Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1325 HB Title: Long sentences review

Estimated Cash Receipts

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Office of Attorney General	0	0	564,000	0	0	921,000	0	0	240,000
Total \$	0	0	564,000	0	0	921,000	0	0	240,000

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name		20	023-25			2	025-27			2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	1,558,300	1,558,300	1,558,300	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Office of the Governor	.5	160,000	160,000	160,000	1.0	310,000	310,000	310,000	1.0	310,000	310,000	310,000	
Office of the Governor	In addit	ion to the estin	nate above,there	e are addition	al indeter	minate costs	and/or savings.	. Please see in	dividual f	scal note.			
Office of Attorney General	1.8	0	0	564,000	3.0	0	0	921,000	.8	0	0	240,000	
Caseload Forecast Council	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Department of Corrections	7.1	1,087,000	1,087,000	1,087,000		14,370,000	14,370,000	14,370,000	33.7	9,813,000	9,813,000	9,813,000	
Department of In addition to the estimate above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note. Corrections													
Total \$	9.4	2,805,300	2,805,300	3,369,300	44.2	14,680,000	14,680,000	15,601,000	35.5	10,123,000	10,123,000	10,363,000	

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts			7,698,300							
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other										
Local Gov. Total										

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27	1	2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of the Governor	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Caseload Forecast Council	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Corrections	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Danya Clevenger, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 688-6413	Final 1/26/2024

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number:	1325 HB	Title:	Long sentences review	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Esti	mates				
No Fisca	l Impact				

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

CONTAINE	EX. 2024	TY 2025	2022.25	2025.25	2025 20
STATE	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
State FTE Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1		1,558,300	1,558,300		
State Subtotal \$		1,558,300	1,558,300		
COUNTY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties		7,698,300	7,698,300		
Counties Subtotal \$		7,698,300	7,698,300		
CITY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$				_	

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact	Lena Langer	Phone: 360-786-7192	Date: 01/08/2024
Agency Preparation:	Jackie Bailey-Johnson	Phone: 360-704-5545	Date: 01/24/2024
Agency Approval:	Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/24/2024
OFM Review:	Gaius Horton	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 01/25/2024

189,673.00 Request # 047-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill would apply retroactively and mandate the courts to review an individual's life sentence without parole for an offender who committed that crime between age of 16 and 25.

Section 7 would add a new section stating persons sentenced to life without parole for a crime committed on or after their 16th birthday and before their 25th birthday, "shall be returned to the sentencing court..." consistent with RCW 10.95.030 (as amended in the bill).

Section 8 would mandate this statute apply retroactively.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

Fiscal impact is expected to the Administrative Office of the Court for a Legal Services Senior Analyst (25 hours) to change the Felony Judgement and Sentence forms and the Felony Guilty Plea forms (\$4,300).

AOC STAFF IMPACTS INCLUDE STANDARD COSTS

Explanation of standard costs by object:

Salary estimates are current biennium actual rates at Step L.

Benefits are the agency average of 30.59% of salaries.

Goods and Services are the agency average of \$3,600 per direct program FTE.

Travel is the agency average of \$2,000 per direct program FTE.

Ongoing Equipment is the agency average of \$1,800 per direct program FTE.

One-time IT Equipment is \$4,800 for the first fiscal year per direct program FTE.

Agency Indirect is calculated at a rate of 25.86% of direct program salaries and benefits.

SUPERIOR COURT

Court costs are assumed for 684 cases requiring resentencing. These cases are estimated to take between 8-20 hours each. The fiscal note shows the costs of these hearings in FY 2024 because the bill is retroactive. However, the cases may take more time to move through resentencing. Additionally, clerks assume an additional 90 minutes of staff time for these cases for work outside the court room

State: \$1,554,000 (50% of superior court judges' salaries and 100% of benefits)

County (court costs): \$7,647,000

County (additional clerk costs): \$51,300

Total County: \$7,698,300

NOTE:

All costs were updated on 1/5/2024.

Updated salaries of Superior Court & District Court judges based on data from WA Salary Commission (https://salaries.wa.gov/salary/judicial-branch). Benefits are estimated at 30% of salaries.

Superior, County Clerk, Juvenile Court and District Court Staff salary and benefits were taken from the AWC Salary Survey (https://salarysurvey.wacities.org/reports).

Operating Costs were from the SAO FIT data (https://portal.sao.wa.gov/FIT/data-extracts) with the number of staff divided by the total amount of Services and Supplies from the FIT data.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

189,673.00 Request # 047-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # 1325 HB

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages		973,600	973,600		
Employee Benefits		583,700	583,700		
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services		100	100		
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements		900	900		
Total \$		1,558,300	1,558,300		

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<u>County</u>	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other		7,698,300	7,698,300		
Total \$		7,698,300	7,698,300		

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

City	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Legal Services Senior Analyst			0.0	0.0		
Total FTEs			0.0	0.0		0.0

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

189,673.00 Request # 047-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 3 Bill # <u>1325 HB</u>

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1325 HB	Title: Long sentences rev	riew	Ago	ency: 075-Office o	f the Governor
Part I: Estimates			•		
No Fiscal Impact					
Estimated Cash Receipts to:					
NONE					
Estimated Operating Expenditur	res from:				
	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years	0.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	0	160,000	160,000	310,000	310,000
	Total \$ 0	160,000	160,000	310,000	310,000
In addition to the estimat	tes above, there are additional in	ndeterminate costs	and/or savings. P	lease see discussion	1.
The cash receipts and expenditure of and alternate ranges (if appropriate		e most likely fiscal im _l	pact. Factors impa	acting the precision of	these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and follow	ow corresponding instructions:				
X If fiscal impact is greater that form Parts I-V.	n \$50,000 per fiscal year in the	current biennium o	or in subsequent b	iennia, complete en	tire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less than \$	50,000 per fiscal year in the cur	rrent biennium or in	n subsequent bien	nnia, complete this p	page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, comp	olete Part IV.				
Requires new rule making, o	complete Part V.				
Legislative Contact: Lena La	nger	Pł	none: 360-786-71	92 Date: 01	/08/2024
Agency Preparation: Kathy C	ody	Pł	none: (360) 480-7	237 Date: 01	/19/2024
Agency Approval: Jamie La	angford	Pł	none: (360) 870-7	766 Date: 01	/19/2024
OFM Review: Val Terre	e	Pł	none: (360) 280-3	973 Date: 01	/22/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 2. RCW 9.94A.540 and 2014 c 130 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

