment facility, redeveloped to attract a professional ice hockey franchise.

#### **CURRENT LAW:**

Leasehold excise tax (LET) is paid by a private entity that leases/uses public property. This includes leases of government-owned property exempt from property taxes. The combined state and local rate for LET is 12.84% of the rent paid for the property. The state general fund receives 6.84% and the remaining 6% goes to local governments.

#### PROPOSAL:

This proposal creates an exemption from LET for all leasehold interests in the "public or entertainment areas" of any arena if the arena:

- Has a seating capacity of more than 2,000;
- Is located in a city with a population of over 100,000;
- Is located on city-owned land;
- Is a multi-purpose sports and entertainment facility redeveloped to attract a professional ice hockey franchise; and
- Private entities were responsible for 100% of the funds used for construction improvements to the arena not reimbursed by the public owner.

"Public or entertainment areas" have the same meaning as in current law for certain existing amphitheater or arenas, except that it also includes office areas used predominantly by the lessee.

Section 1 and section 2 share the same language creating the LET exemption, as a result of different expiration dates in current statute.

The new LET exemption does not apply to leasehold interests arising on or after October 1, 2033.

The new tax preference performance provisions do not apply to the proposal.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE:**

Section 1 takes effect October 1, 2023, which addresses current provisions in effect until January 1, 2034.

This exemption and the changes in this bill become permanent. While Section 1 of the bill expires January 1, 2034, Section 2 takes effect January 1, 2034, and does not expire.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### **ASSUMPTIONS:**

- This LET exemption applies only to the Climate Pledge Arena.
- Climate Pledge Arena meets the private entity funding the construction improvements not reimbursed by the public requirement.
- These estimates use LET collections data from Quarter 4, 2021 to Quarter 3, 2022.

- Growth mimics the Economic and Revenue Forecast Council's forecast for LET.

#### DATA SOURCES:

- Department of Revenue, leasehold excise tax data
- Economic and Revenue Forecast Council, November 2022 forecast

#### **REVENUE ESTIMATES:**

This bill decreases state revenues by an estimated \$0.9 million in the eight months of impacted collections in fiscal year 2024, and by \$1.5 million in fiscal year 2025, the first full year of impacted collections. This bill also decreases local revenues by an estimated \$0.9 million in the eight months of impacted collections in fiscal year 2024, and by \$1.3 million in fiscal year 2025, the first full year of impacted collections.

#### TOTAL REVENUE IMPACT:

State Government (cash basis, \$000):

FY 2024 - (\$ 900) FY 2025 - (\$ 1,500) FY 2026 - (\$ 1,500) FY 2027 - (\$ 1,500) FY 2028 - (\$ 1,500) FY 2029 - (\$ 1,600)

Local Government, if applicable (cash basis, \$000):

FY 2024 - (\$ 900) FY 2025 - (\$ 1,300) FY 2026 - (\$ 1,300) FY 2027 - (\$ 1,300) FY 2028 - (\$ 1,400) FY 2029 - (\$ 1,400)

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### ASSUMPTIONS:

This estimate affects one taxpayer.

#### FIRST YEAR COSTS:

The department will incur total costs of \$14,100 in fiscal year 2024. These costs include:

Labor Costs - Time and effort equate to 0.17 FTE.

- Amend one administrative rule.
- Research, educate, and assist taxpayers with reporting requirements.

#### SECOND YEAR COSTS:

The department will not incur costs in fiscal year 2025.

#### **ONGOING COSTS:**

There are no ongoing costs.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	0.2		0.1		
A-Salaries and Wages	8,600		8,600		
B-Employee Benefits	2,800		2,800		
E-Goods and Other Services	1,800		1,800		
J-Capital Outlays	900		900		
Total \$	\$14,100		\$14,100		

III. B - Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
EMS BAND 4	126,619	0.0		0.0		
EXCISE TAX EX 3	61,632	0.1		0.1		
MGMT ANALYST4	73,260	0.0		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 2	75,120	0.0		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 3	85,020	0.0		0.0		
TAX POLICY SP 4	91,524	0.0		0.0		
WMS BAND 3	107,685	0.0		0.0		
Total FTEs		0.2		0.1		

#### III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

#### IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

### Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Should this legislation become law, the department will use the expedited process to amend WAC 458-29A-400, titled: "Leasehold excise tax-Exemptions." Persons affected by this rulemaking would include certain arenas and stadiums.

