# **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 6006 2S SB Title: Victims of human trafficking

# **Estimated Cash Receipts**

| Agency Name          |              | 2023-25           |                   |                 | 2025-27     |        | 2027-29  |             |        |  |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------|----------|-------------|--------|--|
|                      | GF-State     | NGF-Outlook       | Total             | GF-State        | NGF-Outlook | Total  | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total  |  |
| Office of Attorney   | 0            | 0                 | 9,000             | 0               | 0           | 40,000 | 0        | 0           | 40,000 |  |
| General              |              |                   |                   |                 |             |        |          |             |        |  |
| Department of        | Non-zero but | indeterminate cos | t and/or savings. | Please see disc | ussion.     |        |          |             |        |  |
| Labor and Industries |              |                   |                   |                 |             |        |          |             |        |  |
| Department of        | 0            | 0                 | 6,000             | 0               | 0           | 14,000 | 0        | 0           | 14,000 |  |
| Children, Youth, and |              |                   |                   |                 |             |        |          |             |        |  |
| Families             |              |                   |                   |                 |             |        |          |             |        |  |
|                      |              |                   |                   |                 |             |        |          |             |        |  |
| Total \$             | 0            | 0                 | 15,000            | 0               | 0           | 54,000 | 0        | 0           | 54,000 |  |

| Agency Name         | 2023            | -25   | 2025      | -27   | 2027-29   |       |
|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
|                     | GF- State       | Total | GF- State | Total | GF- State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts   | No fiscal impac | t     |           |       |           |       |
| Loc School dist-SPI |                 |       |           |       |           |       |
| Local Gov. Other    |                 |       |           |       |           |       |
| Local Gov. Total    |                 | •     |           |       |           |       |

# **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

| Agency Name                                       |         | 20             | 023-25          |                 |          | 2           | 025-27      |         |      |          | 2027-29     |         |
|---|---------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------|------|----------|-------------|---------|
|   | FTEs    | GF-State       | NGF-Outlook     | Total           | FTEs     | GF-State    | NGF-Outlook | Total   | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total   |
| Administrative Office of the Courts               | .0      | 0              | 0               | 0               | .0       | 0           | 0           | 0       | .0   | 0        | 0           | 0       |
| Office of Attorney<br>General                     | .3      | 0              | 0               | 9,000           | .5       | 0           | 0           | 40,000  | .5   | 0        | 0           | 40,000  |
| Caseload Forecast<br>Council                      | .0      | 0              | 0               | 0               | .0       | 0           | 0           | 0       | .0   | 0        | 0           | 0       |
| Washington State<br>Patrol                        | Non-zei | o but indeterm | inate cost and/ | or savings. Ple | ease see | discussion. |             |         |      |          |             |         |
| Department of<br>Labor and<br>Industries          | .0      | 0              | 0               | 0               | .0       | 115,000     | 115,000     | 115,000 | .0   | 131,000  | 131,000     | 131,000 |
| Department of<br>Children, Youth,<br>and Families | .5      | 152,000        | 152,000         | 158,000         | 1.0      | 322,000     | 322,000     | 336,000 | 1.0  | 322,000  | 322,000     | 336,000 |
| Department of<br>Corrections                      | Non-zei | o but indeterm | inate cost and/ | or savings. Ple | ease see | discussion. |             |         |      |          |             |         |
| Total \$  | 0.8     | 152,000        | 152,000         | 167,000         | 1.5      | 437,000     | 437,000     | 491,000 | 1.5  | 453,000  | 453,000     | 507,000 |

| Agency Name         |        | 2023-25  |       |      | 2025-27  |         | 2027-29 |          |       |
|---------------------|--------|--|-------|------|----------|---------|---------|----------|-------|
|                     | FTEs   | GF-State   | Total | FTEs | GF-State | Total   | FTEs    | GF-State | Total |
| Local Gov. Courts   | No fis | cal impact   |       |      |          |         |         |          |       |
| Loc School dist-SPI |        |  |       |      |          |         |         |          |       |
| Local Gov. Other    |        |  |       |      |          | 105,644 |         |          |       |
| Local Gov. Other    |        | In addition to the estimate above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note. |       |      |          |         |         |          | see   |
| Local Gov. Total    |        |  |       |      |          | 105,644 |         |          |       |

# **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

| Agency Name                                    |      | 2023-25 |       |      | 2025-27 |       |      | 2027-29 |       |
|--|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|---------|-------|
|  | FTEs | Bonds   | Total | FTEs | Bonds   | Total | FTEs | Bonds   | Total |
| Administrative Office of the Courts            | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Office of Attorney<br>General                  | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Caseload Forecast<br>Council                   | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Washington State Patrol                        | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Department of Labor and Industries             | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Department of Children,<br>Youth, and Families | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Department of                                  | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     | .0   | 0       | 0     |
| Corrections                                    |      |         |       |      |         |       |      |         |       |
| Total \$                                       | 0.0  | 0       | 0     | 0.0  | 0       | 0     | 0.0  | 0       | 0     |

| Agency Name         | 2023-25 |                  |                 |           | 2025-27         |             |      | 2027-29  |       |  |
|---------------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|------|----------|-------|--|
|                     | FTEs    | GF-State         | Total           | FTEs      | GF-State        | Total       | FTEs | GF-State | Total |  |
| Local Gov. Courts   | No fis  | cal impact       |                 |           |                 |             |      |          |       |  |
| Loc School dist-SPI |         |                  |                 |           |                 |             |      |          |       |  |
| Local Gov. Other    | Non-z   | ero but indeterm | ninate cost and | l/or savi | ngs. Please see | discussion. |      |          |       |  |
| Local Gov. Total    |         |                  |                 |           |                 |             |      |          |       |  |

# **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

| Prepared by: Danya Clevenger, OFM | Phone:         | Date Published: |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
|                                   | (360) 688-6413 | Final 2/13/2024 |

