Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 6210 SB Title: Unlawful detainer actions

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Agency Name	2023	3-25	2025	-27	2027-29	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impac	t				
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.					
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name		2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	
Administrative Office of the Courts Office of Civil	.0 Fiscal n	0 ote not availab	0 le	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Legal Aid													
Department of Commerce	.8	5,250,000	5,250,000	5,250,000	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Total \$	0.8	5,250,000	5,250,000	5,250,000	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	No fiscal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total										

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	
Administrative Office of	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
the Courts										
Office of Civil Legal Aid	office of Civil Legal Aid Fiscal note not available									
Department of Commerce	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	cal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total										

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Gaius Horton, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 819-3112	Preliminary 1/23/2024

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6210 SB	Title: Unlawful detainer actions		55-Administrative Office of e Courts
Part I: Estimates		'	
X No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Expenditures from:			
NONE			
Estimated Capital Budget Impa	act:		
NONE			
subject to the provisions of RCW	mates on this page represent the most likely fiscal im 43.135.060. bllow corresponding instructions:	apact. Responsibility for expenditur	es may be
If fiscal impact is greater to Parts I-V.	than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienn in \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium		
Capital budget impact, co		n or in subsequent blemma, com	piece and page only (1 art 1).
Legislative Contact Samanth	na Doyle	Phone: 360-786-7335	Date: 01/15/2024
Agency Preparation: Angie W		Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 01/23/2024

191,608.00 Request # 091-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # <u>6210 SB</u>

Phone: 360-357-2406

Phone: (360) 819-3112

Date: 01/23/2024

Date: 01/23/2024

Chris Stanley

Gaius Horton

Agency Approval:

ΦFM Review:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would amend Chapters 59.12 (Forcible Entry and Forcible and Unlawful Detainer) and 59.18 RCW (Unlawful Entry and Detainer) to permit superior courts, with the approval of their county's legislative authority, to appoint one or more attorneys to act as commissioners in unlawful detainer actions.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

The bill would have no fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts. It is permissive allowing the superior court in each county to appoint commissioners. It only has impact on superior courts if a county appoints a commissioner.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None

191,608.00 Request # 091-1

Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # <u>6210 SB</u>

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6210 S	SB	Title:	Unlawful detainer	actions		Agency: 10)3-Departm	nent of Commerc
Part I: Estimates	}							
No Fiscal Impac	et							
Estimated Cash Receip	ots to:							
NONE								
Estimated Operating I	Expenditures	from:	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-2	5 20:	25-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years			0.0	1.5		0.8	0.0	0.0
Account								
General Fund-State	001-1	otal \$	0	5,250,000 5,250,000	5,250, 5,250,		0	0
		V	ı	3,230,000	1 3,200,	1		
The cash receipts and e	-			e most likely fîscal i	mpact. Factor.	s impacting the	precision of	these estimates,
and alternate ranges (i								
Check applicable box		•	C			. 1	• .	
form Parts I-V.	greater than \$	550,000	per fiscal year in the	current biennium	or in subsequ	ient biennia, c	omplete ent	tire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is	s less than \$50	,000 pe	r fiscal year in the cu	rrent biennium or	in subsequen	t biennia, com	plete this p	age only (Part I)
Capital budget in	npact, comple	te Part I	V.					
Requires new rul	e making, con	nplete P	art V.					
Legislative Contact:	Samantha I	Doyle			Phone: 360-7	86-7335	Date: 01/	15/2024
Agency Preparation:	Bret Skipw	orth			Phone: 360-72	25-3042	Date: 01/	/18/2024
Agency Approval:	Bret Skipw	orth			Phone: 360-72	25-3042	Date: 01/	/18/2024
OFM Review:	Cheri Kelle	er			Phone: (360)	584-2207	Date: 01/	/19/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Sec 6 creates a new grant program at Commerce and directs those grants to counties with populations greater than 527,000. Those grants are to be used to fund commissioners who will support judges at district or superior courts to process backlogs of unlawful detainer proceedings (including evictions).

Commerce is required to collaborate with the Office of Civil Legal Aid on development and implementation of the grants.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Sec. 6 provides an appropriation of \$5,250,000 in Fiscal Year 2025 for the Department of Commerce to administer a new grant program to assist eligible counties with populations over 527,000.

