## **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 1424 E S HB Title: Dogs and cats/consumers

### **Estimated Cash Receipts**

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of Administrative Hearings	Non-zero but	indeterminate cos	t and/or savings	. Please see disc	ussion.				
Total \$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023	3-25	2025	-27	2027-29	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impac	t				
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but in	determinate cos	t and/or savings. ]	Please see discu	ssion.	
Local Gov. Total						

### **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Agency Name		2023-25					2025-27				2027-29	
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Administrative	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Office of the												
Courts												
Office of	Non-ze	ro but indeterm	inate cost and/	or savings. Pl	ease see	discussion.						
Administrative												
Hearings												
	1 00						1 .					
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	cal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other										
Local Gov. Total										

### **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	
Administrative Office of	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
the Courts										
Office of Administrative	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Hearings										
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	scal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other										
Local Gov. Total										

## **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

NONE

Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 584-2207	Final 3/22/2023

### **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: Title: Agency: 055-Administrative Office of 1424 E S HB Dogs and cats/consumers the Courts Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact **Estimated Cash Receipts to:** NONE **Estimated Expenditures from:** NONE **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:** NONE The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060. Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. Legislative Contact Kellee Gunn Phone: 786-7429 Date: 03/17/2023 Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala Phone: 360-704-5528 Date: 03/21/2023 Agency Approval: Chris Stanley Phone: 360-357-2406 Date: 03/21/2023

186,654.00 Request # 253-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1424 E S HB

Phone: (360) 819-3112

Date: 03/21/2023

Gaius Horton

DFM Review:

#### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The bill would allow address consumer protections with respect to the sale of cats and dogs and prescribes civil penalties.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None. The new civil penalty in the bill is administrative and any appeal would go to the Office of Administrative hearings. There would be no impact to courts or court revenue.

#### II. C - Expenditures

None.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

#### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

#### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

**NONE** 

#### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

#### III. D - FTE Detail

**NONE** 

#### III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

#### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

#### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

#### IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

**NONE** 

#### IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

#### IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

<b>Bill Number:</b> 1424 E S H	IB Title:	Dogs and cats/consumers	Ag	gency: 110-Office of Administrative Hearings
Part I: Estimates  No Fiscal Impact				
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to</b>	):			
N	lon-zero but ind	eterminate cost and/or savings. Pl	ease see discussion.	
Estimated Operating Exper		eterminate cost and/or savings. Pl	ooso soo disqussion	
	On-zero but mu	eterminate cost and/or savings. Fr	ease see discussion.	,
Estimated Capital Budget I	mpact:			
NONE				
1,01,2				
The cash receipts and expen and alternate ranges (if app		this page represent the most likely fisca ained in Part II.	l impact. Factors impo	acting the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes ar	nd follow corresp	onding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is grea form Parts I-V.	ter than \$50,000	per fiscal year in the current bienniu	m or in subsequent b	piennia, complete entire fiscal note
X If fiscal impact is less	than \$50,000 per	r fiscal year in the current biennium	or in subsequent bier	nnia, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact	t, complete Part I	V.		
Requires new rule ma	king, complete P	art V.		
Legislative Contact: Ko	ellee Gunn		Phone: 786-7429	Date: 03/17/2023
Agency Preparation: Pe	ete Boeckel		Phone: 360-407-27	730 Date: 03/22/2023
Agency Approval: Do	eborah Feinstein		Phone: 360-407-27	717 Date: 03/22/2023

Cheri Keller

OFM Review:

Date: 03/22/2023

Phone: (360) 584-2207

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Indeterminate fiscal impact. Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) authority to provide services for these appeals is unclear. A change is needed to chapter 34.12 RCW and 34.05 RCW in order to have jurisdiction to handle these appeals.

Section 1 allows Washington State counties to fine retail pet stores \$250 for violations of the section, and states the violation is appealable to the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Violations resulting in a citation include:

- 1. Sold or offered for sale at a different address;
- 2. Selling dogs acquired from someone other than an authorized breeder or broker;
- 3. Selling dogs too young;
- 4. Failing to maintain proper breeder records;
- 5. Improper advertising;
- 6. Improper posting of breeder or other source information;
- 7. Failing to disclose to potential buyers the dog or cat's purchase price and the breeders' inspection reports showing law violations over the past two years.

Work activities associated with the enactment of this bill will begin on July 1, 2023.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Indeterminate cash receipts.

Assuming changes in statute are made to allow OAH to handle these appeals and the counties are billed and pay for the appeals, the revenue would be recorded as General Fund Private/Local (05??) unless a new account is established or the Local Government Administrative Hearings Account (account #180 - RCW 42.41.060) is amended to handle these funds.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Indeterminate expenditure impact.

- 1. Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) authority to provide services for these appeals is unclear. A change is needed to chapter 34.12 RCW and 34.05 RCW in order to have jurisdiction to handle these appeals.
- 2. Because this activity does not fall under the provisions of the Administrative Hearings Revolving Account (#484) or the Local Government Administrative Hearings Account (#180), OAH assumes billings would be recorded under General Fund Private Local revenue (05??) and expenditures would be accounted for within General Fund-Private/Local Dollars (001-7).
- 3. The Department of Commerce (Local) is unable to estimate the number of appeals for violations identified in this legislation.

