## Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1425 2S HB
Title: Municipal annexations

## Estimated Cash Receipts

| Agency Name | 2023-25 |  |  | 2025-27 |  |  | 2027-29 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total |
| Department of Revenue | $(1,700,000)$ | $(1,700,000)$ | (1,700,000) | $(10,000,000)$ | $(10,000,000)$ | (10,000,000) | $(18,000,000)$ | $(18,000,000)$ | (18,000,000) |
| Total \$ | $(1,700,000)$ | $(1,700,000)$ | $(1,700,000)$ | $(10,000,000)$ | $(10,000,000)$ | $(10,000,000)$ | $(18,000,000)$ | $(18,000,000)$ | $(18,000,000)$ |


| Agency Name | 2023-25 | 2025-27 |  | 2027-29 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loc School dist-SPI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Gov. Other | Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Gov. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Estimated Operating Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2023-25 |  |  |  | 2025-27 |  |  |  | 2027-29 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total |
| Department of Revenue | . 3 | 68,600 | 68,600 | 68,600 | . 3 | 62,000 | 62,000 | 62,000 | . 2 | 31,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 |
| Total \$ | 0.3 | 68,600 | 68,600 | 68,600 | 0.3 | 62,000 | 62,000 | 62,000 | 0.2 | 31,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 |


| Agency Name | 2023-25 |  |  | 2025-27 |  |  | 2027-29 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loc School dist-SPI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Gov. Other | Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Gov. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2023-25 |  |  | 2025-27 |  |  | 2027-29 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total |
| Department of Revenue | . 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total \$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |


| Agency Name | 2023-25 |  |  | 2025-27 |  |  | 2027-29 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loc School dist-SPI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Gov. Other | Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Gov. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

| Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM | Phone: <br> $(360) 584-2207$ | Date Published: <br> Final 3/10/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

# Department of Revenue Fiscal Note 

| Bill Number: 1425 2S HB | Title: Municipal annexations | Agency: 140-Department of Revenue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

| Account | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| GF-STATE-State <br> $01-$ Taxes 01 - Retail Sales Tax |  | $(1,700,000)$ | $(1,700,000)$ | $(10,000,000)$ | $(18,000,000)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Estimated Expenditures from:

|  | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7 - 2 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FTE Staff Years | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |
| Account |  |  |  |  |  |
| GF-STATE-State | $001-1$ |  | 35,900 | 32,700 | 68,600 |
|  | Total $\$$ | 35,900 | 32,700 | 68,600 | 62,000 |

## Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:
If fiscal impact is greater than $\$ 50,000$ per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than $\$ 50,000$ per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

| Legislative Contact: | Tracey Taylor | Phone:60-786-7152 | Date: 03/07/2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency Preparation: | Diana Tibbetts | Phone:60-534-1520 | Date: 03/08/2023 |
| Agency Approval: | Marianne McIntosh | Phone:60-534-1505 | Date: 03/08/2023 |
| OFM Review: | Cheri Keller | Phon@360) 584-2207 | Date: 03/08/2023 |

## Part II: Narrative Explanation

## II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.
Note: This fiscal note reflects language in 2SHB 1425, 2023 Legislative Session.
COMPARISON OF THE SECOND SUBSTITUTE BILL WITH THE SUBSTITUTE BILL:
The second substitute bill removes the January 1, 2029, expiration date and doesn't allow cities to begin to impose the annexation tax after July 1, 2028.

## CURRENT LAW:

Cities could impose an annexation tax to finance municipal services; however, the tax expired.

## PRIOR ANNEXATION TAX

Any city within a county with a population greater than 600,000 that annexed an area could impose a sales and use tax in addition to other authorized taxes collected. The tax was imposed by a city if:

- The city had commenced annexation of an area with a population of at least 10,000 , or at least 4,000 in certain circumstances, prior to January 1, 2015.
- The city determined that the projected cost to provide municipal services to the annexation area exceeded the projected revenue that the city would otherwise receive from the annexation area on an annual basis.

