Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 5032 SB Title: DUI lookback & sentencing

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

| Agency Name | 2023 | 3-25 | 2025 | -27 | 2027-29 | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total | |
| Local Gov. Courts | No fiscal impac | t | | | | | |
| Loc School dist-SPI | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Other | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Total | | | | | | | |

Estimated Operating Expenditures

| Agency Name | | 2023-25 | | | | 2 | 025-27 | | | | 2027-29 | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total |
| Administrative Office of the Courts | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Caseload Forecast Council | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Department of Licensing | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Department of Health | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Department of Corrections | 1.1 | 1,099,000 | 1,099,000 | 1,099,000 | 6.7 | 3,443,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,443,000 | 7.0 | 3,862,000 | 3,862,000 | 3,862,000 |
| Department of Corrections | In addit | ion to the estin | nate above,there | e are addition | al indeter | minate costs | and/or savings. | Please see in | dividual f | scal note. | | |
| Total \$ | 1.1 | 1.099.000 | 1.099.000 | 1.099.000 | 6.7 | 3.443.000 | 3.443.000 | 3,443,000 | 7.0 | 3.862.000 | 3.862.000 | 3.862.000 |

| Agency Name | | 2023-25 | | | 2025-27 | | 2027-29 | | |
|---------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------|------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts | No fis | cal impact | | | | | | | |
| Loc School dist-SPI | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Other | | | 1,217,275 | | | 1,905,300 | | | 1,905,300 |
| Local Gov. Other | | ition to the estindual fiscal note. | nate above, th | ere are | additional indet | erminate costs | and/or s | savings. Please | see |
| Local Gov. Total | | | 1,217,275 | | | 1,905,300 | | | 1,905,300 |

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2023-25 | | | | 2025-27 | , | 2027-29 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------|---------|-------|-------|--|
| | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total | |
| Administrative Office of the Courts | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Caseload Forecast Council | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Department of Licensing | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Department of Health | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Department of Corrections | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total \$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |

| Agency Name | | 2023-25 | | | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | |
|---------------------|--|------------|-------|------|----------|-------|------|----------|-------|--|
| | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total | |
| Local Gov. Courts | No fis | cal impact | | | | | | | | |
| Loc School dist-SPI | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Other | Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion. | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Gov. Total | | | · | | | | | | | |

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

NONE

| Prepared by: Gaius Horton, OFM | Phone: | Date Published: |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | (360) 819-3112 | Final 4/ 3/2023 |

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 5032 SB Title: Agency: 055-Administrative Office of DUI lookback & sentencing the Courts Part I: Estimates **No Fiscal Impact Estimated Cash Receipts to:** NONE **Estimated Expenditures from:** NONE **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:** NONE The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060. Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. Legislative Contact Ryan Giannini Phone: 3607867285 Date: 12/29/2022 Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkkala Phone: 360-704-5528 Date: 01/05/2023 Agency Approval: Chris Stanley Phone: 360-357-2406 Date: 01/05/2023

177,399.00 Request # 003-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 5032 SB

Phone: (360) 819-3112

Date: 01/12/2023

Gaius Horton

DFM Review:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The proposed legislation creates a new section under RCW 9.94A that allows driving under the influence (DUI) offenders to seek special drug offender sentencing alternative (DOSA-DUI) considerations, in line with current DOSA requirements and enforcement. The effective date of the bill is July 1, 2023.

Section 1 would require the Administrative Office of the Courts to create a new felony judgment and sentence form or amend the existing DOSA felony judgment and sentence form.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Minimal Fiscal Impact. The form changes are estimated to take 20 hours and cost less than \$2,000.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

177,399.00 Request # 003-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # <u>5032 SB</u>

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 5032 SB | Title: | DUI lookback & sentencing | Agency: | 101-Caseload Forecast Council |
|--|---------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Part I: Estimates | | | | |
| X No Fiscal Impact | | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts t | to: | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| Estimated Operating Exp NONE | enditures from: | | | |
| Estimated Capital Budget | Impact: | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | n this page represent the most likely fisca | el impact. Factors impacting | the precision of these estimates, |
| and alternate ranges (if ap Check applicable boxes a | | | | |
| If fiscal impact is gre | _ | per fiscal year in the current bienniu | ım or in subsequent bienni | a, complete entire fiscal note |
| form Parts I-V. | 41 650 000 | | i | |
| | _ | r fiscal year in the current biennium | or in subsequent biennia, c | complete this page only (Part I) |
| Capital budget impa | ct, complete Part l | V. | | |
| Requires new rule m | naking, complete F | Part V. | | |
| Legislative Contact: I | Ryan Giannini | | Phone: 3607867285 | Date: 12/29/2022 |
| Agency Preparation: (| Clela Steelhamme | r | Phone: 360-664-9381 | Date: 01/06/2023 |
| Agency Approval: | Clela Steelhamme | r | Phone: 360-664-9381 | Date: 01/06/2023 |
| OFM Review: | Cynthia Hollimon | | Phone: (360) 810-1979 | Date: 01/10/2023 |

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

SB 5032

EXTENDING THE FELONY DUI LOOKBACK TO 15 YEARS AND CREATING DOSA FOR DUI

101 – Caseload Forecast Council January 4, 2023

SUMMARY

A brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact.