# **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

| Bill Number: 6006 2S SI   | B Title: V                              | Victims of human trafficking   | Agency:                      | 055-Administrative Office of the Courts |
|---|---|--|------------------------------|---|
| Part I: Estimates   |   |  | ·                            |   |
| X No Fiscal Impact  |   |  |                              |   |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to  | o:                                      |  |                              |   |
| NONE  |   |  |                              |   |
| Estimated Expenditures fr   | om:                                     |  |                              |   |
| NONE  |   |  |                              |   |
| Estimated Capital Budget I  | mpact:                                  |  |                              |   |
| NONE  |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
|   |   |  |                              |   |
| subject to the provisions of R Check applicable boxes an If fiscal impact is grea | CCW 43.135.060.<br>ad follow correspond | e represent the most likely fiscal imparing instructions:  r fiscal year in the current biennium |                              |   |
| Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less   | than \$50,000 per fis                   | scal year in the current biennium of   | or in subsequent biennia, co | omplete this page only (Part I).        |
| Capital budget impac  | _                                       |  | <u>-</u>                     |   |
| Legislative Contact Ryar  | n Giannini                              |  | Phone: 3607867285            | Date: 02/06/2024                        |
| Agency Preparation: Chri  | s Conn                                  |  | Phone: 360-704-5512          | Date: 02/08/2024                        |

194,003.00 Request # 195-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # <u>6006 2S SB</u>

Phone: 360-357-2406

Phone: (360) 819-3112

Date: 02/08/2024

Date: 02/09/2024

Agency Approval:

OFM Review:

Chris Stanley

Gaius Horton

# II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The substitute would not make any changes affecting the fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts or the courts.

## II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

#### II. C - Expenditures

No or minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

**NONE** 

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

**NONE** 

III. D - FTE Detail

**NONE** 

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

# IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

## IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

**NONE** 

## **IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)**

**NONE** 

# IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

## IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

None

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

| Bill Number: 6006 2  | S SB  | Title:   | Victims of human t  | rafficking                                     | Agend                | cy: 100-Office of A    | Attorney                        |
|--|---|--|---|--|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| art I: Estimates   | <b>.</b>  |  |   |  | ,                    |                        |                                 |
| No Fiscal Impac  | t   |  |   |  |                      |                        |                                 |
| Estimated Cash Receip  | ots to:   |  |   |  |                      |                        |                                 |
| ACCOUNT  |   |  | FY 2024   | FY 2025  | 2023-25              | 2025-27                | 2027-29                         |
| Legal Services Revolvin<br>405-1   | ng Account-S  | State  |   | 9,000  | 9,000                | 40,000                 | 40,000                          |
|  |   | Total \$   |   | 9,000  | 9,000                | 40,000                 | 40,000                          |
| Estimated Operating E  | Expenditures  | from:  |   | EV 2005  |                      |                        | 2027.00                         |
| FTE Staff Years  |   |  | FY 2024<br>0.0  | <b>FY 2025</b> 0.5                             | <b>2023-25</b> 0.3   | <b>2025-27</b> 0.5     | <b>2027-29</b> 0.               |
| Account  |   |  | 0.0   | 0.5  | 0.3                  | 0.5                    | 0.                              |
| Legal Services Revolv  | ring<br>405-1   |  | 0   | 9,000  | 9,000                | 40,000                 | 40,00                           |
|  | T   | Total \$   | 0   | 9,000  | 9,000                | 40,000                 | 40,00                           |
| stimated Capital Budş<br>NONE  | get Impact:   |  |   |  |                      |                        |                                 |
| -  | get Impact:   |  |   |  |                      |                        |                                 |
| -  | get Impact:   |  |   |  |                      |                        |                                 |
| -  | get Impact:   |  |   |  |                      |                        |                                 |
| -  | expenditure esti  |  |   | most likely fiscal impo                        | act. Factors impacti | ng the precision of th | nese estimates,                 |
| The cash receipts and e  | expenditure esti<br>f appropriate),   | are explain  | ed in Part II.  | most likely fiscal impo                        | act. Factors impacti | ng the precision of th | nese estimates,                 |
| NONE  The cash receipts and e and alternate ranges (i) Check applicable box  | expenditure esti<br>f appropriate),<br>es and follow                                      | are explain correspon  | ed in Part II. ding instructions:   | most likely fiscal impo<br>current biennium or | ·                    |                        |                                 |
| The cash receipts and e and alternate ranges (i) Check applicable box  | expenditure esti<br>f appropriate),<br>es and follow<br>greater than S                    | are explain correspon \$50,000 pe  | ed in Part II.  ding instructions:  r fiscal year in the                      |  | in subsequent bier   | nnia, complete entin   | re fiscal note                  |
| The cash receipts and e and alternate ranges (i) Check applicable box  | expenditure estif appropriate), es and follow greater than \$50 less than \$50            | are explain recovery correspond per final formal correspond per final formal corresponding to the corresponding to | ed in Part II.  ding instructions:  r fiscal year in the scal year in the cur | current biennium or                            | in subsequent bier   | nnia, complete entin   | re fiscal note                  |
| The cash receipts and e and alternate ranges (i) Check applicable box.  If fiscal impact is form Parts I-V.  X If fiscal impact is                   | expenditure estifappropriate), es and follow greater than so less than \$50 apact, comple | are explain corresponds 550,000 per fixte Part IV.   | ed in Part II.  ding instructions:  r fiscal year in the scal year in the cur | current biennium or                            | in subsequent bier   | nnia, complete entin   | re fiscal note                  |
| The cash receipts and e and alternate ranges (i) Check applicable box.  If fiscal impact is form Parts I-V.  X If fiscal impact is Capital budget im | expenditure estifappropriate), es and follow greater than so less than \$50 apact, comple | are explain correspon \$50,000 pe 0,000 per fi ete Part IV. mplete Part  | ed in Part II.  ding instructions:  r fiscal year in the scal year in the cur | current biennium or                            | in subsequent bier   | nnia, complete entin   | re fiscal note<br>ge only (Part |

Edd Giger

Val Terre

Agency Approval:

OFM Review:

Date: 02/09/2024

Date: 02/12/2024

Phone: 360-586-2104

Phone: (360) 280-3973

# II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

- Section 1 Reenacts and Amends RCW 13.34.030. Adds definition to include victim of sex trafficking as a basis to find a child dependent provided the parent is involved in the trafficking.
- Section 2 Amends RCW 26.44.020. Adds sex trafficking to the definition of abuse or neglect.
- Section 3 Amends RCW 26.44.030. Adds diversion unit staff to those defined as mandatory reporters.
- Section 4 New section added to Chapter 26.44 RCW. Department must use a validated assessment tool to screen children for commercial sexual abuse if circumstances warrant a screening.
- Section 5 Amends RCW 74.13.031. Adds requirement for assessment and services offered to children who are victims of sex trafficking.
- Section 6 Reenacts and Amends RCW 7.105.010. Adds commercial sexual exploitation.
- Section 7 Amends RCW 7.105.100. Adds commercial sexual exploitation for a basis to obtain a sexual assault protection order.
- Section 8 Amends RCW 7.105.110. Allows Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF) or a law enforcement agency to file a petition for a sexual assault protection order on behalf of a minor.
- Section 9 Amends RCW 7.105.225. Adds commercial sexual exploitation as a basis for a court to issue a sexual assault protection order.
- Section 10 Amends RCW 7.105.405. Adds commercial sexual exploitation as a basis to grant the renewal of a sexual assault protection order.
- Section 11 Amends RCW 7.105.500. Adds commercial sexual exploitation to sections where sexual assault protection orders are discussed.
- Section 12 Amends RCW 7.68.060. Makes changes to rules regarding application of benefits. Eliminates requirement for cooperation with law enforcement. Allows application made by minor victim of sex trafficking to be made at any time and the ineligibility factors do not apply.
- Section 13 Reenacts and Amends RCW 9A.04.080. Adds sex trafficking to crimes that have no statute of limitations.
- Section 14 Amends RCW 9A.44.120. Minor change to age at which something is admissible in dependency proceedings.
- Section 15 Amends RCW 9A.44.150. Minor change to allow children under the age of 18 to testify outside the presence of the defendant and jury. Also sets out what court must consider in allowing this.
- Section 16 Amends RCW 9A.82.100. Adds references to statutes. Sets out when civil proceedings must be initiated.
- Section 17 Amends RCW 10.97.130. Makes details of commercial sexual exploitation confidential and not subject to release.

Section 18 - Amends RCW 42.56.240. Makes details of commercial sexual exploitation exempt from disclosure under Public Records Act.

Section 19 - New section. Severability clause.

Section 20 - New section. Effective date January 1, 2025.

## II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Cash receipts are assumed to equal the Legal Services Revolving Account (LSRA) cost estimates. These will be billed through the revolving account to the client agency.

The client agency is the Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF). The Attorney General's Office (AGO) will bill all clients for legal services rendered.

These cash receipts represent the AGO's authority to bill and are not a direct appropriation to the AGO. The direct appropriation is reflected in the client agency's fiscal note. Appropriation authority is necessary in the AGO budget.

# AGO AGENCY ASSUMPTIONS:

DCYF will be billed for non-King County and King County rates:

FY 2025: \$9,000 for 0.1 non-King County Assistant Attorney General FTE (AAG), 0.1 King County AAG, 0.1 non-King County Paralegal 1 FTE (PL1), 0.1 King County PL1

FY 2026 and in each FY thereafter: \$20,000 for 0.1 non-King County AAG, and 0.1 King County AAG, 0.1 non-King County PL1, 0.1 King County PL1

## II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Attorney General's Office (AGO) Agency Assumptions:

This bill is effective January 1, 2025.

Location of staffing is assumed to be in non-King County and King County office buildings.

Total workload impact in this request includes standard assumption costs for goods & services, travel, and capital outlays for all FTE identified.

Agency administration support FTE are included in the tables. The Management Analyst 5 FTE (MA), is used as a representative classification. An example ratio is for every 1.0 Assistant Attorney General FTE (AAG), the AGO includes 0.5 Paralegal 1 FTE (PL1) and 0.4 MA.

Assumptions for the AGO Children, Youth, and Families (CYF) Legal Services:

1. The AGO will bill Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF) for legal services based on the enactment of this bill. Costs that are identified reflect legal services assumed to be provided agency wide.

These assumptions are for legal services for the following AGO divisions that represent DCYF: CYF, Tacoma (TAC), Social and Health Services - Seattle (SHS), Spokane (SPO), and the Regional Services Division (RSD).

Legal services associated with the enactment of this bill will begin on January 1, 2025.

This bill adds "victim[s] of sex trafficking or severe forms of trafficking in persons under the trafficking victims protection act of 2000, 22 U.S.C. Section 7101 et seq." to the definition of "dependent child" in RCW 13.34.030(6). The AGO assumes that this addition will not result in any new dependency filings because any child who may be dependent under this new definition would already be dependent under currently effective definition of a dependent child. RCW 13.34.030(6)(b) (the child is abused or neglected) or (c) (the child has no parent capable of providing adequate care such that the child is in circumstances that constitute a danger of substantial damage to the child's psychological or physical development).

DCYF estimates that it will seek 26 Sexual Assault Protection Orders (SAPO) annually and that 25 percent of these cases will be in King County. The AGO assumes that 25 percent of the increased workload as a result of this bill will take place in King County.

The CYF assumes that as legal counsel for DCYF, collecting the information to prepare the SAPO petition, drafting the petition, and appearing for the initial hearing for a temporary SAPO will require three hours of AAG time in 90 percent of SAPO cases. The AGO assumes that 10 percent of SAPO cases will be extraordinary or complex and will require nine hours of AAG time. This will result in a total of 93 AAG hours. ((26 cases x 0.9 x 3 hours = 70) + (26 cases x 0.1 x 9 hours = 23) = 93 AAG hours).

The CYF assumes there will be no initial costs relating to service of the temporary SAPO and SAPO petition because the Sheriff's Department will serve the respondent at no cost. The AGO assumes that, because the burden of proof is low, 100 percent of ex parte temporary SAPOs will be granted.

The CYF assumes that in five percent of cases where the ex parte temporary SAPO is granted the respondent will file an appeal, which the AGO conservatively estimates will require 20 hours of AAG time to litigate. This will result in 26 hours of AAG time. (26 cases  $\times$  .05  $\times$  20 hours = 26 AAG hours).

