Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 6164 S SB Title: County emergency management

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27				2027-29			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Military Department	.5	132,002	132,002	132,002	1.0	252,504	252,504	252,504	1.0	252,504	252,504	252,504
Department of Ecology	Fiscal n	ote not availab	le									
Department of Fish and Wildlife	2.0	680,000	680,000	680,000	4.0	1,360,000	1,360,000	1,360,000	4.0	1,360,000	1,360,000	1,360,000
Total \$	2.5	812,002	812,002	812,002	5.0	1,612,504	1,612,504	1,612,504	5.0	1,612,504	1,612,504	1,612,504

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts										
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total										

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29			
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	
Military Department	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Department of Ecology	Fiscal note not available									
Department of Fish and Wildlife	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	

Agency Name	2023-25				2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts										
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-z	ero but indeterm	inate cost and	l/or savi	ngs. Please see	discussion.				
Local Gov. Total										

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

NONE

Prepared by: Val Terre, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 280-3973	Preliminary 2/8/2024

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number:	6164 S SB	Title:	County emergency	management		Agency: 2	45-Militar	y Department	
Part I: Esti	mates								
No Fisca	al Impact								
Estimated Cas	h Receipts to:								
NONE									
Estimated Ope	erating Expenditure	s from:	EV 2004	FY 2025	2023-2	 	05.07	2027-29	
ETE C4- CV-			FY 2024				25-27		
FTE Staff Yea	ırs		0.0	1.0	J	0.5	1.0		1.0
General Fund	-State 001-1		0	132,002	2 132,	002	252,504	252,5	504
General Tuna		Total \$	0	132,002	· ·		252,504		
Check applic X If fiscal i form Part If fiscal i Capital b	eipts and expenditure est ranges (if appropriate) cable boxes and follow mpact is greater than its I-V. impact is less than \$5 budget impact, complete making, complete me rule making, complete impact, complete me rule making, complete making, complete me rule making, complete	w corresp \$50,000 \$0,000 per ete Part I	onding instructions: per fiscal year in the fiscal year in the cu	current bienniu	m or in subsequ	ent biennia,	complete e	ntire fiscal not	e
					DI 25055	(727)		1/21/2021	1
Legislative (Phone: 36078			1/31/2024	\mathbf{I}
Agency Prep	paration: Serina Ro	berts			Phone: 25351		Date: 0	2/05/2024	
Agency App	roval: Regan He	esse			Phone: 253-5	12-7698	Date: 0	2/05/2024	

Val Terre

OFM Review:

Date: 02/05/2024

Phone: (360) 280-3973

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Revisions to Section 1 (ii) (a) requires local organizations or joint local organizations to provide public meetings to inform the public about the hazardous material spill or releases that necessitate the establishment of the Incident Command System.

WA Emergency Management Division (EMD) receives hazardous material incidents and release reports on behalf of the Washington Department of Ecology during non-business hours. For the calendar year 2023 the number of reports was 2619. As the bill is written due to the large volume of hazardous material incident and releases in Washington State, requiring a local organization to provide at least one public meeting for each hazardous material incident or release that implemented Incident Command System (ICS) could require local organizations in Washington to hold thousands of public meetings a year. WA EMD will need to track these meetings which would require 1 full-time employee at an Emergency Management Program Specialist (EMPS-2).

Revisions to Section 1 (ii) (B) requires these public meetings allow for remote participation.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The military department expenditures necessary to implement this legislation are primarily comprised of staff salary and benefits for a Program Coordinator (EMPS-2) in its EMD Operations Unit. This would be a total of 1 FTE of staff beginning in SFY 25. A summary of estimated costs and assumptions is provided below.