- Section 1 Adds a new section to chapter 9.94A RCW that establishes the Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for Driving under the Influence (DOSA DUI). Eligibility is for DOSA DUI if the offender:
 - Does not have a prior conviction under RCW 46.61.520 (Vehicular Homicide), 46.61.522 (Vehicular Assault), 46.61.502(6) (Felony DUI), or 46.61.504(6)) (Felony Physical Control); and either
 - Is convicted of felony driving or physical control of a vehicle under the influence of intoxicating liquor, marijuana, or any drug under RCW 46.61.502(6)(a) or felony physical control of a vehicle 46.61.504(6)(a).
- Section 1 Additionally, states if the court determines that the offender is eligible and that the alternative sentence is appropriate, the court shall waive the imposition of a sentence within the standard range and:
 - Impose a sentence equivalent to a prison-based DOSA under RCW 9.94A.662 and subject to the same requirements and restrictions in that section if the low end of the standard range is greater than 24 months (Prison DOSA DUI); or
 - Impose a sentence equivalent to a residential treatment-based alternative consistent with this section if the low end of the standard range is 24 months or less (Residential DOSA DUI).
- Section 1 Additionally states the court may order the Department to complete either a risk assessment report or a substance use disorder screening report, or both.
- Section 1 Additionally allows the court to order an examination of the offender by the Department, when considering imposing a sentence under Residential DOSA DUI and states what is required in the examination.
- Section 1 Additionally states that an offender who is eligible for Residential DOSA DUI may be sentenced as follows:
 - Indeterminate term of confinement of no more than 30 days (if necessary) in a county facility in order to facilitate direct transfer to a residential substance use disorder treatment program;
 - Treatment in a residential substance use disorder treatment program for a period set by the court of up to 6 months;
 - 24 months of partial confinement consisting of 12 months of work release followed by 12 months of home detention with electronic monitoring; and
 - 12 months of community custody.
- Section 2 Amends RCW 9.94A.030 by adding a definition for "Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for Driving under the Influence" (DOSA DUI).

- Section 3 Amends RCW 9.94A.190 by requiring confinement for sentences imposed under the Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for Driving under the Influence to be served at a state facility, regardless of the length of confinement.
- Section 4 Amends RCW 9.94A.501 by adding the sentencing alternatives established in Section 1 to sentences in which the Department must supervise, regardless of risk.
- Section 5 Amends RCW 9.94A.505(2)(a) to include the DOSA DUI alternatives.
- Section 6 Amends RCW 9.94A.525 by making a technical correction to the scoring rules for Failure to Register as a Sex Offender.
- Section 7 Amends RCW 9.94A.633 to include the DOSA DUI alternatives.
- Section 8 Amends RCW 9.94A.6332 to include the DOSA DUI alternatives.
- Section 9 Amends RCW 9.94A.660 changing eligibility for Prison DOSA by adding the DOSA DUI to the restriction that an individual may not have received a DOSA or DOSA DUI more than once in the ten years prior to the current offense.
- Section 10 Amends RCW 9.94A.701 to include references to the DOSA DUI alternatives in the requirement for community custody ordered by the court.
- Section 11 Amends RCW 46.61.502 by replacing the 10-year lookback limit for including prior offenses for the ranked Class B felony offense of Driving Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.502(6)) with a 15-year limit (ranked at Seriousness Level IV on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid and as a Category B+ on the Juvenile Offender Sentencing Grid).
- Section 12 Amends RCW 46.61.5055 by replacing the 10-year lookback limit for including prior offenses for the ranked Class B felony offense of Driving Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.502(6)) and the ranked Class C felony offense of Physical Control of a Vehicle While Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.504(6)) with a 15-year limit (ranked at Seriousness Level IV on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid and as a Category B+ on the Juvenile Offender Sentencing Grid).
- Section 13 Amends RCW 46.61.504 by replacing the 10-year lookback limit for including prior offenses for the ranked Class C felony offense of Physical Control of a Vehicle While Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.504(6)) with a 15-year limit (ranked at Seriousness Level IV on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid and as a Category B+ on the Juvenile Offender Sentencing Grid).

Section 14 States sections 1-11 of the act take effect July 1, 2023.

EXPENDITURES

Assumptions.

None.

Impact on the Caseload Forecast Council.

The provisions of this bill will require modifications to the Caseload Forecast Council's adult felony sentencing database. This will require work from a contractor of an estimated 10 hours at a rate of \$120 per hour for a total cost to the Caseload Forecast Council of \$1,200.

Impact on prison and jail beds and community corrections population:

This bill creates two new sentencing alternatives for courts to consider when sentencing individuals convicted of felony driving or physical control of a vehicle under the influence of intoxicating liquor, marijuana, or any drug, and extends the time period that can be considered for the counting of prior offenses when determining the felony-level offenses of Driving Under the Influence and Physical Control of a Vehicle While Under the Influence from 10 years to 15 years.

#101-23-001-1

The Caseload Forecast Council cannot reliably predict bed impacts resulting from the bill as it is unknown how often the court will waive imposition of a standard range sentence and impose either a Prison DOSA DUI or Residential DOSA DUI. However, below is information provided as to give a sense of the impacts.

Lookback Period

Counting "prior offenses" (as defined in RCW 46.61.5055(14)) for determining the classification (gross misdemeanor or felony) of driving under the influence/actual physical control offenses will now be increased to 15 years, rather than 10 years, resulting in more felony level offenses.

<u>Prison and Jail Bed Impacts – Lookback Period:</u>

Data below is based on Calendar Year 2019 data from the Administrative Office of the Courts. At this time, CY19 data is the most current data available for state-wide reporting. One county moved to a locally-maintained case management system beginning in 2019 and some of their data is still unavailable for state-wide reporting. It is anticipated that more recent data will be available in the future. At that time, the population information provided in this fiscal note will be updated.

It is estimated that extending the lookback period will result in a maximum jail bed impact of 19 beds, first reached at 83 months after implementation. Additionally, the bill will result in a maximum Prison bed impact of 151 beds (approximately 21.8% female and 78.2% male), first reached at 81 months after implementation.

