

# Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

<b>Bill Number:</b> 1555 HB	<b>Title:</b> Indian tribes/extradition
-----------------------------	---

## Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Agency Name	2023-25		2025-27		2027-29	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impact					
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other						
Local Gov. Total						

## Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
<b>Total \$</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

## Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
<b>Total \$</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

## Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

<b>Prepared by:</b> Cheri Keller, OFM	<b>Phone:</b> (360) 584-2207	<b>Date Published:</b> Final 2/ 6/2023
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 1555 HB	<b>Title:</b> Indian tribes/extradition	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-----------------------------	---	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

**Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

NONE

**Estimated Expenditures from:**

NONE

**Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

NONE

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note for Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Michelle Rusk	Phone: 360-786-7153	Date: 01/31/2023
Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 02/06/2023
Agency Approval: Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/06/2023
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone: (360) 584-2207	Date: 02/06/2023

182,207.00

Request # 127-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

1

Bill # 1555 HB

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would create a procedure for Washington to seek extradition of an Indian from within Indian tribe jurisdiction in Washington, and for an Indian tribe to seek extradition from Washington.

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

### II. C - Expenditures

No or minimal fiscal impact expected to the Administrative Office of the Courts or the courts.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

### III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

### III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

### IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

### IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

### IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

*Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.*

NONE

None

182,207.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

2

Request # 127-1

Bill # 1555 HB

# Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 1555 HB	<b>Title:</b> Indian tribes/extradition	<b>Agency:</b> 100-Office of Attorney General
-----------------------------	---	---

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

**Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

NONE

**Estimated Operating Expenditures from:**

NONE

**Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

NONE

*The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Michelle Rusk	Phone: 360-786-7153	Date: 01/31/2023
Agency Preparation: Allyson Bazan	Phone: 360-586-3589	Date: 02/01/2023
Agency Approval: Edd Giger	Phone: 360-586-2104	Date: 02/01/2023
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone: (360) 584-2207	Date: 02/02/2023

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

*Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.*

The AGO Criminal Justice Division (CRJ) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload. This bill outlines an unclear process for the extradition of tribal members from tribal nations to Washington and extradition of Washington citizens to tribal nations. The need for CRJ to seek extradition of a tribal member is an unlikely and at best rare occurrence given the low volume of criminal prosecutions conducted by the division (compared to the county attorneys). Such an occurrence, if it happened, would be minimal and part of the current prosecution of the caseload.

Section 3 provides that the Attorney General may have to review a tribal request for extradition of a Washington citizen in certain circumstances. New legal services are nominal, and costs are not included in this request.

The AGO Administrative Division has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload. New legal services are nominal, and costs are not included in this request.

The AGO Solicitor General's Office has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload. New legal services are nominal, and costs are not included in this request.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

*Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.*

### II. C - Expenditures

*Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.*

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

### III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

### III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

**III. C - Operating FTE Detail:** *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

**IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose**

NONE

**IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout**

*Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.*

NONE

**IV. D - Capital FTE Detail:** *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

**Part V: New Rule Making Required**

*Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.*

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

**Bill Number:** 1555 HB

**Title:** Indian tribes/extradition

## Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

### Legislation Impacts:

- Cities: Indeterminate impacts for law enforcement
- Counties: Indeterminate impacts for law enforcement, prosecutors, and jails
- Special Districts:
- Specific jurisdictions only:
- Variance occurs due to:

## Part II: Estimates

- No fiscal impacts.
- Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- Legislation provides local option:
- Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: Number of requests for extradition from Tribes

### Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

### Estimated expenditure impacts to:

**Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.**

## Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Alice Zillah	Phone: 360-725-5035	Date: 02/06/2023
Leg. Committee Contact: Michelle Rusk	Phone: 360-786-7153	Date: 01/31/2023
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone: 360-725-5033	Date: 02/06/2023
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone: (360) 584-2207	Date: 02/06/2023



## **Part IV: Analysis**

### **A. SUMMARY OF BILL**

*Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.*

Sec. 1 adds a new section to RCW 10.31. An Indian Tribe shall direct a demand for extradition to the county prosecuting attorney of the county in which the tribe is located, or where the person demanded is thought to be located, or to the Attorney General. A written demand for extradition by an Indian tribe shall be recognized if the demand is accompanied by both: (a) A copy of a warrant issued for the person; and (b) A criminal complaint or sworn statement made before a tribal judge substantially charging the person demanded with the commission of a crime under tribal law, with escape from confinement, or with a violation of probation or parole.

### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

*Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.*

The legislation would have an indeterminate impact on local government expenditures, resulting in costs for county prosecutors, city and county law enforcement agencies, and county jails.

According to the Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys, extradition is an agreement between the Governor and Tribal sovereign nations. If an individual has a warrant issued for their arrest from a Tribal court, the Tribe could request that city or county law enforcement pick the individual up and detain them in jail. If law enforcement officers pick up an individual without knowing about the Tribal warrant, they would discover it after running a check on the individual's license.

The individual would be held until the county is able to confirm the binding treaty agreement, by contacting the Governor's Office, and then arranging the transfer of the individual back to Tribal land.

Because the number of extraditions cannot be estimated, the impacts are indeterminate.

### **C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS**

*Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.*

The legislation would have no revenue impacts for law enforcement agencies.

#### **SOURCES:**

Washington State Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs

Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys