

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1989 HB	Title: Graffiti abatement
-----------------------------	----------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Washington State Patrol	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Transportation	.1	0	0	25,000	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Transportation	In addition to the estimate above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note.											
State Parks and Recreation Commission	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	0.1	0	0	25,000	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Washington State Patrol	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Transportation	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
State Parks and Recreation Commission	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Maria Thomas, OFM	Phone: (360) 229-4717	Date Published: Final 1/12/2024
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1989 HB	Title: Graffiti abatement	Agency: 100-Office of Attorney General
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Christine Thomas	Phone: 360-786-7142	Date: 01/05/2024
Agency Preparation: Dave Merchant	Phone: 360-753-1620	Date: 01/10/2024
Agency Approval: Leah Snow	Phone: 360-586-2104	Date: 01/10/2024
OFM Review: Val Terre	Phone: (360) 280-3973	Date: 01/11/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

The Attorney General's Office (AGO) Government Compliance and Enforcement Division (GCE) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the division's workload. HB 1989 would require the Washington State Department of Transportation (DOT) to coordinate with Washington State Patrol (WSP) and other law enforcement agencies and prosecutors to pursue legal actions against people to damage state property with graffiti. GCE provides advice and litigation support to WSP for specific programs, and none of those programs would be implicated by this bill. Therefore, GCE would not be impacted by the enactment of HB 1989, and costs are not included in this request.

The AGO Transportation and Public Construction Division (TPC) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload in representing DOT. This bill adds a new section in chapter 47.01 RCW that will require the (DOT) to create a graffiti abatement and reduction program. DOT would need to determine whether there are anti-graffiti products and paints available that can effectively be used on sound walls and other structure surfaces. This bill authorizes DOT cameras to be used in identifying individuals who are damaging property with graffiti and to take legal action against those identified damaging the department or other property with graffiti. This information would be used in coordination with the State Patrol, State Parks, federal and local law enforcement officers, the AGO, or local prosecuting attorney's offices, with the goal of legal action against those identified individuals. DOT would be required to report to the appropriate committees concerning the results of the graffiti abatement and reduction program, including the funding and results of legal actions by December 31, 2024. It is anticipated that little to no legal services will be provided with respect to DOT's obligation to test products and prepare the report to the legislature. There may be nominal advice to DOT regarding the report of individuals to other state agencies and law enforcement. New legal services are nominal and costs are not included in this request.

The AGO Public Lands & Conservation Division (PLC) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the division's workload. The enactment of this bill will not impact the provision of legal services to State Parks (Parks), because the bill in Section 1(1)(c) only mentions that Parks will coordinate with DOT, law enforcement, and the AGO to pursue legal actions against those who have a pattern of property damage by graffiti. PLC assumes such consultations will largely be conducted by the agencies themselves, not involving PLC attorneys. PLC assumes in this analysis that any criminal prosecutions to "pursue legal actions" against such persons with "patterns" of damaging conduct (per Section 1(1)(c)) would be handled by prosecuting attorneys. Therefore, no costs are included in this request.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1989 HB	Title: Graffiti abatement	Agency: 225-Washington State Patrol
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Christine Thomas	Phone: 360-786-7142	Date: 01/05/2024
Agency Preparation: Shawn Eckhart	Phone: 360-596-4083	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Approval: Mario Buono	Phone: (360) 596-4046	Date: 01/09/2024
OFM Review: Tiffany West	Phone: (360) 890-2653	Date: 01/11/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

There is no fiscal impact to Washington State Patrol.

Per section 1 of the legislation, the Washington Department of Transportation (WSDOT) is required to create a graffiti abatement and reduction program. This includes, per subsection 1(c), coordination with the WSP and other named agencies to pursue legal actions against people who have a pattern of conduct of damaging WSDOT's and other property with graffiti.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

There are no cash receipts to the WSP from this legislation.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

This legislation requires WSDOT to work with WSP and other agencies to pursue legal actions against those who have a pattern of property damage and graffiti. The investigation of these crimes would fall within the parameters of our normal responsibilities within the agency.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1989 HB	Title: Graffiti abatement	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
Account					
Motor Vehicle Account-State 108 -1	0	25,000	25,000	0	0
Total \$	0	25,000	25,000	0	0

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Christine Thomas	Phone: 360-786-7142	Date: 01/05/2024
Agency Preparation: Nicole Knudson	Phone: 360-705-7293	Date: 01/12/2024
Agency Approval: Andrea Fortune	Phone: 360-705-6823	Date: 01/12/2024
OFM Review: Maria Thomas	Phone: (360) 229-4717	Date: 01/12/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See Attached Fiscal Note.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Partially Indeterminate Expenditure Impact.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
108-1	Motor Vehicle Account	State	0	25,000	25,000	0	0
Total \$			0	25,000	25,000	0	0