However, the estimates below are most likely overstated as they do not include any savings that would be achieved if any of the sentences for this additional population would serve a reduced prison sentence under Prison DOSA DUI or be diverted from prison through the Residential DOSA DUI alternatives.

Average Monthly Population Jail and Prison Impacts SB 5032 Impaired Driving Caseload Forecast Council January 4, 2023

| | | Fiscal Year | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
| Jail AMP | 6 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| Prison AMP (DOSA) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Prison AMP (Non-DOSA) | 24 | 91 | 128 | 146 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 151 | 151 | 151 |
| Prison AMP (Total) | 24 | 91 | 128 | 146 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 151 | 151 | 151 |

Supervision – Lookback Period:

Individuals convicted of Felony DUI/APC offenses have 12 months of community supervision after release, regardless of their level of risk to reoffend. Based on all new sentences that result from the lookback change receiving 12 months community supervision, the bill will result in a maximum Department of Corrections community custody supervision impact of 142 offenders, first reached at 91 months after implementation.

The use of the Residential DOSA DUI alternative would not impact the estimates below as the community custody term is the same under the alternatives as it is following a standard range sentence (12 months).

#101-23-001-1

The use of Prison DOSA DUI alternative may increase the need for community supervision because an individual is supervised for ½ the midpoint of the standard range. As the eligibility for Prison DOSA DUI is limited to those with a low end of the standard range that exceeds 24 months, ½ the midpoint of the standard range would result in a term of supervision exceeding 12 months.

Average Monthly Population Supervision Impacts SB 5032 - Impaired Driving Caseload Forecast Council January 4, 2023

| | | Fiscal Year | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | FY24 | FY25 | FY26 | FY27 | FY28 | FY29 | FY30 | FY31 | FY32 | FY33 |
| Supervision AMP | 2 | 45 | 103 | 123 | 137 | 141 | 141 | 141 | 142 | 142 |

New Alternatives

Under the alternatives established in the bill, the court may either impose a sentence under prison DOSA requirements (½ the midpoint of the standard range in confinement and ½ the midpoint in community custody) if the low end of the standard range exceeds 24 months; or, if the low end of the range is 24 months or less, to a residential treatment option which includes up to 6 months of treatment, 12 months of work release, 12 months of electronic home monitoring, followed by 12 months of community custody.

While the impacts of the establishing the new alternatives are unknown, it is assumed under the Prison DOSA DUI alternative, there would be reduced confinement and added community custody, resulting in prison bed reductions and increases to the Department's community custody population. For sentences under Residential DOSA DUI there may be reductions to confinement, added electronic monitoring, and no impact to community custody as the bill requires 12 months of community custody, which is the same as currently required.

The following tables shows the number of sentences that have been imposed in FY 2019 through FY 2022 for Felony DUI and Felony Physical Control. This is provided to show the potential population that could be considered for the alternatives. In addition, extending the lookback period from 10 years to 15 years will result in additional sentences that could be considered for the alternatives.

Felony DUI Sentences:

| | Prison Sen | tences | Non-prison | Non-prison Sentences* | | | |
|-------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | # of Sentences | % Prison | # of | % Non-Prison | Total | | |
| FY22 | 56 | 44% | 71 | 56% | 127 | | |
| FY21 | 77 | 67% | 38 | 33% | 115 | | |
| FY20 | 101 | 75% | 33 | 25% | 134 | | |
| FY19 | 119 | 74% | 42 | 26% | 161 | | |

Felony Physical Control Sentences:

| | Prison Sen | tences | Non-prison | Sentences* | |
|-------------|----------------|----------|------------|--------------|-------|
| Fiscal Year | # of Sentences | % Prison | # of | % Non-Prison | Total |
| FY22 | 2 | 33% | 4 | 67% | 6 |
| FY21 | 1 | 50% | 1 | 50% | 2 |
| FY20 | 5 | 83% | 1 | 17% | 6 |
| FY19 | 4 | 100% | 0 | 0% | 4 |

^{*} Non-prison sentences may be a result of an alternative sentence, a mitigated exceptional sentence, or other factor.

#101-23-001-1

| Juvenile Impact. There is no juvenile impact, as there has not been a case of felony DUI/APC. |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 5032 SB | Title: DUI lookback & sen | tencing Ag | gency: 240-Department of Licensing |
|---|--|--|--|
| Part I: Estimates | <u> </u> | • | |
| X No Fiscal Impact | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to: | | | |
| NONE | | | |
| Estimated Operating Expend NONE | itures from: | | |
| Estimated Capital Budget Im | pact: | | |
| NONE | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | ure estimates on this page represent the n priate), are explained in Part II. | nost likely fiscal impact. Factors imp | acting the precision of these estimates, |
| | follow corresponding instructions: | | |
| If fiscal impact is greater form Parts I-V. | than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the cu | urrent biennium or in subsequent l | piennia, complete entire fiscal note |
| If fiscal impact is less th | an \$50,000 per fiscal year in the curre | ent biennium or in subsequent bie | nnia, complete this page only (Part I) |
| Capital budget impact, c | omplete Part IV. | | |
| Requires new rule making | ng, complete Part V. | | |
| Legislative Contact: Ryan | ı Giannini | Phone: 360786728 | Date: 12/29/2022 |
| Agency Preparation: Don | Arlow | Phone: (360) 902- | 3736 Date: 01/06/2023 |
| Agency Approval: Gerr | it Eades | Phone: (360)902-3 | Date: 01/06/2023 |
| OFM Review: Kyle | e Siefering | Phone: (360) 995-3 | 3825 Date: 01/09/2023 |

