

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1849 HB	Title: Relating to the establishment of a statewide elk management program
-----------------------------	---

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of Transportation	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.											
Department of Fish and Wildlife	2.2	562,000	562,000	562,000	2.0	446,000	446,000	446,000	2.0	446,000	446,000	446,000
Department of Natural Resources	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Agriculture	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	2.2	562,000	562,000	562,000	2.0	446,000	446,000	446,000	2.0	446,000	446,000	446,000

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Department of Transportation	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Fish and Wildlife	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Natural Resources	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Agriculture	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Matthew Hunter, OFM	Phone: (360) 529-7078	Date Published: Final 4/ 4/2023
---	---------------------------------	---

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1849 HB	Title: Relating to the establishment of a statewide elk management program	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Robert Hatfield	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 03/24/2023
Agency Preparation: Jeff Dreier	Phone: 360-705-7254	Date: 03/30/2023
Agency Approval: Eric Wolin	Phone: 360-705-7487	Date: 03/30/2023
OFM Review: Maria Thomas	Phone: (360) 229-4717	Date: 03/31/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached WSDOT fiscal note.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: HB 1849	Title: Elk Management Program	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions, use the fiscal template table provided to show fiscal impact by account, object, and program (if necessary), **add rows if needed**. If no fiscal impact, check the box below, skip fiscal template table, and go to Part II to explain briefly, why the program believes there will be no fiscal impact to the department.

No Fiscal Impact (Explain in section II. A)

If a fiscal note is assigned to our agency, someone believes there might be, and we need to address that, showing why there is no impact to the department.

Indeterminate Cash Receipts Impact (Explain in section II. B)

Indeterminate Expenditure Impact (Explain in section II. C)

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, **complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V**

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, **complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V**

Capital budget impact, **complete Part IV**

Requires new rule making, **complete Part V**

Revised

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this fiscal template represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Agency Assumptions

WDFW’s assumptions: 1849 HB Relating to the establishment of a statewide elk management program By Reichart, Barbara of 477 DFW The Assumptions read as follows: Lead agency assumptions: 1. WDFW assumes that the requirement for statewide elk management program will be met by WDFW’s statewide elk management plan and existing work. 2. Section 1(1) WDFW already has a statewide elk management plan and 10 herd-specific management plans. Each plan was completed through a CEPA plan and covers a 10-year period. WDFW is currently creating the next 10-year state-wide management plan. WDFW will mirror the process for currently identified stakeholders, which means sending federally recognized Indian tribes, the department of natural resources, the department of transportation, the department of agriculture, local governments, agricultural producers, and hunting groups the draft plan for review and feedback. Under the current process, there are no formal meetings or stakeholder groups and WDFW assumes this will not change. 3. Section 1(2) WDFW assumes current efforts to reduce elk-vehicle collisions (i.e., I-90 overpass project, Eastern Washington fencing projects) meets the definition and require no additional costs. New work identified by WDFW and WSDOT via scoping studies will require additional funds. 4. Section 1(3)(d) – WDFW has a state-wide compensation program that includes an expanded definition of “managed lands.” Assume no additional costs related to this section. 5. Section 1(3)(e) – WDFW is already doing some work to move grazing and foraging areas away from agricultural areas. To explore, but not implement, increased foraging opportunities, WDFW assumes one-time costs to conduct a GIS study, work with stakeholders, and provide recommendations to leadership and to be included in the report mentioned in Section 1(4). WDFW assumes limited collaboration with other agencies if needed.

Agency Contacts:

Preparer: Jeff Dreier	Phone: 360-705-7254	Date: 3/29/2023
Approval: Eric Wolin	Phone: 206-240-4497	Date: 3/29/2023
Budget Manager: Doug Clouse	Phone: 360-705-7535	Date: 3/28/2023

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact.

Briefly describe by section number (sections that will change WSDOT costs or revenue), the significant provisions of the bill, and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency.

Section 1, Subsection (2) indicates that the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) must work together to reduce elk-vehicle collisions on highways, initially focusing on reducing traffic collisions along highways within the range of the herds. It also states that WDFW and WSDOT shall implement measures to keep animals off roadways, including, but not limited to, new fencing techniques, lights, signage, and other techniques. This will result in an indeterminant increase in WSDOT costs because there are many herds in Washington, and we read the intent to be that WDFW and WSDOT address all herds. Our current work does not address all herds. Also, the techniques can range from a sign that may cost hundreds of dollars, to “other techniques” such as an undercrossing or overcrossing that can cost tens of millions of dollars.