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		0.1	0.1		
A-Salaries and Wages		7,000	7,000		
B-Employee Benefits		3,000	3,000		
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		15,000	15,000		
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	0	25,000	25,000	0	0

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Highway Maintenance Worker 4	74,000		0.1	0.1		
Total FTEs			0.1	0.1		0.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: HB 1989	Title: Graffiti Abatement	Agency: 405-Department of Transportation
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

- No Fiscal Impact (Explain in section II. A)
- Indeterminate Cash Receipts Impact (Explain in section II. B)
- Partially Indeterminate Cash Receipts Impact (Explain in section II. B)
- Indeterminate Expenditure Impact (Explain in section II. C)
- Partially Indeterminate Expenditure Impact (Explain in section II. C)

- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, **complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V**
- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, **complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V**
- Capital budget impact, **complete Part IV**
- Requires new rule making, **complete Part V**
- Revised

	2023-25 Biennium		2025-27 Biennium		2027-29 Biennium	
	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Expenditures						
108-1-MOTOR VEHICLE		\$25				
Total Expenditures	\$0	\$25	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Biennial Totals	\$25		\$0		\$0	
FTEs						
Salary						
Highway Maintenance Worker 4	\$74	0.1				
Annual Average	0.1		0.0		0.0	
Objects of Expenditure	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
A - SALARIES AND WAGES		\$7				
B - EMPLOYEE BENEFITS		\$3				
E - GOODS AND SERVICES		\$15				
Expenditures by Program	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
PROGRAM M		\$25				

Agency Assumptions

WSDOT assumes a partially indeterminate expenditure impact from HB 1989, based upon the direction of implementing a graffiti abatement and reduction program, reporting recommendations to the legislature, identifying individuals damaging property, and coordinating with other agencies and local jurisdictions to pursue legal action against the parties who damaged the property with graffiti.

The fiscal impact assumption is based on employee time to research and test products. There is an assumption there will be a cost attributed to purchasing the product to test, however, this cost is dependent upon the type and quantity of the product being tested.

Agency Contacts:

Preparer: Nicole Knudson	Phone: 360-705-7293	Date: 1/9/2023
Approval: Andrea Fortune	Phone: 360-705-7855	Date: 1/9/2023
Budget Manager: Siri Olson	Phone: 360-705-7542	Date: 1/9/2023

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact

WSDOT assumes a partially indeterminant expenditure impact from HB 1989, based upon the direction of implementing a graffiti abatement and reduction program, reporting recommendations to the legislature, identifying individuals damaging property, and coordinating with other agencies and local jurisdictions to pursue legal action against the parties who damaged the property with graffiti.

Section 1(a) directs the department to create a graffiti abatement and reduction program, including testing and determining whether there are anti-graffiti products available to be used on sound walls and structure surfaces. The department must report back recommendations to the legislature by December 31, 2024.

Section 1(b) authorizes the department to use owned cameras to identify individuals who are damaging property with graffiti and take legal action against those identified damaging the department or other property with graffiti.

Section 1(c) directs the department to coordinate with Washington state patrol, state parks, federal and local law enforcement officers, the attorney general's office, or local prosecuting attorney's offices, to pursue legal action against those identified individuals.

Section 2 directs the department to report to the legislature about the abatement and reduction program, use of funding, and results of any enforcement actions.

II. B – Cash Receipts Impact

N/A

II. C - Expenditures

The fiscal impact is based upon the extensive research and testing WSDOT has conducted on various products on the market and is using anti-graffiti films and paints, as well as sandblasting and power washing, periodically since 2014. As a result of the research on available products and equipment, solutions such as anti-graffiti films for signs have been implemented. In the scenario below, a sample of products currently in use are listed in Part III: Expenditure Detail to provide examples of what material procurement could potentially cost to test similar products. The cost of testing additional anti-graffiti products is unknown because the cost is dependent upon the product being tested and the quantity needed to conduct a thorough test.

Section 1(b) and (c), directs the department to use owned cameras to identify individuals who are damaging property with graffiti and take legal action against offenders as well as coordinate with state, federal, and local agencies to pursue legal action against those identified individuals. However, the department does notify law enforcement if graffiti is caught on camera and the Traffic Management Centers (TMC) cooperates with legal action against the offender. Costs related to incorporating the provisions of Section 1(b) and (c) into the department's responsibilities, specifically involving the review of footage depicting property damage, alongside the pre-existing priorities of addressing traffic incidents and initiating legal proceedings against identified individuals, will be categorized under the Transportation Operations program (Program Q). These costs are excluded from the scope of this fiscal note, as they are indeterminant. It is assumed should there be a change in current operations, there would be an inherent increased cost to meet the intent of this proposed bill.