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

This bill amends current impaired driving statutes to change the look back period to 15 years for certain class B and class C felonies for three or more prior impaired driving offenses. The bill also creastes a new Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative (DOSA) for eligible individuals convicted of felony impaired driving offenses.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

There are no cash receipts associated with implementation of this bill. Any increased monetary penalties for persons subject to the provisions of this bill will be collected by the courts.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

This bill is not expected to have an impact on operational or information systems expenditures for the Department of Licensing. The bill may increase penalties for some persons, but it is not expected to increase the volume of impaired driving arrests that could lead to a department hearing. Call center impact is expected to be minimal and could be managed within existing resources.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures NONE

_ _

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures
NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 5032 SB | Title: | DUI lookback & sentencing | Agency: 3 | 303-Department of Health |
|---|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Part I: Estimates | | | | |
| X No Fiscal Impact | | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to |): | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| Estimated Operating Exper NONE | nditures from: | | | |
| Estimated Capital Budget I | mpact: | | | |
| NONE | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| The cash receipts and expen and alternate ranges (if app | | this page represent the most likely fiscal ned in Part II. | l impact. Factors impacting th | e precision of these estimates, |
| Check applicable boxes an | nd follow correspo | nding instructions: | | |
| If fiscal impact is great form Parts I-V. | ter than \$50,000 p | er fiscal year in the current biennium | m or in subsequent biennia, | complete entire fiscal note |
| | than \$50,000 per | fiscal year in the current biennium of | or in subsequent biennia, co | mplete this page only (Part I) |
| Capital budget impact | t, complete Part IV | 7. | | |
| Requires new rule mal | king, complete Pa | rt V. | | |
| Legislative Contact: Ry | yan Giannini | | Phone: 3607867285 | Date: 12/29/2022 |
| | onna Compton | | Phone: 360-236-4538 | Date: 03/29/2023 |
| Agency Approval: Kı | ristin Bettridge | | Phone: 3607911657 | Date: 03/29/2023 |
| OFM Review: Br | reann Boggs | | Phone: (360) 485-5716 | Date: 03/31/2023 |

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

This bill provides additional treatment options through the creation of a drug offender sentencing alternative for driving under the influence and extends the felony driving under the influence lookback to 15 years.

Section 1: Adds a new section to Chapter 9.94A RCW (Sentencing Reform Act of 1981) creating a drug offender sentencing alternative for driving under the influence and outlines an offender's eligibility requirements. Subsection 5(b) directs the Department of Health (department) to establish rules, considering criteria established by the American Society of Addiction Medicine, for treatment provided in a residential substance use disorder treatment facility.

The department has previously established rules that would apply in this situation. This bill does not create any new work for the department and therefore is no fiscal impact.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 5032 SB | Title: DUI lookback & | ookback & sentencing Agend | | | nent of |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact | | | | | |
| 140 Fiscai impact | | | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to: | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | |
| Estimated Operating Expenditure | es from: | | | | |
| | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
| FTE Staff Years | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| Account | | | | | |
| General Fund-State 001-1 | 186,000 | 913,000 | 1,099,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,862,000 |
| | Total \$ 186,000 | 913,000 | 1,099,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,862,000 |
| In addition to the estimate | es above, there are additional | indeterminate costs | and/or savings. P | lease see discussion | |
| The cash receipts and expenditure e | | he most likely fiscal in | npact. Factors impo | acting the precision of | these estimates, |
| and alternate ranges (if appropriate Check applicable boxes and follo | • | | | | |
| If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V. | | | or in subsequent b | piennia, complete en | tire fiscal note |
| | 50,000 per fiscal year in the c | urrent biennium or | in subsequent bier | nnia, complete this p | page only (Part l |
| Capital budget impact, comp | lete Part IV. | | | | |
| Requires new rule making, c | omplete Part V. | | | | |
| Legislative Contact: Ryan Gia | nnini | F | Phone: 360786728 | 5 Date: 12 | /29/2022 |
| Agency Preparation: Malika F | eroz-Ali | F | Phone: (360) 725-8 | 3428 Date: 01 | /12/2023 |
| Agency Approval: Ronell W | 7itt | F | Phone: (360) 725-8 | 3428 Date: 01 | /12/2023 |
| OFM Review: Cynthia I | Hollimon | F | Phone: (360) 810-1 | 1979 Date: 01 | /12/2023 |

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

5032 SB extends the felony driving under the influence lookback to 15 years while providing additional treatment options through the creation of a drug offender sentencing alternative for driving under the influence.

Section 1(1) adds a new section to chapter 9.94A RCW that establishes the Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for Driving under the Influence (DOSA DUI). Eligibility is for DOSA DUI if the offender:

- Does not have a prior conviction under RCW 46.61.520 (Vehicular Homicide), 46.61.522 (Vehicular Assault), 46.61.502(6) (Felony DUI), or 46.61.504(6)) (Felony Physical Control); and either
- Is convicted of felony driving or physical control of a vehicle under the influence of intoxicating liquor, marijuana, or any drug under RCW 46.61.502(6)(a) or felony physical control of a vehicle 46.61.504(6)(a).

Section 1(3) additionally, states if the court determines that the offender is eligible and that the alternative sentence is appropriate, the court shall waive the imposition of a sentence within the standard range and:

- a) Impose a sentence equivalent to a prison-based DOSA under RCW 9.94A.662 and subject to the same requirements and restrictions in that section if the low end of the standard range is greater than 24 months (Prison DOSA DUI); or
- b) Impose a sentence equivalent to a residential treatment-based alternative consistent with this section if the low end of the standard range is 24 months or less (Residential DOSA DUI).