- (1)(e) An offender convicted of the crime of aggravated first-degree murder for a murder that was committed prior to the offender's 25th birthday shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement not less than 25 years.
- (2) During such minimum terms of total confinement, no offender subject to the provisions of this section is eligible for community custody, earned release time, furlough, home detention, partial confinement, work crew, work release, or any other form of early release authorized under RCW 9.94A.728, or any other form of authorized leave of absence from the correctional facility while not in the direct custody of a corrections officer. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply: (a) In the case of an offender in need of emergency medical treatment; (b) for the purpose of commitment to an inpatient treatment facility in the case of an offender convicted of the crime of rape in the first degree; (c) for an extraordinary medical placement when authorized under RCW 17 9.94A.728 (1)(c); or (d) for release approved by the board under RCW 9.94A.730.

Section 3. RCW 9.94A.570 and 2000 c 28 s 6 are each amended to read as follows:

(3) A persistent offender may be released from confinement by the board under RCW 9.94A.730 or 10.95.030(3).

Section 4. RCW 9.94A.728 and 2021 c 311 s 19 and 2021 c 266 s 2 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:

(k) Any person convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 25th birthday may be released from confinement pursuant to RCW 9.94A.730.

Section 7. A new section is added to chapter 10.95 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) A person, who was sentenced prior to the effective date of this section, under this chapter or any prior law, to a term of life without the possibility of parole for an offense committed on or after his or her 16th birthday and prior to his or her 25th birthday, shall be returned to the sentencing court or the sentencing court's successor for sentencing consistent with RCW 10.95.030. Release and supervision of a person resentenced under this section is governed by RCW 10.95.030.21
- (2) The court shall provide an opportunity for victims and survivors of victims of any crimes for which the offender has been convicted to present a statement personally or by representation.
- (3) The court's order setting a minimum term is subject to review to the same extent as a minimum term decision by the parole board before July 1, 1986.
- (4) A resentencing under this section shall not reopen the defendant's conviction to challenges that would otherwise be barred 30 by RCW 10.73.090, 10.73.100, 10.73.140, or other procedural barriers.

Section 8. This act applies retroactively to persons incarcerated on the effective date of this section, regardless of the date of the offense or conviction.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

To implement this bill, the Office of the Corrections Ombuds (OCO) requests the following:

Early Resolution Ombuds 1 (1.0 FTE) \$55,000.00/year. Duties will include resolving concerns related to:

- Indeterminate Sentence Review Board decisions release approved by the board under RCW 9.94A.730
- Disciplinary history disqualifying serious infractions
- Resentencing timeline –monitoring retroactive resentencing
- Additional Intake for cases related to persons serving long sentences committed prior to reaching 25 years of age.

Goods and services: Based on average employee costs, the Office requests ongoing funding for supplies and materials, communications and telecommunications services, lease space, training, software licensing and maintenance at \$3,000 per year, per FTE.

Travel: The Office requests ongoing funding for travel associated with these positions at \$6,000 per year, per FTE. OCO has unique needs because the population served is incarcerated. This accommodates frequent overnight travel to prisons and reentry centers around the state.

Capital Outlays: The Office requests one-time funding for purchasing equipment at \$5,000 per FTE.

Shared Service Costs: The Office of Financial Management provides administrative support for the Office of Financial Management, Office of the Governor, and Office of Independent Investigations. These services include IT support, budget and accounting services, facilities support, and human resource assistance. To fund these shared services, each budgeted FTE is assessed an ongoing cost of \$30,000 and 0.22 of an FTE. Based on the average salary for those providing these services, we estimate the cost for a new FTE at \$30,000 per year including salary, benefits, equipment, and support costs.

In addition to staffing, OCO also requests:

- 1. Records retention and records request administrative and software costs associated with the implementation of this bill is estimated at \$23,000.00.
- 2. OCO assumes additional legal services from the Attorney General's Office (AGO) will be required as a result of this bill. OCO assumes this bill may increase the volume of subpoena of records related to litigation against the Department of Corrections from incarcerated individuals and/or their family members that the OCO will receive. This would increase the amount of AGO hours needed to defend the OCO's confidentiality statute. The impact of such litigations is unknown as the number and complexity of cases filed cannot be predicted. Predicting the frequency and complexity of these requests with accuracy is difficult, but we assume the need for additional legal services will average 5 hours monthly (60 hours annually) and will be ongoing. Estimate of \$12,500.00.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
001-1	General Fund	State	0	160,000	160,000	310,000	310,000
		Total \$	0	160,000	160,000	310,000	310,000

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
A-Salaries and Wages		55,000	55,000	110,000	110,000
B-Employee Benefits		25,000	25,000	50,000	50,000
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		39,000	39,000	78,000	78,000
G-Travel		6,000	6,000	12,000	12,000
J-Capital Outlays		5,000	5,000		
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements		30,000	30,000	60,000	60,000
9-					
Total \$	0	160,000	160,000	310,000	310,000