During FY 2025, CYF assumes 59.5 AAG hours (14.9 of these AAG hours are in King County) representing DCYF in seeking ex parte temporary sexual assault protection orders on behalf of children, including AAGs preparing petitions, and attending court. Because the bill is effective January 1, 2025, this estimate reflects half of a full fiscal year of AAG hours. During FY 2026, CYF assumes 119 AAG hours (29.8 of these AAG hours are in King County) representing DCYF in seeking ex parte temporary sexual assault protection orders on behalf of children, including AAGs preparing petitions, and attending court.

CYF: Total non-King County and King County workload impact:

FY 2025: \$9,000 for 0.1 non-King County AAG, 0.1 King County AAG, 0.1 non-King County PL1, 0.1 King County PL1 FY 2026 and in each FY thereafter: \$20,000 for 0.1 non-King County AAG, and 0.1 King County AAG, 0.1 non-King County PL1, 0.1 King County PL1

- 2. The AGO Social and Health Services Olympia Division (SHO) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload in representing Health Care Authority (HCA). The enactment of this bill will not impact the provision of legal services to HCA because the bill mainly impacts the legal obligations for DCYF. There are a couple of sections that require HCA to implement new programs and contracts. To the extent HCA needs legal advice on these new programs and contracts, new legal services are nominal and cost are not included in this request.
- 3. The AGO Criminal Justice Division (CRJ) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the

division's workload. CRJ prosecutes criminal cases and periodically may prosecute a case of child sexual exploitation. Section 13 expands the statute of limitations for human trafficking and certain child sexual exploitation offenses. Section 15 allows some exploited children to testify remotely in criminal proceedings. These changes will not have any fiscal impact on criminal prosecutions. Therefore, no costs are included in this request.

- 4. The AGO Corrections Division (COR) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the division's workload in representing the Department of Corrections (DOC). This bill concerns human and sex trafficking. Section 13 lengthens the statute of limitations for certain sex trafficking crimes, which could nominally increase the number of people in DOC custody, the increase would not likely generate any significant increase in legal work for DOC. Therefore, no costs are included in this request.
- 5. The AGO Government Compliance and Enforcement Division (GCE) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the division's workload in representing the Washington State Patrol (WSP). This bill would not impact GCE's provision of legal services to the WSP because it would not implicate any of the WSP programs for which GCE provides legal advice and litigation support. Therefore, no costs are included in this request.
- 6. The AGO Labor and Industries Division (LNI) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not significantly increase or decrease the division's workload in representing the Department of Labor & Industries (L&I). The enactment of this bill will not impact the provision of legal services to the Crime Victims Compensation Program because this change is not likely to increase the number of appeals which LNI defends. The statute will broaden the number of victims eligible for Crime Victim Compensation benefits. This does not project to an increase in total applicants but is more likely to result in perhaps a higher percentage of applications being granted. Applications granted do not typically create a need for legal services for L&I. New legal services are nominal and costs are not included in this request.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

| Account | Account Title     | Type     | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|---------|-------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 405-1   | Legal Services    | State    | 0       | 9,000   | 9,000   | 40,000  | 40,000  |
|         | Revolving Account |          |         |         |         |         |         |
|         |                   | Total \$ | 0       | 9,000   | 9,000   | 40,000  | 40,000  |

## III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

|                            | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years            |         | 0.5     | 0.3     | 0.5     | 0.5     |
| A-Salaries and Wages       |         | 6,000   | 6,000   | 28,000  | 28,000  |
| B-Employee Benefits        |         | 2,000   | 2,000   | 8,000   | 8,000   |
| E-Goods and Other Services |         | 1,000   | 1,000   | 4,000   | 4,000   |
| Total \$                   | 0       | 9,000   | 9,000   | 40,000  | 40,000  |

# III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

| Job Classification                 | Salary  | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Assistant Attorney General         | 129,100 |         | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     |
| Assistant Attorney General-Seattle | 135,555 |         | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     |
| Management Analyst 5               | 95,184  |         | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     |
| Paralegal 1                        | 69,072  |         | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     |
| Paralegal 1-Seattle                | 72,528  |         | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     | 0.1     |
| Total FTEs                         |         |         | 0.5     | 0.3     | 0.5     | 0.5     |

#### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

| Program                             | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Children, Youth, and Families (CYF) |         | 9,000   | 9,000   | 40,000  | 40,000  |
| Total \$                            |         | 9,000   | 9,000   | 40,000  | 40,000  |

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

# IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

# IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

# IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

| <b>Bill Number:</b> 6006 2S SB   | Title: Victims of human trafficking                    |                                | 101-Caseload Forecast<br>Council |
|--|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Part I: Estimates  |  | ,                              |                                  |
| X No Fiscal Impact   |  |                                |                                  |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to:  |  |                                |                                  |
| NONE   |  |                                |                                  |
| <b>Estimated Operating Expenditure</b> NONE                                  | es from:   |                                |                                  |
| Estimated Capital Budget Impact:   |  |                                |                                  |
| NONE   |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
|  |  |                                |                                  |
| The cash receipts and expenditure es<br>and alternate ranges (if appropriate | stimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal | l impact. Factors impacting th | ne precision of these estimates, |
| Check applicable boxes and follo   | •  |                                |                                  |
| If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.                             | \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienniu        | m or in subsequent biennia,    | complete entire fiscal note      |
|  | 50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium         | or in subsequent biennia, co   | omplete this page only (Part I)  |
| Capital budget impact, compl   | ete Part IV.   |                                |                                  |
| Requires new rule making, co   |  |                                |                                  |
| Legislative Contact: Ryan Gia  | nnini  | Phone: 3607867285              | Date: 02/06/2024                 |
| ·  | elhammer   | Phone: 360-664-9381            | Date: 02/09/2024                 |
| Agency Approval: Clela Stee  | elhammer   | Phone: 360-664-9381            | Date: 02/09/2024                 |
|  |  |                                |                                  |

Danya Clevenger

OFM Review:

Date: 02/09/2024

Phone: (360) 688-6413

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached.