Assumptions:

Object A: Salaries and Wages estimated at \$70,800.00 per year

Object B: Employee Benefits (48% of Salary) \$33,984.00 per year

Object E: Goods and Services \$5,000.00 per FTE per year for central service costs, supplies, cell phone, and other misc. expenses

Object J: Capital Outlays \$5,000.00 per FTE in first year for a computer and technology equipment

Object T: Intra-Agency Reimbursements (Indirect Charges 15%)

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
001-1	General Fund	State	0	132,002	132,002	252,504	252,504
		Total \$	0	132,002	132,002	252,504	252,504

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
A-Salaries and Wages		70,800	70,800	141,600	141,600
B-Employee Benefits		33,984	33,984	67,968	67,968
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		5,000	5,000	10,000	10,000
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays		5,000	5,000		
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements		17,218	17,218	32,936	32,936
9-					
Total \$	0	132,002	132,002	252,504	252,504

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Emergency Management Program	70,800		1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0
Specialist 2						
Total FTEs			1.0	0.5	1.0	1.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 6164 S SB	Title:	County emergency	management			7-Departme ldlife	ent of Fish and
Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact	•						
Estimated Cash Receipts to:							
•							
NONE							
Estimated Operating Expen	ditures from:						
Estimated Operating Expen		FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	202	5-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		0.0	4.0		2.0	4.0	4.0
Account			-				
General Fund-State 0	01-1	0	680,000	680,0	00 1	,360,000	1,360,000
	Total \$	0	680,000	680,0	00 1	,360,000	1,360,000
The cash receipts and expend and alternate ranges (if appro	opriate), are expla	ined in Part II.	e most likely fiscal in	mpact. Factors	impacting the p	recision of th	hese estimates,
Check applicable boxes and If fiscal impact is greate	_	_	current hiennium	or in subseque	nt hiennia co	mnlete enti	ire fiscal note
form Parts I-V.	r than \$50,000 p	ger risear year in the		or in subseque	nt oromina, co	inpiece enti	To fiscal flote
If fiscal impact is less t	han \$50,000 per	fiscal year in the cur	rrent biennium or	in subsequent	biennia, comp	olete this pa	ige only (Part I
Capital budget impact,	complete Part IV	V.					
Requires new rule mak	ing, complete Pa	art V.					
Legislative Contact: Ma	ggie Douglas]	Phone: 360786	7279	Date: 01/3	31/2024
Agency Preparation: Tiff	fany Hicks]	Phone: (360) 90	02-2544	Date: 02/0)7/2024
Agency Approval: Tiff	fany Hicks		1	Phone: (360) 90)2-2544	Date: 02/0)7/2024
OFM Review: Ma	tthew Hunter]	Phone: (360) 52	29-7078	Date: 02/0	07/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1 requires a representative from the department of fish and wildlife to attend public meetings conducted for hazardous material spills or releases.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Section 1 requires WDFW to participate in public meetings related to all forms of hazardous material (HAZMAT) spills or release. WDFW's Oil Spill Team specializes in oil spill responses as part of the Incident Command System (ICS) structure that supports Ecology's Oil Spill Program. WDFW does not currently have capacity to attend and provide technical information to the public for all forms of HAZMAT incidents.

An average number of ICS incidents range from 2,000 to 4,000 per year. Assuming each associated public meeting is two hours in length, with an average of two hours of preparation per meeting, this equates to a minimum of 8,000 staff hours per year, or approximately 4 FTEs.

4.0 FTE Fish and Wildlife Biologists 4s are required to attend an estimated 2,000 public meetings per year in fiscal year 2025 and ongoing to prepare for and attend public meetings, and provide information regarding impacts to habitat, fish, and wildlife.

Total salaries and benefits are \$472,000 in fiscal year 2025 and ongoing.

Travel costs are not required, assuming WDFW will attend meetings remotely.

Goods and services, Object E, includes \$7,000 per FTE, per year, for WDFW standard costs, which cover an average employee's supplies, communications, training, and subscription costs per year.

