

Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 2090 HB	Title: Local boards of health
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Agency Name	2023-25		2025-27		2027-29	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts						
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other	No fiscal impact					
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Department of Health	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	No fiscal impact								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Department of Health	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	No fiscal impact								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

NONE

Prepared by: Breann Boggs, OFM	Phone: (360) 485-5716	Date Published: Final 1/15/2024
---------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2090 HB	Title: Local boards of health	Agency: 303-Department of Health
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

Part I: Estimates

☒ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☐ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
- ☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact: Kellen Wright	Phone: 360-786-7134	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Preparation: Sheri Spezze	Phone: (360) 236-4557	Date: 01/12/2024
Agency Approval: Kristin Bettridge	Phone: 3607911657	Date: 01/12/2024
OFM Review: Breann Boggs	Phone: (360) 485-5716	Date: 01/15/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

HB 2090 modifies RCW 70.05.030 to allow for board of county commissioners to adopt ordinance to reduce the number of county commissioners required to serve on the board of health for nonhome rule charter counties with five county commissioners. There is no fiscal impact to DOH.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 2090 HB	Title: Local boards of health
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

- ☐ Cities:
- ☐ Counties:
- ☐ Special Districts:
- ☒ Specific jurisdictions only: Thurston County (see Summary).
- ☐ Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

- ☒ No fiscal impacts.
- ☐ Expenditures represent one-time costs:
- ☒ Legislation provides local option: Counties may pass an ordinance to reduce the number of commissioners on a local board of health subject to certain conditions.
- ☐ Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time:

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

None

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kristine Williams	Phone: (564) 669-3002	Date: 01/11/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Kellen Wright	Phone: 360-786-7134	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Approval: Alice Zillah	Phone: 360-725-5035	Date: 01/11/2024
OFM Review: Breann Boggs	Phone: (360) 485-5716	Date: 01/11/2024

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This bill allows non-home rule counties where the board of county commissioners is comprised of five commissioners; the option to reduce the number of commissioners on the local board of health to at least one commissioner.

Section 1 would amend RCW 70.05.030 to allow counties without a home rule charter to adopt an ordinance which would reduce the number of county commissioner members on the local board of health, provided that at least one county commissioner is a member.

This legislation only impacts Thurston County. Thurston County's local health department is organized under RCW 70.05.030, which is the only section in RCW 70 regarding the local board of health composition being edited in this bill. Spokane County is also a non-charter county board with five seats, but its local health department is organized as a health district under RCW 70.46. The other counties with a five-seat or more board of county councilmembers or commissioners are all charter counties (King, Pierce, Snohomish, Clark, and Whatcom).

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The proposed legislation represents a local option and would have no impact on local government expenditures unless the jurisdiction elects to pass an ordinance.

If exercising this option, counties without a home rule charter would incur costs to pass an ordinance. The Local Government Fiscal Note Program's 2024 Unit Cost Model estimates these expenses for counties to range from \$648 for a simple ordinance to \$6,394 for a complex ordinance. These costs include costs for the draft ordinances, advisory commission meeting and recommendation, finalized ordinance, publication of the ordinance, and general public information.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

This bill would not impact local government revenues.

SOURCES

Washington State Association of Counties (WSAC)