Section 1(4)(a) additionally states the court may order the Department of Corrections (DOC) to complete either a risk assessment report or a substance use disorder screening report, or both.

Section 1(4)(b) additionally allows the court to order an examination of the offender by DOC, when considering imposing a sentence under Residential DOSA DUI and states what is required in the examination.

Section 1(5) additionally states that an offender who is eligible for Residential DOSA DUI may be sentenced as follows:

- a) Indeterminate term of confinement of no more than 30 days (if necessary) in a county facility in order to facilitate direct transfer to a residential substance use disorder treatment program;
- b) Treatment in a residential substance use disorder treatment program for a period set by the court of up to 6 months;
- c) 24 months of partial confinement consisting of 12 months of work release followed by 12 months of home detention with electronic monitoring; and
- d) 12 months of community custody.

Section 2(22) amends RCW 9.94A.030 by adding a definition for "Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for Driving under the Influence" (DOSA DUI).

Section 3(4) amends RCW 9.94A.190 by requiring confinement for sentences imposed under the Drug Offender Sentencing Alternative for Driving under the Influence to be served at a state facility, regardless of the length of confinement.

Section 4(f) amends RCW 9.94A.501 by adding the sentencing alternatives established in Section 1 to sentences in which DOC must supervise, regardless of risk.

Section 5(2)(a)(vii) amends RCW 9.94A.505(2)(a) to include the DOSA DUI alternatives.

Section 6(18) amends RCW 9.94A.525 by making a technical correction to the scoring rules for Failure to Register as a Sex Offender.

Section 7(2)(c) amends RCW 9.94A.633 to include the DOSA DUI alternatives.

Section 8(2) amends RCW 9.94A.6332 to include the DOSA DUI alternatives.

Section 9(g) amends RCW 9.94A.660 changing eligibility for Prison DOSA by adding the DOSA DUI to the restriction that an individual may not have received a DOSA or DOSA DUI more than once in the ten years prior to the current offense.

Section 10(4) amends RCW 9.94A.701 to include references to the DOSA DUI alternatives in the requirement for community custody ordered by the court.

Section 11(6)(a) amends RCW 46.61.502 by replacing the 10-year lookback limit for including prior offenses for the ranked Class B felony offense of Driving Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.502(6)) with a 15-year limit (ranked at Seriousness Level IV on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid and as a Category B+ on the Juvenile Offender Sentencing Grid).

Section 12 amends RCW 46.61.5055 by replacing the 10-year lookback limit for including prior offenses for the ranked Class B felony offense of Driving Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.502(6)) and the ranked Class C felony offense of Physical Control of a Vehicle While Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.504(6)) with a 15-year limit (ranked at Seriousness Level IV on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid and as a Category B+ on the Juvenile Offender Sentencing Grid).

Section 13(6) amends RCW 46.61.504 by replacing the 10-year lookback limit for including prior offenses for the ranked Class C felony offense of Physical Control of a Vehicle While Under the Influence (RCW 46.61.504(6)) with a 15-year limit (ranked at Seriousness Level IV on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid and as a Category B+ on the Juvenile Offender Sentencing Grid).

Section 14 states sections 1-11 of the act take effect July 1, 2023.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The fiscal impact of this bill is indeterminate, assumed to be greater than \$50,000 per Fiscal Year (FY).

Impact on prison and jail beds and community corrections population:

This bill creates two new sentencing alternatives for courts to consider when sentencing individuals convicted of felony driving or physical control of a vehicle under the influence of intoxicating liquor, marijuana, or any drug, and extends the time period that can be considered for the counting of prior offenses when determining the felony-level offenses of Driving Under the Influence and Physical Control of a Vehicle While Under the Influence from 10 years to 15 years.

The Caseload Forecast Council cannot reliably predict bed impacts resulting from the bill as it is unknown how often the court will waive imposition of a standard range sentence and impose either a Prison DOSA DUI or Residential DOSA DUI. However, information is provided below to give a sense of the impacts.

Lookback Period

Counting "prior offenses" (as defined in RCW 46.61.5055(14)) for determining the classification (gross misdemeanor or felony) of driving under the influence/actual physical control offenses will now be increased to 15 years, rather than 10 years, resulting in more felony level offenses.

Prison and Jail Bed Impacts – Lookback Period:

Data below is based on Calendar Year (CY) 2019 data from the Administrative Office of the Courts. At this time, CY19 data is the most current data available for state-wide reporting. One county moved to a locally-maintained case management system beginning in 2019 and some of their data is still unavailable for state-wide reporting. It is anticipated that more recent data will be available in the future. At that time, the population information provided in this fiscal note will be updated.

It is estimated that extending the lookback period will result in a maximum jail bed impact of 19 beds, first reached at 83 months after implementation. Additionally, the bill will result in a maximum Prison bed impact of 151 beds (approximately 21.8% female and 78.2% male), first reached at 81 months after implementation.

However, the estimates below are most likely overstated as they do not include any savings that would be achieved if any of the sentences for this additional population would serve a reduced prison sentence under Prison DOSA DUI or be diverted from prison through the Residential DOSA DUI alternatives.

PRISON IMPACTS

The following are estimated annual impacts for prisons and healthcare direct variable cost (DVC) for ADP impacts below 100 beds and above:

FY2024: 24 ADP X \$6,980 DVC =\$168,000; FY2025: 91 ADP X \$6,980 DVC = \$635,000; FY2026: 128 ADP X \$6,980 DVC = \$893,000; FY2027: 146 ADP X \$6,980 DVC = \$1,019,000; FY2028: 150 ADP X \$6,980 DVC = \$1,047,000; and FY2029: 150 ADP X \$6,980 DVC = \$1,047,000;

The assumptions used to calculate the community supervision ADP changes were provided by CFC for this bill. The CFC estimated that community supervision ADP begins at two in FY2023.