Maximum rates:
$-0.1 \%$ for each annexed area with a population between 10,000 and 20,000 , or in some circumstances between 4,000 and 10,000.
$-0.2 \%$ for an annexed area with a population over 20,000 .
$-0.85 \%$ for an annexed area with a population over 16,000 if the area was, prior to November 1, 2008, officially designated as a potential annexation area by more than one city and if at least one of those cities had a population over 400,000 .

## PROPOSAL:

This legislation reinstates the annexation tax and changes the population thresholds and maximum tax rates as follows: $-0.1 \%$ for each annexed area with a population is between 2,000 and 10,000 .
$-0.2 \%$ for each annexed area in with a population is over 10,000 .
A city must enter into an interlocal agreement with the county regarding the proposed annexation area and imposed tax.
A city may not begin to impose the annexation tax after July 1, 2028.

## EFFECTIVE DATE:

The bill takes effect 90 days after final adjournment of the session.

## II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.
ASSUMPTIONS:

- The last possible date for a city to impose the tax is July 1, 2028. Once imposed the city receives distributions for 10 years.
- Eight jurisdictions utilized the previous annexation tax to help fund their annexations.

Request \# 1425-3-1

- The average annual annexation tax amount was $\$ 2.9$ million.
- This estimate is based on two annexations per year with $\$ 1$ million caps.
- The revenue impact of this proposal could be considerably higher depending on the size and number of annexations.
- The performance audits of government account receives a $0.16 \%$ share of retail sales and use tax collections. The state-shared local tax amounts exclude the amount the performance audits of government account receives.
- Currently, tribes with compacts receive a share of state sales and use tax and certain business and occupation taxes (RCW 43.06.523). Under this proposal the amount of revenue shared with tribes will not change.
- This legislation passes effective July 23, 2023.The tax can only be imposed at the beginning of a fiscal year. Cities first impose the tax on July 1, 2024, with the first distributions each year occurring in September. This impacts 10 months of cash collections in fiscal year 2025.


## DATA SOURCES:

- Office of Financial Management, Central Annexation Tracking System
- Department of Revenue annexation cap calculations


## REVENUE ESTIMATES:

This bill decreases state revenues by an estimated $\$ 1.7$ million in the 10 months of impacted collections in fiscal year 2025, and by an estimated $\$ 4$ million in fiscal year 2026, the first full year of impacted collections.

This bill also increases local revenues by an estimated $\$ 1.7$ million in the 10 months of impacted collections in fiscal year 2025 , and by an estimated $\$ 4$ million in fiscal year 2026, the first full year of impacted collections.

## TOTAL REVENUE IMPACT:

State Government (cash basis, \$000):
FY 2024- \$ 0
FY 2025 - (\$ 1,700)
FY 2026-(\$ 4,000)
FY 2027-(\$ 6,000)
FY 2028- (\$ 8,000)
FY 2029 - ( $\$ 10,000$ )
Local Government, if applicable (cash basis, \$000):
FY 2024 - $\$ 0$
FY 2025- \$ 1,700
FY 2026-\$ 4,000
FY 2027- \$ 6,000
FY 2028-\$ 8,000
FY 2029-\$ 10,000

## II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

FIRST YEAR COSTS:
The department will incur total costs of $\$ 35,900$ in fiscal year 2024. These costs include:
Labor Costs - Time and effort equate to 0.3 FTE.

- Attend implementation meetings, monitoring, and maintenance.

SECOND YEAR COSTS:
The department will incur total costs of $\$ 32,700$ in fiscal year 2025. These costs include:
Labor Costs - Time and effort equate to 0.3 FTE.

- Attend implementation meetings, monitor reports, prepare statistics, train examiners, and test system changes.
- Collaborate with jurisdictions.
- Review ordinances and tax imposition timelines.


## ONGOING COSTS:

Ongoing costs for the 2025-27 biennium equal $\$ 62,000$ and include similar activities described in the second-year costs. Time and effort equate to 0.3 FTE each year.

