Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1663 HB Title: Consolidated port districts

Estimated Cash Receipts

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|-------|----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------------|-------|--|
| | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | |
| Department of Revenue | Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion. | | | | | | | | | |
| Total \$ | l 0 | ol | 0 | l 0 | 0 | l 0 | 0 | l 0 | 0 | |

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | 2025 | -27 | 2027-29 | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total | |
| Local Gov. Courts | | | | | | | |
| Loc School dist-SPI | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Other | Fiscal note not available | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Total | | | | | | | |

Estimated Operating Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | | | 2025-27 | | | | 2027-29 | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------|-------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total |
| Department of Revenue | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total \$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts | | | | | | | | | |
| Loc School dist-SPI | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Other | Fiscal note not available | | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Total | | | | | | | | | |

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total |
| Department of Revenue | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total \$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | |
|---------------------|---------|---------------------------|-------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts | | | | | | | | | |
| Loc School dist-SPI | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Other | Fiscal | Fiscal note not available | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Total | | | | | | | | | |

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

| Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM | Phone: | Date Published: |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | (360) 584-2207 | Preliminary 2/13/2023 |

Department of Revenue Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 1663 HB | Title: Consolidated port districts | Agency: | 140-Department of Revenue |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Part I: Estimates | | • | |
| No Fiscal Impact | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to: | | | |
| Non-zero k | out indeterminate cost and/or savings. Plea | se see discussion. | |
| Estimated Expenditures from: | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Estimated Capital Budget Impact | : | | |
| NONE | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| The cash receipts and expenditure es and alternate ranges (if appropriate) | timates on this page represent the most likely fisca | l impact. Factors impacting | the precision of these estimates, |
| Check applicable boxes and follow | | | |
| ** | \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienniu | m or in subsequent bienni | a, complete entire fiscal note |
| | 0,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium | or in subsequent biennia. | complete this page only (Part I) |
| Capital budget impact, compl | • | 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | |
| Requires new rule making, co | omplete Part V. | | |
| Legislative Contact: Kellen W | right | Phon&60-786-7134 | Date: 02/07/2023 |
| Agency Preparation: Kari Kena | all | Phon&60-534-1508 | Date: 02/12/2023 |
| Agency Approval: Valerie To | orres | Phon&60-534-1521 | Date: 02/12/2023 |
| OFM Review: Cheri Kel | ler | Phon(360) 584-2207 | Date: 02/13/2023 |

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

CURRENT LAW:

The law allows two or more port districts to consolidate subject to voter approval of a joint resolution at a special election. The joint resolution submitted for voter approval may be initiated by the port commissioners of both port districts, or by a petition signed by 10 percent of the voters within each port district. Once voter approval is certified, the consolidated port district becomes a municipal corporation of the state of Washington and may levy property taxes as one consolidated port.

None of the obligations of each port district prior to consolidation may be affected by the consolidation. Taxes and assessments for payment of such obligations must continue to be levied and collected in respect to property in the former port districts.

Generally, individual port districts may levy four different property taxes, each with tax rates of up to \$0.45/\$1,000 assessed value for the following purposes:

- General port purposes (and for payment and interest on general bonded indebtedness which can be in excess of the \$0.45/\$1,000 AV tax rate).
- Industrial development district purposes (any excess revenue must go to retirement of general obligation bonded indebtedness).
- Dredging, canal construction, or land leveling or filling purposes (voter approved).
- Dissolution of a port district.

Also, individual port districts may contract indebtedness or borrow money for district purposes and may issue general obligation bonds.

PROPOSAL:

This bill allows two or more port districts under approval of two-thirds of the port commissioners of each port to jointly levy port district property taxes if the port districts operate under a mutual agreement and provided:

- The port districts are adjacent, and the boundaries of each district are coextensive with county boundaries.
- The port commissioners of each district must vote by July 1 to conduct a joint property tax levy for the following year.
- The joint property tax levy imposed within the port districts does not exceed the rate at which either port district would individually levy.
- Each participating port district imposes the same joint property tax rate.

Two or more port districts jointly levying property taxes is considered a "taxing district."

EFFECTIVE DATE:

The bill takes effect 90 days after final adjournment of the session.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

ASSUMPTIONS:

The maximum levy rate of the joint "taxing district" cannot exceed the highest of the individual rates of the joining port districts.

DATA SOURCES:

- County assessor data
- Department of Revenue, Property Tax Division data

REVENUE ESTIMATES:

This legislation results in no revenue impact to the state. The local revenue impact of this legislation is indeterminate.

There are 12 known port districts that have boundaries coextensive with county boundaries. Many are adjacent to one or more other port districts. A port district may jointly levy property taxes with one or more adjacent port districts. However, it is unknown which port districts will jointly levy property taxes.

An agreement between port districts to form a joint "taxing district" may result in one port district paying slightly more while the other pays slightly less. Any local impacts are limited to the joining port districts only.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The department will have minimal costs of approximately \$2,500 per fiscal year associated with implementation of changes to property tax programs but will absorb these costs within current funding.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. B - Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Bill # <u>1663 HB</u>