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Early Resolution Ombuds, 1	55,000		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Total FTEs			1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Sill Number: 1325 HB Tid	tle: Long sentences rev	iew	Agenc	y: 100-Office of A General	Attorney
art I: Estimates					
No Fiscal Impact					
stimated Cash Receipts to:					
ACCOUNT	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Legal Services Revolving Account-State 405-1	е	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000
	otal \$	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000
stimated Operating Expenditures fro	om: FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27 3.0	2027-29
Account	0.0	3.0	1.0	3.0	0.0
Legal Services Revolving	0	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,00
Account-State 405-1		,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,		-,
Tota	1\$ 0	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,00
NONE					
The cash receipts and expenditure estimate and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are		most likely fiscal impo	act. Factors impactin	ng the precision of th	ese estimates,
Check applicable boxes and follow con	magnanding instructions:				
Check applicable boxes and follow co.	responding instructions.				
If fiscal impact is greater than \$50		current biennium or	in subsequent bien	nia, complete entir	re fiscal note
If fiscal impact is greater than \$50.	,000 per fiscal year in the		-	-	
X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50, form Parts I-V.	0000 per fiscal year in the		-	-	
X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50, form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,00	0000 per fiscal year in the cur of per fiscal year in the cur of part IV.		-	-	
X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50, form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,00 Capital budget impact, complete F	0000 per fiscal year in the cur of per fiscal year in the cur of part IV.	rent biennium or in	-	-	ge only (Part
X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50, form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,00 Capital budget impact, complete F Requires new rule making, complete	0000 per fiscal year in the cur of per fiscal year in the cur	rent biennium or in	subsequent biennia	a, complete this pag	ge only (Part

Edd Giger

Val Terre

Agency Approval:

OFM Review:

Date: 01/23/2024

Date: 01/26/2024

Phone: 360-586-2104

Phone: (360) 280-3973

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1 - Amends RCW 9.94A.510 by modifying the sentencing grid in accordance with the bill.

Section 2 - Amends RCW 9.94A.540 by providing that an offender convicted of the crime of aggravated first degree murder for a murder that was committed prior to the offender's 25th rather than 18th birthday shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement not less than 25 years.

Section 3 - Amends RCW 9.94A.570 to add a provision allowing a persistent offender to be released from confinement by the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (ISRB) under RCW 9.94A.730 and RCW 10.95.030.

Section 4 - Amends RCW 9.94A.728 to allow release from confinement pursuant to RCW 9.94A.730 for any person convicted of crimes committed prior to the person's 25th rather than 18th birthday.

Section 5 - Amends RCW 9.94A.730 to allow a person convicted of a crime committed prior to the person's 25th rather than 18th birthday to petition the ISRB for early release after serving at least 15 rather than 20 years.

Section 6 - Amends RCW 10.95.030 by providing that an offender convicted of the crime of aggravated first degree murder for a murder that was committed prior to the offender's 25th rather than 16th birthday shall be sentenced to a term of total confinement not less than 25 years.

Section 7 - New section. Requires resentencing for a person who was sentenced prior to the effective date of the section to a term of life without the possibility of parole for an offense committed on or after his or her 16th birthday and prior to his or her 25th birthday.

Section 8 - New section. Makes the act apply retroactively.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Cash receipts are assumed to equal the Legal Services Revolving Account (LSRA) cost estimates. These will be billed through the revolving account to the client agency.

The client agency is the Department of Corrections (DOC). The Attorney General's Office (AGO) will bill all clients for legal services rendered.

These cash receipts represent the AGO's authority to bill and are not a direct appropriation to the AGO. The direct appropriation is reflected in the client agencies' fiscal note. Appropriation authority is necessary in the AGO budget.

AGO AGENCY ASSUMPTIONS:

DOC will be billed for non-King County rates:

FY 2025 and FY 2026: \$564,000 for 1.9 Assistant Attorney General FTE (AAG) and 1.0 Paralegal 1 FTE (PL1)

FY 2027: \$357,000 for 1.2 AAG and 0.6 PL1

FY 2028 and in each FY thereafter: \$120,000 for 0.4 AAG and 0.2 PL1

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Attorney General's Office (AGO) Agency Assumptions:

This bill is assumed effective 90 days after the end of the 2024 legislative session.

Location of staffing is assumed to be in non-King County office buildings.

Total workload impact in this request includes standard assumption costs for goods & services, travel, and capital outlays for all FTE identified.

Agency administration support FTE are included in the tables. The Management Analyst 5 FTE (MA), is used as a representative classification. An example ratio is for every 1.0 Assistant Attorney General FTE (AAG), the AGO includes 0.5 Paralegal 1 FTE (PL1) and 0.4 MA.

1. Assumptions for the AGO Corrections Division (COR) Legal Services for the Department of Corrections (DOC):

The AGO will bill DOC for legal services based on the enactment of this bill. There are 534 individuals in DOC custody who would be eligible to petition the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (ISRB) for release under this bill in the first year after its effective date. There would be approximately 50 individuals who would become eligible each subsequent year. ISRB would hold approximately 290 hearings on petitions for release under the bill during the first two years, 180 the third year, and 55 each year thereafter. The ISRB would deny release with respect to at least 50 percent of petitions filed under the bill. Conservatively, 60 percent of individuals denied release would file Personal Restraint Petitions (PRP) challenging ISRB's decision. This would result in an additional PRP workload of 87 new cases in FY 2025 and FY 2026, 54 new cases in FY 2027, 15 new cases in FY 2028 and each FY thereafter. The PRPs resulting from this bill would require, on average, 40 hours of AAG time for each petition, including reviewing the petition, preparing a response, oral argument before the Court of Appeals in cases not decided on during briefs, and responding to requests for discretionary review by the Washington Supreme Court. There will be ongoing legal advice to the ISRB regarding implementation of this bill and the ISRB's consideration with respect to petitions filed. COR estimates this legal advice to average eight hours per month or 96 hours annually.