## II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

#### III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

**III. C - Operating FTE Detail:** FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

#### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

## IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# 2SSB 6006

# VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING

# 101 – Caseload Forecast Council February 7, 2024

#### **SUMMARY**

# A brief description of what the measure does that has fiscal impact.

- Section 13 Amends RCW 9A.04.080 by removing the existing statute of limitations for three sex offenses and adding two additional sex offenses to the list of offenses that can be prosecuted any time after the commission of the offense.
- Section 19 States that if any provision of the act is held invalid, the remainder of the act is not affected.

Section 20 States the bill takes effect July 1, 2025.

#### **EXPENDITURES**

# Assumptions.

None.

# Impact on the Caseload Forecast Council.

None

## **Impact Summary**

This bill:

• Extends the statute of limitations for five sex offenses.

## Impacts on prison beds and jail beds.

The Caseload Forecast Council has no data concerning the length of time between the commission or discovery of offenses and the commencing of prosecution, and, therefore, cannot reliably predict jail and prison bed impacts resulting from the bill. However, as the bill removes the statute of limitations for multiple sex offenses, there is the possibility that more sex offenses may be prosecuted, resulting in more convictions, which would increase the need for jail beds and prison beds.

## Impacts on DOC supervision population.

All the offenses that had a changed statute of limitations under the provision of bill are sex offenses. For individuals releasing from a non-prison sentence, the community custody term may be up to one year; and for those releasing from prison, three years is required, regardless of risk to reoffend.

Given the above, any convictions based on the provisions of this bill, may increase of the DOC's Community Custody caseload.

# Impacts on local detention beds, and Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) beds.

None.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

| Bill Number: 6006 2S SB  | Title: Victims of human trafficking  | Agency:                         | 225-Washington State Patrol      |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Part I: Estimates  | <u> </u>   | <u>.</u>                        |                                  |
| No Fiscal Impact   |  |                                 |                                  |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to:  |  |                                 |                                  |
| NONE   |  |                                 |                                  |
| Estimated Operating Expenditu  | res from:  |                                 |                                  |
| Non-z  | ero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. 1   | Please see discussion.          |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
| Estimated Capital Budget Impa  | et:  |                                 |                                  |
| NONE   |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
|  |  |                                 |                                  |
| The cash receipts and expenditure and alternate ranges (if appropria | e estimates on this page represent the most likely fisc<br>ate), are explained in Part II. | cal impact. Factors impacting t | he precision of these estimates, |
| Check applicable boxes and for                                       | llow corresponding instructions:   |                                 |                                  |
| If fiscal impact is greater the form Parts I-V.                      | nan \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienni   | ium or in subsequent biennia    | , complete entire fiscal note    |
| X If fiscal impact is less than                                      | \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium   | n or in subsequent biennia, co  | omplete this page only (Part I)  |
| Capital budget impact, con   | nplete Part IV.  |                                 |                                  |
| Requires new rule making,  | , complete Part V.   |                                 |                                  |
| Legislative Contact: Ryan C  | Giannini   | Phone: 3607867285               | Date: 02/06/2024                 |
| Agency Preparation: Allison  | n Plant  | Phone: 360-596-4080             | Date: 02/08/2024                 |
| Agency Approval: Mario   | Buono  | Phone: (360) 596-4046           | Date: 02/08/2024                 |
| OFM Review: Tiffany  | West   | Phone: (360) 890-2653           | Date: 02/09/2024                 |

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

The second substitute version requires the Department of Children, Youth and Families (DCYF) to assess and offer services to dependent children who are identified as victims of sex trafficking or victims of severe forms of trafficking in persons. It also allows them to offer services to such children who are not dependent and changes the effective date of the act to July 1, 2025.

These changes do not impact our previous assumptions.

The proposed legislation is expected to have a small but indeterminate fiscal impact to the Washington State Patrol (WSP).

New Section 4 (2) requires that whenever there is reasonable cause to believe that a child under the jurisdiction of a juvenile justice agency has suffered commercial sexual abuse of a minor, the juvenile justice agency must use a validated assessment tool to screen the child for commercial sexual abuse of a minor and report such abuse and neglect.

Updated Section 8 (4) states that a law enforcement agency, when it has reason to believe that a minor lacks the ability or capacity to consent may file a petition for an ex parte temporary sexual assault protection order on behalf of the minor. Neither the law enforcement agency nor the state of Washington is liable for seeking or failing to seek relief on behalf of any persons under this section.

## II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

**NONE** 

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The proposed legislation includes a requirement for juvenile justice agencies to use a validated assessment tool. It does not detail what the assessment tool includes or how it is to be completed. As a result, we anticipate there will be a small but indeterminate fiscal impact associated with completing each assessment.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

**III. C - Operating FTE Detail:** FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

## III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

# IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

# IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

# IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

| Bill Number: 6006 2S SB  | Title: Victims of hum  | nan trafficking             | Ag                   | ency: 235-Departn<br>Industries | nent of Labor and |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Part I: Estimates  No Fiscal Impact  |  |                             |                      |                                 |                   |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to:  | o but indeterminate cost :   | and/an savings Dla          | asa saa disayssian   |                                 |                   |
| Non-zer  | o but indeterminate cost :   | and/or savings. Fie         | ase see discussion.  |                                 |                   |
| Estimated Operating Expenditur   | es from:   |                             |                      |                                 |                   |
| •  | FY 2024  | FY 2025                     | 2023-25              | 2025-27                         | 2027-29           |
| Account General Fund-State 001-1   |  | 0                           | 0                    | 115,000                         | 131,000           |
|  | Total \$   | 0                           |                      | 115,000                         | 131,000           |
| NONE   |  |                             |                      |                                 |                   |
| The cash receipts and expenditure e and alternate ranges (if appropriate Check applicable boxes and follow X If fiscal impact is greater that form Parts I-V.  If fiscal impact is less than \$  Capital budget impact, comp | e), are explained in Part II.  ow corresponding instruction \$50,000 per fiscal year in \$50,000 per fiscal year in the elete Part IV. | ns:<br>the current bienniun | n or in subsequent b | viennia, complete en            | atire fiscal note |
| Legislative Contact: Ryan Gia  | annini   |                             | Phone: 360786728     | 5 Date: 02                      | /06/2024          |
| ·  | /an Boven  |                             | Phone: 360-902-69    |                                 |                   |
| Agency Approval: Trent Ho  |  |                             | Phone: 360-902-66    |                                 | //08/2024         |

