Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1424 P E S HB Title: Dogs and cats/consumers

S-2352.1/23

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of Administrative Hearings	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Total \$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25		2025	-27	2027-29			
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total		
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impac	t						
Loc School dist-SPI								
Local Gov. Other	Fiscal note not available							
Local Gov. Total								

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25					2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	
Administrative	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Office of the													
Courts													
Office of	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.												
Administrative													
Hearings													
	1 00					۱ ۵	1 0						
Total \$	0.0	1 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	ا ۱	

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	cal impact							
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Fiscal note not available								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Administrative Office of	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
the Courts									
Office of Administrative	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Hearings									
Total \$	0.0	<u> </u>	١ ،	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	cal impact							
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Fiscal	note not availab	le						
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

NONE

Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 584-2207	Preliminary 3/22/2023

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: Title: Agency: 055-Administrative Office of 1424 P E S HB Dogs and cats/consumers S-2352.1/23 the Courts Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact **Estimated Cash Receipts to:** NONE **Estimated Expenditures from:** NONE **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:** NONE The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060. Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. Legislative Contact Kellee Gunn Phone: 786-7429 Date: 03/17/2023 Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala Phone: 360-704-5528 Date: 03/21/2023 Agency Approval: Chris Stanley Phone: 360-357-2406 Date: 03/21/2023 Phone: (360) 819-3112 Date: 03/21/2023 DFM Review: Gaius Horton

186,652.00 Request # 254-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1424 P E S HB S-2352.1/23

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The proposed substitute would not change fiscal impacts to the Administrative Office of the Courts or the courts.

The bill would allow address consumer protections with respect to the sale of cats and dogs and prescribes civil penalties.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None. The new civil penalty in the bill is administrative and any appeal would go to the Office of Administrative hearings. There would be no impact to courts or court revenue.

II. C - Expenditures

None.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

186,652.00 Request # 254-1

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number:	1424 P E S HB S-2352.1/23	Title: Dogs and cats/consumer	rs		110-Office of Administrative Hearings
Part I: Esti No Fisca Estimated Casl	mates al Impact h Receipts to:	o but indeterminate cost and/or sa	avings. Please see discussi		
Estimated One	rating Expenditure	s from:			
Listimated Ope		o but indeterminate cost and/or sa	avings. Please see discussi	ion.	
Estimated Cap	ital Budget Impact:				
NONE					
		stimates on this page represent the most), are explained in Part II.	likely fiscal impact. Factors	impacting t	he precision of these estimates,
		w corresponding instructions:			
If fiscal in form Part		\$50,000 per fiscal year in the curre	nt biennium or in subseque	nt biennia	, complete entire fiscal note
X If fiscal i	impact is less than \$5	50,000 per fiscal year in the current	biennium or in subsequent	biennia, co	omplete this page only (Part I)
Capital b	oudget impact, comp	lete Part IV.			
Requires	new rule making, co	omplete Part V.			
Legislative (Contact: Kellee G	ınn	Phone: 786-742	29	Date: 03/17/2023
Agency Prep	paration: Pete Boe	ckel	Phone: 360-407	7-2730	Date: 03/22/2023
Agency App	roval: Deborah	Feinstein	Phone: 360-407	7-2717	Date: 03/22/2023
OFM Review	v: Cheri Ke	ller	Phone: (360) 58	84-2207	Date: 03/22/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Indeterminate fiscal impact. Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) authority to provide services for these appeals is unclear. A change is needed to chapter 34.12 RCW and 34.05 RCW in order to have jurisdiction to handle these appeals.

Section 1 allows Washington State counties to fine retail pet stores \$250 for violations of the section, and states the violation is appealable to the Office of Administrative Hearings.

Violations resulting in a citation include:

- 1. Sold or offered for sale at a different address;
- 2. Selling dogs acquired from someone other than an authorized breeder or broker;
- 3. Selling dogs too young;
- 4. Failing to maintain proper breeder records;
- 5. Improper advertising;
- 6. Improper posting of breeder or other source information;
- 7. Failing to disclose to potential buyers the dog or cat's purchase price and the breeders' inspection reports showing law violations over the past two years.

Work activities associated with the enactment of this bill will begin on July 1, 2023.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Indeterminate cash receipts.

Assuming changes in statute are made to allow OAH to handle these appeals and the counties are billed and pay for the appeals, the revenue would be recorded as General Fund Private/Local (05??) unless a new account is established or the Local Government Administrative Hearings Account (account #180 - RCW 42.41.060) is amended to handle these funds.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Indeterminate expenditure impact.

- 1. Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) authority to provide services for these appeals is unclear. A change is needed to chapter 34.12 RCW and 34.05 RCW in order to have jurisdiction to handle these appeals.
- 2. Because this activity does not fall under the provisions of the Administrative Hearings Revolving Account (#484) or the Local Government Administrative Hearings Account (#180), OAH assumes billings would be recorded under General Fund Private Local revenue (05??) and expenditures would be accounted for within General Fund-Private/Local Dollars (001-7).
- 3. The Department of Commerce (Local) is unable to estimate the number of appeals for violations identified in this legislation.

On average, the Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) assumes each appeal is expected to take up to 17 hours of line Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) time. This includes prehearing practice, order-writing, and the appeal.

OAH Agency Workforce Assumptions:

- (1) The Governors proposed COLA increases in FY2024 and FY2025, and Collective Bargaining Agreement increases are not included in agency cost projections. Additional funding is required if these proposals are enacted.
- (2) Ratio of 1.0 FTE line ALJ to 0.15 Senior ALJ (SALJ), to 0.6 Legal Assistant 2 (LA2) (Range 40 step L), to 0.25 administrative support Management Analyst 5 (MA5) (Range 64 Step L).
- (3) ALJ salary is based on the ALJ collective bargaining agreement and assumed to be at step L. (Line ALJ-range 70. Senior ALJ-range 74.)
- (4) Benefit rates were analyzed by job class and projected using the latest benefit information available.
- (5) Goods and services, travel and on-going capital outlays were projected based on historical data for each of the job classifications.

Ranges of Total Workload Impact are assumed to be between \$34,000 per FY and \$143,000 per FY:

Assuming 4 appeals per FY are referred to OAH, the fiscal impact is a need for 0.05 ALJ at a cost of \$15,000 per FY. This is the minimum impact OAH would normally identify new costs for.

Assuming 8 appeals per FY are referred to OAH, the fiscal impact is a need for 0.10 ALJ and 0.06 LA2 at a cost of \$29,000 per FY.

Assuming 12 appeals per FY are referred to OAH, the fiscal impact is a need for 0.15 ALJ and 0.09 LA2 at a cost of \$105,000 per FY.

Work activities associated with the enactment of this bill will begin on July 1, 2023.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.