# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 1967 HB Title: Hit & run/first-time waiver

## **Estimated Cash Receipts**

NONE

Agency Name	2023	3-25	2025	-27	2027-29		
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impac	t					
Loc School dist-SPI							
Local Gov. Other							
Local Gov. Total							

## **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Agency Name	2023-25					2025-27				2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Caseload Forecast Council	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	hildren, Youth,											
Department of Corrections	Non-zer	ro but indeterm	inate cost and/	or savings. Pl	ease see	discussion.						
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	cal impact	-			-		-	
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	ero but indeterm	inate cost and	l/or savi	ngs. Please see	discussion.			
Local Gov. Total									

# **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name		2023-25			2025-27			2027-29			
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total		
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0		
Caseload Forecast Council	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0		
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0		
Department of Corrections	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0		
Total \$	0.0	0	l 0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0		

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	<b>GF-State</b>	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts	No fis	cal impact			-			-		
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	ero but indeterm	inate cost and	l/or savi	ngs. Please see	discussion.				
Local Gov. Total										

# **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

Prepared by: Danya Clevenger, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 688-6413	Final 1/29/2024

# **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

	T		
Bill Number: 1967 HB	Title: Hit & run/first-time waiver	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Estimates			
X No Fiscal Impact			
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to:</b>			
NONE			
Estimated Expenditures from:			
NONE			
Estimated Capital Budget Impact:			
NONE			
subject to the provisions of RCW 43.1 Check applicable boxes and follow			
	0,000 per fiscal year in the current bienniu	ım or in subsequent biennia, co	omplete this page only (Part I).
Capital budget impact, comp			
Legislative Contact Corey Pattor		Phone: 360-786-7388	Date: 01/17/2024
Agency Preparation: Angie Wirkl		Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 01/24/2024
Agency Approval: Chris Stanle		Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/24/2024
ΦFM Review: Gaius Horto	n	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 01/25/2024

191,498.00 Request # 103-1
Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1967 HB

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The bill would exclude those convicted of hit and run resulting in a death under RCW 46.52.020(4)(a) from being eligible for a first-time offender waiver under RCW 9.94A.650.

### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

### II. C - Expenditures

There would be no fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts or the courts.

### **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

**NONE** 

### III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

**NONE** 

### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

#### III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

### III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

### **Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**

### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

### IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

**NONE** 

### IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

**NONE** 

### IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

None

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 1967 HB	Title:	Hit & run/first-time waiver	Agency	y: 101-Caseload Forecast Council
Part I: Estimates			·	
X No Fiscal Impact				
Estimated Cash Receipts to	) <b>:</b>			
NONE				
Estimated Operating Expension NONE	nditures from:			
Estimated Capital Budget I	mpact:			
NONE				
The cash receipts and expen and alternate ranges (if app		his page represent the most likely fisca ned in Part II.	l impact. Factors impactin	g the precision of these estimates,
Check applicable boxes ar				
If fiscal impact is greaform Parts I-V.	ter than \$50,000 pc	er fiscal year in the current bienniu	m or in subsequent biens	nia, complete entire fiscal note
	than \$50,000 per t	fiscal year in the current biennium	or in subsequent biennia	, complete this page only (Part l
Capital budget impact	c, complete Part IV	•		
Requires new rule ma	king, complete Par	rt V.		
Legislative Contact: Co	orey Patton		Phone: 360-786-7388	Date: 01/17/2024
Agency Preparation: Cl	lela Steelhammer		Phone: 360-664-9381	Date: 01/19/2024
	lela Steelhammer		Phone: 360-664-9381	Date: 01/19/2024
OFM Review: Da	anya Clevenger		Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 01/23/2024

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

### III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

**NONE** 

### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

### IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

### IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

# Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **HB 1967**

# EXCLUDING ANY PERSON CONVICTED OF HIT AND RUN – DEATH FROM BEING ELIGIBLE FOR THE FIRST-TIME OFFENDER WAIVER

101 – Caseload Forecast Council January 17, 2024

### **SUMMARY**

### A brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact.

Section 1 Amends RCW 9.94A.650 by adding Hit and Run Death to the list of offenses ineligible for the First-time Offender Waiver (FTOW).

### **EXPENDITURES**

Assumptions.

None.

### Impact on the Caseload Forecast Council.

None.

### **Impact Summary.**

This bill would not allow the offense of Hit and Run Death to be eligible for the FTOW sentencing. Under FTOW, the court may waive imposition of a sentence within the standard range and impose a sentence which may include up to 90 days of confinement and community custody of 6 or 12 months.

### Impacts on Prison and Jail beds.

In Fiscal Year 2023, there was one FTOW sentence for the offense of Hit and Run Death. The Caseload Forecast Council (CFC) has no way to determine what sentence would be imposed under the provisions of the bill. However, as a Class B felony ranked at Seriousness Level 9 on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid, punishment for a standard range term of confinement would be between 31-41 months, assuming an offender score of zero. As such, any standard range sentence should manifest itself as an increased need for prison beds.