Anna Minor

OFM Review:

Date: 02/08/2024

Phone: (360) 790-2951

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

See attached.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

See attached.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

| Account | Account Title | Type     | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 001-1   | General Fund  | State    | 0       | 0       | 0       | 115,000 | 131,000 |
|         |               | Total \$ | 0       | 0       | 0       | 115,000 | 131,000 |

# III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

|                                      | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years                      |         |         |         |         |         |
| A-Salaries and Wages                 |         |         |         |         |         |
| B-Employee Benefits                  |         |         |         |         |         |
| C-Professional Service Contracts     |         |         |         |         |         |
| E-Goods and Other Services           |         |         |         |         |         |
| G-Travel                             |         |         |         |         |         |
| J-Capital Outlays                    |         |         |         |         |         |
| M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers        |         |         |         |         |         |
| N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services |         |         |         | 115,000 | 131,000 |
| P-Debt Service                       |         |         |         |         |         |
| S-Interagency Reimbursements         |         |         |         |         |         |
| T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements        |         |         |         |         |         |
| 9-                                   |         |         |         |         |         |
| Total \$                             | 0       | 0       | 0       | 115,000 | 131,000 |

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

**NONE** 

#### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

# IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

## IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

# IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

None.

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# **Part II: Explanation**

This bill extends protections and services for minor victims of sex trafficking. The bill removes the timely filing and reporting to law enforcement (LE) criteria for minor victims of sex trafficking. This is multi-agency bill also impacting DCYF and DSHS.

2SSB 6006 is different from SSB 6006 in that it:

- No changes to portion of the bill impacting L&I, Part III Section 12.
- Effective Date changed from January 1, 2025 to July 1, 2025

# II. A – Brief Description of What the Measure Does that Has Fiscal Impact

Part III Section 12:

• Amends RCW 7.76.060 (5)(b) by eliminating the timely filing requirements for minor victims of sex trafficking or commercial sex abuse of a minor.

Section 20 sets and effective date of July 1, 2025.

# II. B - Cash Receipt Impact

This bill will have an impact to federal revenue received in the General Fund. However, due to the following, L&I cannot estimate the amount at this time:

- The federal funding formula provides funding at 75 percent for state spending from the fiscal year three years prior.
  - o This can also be adjusted if federal funding is reduced at the federal level.
- As federal funding increases, federal expenditures also increase which in turn reduces the amount of state spending, which reduces the amount of federal funding.
- The formula is based on a Federal Fiscal Year.
- The federal formula also requires recovery costs, actual salary and benefit costs, for staff who work in collections.

# II. C - Expenditures

Page 4 Labor & Industries Bill # 2SSB 6006 February 8, 2024

#### **Appropriated – Operating Costs**

This bill increases expenditures to the General Fund, fund 001. The following assumptions were used to estimate the resources requested to implement this bill.

#### **Crime Victims Compensation Benefits**

Section 12 RCW 7.68.060 (5)(b) eliminates the timely filing requirements for minor victims of sex trafficking or commercial sex abuse of a minor. In FY 22, a combined 15 sexual assault claims filed by minors were denied for being untimely. Assuming these claim were potentially trafficking claims. In FY 23 the average cost for mental health services for child SA claims was \$1,666. Assuming a modest 5% in the cost of medical/MH services and the claims to increase a modest 2%, makes the number of the average number of claims now allowed is 30 per FY over four FYs. The average cost per claim will rise to \$2,078 for a total cost per FY \$61,316. This is an expected cost of \$245,466 over the next four fiscal years beginning FY 2026.

| W-0-10             | Claims increased 2% | Avg Cost | increased 5% each | -000                |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| FY                 | each year from 52   | ye       | ar from 1556      | Average amount paid |
| 2026               | 29                  | \$       | 1,929.00          | \$<br>55,941        |
| 2027               | 29                  | \$       | 2,025.00          | \$<br>58,725        |
| 2028               | 30                  | \$       | 2,127.00          | \$<br>63,810        |
| 2029               | 30                  | \$       | 2,233.00          | \$<br>66,990        |
| Total              | 118                 | \$       | 8,314.00          | \$<br>245,466       |
| Average of 4 years | 30                  | \$       | 2,078.50          | \$<br>61,316        |

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

None.

Page 5 Labor & Industries Bill # 2SSB 6006 February 8, 2024

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

| I YOUTH, and Families | Bill Number: | 6006 2S SB | Title: | Victims of human trafficking | Agency: | 307-Department of Children,<br>Youth, and Families |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|--------|------------------------------|---------|--|
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|--------|------------------------------|---------|--|

# **Part I: Estimates**

| No Fiscal Impa | cı |
|----------------|----|
|----------------|----|

# **Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

| ACCOUNT                    | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| General Fund-Federal 001-2 |         | 6,000   | 6,000   | 14,000  | 14,000  |
| Total \$                   |         | 6,000   | 6,000   | 14,000  | 14,000  |

## **Estimated Operating Expenditures from:**

|                         |          | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years         |          | 0.0     | 1.0     | 0.5     | 1.0     | 1.0     |
| Account                 |          |         |         |         |         |         |
| General Fund-State 00   | 01-1     | 0       | 152,000 | 152,000 | 322,000 | 322,000 |
| General Fund-Federal 00 | 01-2     | 0       | 6,000   | 6,000   | 14,000  | 14,000  |
|                         | Total \$ | 0       | 158,000 | 158,000 | 336,000 | 336,000 |

# **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

**NONE** 

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

| Χ | If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V. |
|---|---|
|   | If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).              |
|   | Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.  |
| Χ | Requires new rule making, complete Part V.  |

| Legislative Contact: | Ryan Giannini    | Phone: 3607867285     | Date: 02/06/2024 |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Agency Preparation:  | Michael Campbell | Phone: 5096544940     | Date: 02/13/2024 |
| Agency Approval:     | Sarah Emmans     | Phone: 360-628-1524   | Date: 02/13/2024 |
| OFM Review:          | Danya Clevenger  | Phone: (360) 688-6413 | Date: 02/13/2024 |

# II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Comparison of 2SSB 6006 to SSB 6006

2SSB 6006

Section 5(5) For children identified as victims of sex trafficking or other forms of severe trafficking in person, this section requires DCYF to assess and offer services to dependent children as described under 13.34.030; Part (b) adds that DYCF may assess and offer services to children who have not been found dependent.