An infrastructure and program support rate of 36.03% is included in object T and is calculated based on WDFW's federally approved indirect rate.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
001-1	General Fund	State	0	680,000	680,000	1,360,000	1,360,000
		Total \$	0	680,000	680,000	1,360,000	1,360,000

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years		4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
A-Salaries and Wages		347,000	347,000	694,000	694,000
B-Employee Benefits		125,000	125,000	250,000	250,000
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		28,000	28,000	56,000	56,000
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements		180,000	180,000	360,000	360,000
9-					
Total \$	0	680,000	680,000	1,360,000	1,360,000

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
Fish and Wildlife Biologist 4	84,192		4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
Total FTEs			4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number:	6164 S SB	Title:	County emergency management				
Part I: Jun	risdiction-Location	on, type or	r status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.				
ha A ar X Counties: Special Dis Specific jun	determinate but likely izardous material spill dditionally, 95 cities we nount for any jurisdict. Same as above but al \$2,730,000. tricts: risdictions only: ecurs due to:	or release wire ould incur place ions that have	impacts to cities due to the requirement to organize and host a public meeting for each within a city's boundaries that causes the Incident Command System to be used. plan update costs totaling approximately \$6,650,000, plus an additional indeterminate we to purchase a new notification system as a result of the proposed legislation. es' Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan updates could total approximately				
No fiscal in	•	o o ata					
	res represent one-time n provides local option						
X Key variab	les cannot be estimate	d with certain	The number of public meetings that will be required; meeting space costs for the new public meetings; additional staff required to organize and host public meetings; staff and funding available to conduct Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan updates; which notification systems will be deemed "appropriate technology" and who will make that determination; the number of jurisdictions that may have to purchase and maintain a new notification system.				
Estimated rev	enue impacts to:						
None							
Estimated exp	enditure impacts to:						
	Non-zero	but indeter	erminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.				

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kate Fernald	Phone:	564-200-3519	Date:	02/07/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Maggie Douglas	Phone:	3607867279	Date:	01/31/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone:	360-725-5033	Date:	02/07/2024
OFM Review: Val Terre	Phone:	(360) 280-3973	Date:	02/07/2024

Page 1 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

Part IV: Analysis A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This fiscal note compares SB 6164 to SSB 6164.

CHANGES BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The substitute version of the bill would require local jurisdictions to organize and host a public meeting any time the Incident Command System was used for a hazardous materials spill or release that occurred within the jurisdiction's boundaries.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:

Sec. 1. (3) would amend RCW 38.52.070. A local organization that produces a local comprehensive emergency management plan would be required to include in its communication plan an expeditious notification of citizens at risk during a hazardous material spill or release. Notification would be required to be via" the most appropriate technology available" to determine citizen risk levels.

Sec. 1 would also require local jurisdictions to plan and host at least one public meeting any time a hazardous material spill or release occurs within the jurisdiction and requires the Incident Command System to be used.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

CHANGES IN EXPENDITURE IMPACTS BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The substitute version of the bill would add an indeterminate but likely moderate (\$50,000 to \$1,000,000) to substantial (\$1,000,000 to \$50,000,000) expenditure impact to cities and counties, as it would require each impacted jurisdiction to host a hybrid public meeting any time the Incident Command System (ICS) is used in their area for a hazardous materials spill or release. According to the Emergency Management Division (EMD), ICS was used more than 2,600 times in 2023, but that number was unusually small. EMD's historical data indicates that ICS is typically used between 4,000 to 5,000 times per year, meaning cities and counties could be hosting anywhere from 2,600 to 5,000 additional public meetings each year under the proposed legislation.

EXPENDITURE IMPACTS OF CURRENT BILL:

Cities and counties would experience substantial indeterminate impacts due to requiring jurisdictions to host a public meeting any time a hazardous materials spill or release occurs within the jurisdiction's boundaries and causes the Incident Command System (ICS) to be used. The legislation would also require 39 counties and 95 cities to update their local Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan, costing cities approximately \$6,650,000 and counties approximately \$2,730,000. Additionally, any jurisdiction deemed as lacking "the most appropriate technology available" for their notification software may be required to purchase and maintain a notification system that uses "appropriate technology" to notify residents of a hazardous materials spill or release any time that the Incident Command System is initiated.