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

**Bill Number:** 1163 S HB Title: Leasehold tax/arenas **Part I: Jurisdiction-**Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts. **Legislation Impacts:** X Cities: revenue decrease Counties: revenue decrease Special Districts: revenue decrease Specific jurisdictions only: City of Seattle, King County, Port of Seattle, Sound Transit, Seattle School District, Seattle Park District Variance occurs due to: **Part II: Estimates** No fiscal impacts. Expenditures represent one-time costs: Legislation provides local option: Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: Estimated revenue impacts to:

Jurisdiction	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
City	(400,000)	(577,778)	(977,778)	(1,155,556)	(1,244,444)
County	(200,000)	(288,889)	(488,889)	(577,778)	(622,222)
Special District	(300,000)	(433,333)	(733,333)	(866,666)	(933,334)
TOTAL \$	(900,000)	(1,300,000)	(2,200,000)	(2,600,000)	(2,800,000)
GRAND TOTAL \$					(7,600,000)

#### **Estimated expenditure impacts to:**

None

### Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Angie Hong	Phone:	360-725-5041	Date:	02/02/2023
Leg. Committee Contact: Tracey Taylor	Phone:	360-786-7152	Date:	01/26/2023
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone:	360-725-5033	Date:	02/02/2023
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone:	(360) 584-2207	Date:	02/02/2023

Page 1 of 3 Bill Number: 1163 S HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

### Part IV: Analysis

#### A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

#### CHANGES TO THIS BILL VERSION

This bill version adds an additional characterization of an eligible facility: the arena is a multipurpose sports and entertainment facility that was redeveloped to attract a professional ice hockey franchise. This version also removes the requirement of the taxpayer claiming exemption to file a tax performance report. These changes do not alter the impact analysis for local governments. Special district impacts have been incorporated into the previous revenue analysis.

#### SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL VERSION

This bill creates a leasehold excise tax exemption for all leasehold interests for a certain arena. The Dept. of Revenue fiscal note assumes this arena is the Climate Pledge Arena.

This bill clarifies that "Public or entertainment areas" has the same meaning as in current law for certain existing amphitheater or areas, and includes office areas used predominantly by the lessee.

This substitute bill adds an additional characterization of an eligible facility: the arena is a multipurpose sports and entertainment facility that was redeveloped to attract a professional ice hockey franchise.

This substitute bill removes the requirement of the taxpayer claiming exemption to file a tax performance report.

#### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

This bill will not impact local government expenses.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

#### CHANGES TO THIS BILL VERSION

This bill version does not alter the impact analysis for local governments. Due to additional information becoming available, special district impacts have been incorporated into the previous revenue analysis.

#### SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL REVENUE IMPACTS

According to the Dept. of Revenue fiscal note, this bill decreases local revenues by an estimated \$0.9 million in the eight months of impacted collections in fiscal year 2024, and by \$1.3 million in fiscal year 2025, the first full year of impacted collections. The local leasehold tax rate is 6%.

According to the Municipal Research Services Center's Revenue Guide, the maximum county rate that can be levied against the state is 6%. The maximum city rate that can be credited against the county is 4%. Assuming that the City of Seattle and King County levy the maximum rates possible, Seattle will bear 2/3 of the loss and King County will bear 1/3 of the loss in revenue. It is assumed that the four special districts (Port of Seattle, Sound Transit, Seattle School District, Seattle Park District) that experience a revenue loss are distributed approximately 1/3 of the amounts due to the county and city.

Local Gov	ernment	loss	Seattle	loss	King Coun	ty loss	Special L	District loss
FY 2	2024 -	(\$	900,000) = (\$	600,00	0) (\$	300,000)	1	
min	us 1/3 fc	or SP	s: (\$	400,000	0) (\$	200,000)	\$	(300,000)
FY :	2025 -	(\$ 1	,300,000) = (\$	866,66	7) (\$	433,333)		

Page 2 of 3 Bill Number: 1163 S HB

minus 1/3 for SPs: (\$	577,778)	(\$ 288,889)	\$ (433,333)
FY 2026 - (\$ 1,300,000) = (\$ minus 1/3 for SPs: (\$	866,667) 577,778)	(\$ 433,333) (\$ 288,889)	\$ (433,333)
FY 2027 - (\$ 1,300,000) = (\$ minus 1/3 for SPs: (\$	866,667) 577,778)	(\$ 433,333) (\$ 288,889)	\$ (433,333)
FY 2028 - (\$ 1,400,000) = (\$ minus 1/3 for SPs: (\$	933,333) 622,222)	(\$ 466,667) (\$ 311,111)	\$ (466,667)
FY 2029 - (\$ 1,400,000) = (\$ minus 1/3 for SPs: (\$	933,333) 622,222)	(\$ 466,667) (\$ 311,111)	\$ (466,667)

### SOURCES

Association of Washington Cities Municipal Research Services Center Revenue Guide Dept. of Revenue Fiscal Note Draft

Page 3 of 3 Bill Number: 1163 S HB