Section 20 The effective date is changed from January 1, 2025, to July 1, 2025.

# SSB 6006

Section 1(6)(c): provides that the definition of "dependent child" includes any child any child who is a victim of trafficking, sex trafficking, and severe forms of trafficking, when the parent is involved in the trafficking, facilitating the trafficking, or should have known that the child is being trafficked.

Section 2(1): Adds to the definition of "abuse or neglect" trafficking, sex trafficking or severe forms of trafficking.

Section 4(1): Requires the Department must use a validated screening tool for suspected trafficked youth.

Section 5(4): The substitute bill requires the Department of Children Youth and Families (DCYF) to make recommendations to the Legislature about the types of services that need to be offered to children who have been identified by a state or local agency as being a victim of either sex trafficking or severe forms of trafficking in persons described under the federal Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000, 22 U.S.C. Sec. 7101 et seq. Same as previous version.

Section 8(3): Allows for DCYF to file a temporary ex parte petition for Sexual Assault Protection Order (SAPO) on behalf of a minor when it has reason to believe that a minor lacks the ability or capacity to consent, on behalf of the minor. The original bill allows DCYF to petition for SAPO with permission of the minor.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

DCYF assumes Title VI-E reimbursement of \$6,000 for FY 25.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Total Cost - FY 25 \$158,000 (\$152,000 GF-S) FY 26 \$168,000 (\$161,000 GF-S)

This bill impacts children and youth who are victims of sex trafficking, including commercially sexually exploited children (CSEC), and labor trafficking. DCYF assumes that there may be an increase in youth being screened for intakes and potentially an additional number of youth entering into out of home placements with DCYF child welfare.

DCYF estimates total costs for this bill based on the estimated, current CSEC population and may request funding in future budgets once data regarding labor trafficking and JR populations are available.

Section 1(6) and Section 2(1)

Child Welfare (CW) costs:

DCYF assumes there will be increased intakes and calls for service for potential CSEC and labor trafficking victims. DCYF assumes that any additional out-of-home placements under the bill would be absorbed in the forecast. DCYF further assumes that some of the CSEC youth entering into dependency may need additional case management from social workers. DCYF may need to request additional resources as DCYF learns the impacts of this legislation once it is enacted.

Juvenile Rehabilitation (JR) cost:

One (1) FTE and \$146,000 (\$143,000 GF-S) beginning in FY25.

JR will require one (1) FTE Program Specialist 4 position to implement the validated DCYF screening tool (including training) and then to continue Quality Assurance work.

FY 25

Total \$145,000 (\$142,000 GF-S)

Missing and Exploited Youth (MEY) Cost:

If DCYF is only screening and offering services to dependent youth, DCYF estimates that in FY23, this would have equated to 57 youth. DCYF estimates that the new screening could take two hours each and that the increased workload would be able to be absorbed into current resources.

There would be an INDETERMINATE cost if DCYF were to screen and offer services to all non-dependent youth that DCYF may learn about or are referred to DCYF. The estimates below are for resources necessary to assess, with the validated screening tool, and offer services to an unknown number of additional CSEC and labor trafficked youth who may be referred to DCYF. For illustrative purposes, DCYF would estimate a need for:

Seven (7) FTE and \$1,083,000 (\$877,000 GF-S) beginning in FY25.

These staff would be posted regionally to meet the requirements of the bill. Six (6) FTE- SSS3 for the potential increase of intakes to do screenings, assessments, and offer services to youth as needed. These FTE would also assist in coordinating sexual assault protection orders (SAPO) with the AGO and youth. One (1) SSS5 to supervise the additional SSS3 staff.

Six (6) FTE – Social Service Specialist 3 (SSS3) for potential youth entering into CW and dependencies from increased screening rates. 6 SSS3 x \$152,000 = \$911,000 (\$738,000 GF-S). One (1) FTE- SSS5 to supervise additional staff. 1 SSS5 X \$172,000 = \$,172,000 (\$,139,000 GF-S).

Licensing Division (LD) Cost:

DCYF assumes that the bill could lead to an impact on the Licensing Division (LD). The LD could have an increase in licensing of homes and to provide LD/CPS investigations. DCYF believes there could be an INDETERMINATE cost for LD. DCYF may need to request additional resources as DCYF learns the impacts of this legislation. For illustrative purposes, one Social Service Specialist 3 costs \$152,000 (\$123.000 GF-S).

One (1) FTE – Social Service Specialist 3 (SSS3) SSS3 x \$152,000 = \$152,000 (\$123,000 GF-S).

Section 4(1)

Currently DCYF does screenings with a non-validated tool for CSEC youth. DCYF does not have a tool for labor trafficking.

Validated Assessment costs:

DCYF would need to research a tool that is validated to meet the bills requirements.

The tool used to cost model this note (CSE-IT tool by West Coast Children's Clinic tool) only meets half of the bill's requirement. It meets the CSEC requirement but does not meet the labor trafficking portion requirements of this bill. This screening tool was named as a cost estimate purpose only and will not be the tool used by DCYF.

Purchase of Goods and Services (object E) of a third-party validated assessment tool for screening for CSEC has two cost points. Yearly cost of the tool and training by the tool developer.

The annual subscription fee for a Public Agency with 5,000 or more clients served annually is \$9,500 per year. This will be an ongoing cost.

Training costs vary depending on the number of staff being trained. The cost for the Hotline & Intake track is \$3500 for up to 50 staff. The training is three hours per staff. Training costs for additional staff is \$140 per staff.