During FY 2025, DOC and will require 1.9 AAG for responding to PRPs, including petition review, drafting response, potential oral argument, and requests for reconsideration or review by the Washington Supreme Court. Legal advice to ISRB concerning bill requirements and legal issues raised by petitions. During FY 2026, DOC will require 1.9 AAG for responding to PRPs, including petition review, drafting response, potential oral argument, and requests for reconsideration or review by the Washington Supreme Court. Legal advice to ISRB concerning bill requirements and legal issues raised by petitions. During FY 2027, DOC will require 1.2 AAG for responding to PRPs, including petition review, drafting response, potential oral argument, and requests for reconsideration or review by the Washington Supreme Court. Legal advice to ISRB concerning raised by petitions. During FY 2028 and each fiscal year thereafter, DOC will require 0.4 AAG for responding to PRPs, including petition review, drafting response, potential oral argument, and requests for reconsideration or review by the Washington Supreme Court. Legal advice to ISRB concerning legal issues raised by petitions.

COR: Total non-King County workload impact:

FY 2025 and FY 2026: \$564,000 for 1.9 AAG and 0.3 PL1

FY 2027: \$357,000 for 1.2 AAG and 0.6 PL1

FY 2028 and in each fiscal year thereafter: \$120,000 for 0.4 AAG and 0.2 PL1

2. The AGO Criminal Justice Division (CRJ) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the division's workload. The enactment of this bill will not impact the provision of legal services by CRJ. CRJ prosecutes

criminal cases that would be subject to the provisions of this bill were it enacted. The bill provides that persons under the age of 25 cannot be sentenced to life without possibility of parole. The bill further provides that persons previously serving a sentence of life without possibility of parole or release under the Three Strikes Law would now be eligible for parole and their sentences could be reviewed by ISRB. All persons previously sentenced and subject to these provisions are entitled to be resentenced. Unlike the county prosecutors, CRJ has very few such cases and resentencing of such individuals are nominal and costs are not included in this request.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
405-1	Legal Services	State	0	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000
	Revolving Account						
		Total \$	0	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		3.6	1.8	3.0	0.8
A-Salaries and Wages		385,000	385,000	628,000	162,000
B-Employee Benefits		117,000	117,000	191,000	50,000
E-Goods and Other Services		59,000	59,000	97,000	26,000
G-Travel		3,000	3,000	5,000	2,000
Total \$	0	564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Assistant Attorney General	129,100		1.9	1.0	1.6	0.4
Management Analyst 5	95,184		0.7	0.4	0.6	0.2
Paralegal 1	69,072		1.0	0.5	0.8	0.2
Total FTEs			3.6	1.8	3.0	0.8

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Corrections Division (COR)		564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000
Total \$		564,000	564,000	921,000	240,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1325 HB	Title:	Long sentences review	Age	ency: 101-Caseload Forecast Council	t
Part I: Estimates	<u> </u>		•		
X No Fiscal Impact					
Estimated Cash Receipts to	0:				
NONE					
Estimated Operating Expo	enditures from:				
Estimated Capital Budget	Impact:				
NONE					
The cash receipts and expending and alternate ranges (if app		this page represent the most likely fisca ined in Part II	l impact. Factors impa	cting the precision of these estin	nates,
Check applicable boxes a					
If fiscal impact is great form Parts I-V.	ater than \$50,000 p	per fiscal year in the current bienniu	m or in subsequent bi	ennia, complete entire fiscal	note
	s than \$50,000 per	fiscal year in the current biennium	or in subsequent bien	nia, complete this page only	(Part I
Capital budget impac	t, complete Part IV	V.			
Requires new rule ma	aking, complete Pa	nrt V.			
Legislative Contact: L	ena Langer		Phone: 360-786-719	92 Date: 01/08/2024	
Agency Preparation: C	Clela Steelhammer		Phone: 360-664-938	81 Date: 01/10/2024	
Agency Approval: C	Clela Steelhammer		Phone: 360-664-938	81 Date: 01/10/2024	_
OFM Review:	Danya Clevenger		Phone: (360) 688-64	413 Date: 01/12/2024	

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

HB 1325

LONG SENTENCE REVIEW

101 – Caseload Forecast Council January 9, 2024

SUMMARY

A brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact.

- Section 1 Amends RCW 9.94A.510, Serious Level XVI of the adult felony sentencing grid, by specifying that the mandatory life without parole/death penalty applies to offenders at or over the age of 25 and a standard range term of confinement of 25 years to life applies for offenders under the age of 25.
- Section 2 Amends RCW 9.94A.540, Mandatory Minimum Terms, by amending the mandatory minimum term of confinement of 25 years for aggravated first degree murder to apply to offenses committed by a person prior to his/her 25th birthday, rather than their 18th birthday.
- Section 3 Amends RCW 9.94A.570, Persistent Offenders, by allowing release of persistent offenders by the Board, if qualified under RCW 9.94A.730 or 10.95.030(3).
- Section 4 Amends RCW 9.94A.728, Release Prior to Expiration of Sentence, by amending the age that a person may be released under RCW 9.94A.730, to crimes committed prior to the person's 25th birthday.
- Section 5 Amends RCW 9.94A.730 by changing the eligibility for potential release by the Board from persons convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 18th birthday and have served at least 20 years, to persons convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 25th birthday and have served at least 15 years.
- Section 6 Amends RCW 10.95.030, Sentences for Aggravated First Degree Murder, by amending the standard range term of confinement of 25 years to life for persons under the age of 16 at the time of the offense, to persons under the age of 25; and removes sentencing requirements for individuals that are 16 or 17 years of age.
- Section 7 Adds a new section to chapter 10.95 RCW, Capital Punishment Aggravated First Degree Murder, that requires a person sentenced prior to the effective date of this section for an offense under this chapter committed on or after the person's 16th birthday and prior to 25th birthday, to be returned to the sentencing court for sentencing consistent with RCW 10.95.030.
- Section 8 Adds a new section that states the act applies retroactively to persons incarcerated on the effective date of the act, regardless of the date of offense or conviction.
- Section 9 Adds a new section that states the act does not create any right or entitlement for release.