On average, the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) assumes each appeal is expected to take up to 17 hours of line Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) time. This includes prehearing practice, order-writing, and the appeal.

#### OAH Agency Workforce Assumptions:

- (1) The Governors proposed COLA increases in FY2024 and FY2025, and Collective Bargaining Agreement increases are not included in agency cost projections. Additional funding is required if these proposals are enacted.
- (2) Ratio of 1.0 FTE line ALJ to 0.15 Senior ALJ (SALJ), to 0.6 Legal Assistant 2 (LA2) (Range 40 step L), to 0.25 administrative support Management Analyst 5 (MA5) (Range 64 Step L).
- (3) ALJ salary is based on the ALJ collective bargaining agreement and assumed to be at step L. (Line ALJ-range 70. Senior ALJ-range 74.)
- (4) Benefit rates were analyzed by job class and projected using the latest benefit information available.
- (5) Goods and services, travel and on-going capital outlays were projected based on historical data for each of the job classifications.

Ranges of Total Workload Impact are assumed to be between \$34,000 per FY and \$143,000 per FY:

Assuming 4 appeals per FY are referred to OAH, the fiscal impact is a need for 0.05 ALJ at a cost of \$15,000 per FY. This is the minimum impact OAH would normally identify new costs for.

Assuming 8 appeals per FY are referred to OAH, the fiscal impact is a need for 0.10 ALJ and 0.06 LA2 at a cost of \$29,000 per FY.

Assuming 12 appeals per FY are referred to OAH, the fiscal impact is a need for 0.15 ALJ and 0.09 LA2 at a cost of \$105,000 per FY.

Work activities associated with the enactment of this bill will begin on July 1, 2023.

#### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

Bill # 1424 E S HB

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

### Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number	: 1424 E S HB	Title:	Dogs and cats/consumers
Part I: Ju	risdiction-Locati	on, type or	status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.
Legislatio	n Impacts:		
X Cities:	Cities that provide anim	al control ser	rvices may receive additional fee revenue.
X Counties:	Same as above.		
Special D	istricts:		
Specific j	urisdictions only:		
Variance	occurs due to:		
Part II:	Estimates		
No fiscal	impacts.		
Expendit	ures represent one-time	costs:	
Legislati	on provides local option	:	
X Key vari	ables cannot be estimate	d with certai	inty at this time: The number of violations by jurisdiction.
Estimated r	evenue impacts to:		
	Non-zero	but indeter	rminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.
Estimated e	xpenditure impacts to:		

None

### Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kristine Williams	Phone:	(564) 669-3002	Date:	03/22/2023
Leg. Committee Contact: Kellee Gunn	Phone:	786-7429	Date:	03/17/2023
Agency Approval: Alice Zillah	Phone:	360-725-5035	Date:	03/22/2023
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone:	(360) 584-2207	Date:	03/22/2023

Bill Number: 1424 E S HB Page 1 of 3

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

### Part IV: Analysis

#### A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This legislation will require retail pet stores to disclose certain information to consumers before the sale of a dog or a cat and extends authority to animal control officers to issue civil penalties for violations.

Sec.1 (2) amends RCW 16.52.360 to add certain requirements a retail pet store must meet to sell dogs or cats. These requirements include the location for the sale of animals to consumers, the sources where animals can be obtained, required documentation for animal purchases, record retention requirements, and advertisement criteria.

Sec.1 (3) includes posting requirements for retail pet stores that provide care and space for animals owned by animal control agencies or animal rescue groups for the purposes of adoption by the general public.

Sec.1 (4) establishes a civil penalty of \$250 for violations of this section.

Sec.2 amends RCW 16.52.015 to give animal control officers the authority to issue civil penalties for violations in section 1 of this act.

Sec.3 amends RCW 16.52.310 to remove an exemption for commercial dog breeders licensed before January 1, 2010.

Sec.4 adds a new section to chapter 63.10 RCW which prohibits the use of a consumer lease for the purchase of a dog or cat.

Sec.5 adds a new section to chapter 63.14 RCW which prohibits the use of retail installment transactions for the purchase of a dog or cat.

Sec.6 adds a new section to chapter 31.04 RCW which prohibits the use of consumer loans for the purchase of a dog or cat.

This legislation would take effect 90 days after adjournment of the session in which the bill is passed.

#### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

This legislation would have no impact on local government expenditures.

Clark County provides animal control services for the unincorporated areas of the county as well as the City of Vancouver. Clark County reports that there is one retail pet store that may be impacted by this legislation. The county does not anticipate the need to hire additional staff if this legislation is passed.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

This legislation would have an indeterminate impact on local government revenues.

It is possible that local governments which operate animal services departments, either directly or in partnership with another local government, will see an increase in revenues generated from the civil penalties. However, there is no way to predict the number of penalties that will be issued and collected.

**SOURCES** 

Page 2 of 3 Bill Number: 1424 E S HB

Municipal Research and Services Center (MRSC) Clark County Animal Protection and Control Department

Page 3 of 3 Bill Number: 1424 E S HB