The proposed bill would require notification to be via "the most appropriate technology available" to determine citizen risk levels. Under the provisions of this legislation, it is unclear who will make this determination and what types of notification will be allowed. The method of notification could vary by jurisdiction and may range from contact through land-line phones to cell phone notification service. Following the selection of appropriate technology options, each jurisdiction may be able to choose the method of notification, although this opportunity remains unclear. Due to these variables, the impacts of this legislation are indeterminate.

NUMBER OF PUBLIC MEETINGS:

The substitute bill would require a city, county or joint local organization to organize and host a public meeting every time the Incident Command System (ICS) is used for a hazardous materials spill or release within the jurisdiction's boundaries.

Page 2 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB

The Emergency Management Division (EMD) noted that the ICS was used 2,619 times in 2023, but that in years prior, ICS was used 4,000 to 5,000 times on average. Accordingly, the number of public meetings local jurisdictions would be required to hold each year would vary but would likely range from 2,600 to 5,000 public meetings per year.

It is not known if more than one hazardous materials public meeting may be held at once, or what would happen if one of the required representatives did not attend the meeting. If jurisdictions could batch the meetings, that could reduce costs by an unknown amount. If representatives did not attend the required meeting and another meeting had to be scheduled because of it, that would increase the cost impacts. Because of these variables, the number of meetings is highly uncertain.

Please note that counties with a higher frequency of reported spills or releases would be disproportionately impacted by the proposed legislation as they would be required to organize and host substantially more public meetings compared to jurisdictions with fewer reported hazardous materials incidents. For example, King County anticipates having to host more than 500 public meetings each year while other counties may host none at all. Based on 2023 data, King County would have been required to host 821 public meetings while Asotin County would have been required to hold one, so the number of public meetings each jurisdiction would be required to host would vary significantly by county.

To demonstrate the varied number of public meetings counties would be required to host (given their range of reported hazardous materials spills or releases), a random sampling of each county's number of hazardous materials spills or releases reported to EMD in 2023 is offered below. Each of these incidents required ICS to be used.

County Number of Incidents

Asotin 1

Benton 40

Chelan 11

Clark 106

King 821

Kitsap 166

Mason 32

Okanogan 8

Pierce 319

San Juan 44

Skagit 67

Skamania 5

Snohomish 184

46

Spokane

Thurston 92

Wahkiakum 4

Walla Walla 16

Whatcom 124

Whitman 7

Yakima 29

All 2023 hazardous materials spills or releases that required ICS: 2,619.

While the substitute version of the bill would allow hazardous- materials-related public meetings to be held jointly with the county legislative authority's regularly scheduled meeting or special meeting, the actual number of meetings that will be held jointly cannot be predicted in advance. Nonetheless, jurisdictions that experience significantly more hazardous spills and releases, such as King or Pierce Counties, will likely require additional public meetings even if some are held jointly

Page 3 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB

due to being required to host 800+ or 300+ public meetings per year, relatively.

PUBLIC MEETING SPACE:

Cities and counties that would be required to host significantly more public meetings could experience substantial public meeting space costs if there is not a public meeting space available often enough to meet the requirements of the legislation. Meeting space costs will vary by jurisdiction based on availability and rental fees, so the public meeting space expenditure impacts to local governments is indeterminate but potentially substantial based on cost estimates provided by the counties below.

ILLUSTRATIVE PUBLIC MEETING SPACE COSTS:

The future number of public meetings and meeting space that will be required cannot be predicted in advance; however, four counties provided potential cost estimates for securing a public meeting space. These estimated costs are offered for illustrative purposes only since actual facility costs are unknown at this time.