## Part III: Expenditure Detail

## III. A - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

|  | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 7 - 2 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FTE Staff Years | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| A-Salaries and Wages | 22,000 | 20,300 | 42,300 | 40,600 | 20,300 |
| B-Employee Benefits | 7,300 | 6,700 | 14,000 | 13,400 | 6,700 |
| E-Goods and Other Services | 4,500 | 4,000 | 8,500 | 6,200 | 3,100 |
| J-Capital Outlays | 2,100 | 1,700 | 3,800 | 1,800 | 900 |
|  | $\$ 35,900$ | $\$ 32,700$ | $\$ 68,600$ | $\$ 62,000$ | $\$ 31,000$ |

III. B - Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

| Job Classification | Salary | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| EXCISE TAX EX 3 | 61,632 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| EXCISE TAX EX 4 | 68,076 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |
| MGMT ANALYST4 | 73,260 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  |  | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

## III. C - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

## IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

## NONE

## IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

## IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.
NONE

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

## Department of Commerce

| Bill Number: 1425 2S HB | Title: $\quad$ Municipal annexations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

## Legislation Impacts:

$X$ Cities: increase in sales tax revenue
Counties:
Special Districts:
X Specific jurisdictions only: cities with interlocal agreements for annexation areas
$\square$ Variance occurs due to:

## Part II: Estimates

$\square$ No fiscal impacts.
$\square$ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
X Legislation provides local option: Cities can impose an annexation tax to finance municipal services
X Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: It is unknown which cities and counties would form interlocal agreements and begin imposing the tax

## Estimated revenue impacts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.
Estimated expenditure impacts to:
Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

## Part III: Preparation and Approval

| Fiscal Note Analyst: Tammi Alexander | Phone: 360-725-5038 | Date: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leg. Committee Contact: Tracey Taylor | Phone: 360-786-7152 | Date: |
| Agency Approval: 03/07/2023 |  |  |
| OFM Review: Cheri Keller Johnson | Phone: 360-725-5033 | Date: |
| $03 / 10 / 2023$ |  |  |

## Part IV: Analysis

## A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.
This fiscal note reflects language in 2SHB 1425, 2023 Legislative Session.

## CHANGES BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The second substitute bill removes the January 1, 2029, expiration date and doesn't allow cities to begin to impose the annexation tax after July 1, 2028.

## SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:

This legislation reinstates the annexation tax and changes the population thresholds and maximum tax rates as follows:
$-0.1 \%$ for each annexed area with a population is between 2,000 and 10,000
$-0.2 \%$ for each annexed area in with a population is over 10,000

A city must enter into an interlocal agreement with the county regarding the proposed annexation area and imposed tax.

A city may not begin to impose the annexation tax after July 1, 2028.

## B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

CHANGES IN EXPENDITURE IMPACTS BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:
None

## EXPENDITURE IMPACTS OF CURRENT BILL:

By itself, the authority granted in this resolution has no fiscal impact.
For those cities choosing to impose the annexation tax, there could be administrative costs related to establishing the required interlocal agreement with the county. It is unknown which cities would pursue this local option or if interlocal agreements already exist, therefore costs cannot be estimated.

## C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

## CHANGES IN REVENUE IMPACTS BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

None

## REVENUE IMPACTS OF CURRENT BILL:

By itself, the authority granted in this resolution has no fiscal impact.
For cities choosing to impose the annexation tax, there would be an increase in sales tax and use revenue for those jurisdictions. It is unknown which cities and counties would form interlocal agreements, therefore the impact is indeterminate.

According to the Department of Revenue (DOR) this bill could increase local revenues by an estimated $\$ 1.7$ million in the 10 months of impacted collections in fiscal year 2025, and by an estimated $\$ 4$ million in fiscal year 2026, the first full year of impacted collections. Please see the DOR fiscal note for their assumptions and data sources. Increased revenue would only be dispersed to cities imposing the tax.

## SOURCES:

Department of Revenue fiscal note, 2SHB 1425 (2023)
House Bill Report, HB 1425, Local Government Committee (2/3/203)