Supervision – Lookback Period:

Individuals convicted of Felony DUI/APC offenses have 12 months of community supervision after release, regardless of their level of risk to reoffend. Based on all new sentences that result from the lookback change receiving 12 months community supervision, the bill will result in a maximum Department of Corrections community custody supervision impact of 142 offenders, first reached at 91 months after implementation.

The use of the Residential DOSA DUI alternative would not impact the estimates below as the community custody term is the same under the alternatives as it is following a standard range sentence (12 months).

The use of Prison DOSA DUI alternative may increase the need for community supervision because an individual is supervised for ½ the midpoint of the standard range. As the eligibility for Prison DOSA DUI is limited to those with a low end of the standard range that exceeds 24 months, ½ the midpoint of the standard range would result in a term of supervision exceeding 12 months.

COMMUNITY SUPERVISION IMPACTS

Total fiscal impact by FY for this proposed legislation for all community ADP impacts is as follows:

FY2024: 02 ADP, at a cost of \$0 and 0 FTE;

FY2025: 45 ADP, at a cost of \$278,000 and 2.2 FTEs; FY2026: 103 ADP, at a cost of \$629,000 and 5.5 FTEs; FY2027: 123 ADP, at a cost of \$901,000 and 7.8 FTEs; FY2028: 137 ADP, at a cost of \$884,000 and 7.0 FTEs; FY2029: 141 ADP, at a cost of \$884,000 and 7.0 FTEs;

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPACTS

Customization of the Offender Management Network Information (OMNI) system is needed to meet the requirements of this legislation. Due to the complexity to complete the development, testing and implementation of the statutory changes, contracted services are necessary in FY2023.

To implement this legislation, OMNI data tables need to be updated to RCW 9.94A.525 for technical corrections.

Cost Calculation Estimate:

IT Application Developer | 120 per hour x 80 hours = 9,600

IT Quality Assurance | \$120 per hour x 40 hours = \$4,800

IT Business Analyst | \$120 per hour x 40 hours = \$4,800

Total One-Time Costs IN FY2024 \$19,200

AGENCYWIDE TOTAL IMPACT

Total fiscal impact of this proposed legislation prison and community impacts combined follows (rounded):

FY2024: 26 ADP, \$186,000 and 0 FTEs;

FY2025: 136 ADP, \$913,000 and 2.2 FTEs;

FY2026: 231 ADP, \$1,523,000 and 5.5 FTEs; and

FY2027: 269 ADP, \$1,920,000 and 7.8 FTEs;

FY2028: 287 ADP, \$1,931,000 and 7.0 FTEs;

FY2029: 291 ADP, \$1,931,000 and 7.0 FTEs;

Assumptions:

- 1. The estimated ADP impact to DOC prison facilities/institutions and/or community supervision/violator caseloads is based on projections from CFC.
- 2. We assume Direct Variable Cost (DVC) of \$6,980 per incarcerated individual per FY to facilitate cost discussions during legislative session for bills. This cost estimate includes prison and health services direct variable costs. It does not include staffing or dollars necessary for staffing needed at the facility outside of the living/housing units. The DVC is calculated by DOC and reviewed and approved with Office of Financial Management, Senate, and House staff each legislative session.
- 3. For illustration purposes only, the average annual, Community Supervision caseload model is \$5,318 per ADP (not including startup costs), regardless of supervised risk level based on the workload model. If ADP impacts are applicable to this fiscal note, the calculated rate per community supervision ADP includes direct supervision and ancillary units, such as Hearings, Records and Training that are directly affected by supervision population changes. The estimate will vary based on risk level of the supervised individuals, which requires different staffing levels. The population trend data used is based on the Risk Level Classification tool and provides a risk level of 42.8% high violent; 27.3% high non-violent; 21% moderate; 7.9% low; and 1.0% unclassified. (June November 2017)
- 4. The DOC assumes that any increase in community supervision caseload will result in an increased need for violator beds. For illustration, the FY2022 average percentage of supervised individuals that served jail time and were billed by the local jurisdictions for violating their conditions of supervision was a rate of 2.0%. The current average daily cost for jail beds is \$112.07 per day, inclusive of all risk levels and healthcare costs. The rate is an average and actual rates vary by local correctional facilities.
- 5. The DOC requests funding for the indirect costs of agency administration, for the purpose of implementing this legislation. The approved agency indirect rate and associated cost of administration are calculated based on the salaries and benefits of staff conducting back office administrative functions, divided by all remaining salaries and benefits.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

| Account | Account Title | Type | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 001-1 | General Fund | State | 186,000 | 913,000 | 1,099,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,862,000 |
| | | Total \$ | 186,000 | 913,000 | 1,099,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,862,000 |

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

| | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| FTE Staff Years | | 2.2 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| A-Salaries and Wages | | 143,000 | 143,000 | 822,000 | 988,000 |
| B-Employee Benefits | | 61,000 | 61,000 | 348,000 | 410,000 |
| C-Professional Service Contracts | 19,000 | | 19,000 | | |
| E-Goods and Other Services | 125,000 | 508,000 | 633,000 | 1,570,000 | 1,682,000 |
| G-Travel | | 8,000 | 8,000 | 48,000 | 56,000 |
| J-Capital Outlays | | 4,000 | 4,000 | 11,000 | 4,000 |
| M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers | | | | | |
| N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services | 42,000 | 167,000 | 209,000 | 515,000 | 568,000 |
| P-Debt Service | | | | | |
| S-Interagency Reimbursements | | | | | |
| T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements | | 22,000 | 22,000 | 129,000 | 154,000 |
| 9- | | | | | |
| Total \$ | 186,000 | 913,000 | 1,099,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,862,000 |