- 1. Skagit County anticipates public meeting space rental would cost \$500 per meeting; however, based on the reported number of hazardous materials spills and responses in Skagit County each year, there is not currently any public meeting space available often enough to host all the meetings that the legislation would require. Accordingly, Skagit County anticipates they will need to consider purchasing land and building a new Emergency Operations Center to meet the requirements of the legislation. While exact costs cannot be determined in advance, Skagit County estimates it could cost roughly \$10 million to purchase land and build a center where public meetings could be held.
- 2. King County anticipates facility costs could exceed \$150,000 per year for hosting approximately 500 or more additional public meetings per year. King County also notes that even if some meetings are combined with the legislative authority's regularly scheduled meetings, the remaining number of additional public meetings that they would have to host would likely disallow any other use of the facility since the facility would be used on an essentially full-time basis for public meetings required by the proposed legislation.
- 3. Whatcom County anticipates they would be required to hold more than 120 public meetings per year, which would require the county to stop work on any number of duties they currently perform, according to Whatcom County. Since they would not have an increase in their funding to pay for public meeting space rentals, hiring additional staff or purchasing meeting equipment, the county anticipates having to reduce the services they currently provide.
- 4. Chelan County anticipates public meeting space rental would cost approximately \$750 per meeting. Using 2023's EMD data provided above, Chelan County estimates they would spend approximately \$31,500 per year for facility rental, translation services and handouts.

STAFFING PUBLIC MEETINGS

The number of staff local jurisdictions will hire to organize and host the bill's required public meetings will vary by jurisdiction and is indeterminate. The number of staff jurisdictions will hire will be based on the number of reported hazardous materials spills that require ICS to be used, which will then indicate the number of public meeting to be held, which will then determine if and how many additional staff are needed to organize and run the meetings.

For illustrative purposes only, three counties offered potential staffing impacts that could result from the bill:

- 1. King County anticipates two new FTEs would be required to organize and host the county's 500 or more public meetings, totaling \$365,000 per year.
- 2. Skagit County anticipates three new staff would be hired to organize and host the public meetings, totaling \$177,741 per year.

Page 4 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB

3. Clark County anticipates two hours of Emergency Management Division staff time would be required to create each public meeting, send the meeting invitations and post the public meeting notification for public view. Additionally, the county expects each meeting would require approximately 90 minutes of staff time to set up and conduct, resulting in staff costs totaling \$200 per meeting, or \$21,200 per year (\$200 x 106 hazardous materials incidents reported to EMD in 2023 that required ICS to be used.)

MISCELLANEOUS PUBLIC MEETING COSTS:

Cities and counties would incur additional, indeterminate miscellaneous costs for hosting hybrid public meetings, though the miscellaneous costs will vary by city and county depending on the jurisdictions needs and frequency of meetings. According to various counties, miscellaneous costs could be incurred for: purchasing portable virtual meeting equipment such as audio and visual equipment; printing meeting materials, reporting expenses related to record keeping, report intakes and filling out required reports; and purchasing new equipment due to wear and tear.

COMPREHENSIVE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT PLAN (CEMP) UPDATES:

According to the Municipal Research Services Program's January 16, 2024 article titled, "Local Government Emergency Planning," any local jurisdiction that chooses to have emergency management is required to have a Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP). According to the Emergency Management Division, as of February 2024, 95 cities and all 39 counties have a CEMP.

The legislation would require all jurisdictions with a CEMP to modify it. Each CEMP would be required to add a communication plan during a hazardous materials spill or release. While actual costs to update a CEMP cannot be predicted in advance, the Emergency Management Division approximates that the average CEMP update costs roughly \$70,000 per jurisdiction based on EMD's experience working with cities and counties to update their CEMPs. Using EMD's average cost estimate, city and county expenditure impacts are approximated below.

- -- Cities could incur CEMP update costs totaling roughly \$6,650,000 (95 cities x \$70,000).
- -- Counties could incur CEMP update costs totaling roughly \$2,730,000 (39 counties x \$70,000).

However, actual costs will vary by jurisdiction and cannot be predicted in advance.