As the average presentence time (based on Fiscal Year 2023 DOC releases) for Hit and Run Death is 6.55 months and confinement under FTOW is limited to 90 days in jail, it is assumed there would be a minimal increased need for jail beds.

### **Impacts on DOC Supervision Population.**

Under FTOW, courts may impose six months of community custody, unless treatment is ordered, it may be up to one year. Under standard sentencing for Hit and Run Death, there is no authority for DOC supervision so there would be a minimal decrease to the DOC supervision population.

### Impacts on local detention and Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) beds,

No impact for juvenile adjudications as FTOW is a sentencing alternative for adults. However, current statutes require individuals sentenced in adult court for an offense committed before the age of 18 to serve to their confinement at a JR facility until age 25, or until release if occurring prior to age 25. As less than 1% of all sentences in the adult system are committed by those less than age 18, it assumed any impacts to JR would be minimal.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 1967 HB	Title: Hit & run/first-time waive	er Agency:	307-Department of Children, Youth, and Families
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
Estimated Cash Receipts to:			
NONE			
Estimated Operating Expend	itures from:		
Nor	n-zero but indeterminate cost and/or sav	vings. Please see discussion.	
Estimated Capital Budget Im	pact:		
NONE			
NONE			
	ture estimates on this page represent the most l priate), are explained in Part II.	ikely fiscal impact. Factors impacting t	'he precision of these estimates,
	follow corresponding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is greater form Parts I-V.	r than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the curren	t biennium or in subsequent biennia	ı, complete entire fiscal note
X If fiscal impact is less th	nan \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current b	iennium or in subsequent biennia, c	omplete this page only (Part I
Capital budget impact, c	complete Part IV.		
Requires new rule makin	•		
Requires new rule making	ig, complete i art v.		
Legislative Contact: Core	ey Patton	Phone: 360-786-7388	Date: 01/17/2024
	dy Polzin	Phone: 2066702667	Date: 01/23/2024
	stal Lester	Phone: 360-628-3960	Date: 01/23/2024
OFM Review: Dan	ya Clevenger	Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 01/23/2024

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

The bill proposes to remove the offense 'Hit and run resulting in death' from the first-time offender waiver program.

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Impacts from this proposal on the Department of Children, Youth and Families (DCYF) Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) program are indeterminate. The exclusion of the offense 'Hit and run resulting in death' from the first-time offender waiver program could impact the JR program. DCYF does not have data regarding how many individuals will be impacted, therefore, the caseload forecast and per capita adjustments are unknown at this time. DCYF assumes the impact will result when the ADP caseload changes in the JR residential facilities forecast. The impact would be reflected in the forecasted maintenance level budget step. DCYF will true up our fiscal impact in subsequent budget submittals if the legislation is enacted into law.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures
NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

None.

### Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Bill Number: 1967 HB	Title: Hit & run/first-time wa	Age Age	ncy: 310-Department of Corrections
Part I: Estimates			
No Fiscal Impact			
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to:</b>			
NONE			
Estimated Operating Expendit			
Non-	zero but indeterminate cost and/or	savings. Please see discussion.	
Estimated Capital Budget Impa	act:		
NONE			
1,01,2			
The cash receipts and expenditur and alternate ranges (if appropr	re estimates on this page represent the mo iate), are explained in Part II.	st likely fiscal impact. Factors impac	ting the precision of these estimates,
	ollow corresponding instructions:		
If fiscal impact is greater t form Parts I-V.	han \$50,000 per fiscal year in the curr	rent biennium or in subsequent bi	ennia, complete entire fiscal note
X If fiscal impact is less than	n \$50,000 per fiscal year in the curren	nt biennium or in subsequent bienr	nia, complete this page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, co	mplete Part IV.		
Requires new rule making	s, complete Part V.		
Legislative Contact: Corey	Patton	Phone: 360-786-738	8 Date: 01/17/2024
Agency Preparation: Kaile	Chamberlain	Phone: (360) 725-84	Date: 01/22/2024
Agency Approval: Micha	nel Steenhout	Phone: (360) 789-04	80 Date: 01/22/2024
OFM Review: Danya	a Clevenger	Phone: (360) 688-64	Date: 01/23/2024

### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

An act relating to excluding any person who is convicted of a hit and run resulting in death from being eligible for a first-time offender waiver; and amending RCW 9.94A.650.

Section 1(1)(f) amends RCW 9.94A.650 by adding Hit and Run – Death to the list of offenses ineligible for the First-time Offender Waiver (FTOW).

### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

We assume this bill will have a fiscal impact to DOC less than \$50,000 per Fiscal Year (FY).

This bill would not allow the offense of Hit and Run Death to be eligible for the FTOW sentencing. Under FTOW, the court may waive imposition of a sentence within the standard range and impose a sentence which may include up to 90 days of confinement and community custody of six or 12 months.