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

| Job Classification | Salary | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT 2 | 46,983 | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS | 68,938 | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| OFFICER 2 - WFSE | | | | | | |
| COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS | 74,216 | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| OFFICER 3 - WFSE | | | | | | |
| FIELD SUPERVISOR | 97,348 | | | | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| MANAGEMENT ANALYST 5 | 91,525 | | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | |
| Total FTEs | | | 2.2 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 7.0 |

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

| Program | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|---|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Administration & Support Services (100) | 19,000 | 22,000 | 41,000 | 129,000 | 154,000 |
| Correctional Operations (200) | 88,000 | 334,000 | 422,000 | 1,007,000 | 1,102,000 |
| Community Supervision (300) | | 240,000 | 240,000 | 1,345,000 | 1,584,000 |
| Healthcare Services (500) | 79,000 | 301,000 | 380,000 | 906,000 | 992,000 |
| Interagency Payments (600) | | 16,000 | 16,000 | 56,000 | 30,000 |
| Total \$ | 186,000 | 913,000 | 1,099,000 | 3,443,000 | 3,862,000 |

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures
NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

| Bill Number: | 5032 SB | Title: DUI | JI lookback & sentencing |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| Part I: Jur | risdiction-Location | on, type or statu | us of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts. |
| Legislation | Impacts: | | |
| | determinate increased chicle cases. | law enforcement c | costs as a result of processing additional felony-level DUI and physical control of |
| X Counties: | indeterminate expendincrease in accepted result of potential ser | liture impact on propleas; indeterminate itences of involunt | ails as a result of new sentencing alternative, increased lookback period; prosecutors and public defenders from processing DOSA DUI cases and potential attention expenditure impact on behavioral health administrative service organizations as a stary treatment at secure detox level; indeterminate increased law enforcement, litures as a result of increased lookback period. |
| Special Dis | tricts: | | |
| Specific jui | risdictions only: | | |
| Variance of | ccurs due to: | | |
| Part II: E | stimates | | |
| No fiscal in | mpacts. | | |
| Expenditur | res represent one-time | costs: | |
| Legislation | n provides local option | : | |
| X Key variab | les cannot be estimate | d with certainty at | t this time: Change in demand for jail beds; number of DOSA sentences handed down in felony DUI/physical control cases; number of potential involuntary treatment sentences |
| Estimated rev | enue impacts to: | | |
| None | | | |
| Estimated exp | penditure impacts to: | | |

| Jurisdiction | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| County | 317,550 | 899,725 | 1,217,275 | 1,905,300 | 1,905,300 |
| TOTAL \$ | 317,550 | 899,725 | 1,217,275 | 1,905,300 | 1,905,300 |
| GRAND TOTAL \$ | • | | | | 5,027,875 |

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Part III: Preparation and Approval

| Fiscal Note Analyst: James Vogl | Phone: | 360-480-9429 | Date: | 01/09/2023 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|------------|
| Leg. Committee Contact: Ryan Giannini | Phone: | 3607867285 | Date: | 12/29/2022 |
| Agency Approval: Alice Zillah | Phone: | 360-725-5035 | Date: | 01/09/2023 |
| OFM Review: Gaius Horton | Phone: | (360) 819-3112 | Date: | 01/12/2023 |

Page 1 of 4 Bill Number: 5032 SB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

The proposed legislation would provide a drug offender sentencing alternative for offenders convicted of felony driving or control of a vehicle while under the influence and increase the lookback period for felony DUI or physical control from 10 to 15 years.

Section 1 would add a new section to chapter 9.94A RCW, establishing the drug offender sentencing alternative for driving under the influence (DOSA DUI). Offenders would be eligible for DOSA DUI if they have no prior convictions for vehicular homicide, vehicular assault, felony DUI, or felony physical control while intoxicated, and are convicted of felony DUI or physical control.

If the sentencing court was to determine that the offender is eligible for DOSA DUI and the alternative sentence is appropriate, the court would waive the imposition of a sentence in the standard range and impose a sentence equivalent to a prison-based alternative (RCW 9.94A.662) if the low end of the standard sentence range is greater than 24 months. If the low end of the standard sentence range is 24 months or less, the court would impose a sentence consisting of a residential treatment-based alternative.

Subsection 1 (5) provides sentencing details for offenders eligible for a residential treatment-based alternative, who shall be sentenced as follows:

- (a) If necessary, an indeterminate term of confinement of no more than 30 days in a facility operated, licensed, or utilized under contract, by the county in order to facilitate direct transfer to a residential substance use disorder treatment facility.
- (b) Treatment in a residential substance use disorder treatment program for a period set by the court up to six months;
- (c) Twenty-four months of partial confinement to consist of 12 months of work release followed by 12 months of home detention with electronic monitoring; and
- (d) Twelve months of community custody.

Section 3 of this bill would amend RCW 9.94A.190, adding the requirement that a confinement term resulting from a sentence imposed under DOSA DUI which has a standard sentence range of over one year, regardless of length, shall be served in a state facility.

Section 11 would amend RCW 46.61.502, increasing the lookback period for counting prior DUI convictions towards a DUI conviction at the felony level from 10 to 15 years.

Section 12 would amend RCW 46.61.5055, updating the penalties for driving or control of a vehicle while under the influence to include the increased lookback period of 15 years.

Section 13 would amend RCW 46.61.504, increasing the lookback period for counting prior physical control of a vehicle while under the influence convictions towards a conviction for this offense at the felony level from 10 to 15 years.