ILLUSTRATIVE CEMP UPDATE COSTS:

A sampling of jurisdictions' actual CEMP update costs are offered below to demonstrate what it could cost each jurisdiction to update their CEMP per the requirements of the proposed legislation:

- -- Asotin County: \$84,000 (actual cost from a different plan update with a similar process in complexity)
- -- Chelan County: \$10,000
- -- City of North Bend: \$50,000
- -- Franklin County: \$100,000
- -- Grant County: \$80,000
- -- Skagit County: \$150,000 to \$200,000
- -- Snohomish County: \$174,000 (maintenance year) or \$406,000 (update year)
- -- Whatcom County: \$141,925

NOTIFICATION SYSTEM:

The bill would require local jurisdictions to use "the most appropriate technology available" to notify residents of a hazardous materials spill or release any time that the Incident Command System is initiated. While all jurisdictions have access to a notification system, the types of notification systems jurisdictions use vary across the state. Any jurisdiction that was determined not to have "the most appropriate technology available" would incur indeterminate costs to purchase and maintain the notification system(s) deemed appropriate. Skagit County noted that they would also require one new

Page 5 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB

FTE in their Department of Emergency Management to manage the notification system project. Because no notification systems have been deemed "appropriate," the cost of such a system, and the number of staff that may be required to manage it, is unknown until additional information becomes available.

ILLUSTRATIVE NOTIFICATION SYSTEM UPDATE COSTS:

According to the Emergency Management Division, notification systems vary by jurisdiction, but the two most common are Everbridge and CodeRED, which bill on a per capita basis. However, it is not known at this time which system each jurisdiction uses. As such, the number of jurisdictions that will need to purchase "the most appropriate technology available" is unknown. Those jurisdictions would be required to purchase and maintain a new notification system, so a sampling of various jurisdictions' notification systems and their 2023 costs are offered below to demonstrate the potential cost impacts to jurisdictions required to purchase a new system:

Benton County: \$25,700: CodeRED
Chelan County: \$32,500: not reported
City of Port Angeles: \$14,000: Everbridge
Clallam County: \$14,000: Everbridge
Clark County: \$104,213: Everbridge
Franklin County: \$18,500: CodeRED
Grant County: \$41,100: Everbridge

-- Klickitat County: \$8,454: Rave Mobile Safety
-- Snohomish County: \$100,000: Rave Mobile Safety

Walla Walla County: \$19,550: EverbridgeWhatcom County: \$60,000: GenaysYakima County: \$63,550: Everbridge

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS IMPACT ASSESSMENT:

The Emergency Management Division also reported that under current law, the first person to arrive on the scene of a reported hazardous materials spill or release becomes the incident command officer responsible for assessing and determining which residents could potentially be impacted by the spill or release. Because the bill would not change who or how residents at risk are determined, there is no cost impact to assessing and determining who is potentially impacted by a hazardous materials spill or release.

SHERIFFS AND COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGERS:

In counties where sheriffs are also the county's Emergency Manager, staff resources may be slim. These jurisdictions may require more time than well-resourced jurisdictions to complete CEMP updates due to limited funding and staff availability to complete the extra work, as reported by EMD.

COUNTY TREASURERS:

County treasurers' workload to administer the Emergency Management Fund is not anticipated to increase significantly as a result of the legislation. According to current law, when two or more political subdivisions join in the establishment and operation of a joint local organization for emergency management, each shall pay its share of the cost into a special pooled fund to be administered by the treasurer of the most populous subdivision. According to Washington Association of County Officials and Thurston County, county treasurers' workload to administer the Emergency Management Fund is not anticipated to increase significantly as a result of the legislation.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

CHANGES IN REVENUE IMPACTS BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The substitute version of the bill does not impact local governments' revenue.

REVENUE IMPACTS OF CURRENT BILL:

The proposed legislation would not impact local government revenue.

Page 6 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB

SOURCES:

Asotin County

Association of Washington Cities

Benton County

Chelan County

City of North Bend

Clallam County

Clark County

Franklin County

Grant County

Department of Ecology

Department of Fish and Wildlife

Emergency Management Division

King County

Klickitat County

Municipal Research Services Program's "Local Government Emergency Planning" Jan. 2024

Skagit County

Snohomish County

State Emergency Response Commission

Walla Walla County

Washington State Association of Counties

Whatcom County

Yakima County

Page 7 of 7 Bill Number: 6164 S SB