Section 1, Subsection (2) indicates that WDFW and WSDOT shall scope wildlife corridors and safe crossing locations. Because the department is currently conducting such studies, this will result in no increase in WSDOT costs.

II. B – Cash Receipts Impact

Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

N/A

II. C - Expenditures

Briefly describe the agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), identifying by section number the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings). Briefly describe the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explain how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguish between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 1, Subsection (2) indicates that WDFW and WSDOT shall implement measures to keep animals off roadways, including, but not limited to, new fencing techniques, lights, signage, and other techniques. The use of these and other techniques, including under-crossings and overcrossings, are highly dependent upon the target species, the length of roadway where relatively high numbers of wildlife-vehicle collisions occur, topography, and surrounding land use. Such techniques vary significantly in design and complexity depending on location; therefore, costs are indeterminate and would require expenditures exceeding \$50,000 at each location where such techniques are warranted.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object or Purpose

N/A

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

N/A

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Identify provisions of the measure that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

N/A

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1849 HB	Title: Relating to the establishment of a statewide elk management program	Agency: 477-Department of Fish and Wildlife
-----------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	339,000	223,000	562,000	446,000	446,000
Total \$	339,000	223,000	562,000	446,000	446,000

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Robert Hatfield	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 03/24/2023
Agency Preparation: Barbara Reichart	Phone: (360) 819-0438	Date: 04/04/2023
Agency Approval: Barbara Reichart	Phone: (360) 819-0438	Date: 04/04/2023
OFM Review: Matthew Hunter	Phone: (360) 529-7078	Date: 04/04/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1(1) states that, subject to appropriated funds, WDFW will establish a statewide elk management program. The Department will invite Federally Recognized Indian tribes, Department of Transportation, Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Agriculture, local governments, agricultural producers, and hunting groups to participate in all aspects of the elk management program.

Section 1(2) directs WDFW to work with The Department of Transportation to reduce elk-vehicle collisions on highways within the range of the herds and shall implement locally appropriate methods of keeping animals off roadways.

Section 1(3) requires WDFW to engage in direct wildlife management efforts in the elk management program including hunting, reducing artificial feeding of elk by persons other than the department, managing pastures to help move elk herds out of agriculture, establishing compensation programs in partnership with the Department of Agriculture, and exploring increasing elk forage on public and private lands away from agricultural areas.

Section 1(4) creates a reporting requirement beginning October 1, 2024 and on October 1st of every even-numbered year thereafter.

This bill takes effect immediately.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 1(1) of the bill directs WDFW to establish an elk management program and invite Federally Recognized Indian tribes, Department of Transportation, Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Agriculture, local governments, agricultural producers, and hunting groups to participate in all aspects of the elk management program.

WDFW already has and implements a statewide elk management plan and 10 herd-specific management plans. The Department assumes these plans will fulfill the requirement for an elk management program. Each plan is completed through a State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) process and is renewed every 10 years. WDFW will mirror the process for currently identified stakeholders, which means sending federally recognized Indian tribes, the department of natural resources, the department of transportation, the department of agriculture, local governments, agricultural producers, and hunting groups the draft plan for review and feedback. Under the current process, there are no formal meetings or stakeholder groups and WDFW assumes this will not change. The Department assumes no additional costs.

Section 1(2) directs WDFW to work with the Department of Transportation (WSDOT) to reduce elk-vehicle collisions. WDFW and WSDOT are already conducting projects to keep elk off roadways and conducting studies to scope additional corridors and safe crossing location. WDFW assumes no new costs for ongoing work, however, any new fencing, lights, signage, and other projects will result in an indeterminate cost increase for WDFW.

Section 1(3)(a) requires WDFW to develop hunting opportunities, which will require rule making at a cost of \$2,500 for public hearings and \$1,500 for rule adoption one-time in fiscal year 2024, Object E.

Section 1(3)(b) requires WDFW to work to reduce artificial feeding of elk by non-WDFW personnel or programs. To raise awareness of the impacts of artificial feedings and information on how to properly store feed, WDFW will require \$25,000 one-time in fiscal year 2024 for a public service campaign, Object E.