EXPENDITURES

Assumptions.

None.

Impact on the Caseload Forecast Council.

None.

Impact Summary

This bill:

- Modifies sentencing for aggravated first degree murder committed by individuals under 25 years of age at the time of the offense; and
- Amends procedures for the petition for release of certain individuals by raising the age of eligibility to under age 25 and reduces the time of confinement that must be served prior to early release to a minimum of 15 years.

The provisions in this bill are both prospective and retrospective in nature. The Caseload Forecast Council is equipped to supply only prospective analyses and, as such, defers to the Department of Corrections for the retrospective provisions of the bill. Additionally, the Caseload Forecast Council's prospective analyses estimate bed impacts for a 20-year period. Since the prospective provisions of the bill require individuals sentenced for aggravated first degree murder committed under the age of 25 to serve an absolute minimum term of 25 years in total confinement, and individuals sentenced for one or more crimes committed under the age of 25 to serve an absolute minimum term of 15 years in total confinement, any bed impact resulting from the bill is beyond the Caseload Forecast Council's analysis period.

Impact on prison and jail beds.

In Fiscal Year 2023, were 4 sentences for aggravated first degree murder where the person was 18-24 years of age at the time of the offense and the person received a life without parole sentence. There were 62 sentences (56 regular prison sentences and 6 determinate-plus sentences) of 15 years or greater for individuals who were 18-24 years of age at the time of the offense. Some individuals may release prior to serving 15 years, depending on the amount of earned time awarded and the sentence length. Any early release under the provisions of the bill would reduce average daily population of prison beds.

This bill has no impact on jail beds.

Impact on local and Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) beds.

This bill has no impact on local detention or JR beds.

Impact on Supervision Caseload.

Anyone released by the Board under the provisions of this bill could be supervised for life. The Board has authority to set the period of time an individual released by Board shall be supervised by the Department of Corrections. While CFC has no information about how many individuals would be released by the Board under the provisions of the bill, any releases may result in an increased need for DOC community supervision resources.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1325 HB	Title:	Long sentences review	Agency	307-Department of Children, Youth, and Families
Part I: Estimates				
X No Fiscal Impact				
_				
Estimated Cash Receipts to):			
NONE				
Estimated Operating Expe NONE	nditures from:			
Estimated Capital Budget I	mpact:			
NONE				
The cash receipts and expen and alternate ranges (if app		this page represent the most likely fisca tined in Part II.	l impact. Factors impacting	the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes as	• •			
	iter than \$50,000 j	per fiscal year in the current bienniu	ım or in subsequent bienni	a, complete entire fiscal note
form Parts I-V.	4 070 000	C 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 (d'
If fiscal impact is less	than \$50,000 per	fiscal year in the current biennium	or in subsequent biennia,	complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact	t, complete Part IV	V.		
Requires new rule ma	king, complete Pa	art V.		
Legislative Contact: Le	ena Langer		Phone: 360-786-7192	Date: 01/08/2024
Agency Preparation: Ja	y Treat		Phone: 360-556-6313	Date: 01/12/2024
Agency Approval: Sa	arah Emmans		Phone: 360-628-1524	Date: 01/12/2024
OFM Review: D	anya Clevenger		Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 01/12/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

This bill modifies sentencing for aggravated first degree murder committed by individuals under age 25 at the time of the offense, and amends procedures for the petition for release by raising the age of eligibility to under age 25 and reduces the time of confinement that must be served prior to early release to a minimum of 15 years.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

No impact to the Department of Children, Youth, and Families. Impact will be to Department of Corrections (DOC). Any reduced sentences would only affect DOC since the sentences for these crimes are served at DOC.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

None

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

	Title: Long sentences re	view	Ago	ency: 310-Departm Corrections	ent of
Part I: Estimates	•				
No Fiscal Impact					
Estimated Cash Receipts to:					
NONE					
Estimated Operating Expenditure					
ETE C. COV	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years Account	0.0	14.1	7.1	40.2	33.7
General Fund-State 001-1	0	1,087,000	1,087,000	14,370,000	9,813,000
	Total \$ 0	1,087,000	1,087,000	14,370,000	9,813,000
In addition to the estimate	es above, there are additional	ndeterminate costs	and/or savings. P	lease see discussion	
The cash receipts and expenditure es	stimates on this page represent th	e most likely fîscal in	npact. Factors impa	acting the precision of	these estimates,
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.	e most likely fîscal in	npact. Factors impa	acting the precision of	these estimates,
and alternate ranges (if appropriate) Check applicable boxes and follo), are explained in Part II. w corresponding instructions:				
and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II. w corresponding instructions:				
and alternate ranges (if appropriate) Check applicable boxes and follo X If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.), are explained in Part II. w corresponding instructions:	current biennium	or in subsequent b	iennia, complete en	tire fiscal note
and alternate ranges (if appropriate) Check applicable boxes and follo X If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.	w corresponding instructions: a \$50,000 per fiscal year in the 50,000 per fiscal year in the corresponding instructions:	current biennium	or in subsequent b	iennia, complete en	tire fiscal note
and alternate ranges (if appropriate) Check applicable boxes and follo X If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$5	y, are explained in Part II. w corresponding instructions: a \$50,000 per fiscal year in the 50,000 per fiscal year in the culter.	current biennium	or in subsequent b	iennia, complete en	tire fiscal note
and alternate ranges (if appropriate) Check applicable boxes and follow X If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$5	w corresponding instructions: \$50,000 per fiscal year in the 50,000 per fiscal year in the culete Part IV.	current biennium	or in subsequent b	iennia, complete en	tire fiscal note page only (Part I)
and alternate ranges (if appropriate) Check applicable boxes and follow If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$5 Capital budget impact, complements are rule making, complements.	w corresponding instructions: s \$50,000 per fiscal year in the fo,000 per fiscal year in the collete Part IV. complete Part V.	current biennium	or in subsequent b in subsequent bier	iennia, complete entinia, complete this p Date: 01/	tire fiscal note sage only (Part I)