Section 14 would specify that the bill would take effect on July 1, 2023.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

For the assumptions used to create the average daily population (ADP) data used in this note's calculation, please refer to the fiscal note completed by the Washington State Caseload Forecast Council (CFC) for this bill.

Increasing the lookback period for felony DUI and physical control offenses would increase the number of convictions for these offenses, leading to an increased need for jail beds in terms of ADP. The 2023 Local Government Fiscal Note

Page 2 of 4 Bill Number: 5032 SB

Program Criminal Justice Cost Model estimates that the average daily cost for a jail bed is \$145. Given the increased jail ADP estimates provided by CFC, the local government expenditures due to increasing the lookback period for felony DUI and physical control convictions are estimated to be \$5,027,875 through fiscal year 2029.

Fiscal year 2024:

6 ADP Increase X 365 days X \$145 average daily bed rate = \$317,550

Fiscal year 2025:

17 ADP Increase X 365 days X \$145 average daily bed rate = \$899,725

Fiscal year 2026:

18 ADP Increase X 365 days X \$145 average daily bed rate = \$952,650

Fiscal year 2027:

18 ADP Increase X 365 days X \$145 average daily bed rate = \$952,650

Fiscal year 2028:

18 ADP Increase X 365 days X \$145 average daily bed rate = \$952,650

Fiscal year 2029:

18 ADP Increase X 365 days X \$145 average daily bed rate = \$952,650

Total:

\$317,550 + \$899,725 + (\$952,650 X 4 years) = \$5,027,875

Per the CFC fiscal note for this bill, however, these cost estimates for additional jail beds do not account for any bed impacts that may result from a drug offender sentencing alternative for felony driving or physical control of a vehicle while under the influence, since it is unknown how many people might be sentenced under DOSA DUI. As noted below, if some people who would have received non-prison sentences are instead sentenced under DOSA DUI, local jurisdictions may see a reduction in the demand for jail beds, so the potential ADP impacts detailed here may overestimate the costs of increasing the lookback period for felony driving or physical control while under the influence.

According to the 2023 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model, the law enforcement, prosecution and defense costs related to processing a gross misdemeanor DUI can often be similar to those related to processing felonies, so there would not necessarily be cost increases from increasing the number of felony DUI and physical control offenses. It is unknown, however, how many such incidents may occur or what the costs in a given case may be, so impacts to law enforcement, prosecution and defense costs are indeterminate.

The creation of a drug offender sentencing alternative for people convicted of felony-level driving or physical control while under the influence would have indeterminate impacts on local government expenditures. These impacts, however, have the potential to affect a wide range of local government entities.

According to the 2022 Washington State Adult Sentencing Guidelines Manual, the minimum sentence for someone convicted of felony DUI or physical control who meets the requirements for DOSA DUI is 13 months, a confinement term required by statute to be served in a state facility. In combination with the requirement laid out in section 3 of the proposed bill, these sentencing guidelines suggest that all people sentenced under DOSA DUI would be in the custody of the Department of Corrections.

Page 3 of 4 Bill Number: 5032 SB

However, the CFC fiscal note for this bill identifies that 56% of felony DUI sentences and 67% of felony physical control sentences were served in jails in fiscal year 2022, and that these non-prison sentences may be the result of an alternative sentence, a mitigated exceptional sentence, or other factor. If some people who would have received non-prison sentences are instead sentenced under DOSA DUI, local jurisdictions may see a reduction in the number of people occupying jail beds while serving sentences for felony DUI or physical control, and an associated cost savings.

It is unknown, however, how many people may be sentenced under DOSA DUI as opposed to receiving a non-prison sentence. The 2023 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model estimates the average daily cost of a jail bed is \$145.

Both the Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys (WAPA) and the Washington Defender Association (WDA) anticipate no additional costs for prosecutors and public defenders to process a DOSA DUI compared to a felony DUI case. WAPA indicates, however that there may be additional costs incurred if a case has follow up issues or if a person fails to comply with the terms of their sentence.

WDA indicates that there may be cost savings from having a sentencing alternative, as people are more likely to accept a plea when they have such an alternative, eliminating the labor hours required of prosecutors and public defenders to take a case to trial. It is unknown, however, how many people may accept a plea as a result of an available sentencing alternative who would have otherwise decided to go to trial, or how many people may fail to comply with the terms of their DOSA DUI sentence, so any cost impacts resulting from these factors are indeterminate.

The Thurston Mason Behavioral Health Administrative Service Organization (TMBH-ASO) and the King County Behavioral Health and Recovery Division indicate that the sentencing option detailed in subsection 1 (5) (a) of this bill may result in people being sentenced to involuntary treatment at the secure detox level, which would result in increased costs for behavioral health administrative services organizations (BH-ASOs). Some of these administrative service organizations are run by counties or associations of counties, and others are privately operated.

BH-ASOs are required to reimburse Involuntary Treatment Act (ITA) courts for the costs of each filing, which average around \$1,600 per filing, according to TMBH-ASO. In addition, a bed at the secure detox level of care costs BH-ASOs \$663 per day. It is unknown, however, how many people may be sentenced to this option under DOSA DUI or if such sentences may involve ITA courts, so the fiscal impact to BH-ASOs is indeterminate.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The proposed legislation would have no impact on local government revenues.

SOURCES:

King County Behavioral Health and Recovery Division
Local government fiscal note for ESB 5054, 2022
Local government fiscal note for SSB 5573, 2022
Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model, 2023
Thurston Mason Behavioral Health Administrative Service Organization
Washington Adult Sentencing Guidelines Manual, 2022
Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys
Washington Defender Association
Washington State Caseload Forecast Council

Page 4 of 4 Bill Number: 5032 SB