Section 1(3)(c) requires increased, year-round pressure on elk in agricultural areas, including a monitoring component. WDFW assumes that at least 50 percent of the agricultural areas near or within the 10 herds' ranges will require increased deterrence and monitoring and will require 2.0 FTE Natural Resource Technician 2 (NRT2) beginning in fiscal year 2024. Total salary and benefits costs will be \$135,000 per fiscal year. Each NRT2 will require hazing equipment for \$2,000 per fiscal year, radio collars for a one-time cost of \$5,000 in fiscal year 2024, and a vehicle for \$2,000 per fiscal year, Object E.

Section 1(3)(e) requires WDFW to explore increasing forage for elk on public lands and private lands away from agricultural areas. The Department requires 0.3 FTE Fish & Wildlife Biologist 3 one-time in fiscal year 2024 to conduct a study, perform geographic information systems (GIS) analysis, conduct stakeholder engagement, and provide recommendations to leadership and to be included in the report required in Section 1(4). Total salary and benefits costs will be \$32,000 one-time in fiscal year 2024.

Any other work associated with the reporting requirements in Section 1(4) will be absorbed within existing resources.

Goods and services, Object E, includes \$6,000 per FTE, per year, for WDFW standard costs, which cover an average employee's supplies, communications, training, and subscription costs per year. An infrastructure and program support rate of 33.5% is included in object T and is calculated based on WDFW's federally approved indirect rate.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
001-1	General Fund	State	339,000	223,000	562,000	446,000	446,000
Total \$			339,000	223,000	562,000	446,000	446,000

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0
A-Salaries and Wages	111,000	88,000	199,000	176,000	176,000
B-Employee Benefits	55,000	47,000	102,000	94,000	94,000
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services					
G-Travel	88,000	32,000	120,000	64,000	64,000
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	85,000	56,000	141,000	112,000	112,000
9-					
Total \$	339,000	223,000	562,000	446,000	446,000

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FISH & WILDLIFE BIOLOGIST 3		0.3		0.2		
NATURAL RESOURCES TECHNICIAN 2		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total FTEs		2.3	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Rulemaking is required for Section 1(3)(a), which requires WDFW to develop hunting opportunities.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1849 HB	Title: Relating to the establishment of a statewide elk management program	Agency: 490-Department of Natural Resources
-----------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Robert Hatfield	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 03/24/2023
Agency Preparation: Zoe Catron	Phone: 360-902-1121	Date: 03/28/2023
Agency Approval: Nicole Dixon	Phone: 360-902-1155	Date: 03/28/2023
OFM Review: Lisa Borkowski	Phone: (360) 742-2239	Date: 04/04/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

No fiscal impact, based on Department of Fish and Wildlife's assumptions that the statewide elk management program requirement is met by current practices and future outreach to Department of Natural Resources as a stakeholder will remain the same as current practices.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1849 HB	Title: Relating to the establishment of a statewide elk management program	Agency: 495-Department of Agriculture
-----------------------------	---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Robert Hatfield	Phone: 360-786-7117	Date: 03/24/2023
Agency Preparation: Jodi Jones	Phone: 360-902-1889	Date: 03/28/2023
Agency Approval: Jeannie Brown	Phone: 360-902-1989	Date: 03/28/2023
OFM Review: Matthew Hunter	Phone: (360) 529-7078	Date: 03/29/2023

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

HB 1849 establishes a statewide elk management program, adds a new section to Chapter 77.36 RCW and declares an emergency.

Section 1 (1). Subject to availability of funds, the Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) is required to establish a statewide elk management program. WDFW is required to invite affected federally recognized Indian tribes, the department of natural resources, the department of transportation, the department of agriculture, local governments, agricultural producers, and hunting groups to participate in all aspects of the elk management program.

Section 1 (3)(d)(i). WDFW must engage in direct wildlife management efforts in the elk management program implemented under this section, including the following actions: The department shall establish one or more locally appropriate compensation programs for impacts to managed pasture and fencing in partnership with the department of agriculture.

WDFW assumes that the requirement for statewide elk management program will be met by WDFW's statewide elk management plan and existing work. WDFW assumes limited collaboration with other agencies is needed.

Based on WDFW assumptions, there are no anticipated revenue or expenditure impacts to WSDA.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

No cash receipt impacts.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Based on WDFW assumptions, there are no anticipated revenue or expenditure impacts to WSDA.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

No capital impacts.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.