Danya Clevenger

OFM Review:

Date: 01/26/2024

Phone: (360) 688-6413

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

HB 1325 is an act relating to allowing qualifying persons serving long sentences committed prior to reaching 25 years of age to seek review for possible release from incarceration.

Section 1 amends RCW 9.94A.510, Serious Level XVI of the adult felony sentencing grid, by specifying that the mandatory life without parole/death penalty applies to offenders at or over the age of 25 and a standard range term of confinement of 25 years to life applies for offenders under the age of 25.

Section 2 amends RCW 9.94A.540, Mandatory Minimum Terms, by amending the mandatory minimum term of confinement of 25 years for aggravated first degree murder to apply to offenses committed by a person prior to his/her 25th birthday, rather than their 18th birthday.

Section 3 amends RCW 9.94A.570, Persistent Offenders, by allowing release of persistent offenders by the Board, if qualified under RCW 9.94A.730 or 10.95.030(3).

Section 4 amends RCW 9.94A.728, Release Prior to Expiration of Sentence, by amending the age that a person may be released under RCW 9.94A.730, to crimes committed prior to the person's 25th birthday.

Section 5 amends RCW 9.94A.730 by changing the eligibility for potential release by the Board from persons convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 18th birthday and have served at least 20 years, to persons convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 25th birthday and have served at least 15 years.

Section 6 amends RCW 10.95.030, Sentences for Aggravated First Degree Murder, by amending the standard range term of confinement of 25 years to life for persons under the age of 16 at the time of the offense, to persons under the age of 25; and removes sentencing requirements for individuals that are 16 or 17 years of age.

Section 7 adds a new section to chapter 10.95 RCW, Capital Punishment – Aggravated First Degree Murder, that requires a person sentenced prior to the effective date of this section for an offense under this chapter committed on or after the person's 16th birthday and prior to 25th birthday, to be returned to the sentencing court for sentencing consistent with RCW 10.95.030.

Section 8 adds a new section that states the act applies retroactively to persons incarcerated on the effective date of the act, regardless of the date of offense or conviction.

Section 9 adds a new section that states the act does not create any right or entitlement for release.

Effective date is assumed 90 days after adjournment of the session in which this bill is passed.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

We assume this bill will have a fiscal impact to Department of Corrections (DOC) greater than \$50,000 per Fiscal Year (FY).

HB 1325 modifies sentencing for aggravated first degree murder committed by individuals under 25 years of age at the time of the offense and amends procedures for the petition for release of certain individuals by raising the age of eligibility to under age 25 and reduces the time of confinement that must be served prior to early release to a minimum of 15 years.

Prospective Impact

The Caseload Forecast Council's prospective analyses estimate bed impacts for a 20-year period. Since the prospective provisions of the bill require individuals sentenced for aggravated first degree murder committed under the age of 25 to serve an absolute minimum term of 25 years in total confinement, and individuals sentenced for one or more crimes committed under the age of 25 to serve an absolute minimum term of 15 years in total confinement, any bed impact resulting from the bill is beyond the Caseload Forecast Council's analysis period.

In FY 2023, were 4 sentences for aggravated first degree murder where the person was 18-24 years of age at the time of the offense and the person received a life without parole sentence. There were 62 sentences (56 regular prison sentences and 6 determinate-plus sentences) of 15 years or greater for individuals who were 18-24 years of age at the time of the offense. Some individuals may release prior to serving 15 years, depending on the amount of earned time awarded and the sentence length. Any early release under the provisions of the bill would reduce average daily population of prison beds.

Anyone released by the Board under the provisions of this bill could be supervised for life. The Board has authority to set the period of time an individual released by Board shall be supervised by the Department of Corrections. While CFC has no information about how many individuals would be released by the Board under the provisions of the bill, any releases may result in an increased need for DOC community supervision resources.

Retrospective Impact

Retrospectively, the proposed legislation impacts DOC in two ways. First, there are currently 105 incarcerated individuals with an active or future count committed between the ages of 16 and 24 and a sentence of Life without the Possibility of Parole (LWOP). Under the proposed legislation these individuals would be eligible for resentencing. The DOC does not have the capability to predict how often or in what circumstances the courts would exercise their sentencing authority, therefore, the fiscal impact for this proposed legislation is indeterminate.

Secondly, the proposed legislation changes the eligibility for potential release by the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (ISRB) from persons convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 18th birthday and have served at least 20 years, to persons convicted of one or more crimes committed prior to the person's 25th birthday and have served at least 15 years as well as persistent offenders. Currently, 534 incarcerated individuals would be eligible to petition ISRB for a hearing with an average of 80 individuals each consecutive year. These costs can be calculated and are as follows:

ISRB Staffing

Currently, the ISRB processes approximately 350 hearings per year. Adding an additional 534 hearings, with an average of 80 individuals each consecutive year, will significantly increase workload. The ISRB would require additional staffing to process the hearings:

- 1.0 Records Manager FTE needed to supervise the Correctional Records Technicians.
- 4.0 Correctional Records Technicians FTEs are needed to ensure the incarcerated individual have the ability to petition for length of time served, establish an electronic file, obtain records, and create a docket.