To comply with the implementation of a graffiti abatement and reduction program as well as reporting recommendations to the legislature (Section 1(a)), the department estimates it would take 100 hours each for two Highway Maintenance Worker 4s to conduct continued research and product testing on jersey barriers and old signage in a controlled environment at a Maintenance facility, which includes work hours to compile the report for legislature by December 31, 2024. This fiscal assumption is based upon the time attributed to the research on the products that have were tested as recent as in 2023.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditures by Object or Purpose

Salaries and Benefits

- Two Highway Maintenance Worker 4s (HMW4) at 100 hours each = \$7,000 (\$72,543 per year/2080 hours = \$35 x 200), plus \$3,000 in benefits. This is the equivalent of 0.10 FTE for FY 2025.

Products and costs already tested:

- Graffiti Film 3M Premium Protective Overlay for signage= \$279.90 to cover 3 signs at \$93.30 per sign (\$3.40 per square foot (average size of sign 73x54 inches))
- Pebble Paint for barriers = \$16,537.52 for 1000 gallons (\$3,750 + tax at =\$4,134.38 per 250 gallons)
- Brick, Stone, and Masonry Remover for walls and tile= \$130 (\$65 per gallon at 2 gallons)
- Pro Industrial Acrolon Paint for walls = \$440 (\$220 per gallon)
- Glass Blasting Media for tile and concrete = \$1,136 per ton

Total: \$18,523.42

Based on the costs of the products already tested, using \$15,000 as a base cost for materials to test in FY 2025.

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

N/A

Part V: New Rule Making Required

N/A

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1989 HB	Title: Graffiti abatement	Agency: 465-State Parks and Recreation Commission
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Christine Thomas	Phone: 360-786-7142	Date: 01/05/2024
Agency Preparation: Robert Ingram	Phone: (360) 902-8615	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Approval: Frank Gillis	Phone: (360) 902-8538	Date: 01/09/2024
OFM Review: Matthew Hunter	Phone: (360) 529-7078	Date: 01/09/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

This legislation directs the Department of Transportation to create a graffiti abatement and reduction program.

Section 1 (1) (c) directs the department to coordinate with State law enforcement agencies to pursue legal action against repeat offenders.

If enacted, this bill would have no fiscal impact on State Parks.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

If enacted, this legislation would have no fiscal impact on State Parks.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 1989 HB

Title: Graffiti abatement

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- Cities: Indeterminate costs for staff time for law enforcement and prosecutors to coordinate with WSDOT on graffiti abatement; costs for additional investigations, prosecutions and defense for additional charges; costs for jails due to new sentences.
- Counties: Same as above.
- Special Districts:
- Specific jurisdictions only:
- Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- No fiscal impacts.
- Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- Legislation provides local option:
- Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: Staff time required for coordination; number of new investigations, charges, and sentences.

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Alice Zillah	Phone: 360-725-5035	Date: 01/10/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Christine Thomas	Phone: 360-786-7142	Date: 01/05/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone: 360-725-5033	Date: 01/10/2024
OFM Review: Maria Thomas	Phone: (360) 229-4717	Date: 01/11/2024

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

Sec. 1 adds a new section to RCW 47.01. The Department of Transportation must create a graffiti abatement and reduction program. The department is directed to coordinate with local law enforcement officers or local prosecuting attorney's offices to pursue legal actions against people who have a pattern of conduct of damaging the department's and other property with graffiti.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would result in indeterminate costs for staff in law enforcement offices and prosecuting attorneys' offices to coordinate with WSDOT on its graffiti abatement program. Prosecutors and public defense attorneys would also see increased costs associated with new prosecutions. City and county jails could see costs from new misdemeanor sentences.

According to the Association of Washington Cities Salary and Benefit Survey, the average weighted hourly wage, including benefits, for a county sheriff's deputy is \$72 an hour, and for a police officer, \$71 an hour. The average hourly cost for a prosecuting attorney, including benefits, is approximately \$134 an hour.

The average cost to investigate, prosecute, and defend a property crime is \$4,887, according to the Local Government Fiscal Note Program criminal justice costs model. The average weighted costs for a city or county jail bed is \$145/night.

Because the total number of increased investigations and prosecutions cannot be reliably estimated, the cost impacts are indeterminate.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have no revenue impacts for local governments.

SOURCES:

2024 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model

Association of Washington Cities Salary and Benefit Survey