Commerce anticipates the needs of the following FTE to administer the new grant program:

Commerce Specialist 3 - 1 FTE WMS Band 2 - 0.2 FTE Commerce Specialist 2 - 0.3 FTE

The total administration costs are estimated to be \$261,779, which includes salary and benefits, goods and services, travel, capital outlays, and Intra-agency reimbursements.

Commerce estimates that, of the total appropriation provided in this section, \$4,988,221 will be awarded to eligible counties after the anticipated administrative costs are subtracted.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

	I	F					
Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
001-1	General Fund	State	0	5,250,000	5,250,000	0	0
		Total \$	0	5,250,000	5,250,000	0	0

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		1.5	0.8		
A-Salaries and Wages		131,701	131,701		
B-Employee Benefits		47,507	47,507		
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		15,612	15,612		
G-Travel		3,000	3,000		
J-Capital Outlays		5,000	5,000		
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services		4,988,221	4,988,221		
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	·	58,959	58,959		
9-	·				
Total \$	0	5,250,000	5,250,000	0	0

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Commerce Specialist 2	72,924		0.3	0.2		
Commerce Specialist 3	84,518		1.0	0.5		
WMS Band 2	126,529		0.2	0.1		
Total FTEs			1.5	0.8		0.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number:	6210 SB	Title:	Unlawful detainer actions					
Part I: Juri	sdiction-Location	on, type or	status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.					
Legislation I Cities:	•	ommissione	r positions; potential revenue from grants; costs to apply for grants					
Special Distr			positions, potential revenue from grants, eools to apply for grants					
Specific juri	sdictions only:							
Variance occ	curs due to:							
Part II: Es	timates							
No fiscal im	pacts.							
Expenditure	es represent one-time	costs:						
X Legislation	provides local option	: Counties	s may approve the creation of court commissioner positions					
X Key variable	es cannot be estimate	d with certain	nty at this time: Grant costs and funds will vary by jurisdiction					
Estimated reve	Estimated revenue impacts to:							
	Non-zero	but indeter	minate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.					
Estimated expo	Estimated expenditure impacts to:							
	Non zoro	but indator	minata cost and/or savings Plaasa saa discussion					

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Alice Zillah	Phone:	360-725-5035	Date:	01/19/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Samantha Doyle	Phone:	360-786-7335	Date:	01/15/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone:	360-725-5033	Date:	01/19/2024
OFM Review: Gaius Horton	Phone:	(360) 819-3112	Date:	01/22/2024

Page 1 of 2 Bill Number: 6210 SB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

Sec. 2 amends RCW 59.12.050. In each county, the superior court may appoint one or more attorneys to act as commissioners. The county legislative authority must approve the creation of court commissioner positions pursuant to this chapter.

Sec. 6 creates a new section. \$5,250,000 of the general fund—state appropriation for fiscal year 2025 is provided solely for a grant program to be administered by the Department of Commerce in collaboration with the Office of Civil Legal Aid to assist eligible counties in funding commissioner positions created under RCW 59.12.050 and 59.18.050. Counties with populations greater than 527,000, according to 2023 estimates prepared by the Office of Financial Management, are eligible to apply. No county with a population under 2,000,000 may receive more than \$750,000.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have indeterminate impacts for counties.

The bill would allow county superior courts to appoint one or more attorneys to act as commissioners, if the county legislative authority approved the creation of new positions. Counties creating new commissioner positions would see costs associated with funding those positions.

The eight counties eligible to apply for the new grant program could see indeterminate costs as a result of the legislation. Counties that choose to apply would see costs associated with the grant application process, including staff time, legal review, and approval by the county commission. Counties receiving grants would also see costs to fund the new commissioner positions created.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have indeterminate revenue impacts for counties.

The bill directs that \$5,250,000 be made available for a grant program to assist eligible counties in funding commissioner positions. Counties with populations greater than 527,000, according to 2023 estimates prepared by the Office of Financial Management, are eligible to apply. Clark County, King County, Kitsap County, Pierce County, Snohomish County, Spokane County, Thurston County, and Yakima County have populations greater than this threshold and would be eligible to apply.

No county with a population under 2,000,000 may receive more than \$750,000. King County is the only county with more than 2,000,000 people, and could therefore potentially receive more than \$750,000.

It is not possible to predict which counties will apply, which counties will be awarded grants, and how much the grants will total. Therefore the impacts are indeterminate.

Page 2 of 2 Bill Number: 6210 SB