- 2.0 Office Assistant 3 FTE is needed to assist the record unit. To provide records to prosecutors, courts and victims upon requests, and public disclosure requests when requested. There are several functions that are various administrative required to be done by an Office Assistant 3.
- 2.0 Program Specialist 3 FTE will notify victims/survivors of the person's eligibility to petition which may require intensive work related to trauma surrounding complex cases, and meeting with the victims/survivors.
- 1.0 Investigator Supervisor FTE to supervise the investigators.
- 4.0 Investigator 3 FTEs will review the eligibility by reviewing DOC databases, responding to inquiries about eligibility from incarcerated individuals, family members, and attorneys, while completing the analysis of the case for the Board Members review prior to the hearing.
- 3.0 Board Member FTEs will be responsible for holding release hearings and determining whether someone is releasable from prison. In addition, they preside over violation hearings for people under community custody to determine if they should be returned to prison. They also apply conditions of supervision, are on appeal panels for revocation sanctions, approve release plans and respond to administrative actions that have to do with individuals in prison and in the community. They complete approximately 400 release hearings a year and 175 violation hearings a year.

We assumed a staffing phase-in of six months in FY 2025 due to hiring, training, and hearing preparation. Staffing cost includes startup costs (workstation and chair) and ongoing costs (staff training, office supplies, durable goods, leased vehicles, cell phones and leased personal computers.

We assumed a hearing phase-in of approximately 12 months will be needed for staff implementation, notification, psychological assessments, and scheduling of hearings.

Health Services Psychological Evaluations

Two additional Psychologist 4's will be needed for preparation of psychological evaluations if 534 individuals meet eligibility requirements. Those individuals are required to have a psychological evaluation per statute completed by DOC. Currently DOC uses DOC psychologists to complete those evaluations. An Office Assistant 3 is needed to schedule and facilitate the coordination of the psychological evaluations.

- 2.0 Psychologist 4 FTE's
- o Salary \$358,000 and benefits \$67,000 = \$425,000
- o Ongoing costs total \$9,000
- o One-time costs total \$1,000
- 1.0 Office Assistant 3 FTE
- o Salary \$59,000 and benefits \$19,000 = \$78,000
- o Ongoing costs total \$5,000
- o One-time costs total \$500

FOR ILLUSTRATION PURPOSES:

Additional Workload for Psychological Evaluations:

Additional resources may be required to perform psychological evaluations if the Psychologist 4's are not able to maintain the demand of the needed evaluations. A Psychologist 4 can complete no more than 40 evaluations per year. The estimated amount of time it takes to prepare a psychological evaluation report is 30 hours per evaluation, and the cost for each hour is \$300. Currently if a Juvenile Board Case (JUVBRD) is found not releasable, and then allowed to re-petition, an additional evaluation would be needed. We assume that 50% will be found releasable, 50% will carry over into future years when they are once again eligible to petition. Therefore, additional contract costs for psychological evaluations may be needed which will require additional funding. A psychological exam will need to be performed for each participant's ISRB hearing.

```
FY2026: 294 psychologist evaluations x 30 hours per evaluation x $300 per hour = $2,643,000 FY2027: 289 psychological evaluations x 30 hours per evaluation x $300 per hour = $2,604,000 FY2028: 181 psychological evaluations x 30 hours per evaluation x $300 per hour = $1,625,000 FY2029: 54 psychological evaluations x 30 hours per evaluation x $300 per hour = $488,000
```

Prison Daily Variable Rate (DVC) Impact:

It is estimated that 50% of those that petition the Board for release are successful and leave the prison system. The DOC estimates the following DVC savings:

```
FY2025: (147) Average Daily Population (ADP) x $7,630 DVC = $(1,533,630) FY2026: (145) ADP x $7,630 DVC = $(1,808,310) FY2027: (91) ADP x $7,630 DVC = $(564,620) FY2028: (28) ADP x $7,630 DVC = $(534,100) FY2029: (28) ADP x $7,630 DVC = $(526,470)
```

Community Supervision Caseload Impacts:

Those incarcerated individuals who are successful in their petitions to the Board will leave prison custody and enter community supervision for approximately three years resulting in the following impacts:

```
FY2026: 147 ADP, 8.0 FTEs and $1,033,000 FY2027: 292 ADP, 16.0 FTEs and $1,909,000 FY2028: 383 ADP, 21.0 FTEs and $2,408,000 FY2029: 264 ADP, 14.0 FTEs and $1,633,000
```

Legal Services

Upon enactment of HB 1325, the Attorney General Office (AGO) will bill DOC for legal services based on the enactment of this bill. There are 534 individuals in DOC custody who would be eligible to petition the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board (ISRB) for release under this bill in the first year after its effective date. There would be approximately 50 individuals who would become eligible each subsequent year. ISRB would hold approximately 290 hearings on petitions for release under the bill during the first two years, 180 the third year, and 55 each year thereafter. The ISRB would deny release with respect to at least 50 percent of petitions filed under the bill. Conservatively, 60 percent of individuals denied release would file Personal Restraint Petitions (PRP) challenging ISRB's decision. This would result in an additional PRP workload of 87 new cases in FY2025 and FY2026, 54 new cases

in FY2027, 15 new cases in FY2028 and each FY thereafter. The PRPs resulting from this bill would require, on average, 40 hours of AAG time for each petition, including reviewing the petition, preparing a response, oral argument before the Court of Appeals in cases not decided on during briefs, and responding to requests for discretionary review by the Washington Supreme Court. There will be ongoing legal advice to the ISRB regarding implementation of this bill and the ISRB's consideration with respect to petitions filed. COR estimates this legal advice to average eight hours per month or 96 hours annually.