In Fiscal Year 2023, there was one FTOW sentence for the offense of Hit and Run Death. The Caseload Forecast Council (CFC) has no way to determine what sentence would be imposed under the provisions of the bill. However, as a Class B felony ranked at Seriousness Level 9 on the Adult Felony Sentencing Grid, punishment for a standard range term of confinement would be between 31-41 months, assuming an offender score of zero. As such, any standard range sentence should manifest itself as an increased need for prison beds.

Under FTOW, courts may impose six months of community custody; unless treatment is ordered, it may be up to one year. Under standard sentencing for Hit and Run Death, there is no authority for DOC supervision so there would be a minimal decrease to the DOC supervision population.

For illustration purposes only:

- 1) The estimated ADP impact to DOC prison facilities/institutions and/or community supervision/violator caseloads is based on projections from CFC.
- 2) We assume a Direct Variable Cost (DVC) of \$7,630 per incarcerated individual per FY to facilitate cost discussions during legislative session for bills. This cost estimate includes prison and health services' direct variable costs. It does not include staffing or dollars necessary for staffing needed at the facility outside of the living/housing units. The DVC is calculated by DOC and reviewed and approved with the Office of Financial Management, Senate, and House staff each legislative session.
- 3) The average annual Community Supervision caseload model is \$6,101 per ADP (not including startup costs), regardless of the supervised risk level based on the workload model. If ADP impacts are applicable to this fiscal note, the calculated rate per community supervision ADP includes direct supervision and ancillary units, such as Hearings, Records, and Training, that are directly affected by supervision population changes. The estimate will vary based on the risk level of the

supervised individuals, which requires different staffing levels. The population trend data used is based on the Risk Level Classification tool and provides a risk level of 42.8% high violent, 27.3% high non-violent, 21% moderate, 7.9% low, and 1.0% unclassified.

4) The DOC assumes that any increase in community supervision caseload will result in an increased need for violator beds. For illustration, the FY2023 average percentage of supervised individuals who served jail time and were billed by the local jurisdictions for violating their conditions of supervision was a rate of 2.0%. The current average daily cost for jail beds is \$114.43 per day, inclusive of all risk levels and healthcare costs. The rate is an average, and actual rates vary by local correctional facilities.

We assume additional impacts will result when ADP caseload changes in either prison or community and resources will be necessary. The DOC will "true up" our fiscal impact in subsequent budget submittals should the legislation be enacted into session law.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

**III. C - Operating FTE Detail:** FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

### Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number	:: 1967 HB	Title:	Hit & run/first	-time waiver
Part I: Ju	ırisdiction-Locati	on, type or	status of polit	ical subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.
Legislatio	n Impacts:			
Cities:				
X Counties	Minimal increased no	eed for jail be	ds.	
Special I	istricts:			
Specific j	urisdictions only:			
Variance	occurs due to:			
Part II:	Estimates			
No fisca	impacts.			
Expendi	ures represent one-time	costs:		
Legislati	on provides local option	:		
X Key vari	ables cannot be estimate	d with certain	ity at this time:	What sentences will be imposed under provisions of the bill.
Estimated r	evenue impacts to:			
None				
Estimated e	xpenditure impacts to:			
	Non-zero	but indeter	minate cost and	/or savings. Please see discussion.

# Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Alice Zillah	Phone:	360-725-5035	Date:	01/23/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Corey Patton	Phone:	360-786-7388	Date:	01/17/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone:	360-725-5033	Date:	01/23/2024
OFM Review: Danya Clevenger	Phone:	(360) 688-6413	Date:	01/23/2024

Page 1 of 2 Bill Number: 1967 HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

# Part IV: Analysis A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

Sec. 1 amends RCW 9.94A.650. This section applies to offenders who have never been previously convicted of a felony in this state, federal court, or another state, and who have never participated in a program of deferred prosecution for a felony, and who are convicted of a felony is that is not a hit and run resulting in death under RCW 46.52.020(4)(a).

### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have indeterminate, but likely minimal, impacts on county jails.

By eliminating the first-time offender waiver for offenders convicted of hit-and-run resulting in death, the bill would lengthen prison sentences for these offenders. According to the Caseload Forecast Council, there was one sentence in fiscal year 2023 in which someone convicted of hit-and-run resulting in death was granted a first-time offender waiver. The average presentence time (served in a county jail) for offenders charged with hit-and-run resulting in death is 6.55 months, whereas confinement for a first-time offender granted a waiver is limited to 90 days. Therefore, there could be an increase in jail bed days for a minimal number of offenders under the legislation.

The average weighted jail bed cost is \$145 per day, according to the Local Government Fiscal Note Program jail cost model. As an example, if one offender per year served 6.55 months in jail instead of 90 days, the costs would total approximately \$15,805\$ (109 additional days x \$145 = \$15,805).

### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would have no revenue impacts for local governments.

SOURCES:

Caseload Forecast Council
Local Government Fiscal Note Program criminal justice cost model

Page 2 of 2 Bill Number: 1967 HB