FY2025: \$564,000 FY2026: \$564,000 FY2027: \$357,000 FY2028: \$120,000 FY2029: \$120,000

IT Cost Calculation Estimate:

Customization of the Offender Management Network Information (OMNI) system is needed to meet the requirements of this legislation. The proposed legislation would require a new Finding Type or repurpose of existing Finding Types in Sentence Information, update to Juvenile Offender Information section of the General Status screen, and update to any policy that references Juvenile Board individuals. Due to the complexity of completing the development, testing, and implementation of the statutory changes, contracted services are necessary in FY2025.

FY2025 IT Expense

IT Application Development | \$185 per hour x 20 hours = \$3,700

IT Quality Assurance | \$185 per hour x 16 hours = \$2,960

IT Business Analyst | \$185 per hour x 5 hours = \$925

Total One-Time Costs in FY2024 = \$8,000 (Rounded to nearest thousand)

The DOC requests funding for the indirect costs of agency administration (FY2025 4.1 FTE's and \$472,542, FY2026 7.9 FTE's and \$925,253) and requests funding for interagency costs of (FY2025 \$73,730 and FY2026 \$137,893), for the purpose of implementing this legislation. The approved agency indirect rate and associated cost of administration are calculated based on the salaries and benefits of staff conducting back office administrative functions, divided by all remaining salaries and benefits.

Agency Wide Impact:

The determinate DOC fiscal impact (rounded to the thousands) is as follows:

FY2025: 0 ADP, 14.1 FTEs and \$523,000 FY2026: 0 ADP, 35.9 FTEs and \$5,654,000 FY2027: 147 ADP, 44.5 FTEs and \$7,795,000 FY2028: 292 ADP, 41.2 FTEs and \$6,258,000 FY2029: 236 ADP, 26.1 FTEs and \$3,315,000

Assumptions:

- 1) The estimated ADP impact to DOC prison facilities/institutions and/or community supervision/violator caseloads is based on projections from CFC.
- 2) We assume a Direct Variable Cost (DVC) of \$7,630 per incarcerated individual per FY to facilitate cost discussions during legislative session for bills. This cost estimate includes prison and health services' direct variable costs. It does not include staffing or dollars necessary for staffing needed at the facility outside of the living/housing units. The DVC is calculated by DOC and reviewed and approved with the Office of Financial Management, Senate, and House staff each legislative session.
- 3) For illustration purposes only, the average annual Community Supervision caseload model is \$6,101 per ADP (not including startup costs), regardless of the supervised risk level based on the workload model. If ADP impacts are applicable to this fiscal note, the calculated rate per community supervision ADP includes direct supervision and ancillary units, such as Hearings, Records, and Training, that are directly affected by supervision population changes. The estimate will vary based on the risk level of the supervised individuals, which requires different staffing levels. The population trend data used is based on the Risk Level Classification tool and provides a risk level of 42.8% high violent, 27.3% high non-violent, 21% moderate, 7.9% low, and 1.0% unclassified. (June November 2017)
- 4) The DOC assumes that any increase in community supervision caseload will result in an increased need for violator beds. For illustration, the FY2023 average percentage of supervised individuals who served jail time and were billed by the local jurisdictions for violating their conditions of supervision was a rate of 2.0%. The current average daily cost for jail beds is \$114.43 per day, inclusive of all risk levels and healthcare costs. The rate is an average, and actual rates vary by local correctional facilities.
- 5) We assume additional impacts will result when ADP caseload changes in either prison or community and resources will be necessary. The DOC will "true up" our fiscal impact in subsequent budget submittals should the legislation be enacted into session law.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
001-1	General Fund	State	0	1,087,000	1,087,000	14,370,000	9,813,000
Total \$			0	1,087,000	1,087,000	14,370,000	9,813,000

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		14.1	7.1	40.2	33.7
A-Salaries and Wages		1,035,000	1,035,000	5,864,000	4,931,000
B-Employee Benefits		289,000	289,000	1,904,000	1,670,000
C-Professional Service Contracts		8,000	8,000	5,247,000	2,113,000
E-Goods and Other Services		(1,040,000)	(1,040,000)	(1,097,000)	(268,000)
G-Travel		7,000	7,000	89,000	57,000
J-Capital Outlays		133,000	133,000	55,000	19,000
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services		182,000	182,000	396,000	84,000
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements		473,000	473,000	1,912,000	1,207,000
9-					
Total \$	0	1,087,000	1,087,000	14,370,000	9,813,000

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Administrative Assistant 2	50,000				2.0	2.5
Board Member	131,000				3.0	1.5
Community Corrections Officer 2	74,000				6.0	8.5
Community Corrections Officer 3	79,000				2.5	3.5
Correctional Hearing Officer 3	90,000				0.5	1.0
Correctional Records Technicians	72,000		2.0	1.0	4.0	2.0
Field Supervisor	97,000				1.0	1.5
Investigator 3	112,000		2.0	1.0	4.0	1.5
Investigator Supervisor	103,000		0.5	0.3	1.0	0.5
Management Analyst 5	98,000		4.1	2.1	8.2	5.2
Office Assistant 3	59,000		2.0	1.0	3.0	2.5
Program Specialist 3	88,000		1.0	0.5	2.0	1.0
Psychologist 4	179,000		2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Records Manager	103,000		0.5	0.3	1.0	0.5
Total FTEs			14.1	7.1	40.2	33.7

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Administration & Support Svcs (100)		1,907,000	1,907,000	7,362,000	3,545,000
Correctional Operations (200)		(747,000)	(747,000)	(1,156,000)	(494,000)
Community Supervision (300)				2,813,000	3,997,000
Healthcare Services (500)		(147,000)	(147,000)	5,064,000	2,625,000
Interagency Payments (600)		74,000	74,000	287,000	140,000
Total \$		1,087,000	1,087,000	14,370,000	9,813,000

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.