FY 25

Subscription fee: \$9,500 (\$7,600 GF-S)
Training fees: \$3,500 (\$2,800 GF-S)
Total: \$13,000 (\$10,400 GF-S)

FY 26

On-going Subscription fee: \$9,500 (\$7,600 GF-S)

Section 8(3)

This section of the bill allows for DCYF to file a temporary ex parte petition for Sexual Assault Protection Order (SAPO) on behalf of a minor when it has reason to believe that a minor lacks the ability or capacity to consent, on behalf of the minor.

The Attorney General Office has estimated the costs below based on the estimated 52 youth.

AGO costs:

There would be an increase in AGO services to file sexual assault protection orders and filing of petitions due to an increased number of youth coming to DCYF's attention.

AGO Assumptions:

DCYF will be billed for non-King County and King County rates:

FY 2026 and in each FY thereafter: \$20,000 for 0.1 non-King County AAG, and 0.1 King County AAG, 0.1 non-King County PL1, 0.1 King County PL1

FY 26

\$20,000 (\$17,000, GF-S) and ongoing

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

# III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

| Account | Account Title | Type     | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 001-1   | General Fund  | State    | 0       | 152,000 | 152,000 | 322,000 | 322,000 |
| 001-2   | General Fund  | Federal  | 0       | 6,000   | 6,000   | 14,000  | 14,000  |
|         |               | Total \$ | 0       | 158,000 | 158,000 | 336,000 | 336,000 |

## III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

|                                      | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| FTE Staff Years                      |         | 1.0     | 0.5     | 1.0     | 1.0     |
| A-Salaries and Wages                 |         | 80,000  | 80,000  | 160,000 | 160,000 |
| B-Employee Benefits                  |         | 33,000  | 33,000  | 66,000  | 66,000  |
| C-Professional Service Contracts     |         |         |         |         |         |
| E-Goods and Other Services           |         | 15,000  | 15,000  | 64,000  | 64,000  |
| G-Travel                             |         | 2,000   | 2,000   | 4,000   | 4,000   |
| J-Capital Outlays                    |         | 7,000   | 7,000   |         |         |
| M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers        |         |         |         |         |         |
| N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services |         |         |         |         |         |
| P-Debt Service                       |         |         |         |         |         |
| S-Interagency Reimbursements         |         |         |         |         |         |
| T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements        |         | 21,000  | 21,000  | 42,000  | 42,000  |
| 9-                                   |         |         |         |         |         |
| Total \$                             | 0       | 158,000 | 158,000 | 336,000 | 336,000 |

# III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

| Job Classification         | Salary | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|----------------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Program Specialist 4 (PS4) | 80,464 |         | 1.0     | 0.5     | 1.0     | 1.0     |
| Total FTEs                 |        |         | 1.0     | 0.5     | 1.0     | 1.0     |

## III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

| Program                       | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Children and Families (010)   |         | 13,000  | 13,000  | 60,000  | 60,000  |
| Juvenile Rehabilitation (020) |         | 124,000 | 124,000 | 234,000 | 234,000 |
| Program Support (090)         |         | 21,000  | 21,000  | 42,000  | 42,000  |
| Total \$                      |         | 158,000 | 158,000 | 336,000 | 336,000 |

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

There would be rule making required to change the definition of a dependent (section 1) and child abuse and neglect (section 2).

# **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

|   |   | _                               |                                  |
|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Bill Number:</b> 6006 2S SB  | Title: Victims of human trafficking   |                                 | 310-Department of Corrections    |
| Part I: Estimates   |   | •                               |                                  |
| No Fiscal Impact  |   |                                 |                                  |
| <b>Estimated Cash Receipts to:</b>  |   |                                 |                                  |
| NONE  |   |                                 |                                  |
| <b>Estimated Operating Expenditure</b>  | s from:   |                                 |                                  |
| Non-zer   | o but indeterminate cost and/or savings. P  | Please see discussion.          |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
| <b>Estimated Capital Budget Impact:</b>                                       |   |                                 |                                  |
| NONE  |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
|   |   |                                 |                                  |
| The cash receipts and expenditure es<br>and alternate ranges (if appropriate, | stimates on this page represent the most likely fisco<br>), are explained in Part II. | al impact. Factors impacting to | he precision of these estimates, |
| Check applicable boxes and follo  |   |                                 |                                  |
| If fiscal impact is greater than form Parts I-V.                              | \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current bienning                                      | um or in subsequent biennia     | , complete entire fiscal note    |
| X If fiscal impact is less than \$5   | 50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium  | or in subsequent biennia, co    | omplete this page only (Part I)  |
| Capital budget impact, compl  | lete Part IV.   |                                 |                                  |
| Requires new rule making, co  | omplete Part V.   |                                 |                                  |
| Legislative Contact: Ryan Gia   | nnini   | Phone: 3607867285               | Date: 02/06/2024                 |
| Agency Preparation: DeAnn P   | eterson   | Phone: (360) 725-8428           | Date: 02/09/2024                 |
| Agency Approval: Michael S  | Steenhout   | Phone: (360) 789-0480           | Date: 02/09/2024                 |
| OFM Review: Danya Cl  | evenger   | Phone: (360) 688-6413           | Date: 02/09/2024                 |

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

An ACT relating to supporting victims of human trafficking and sexual abuse; amending RCW 26.44.020, 26.44.030, 74.13.031, 7.105.100, 7.105.110, 7.105.225, 7.105.405, 7.105.500, 7.68.060, 9A.44.120, 9A.44.150, 9A.82.100, 10.97.130, and 42.56.240; reenacting and amending RCW 13.34.030, 7.105.010, and 9A.04.080; adding a new section to chapter 26.44 RCW; prescribing penalties; and providing an effective date.

2SSB 6006 impacts the Department of Corrections (DOC):

Section 20 states the bill takes effect July 1, 2025.

SSB 6006 impacts to DOC:

This substitute bill does not have additional changes that affect DOC.

SB 6006 previous bill language:

Section 13 amends RCW 9A.04.080 by removing the existing statute of limitations for three sex offenses and adding two additional sex offenses to the list of offenses that can be prosecuted any time after the commission of the offense.

Section 19 states that if any provision of the act is held invalid, the remainder of the act is not affected.

## II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The fiscal impact of this bill is indeterminate, assumed to be less than \$50,000 per Fiscal Year (FY).

The Caseload Forecast Council (CFC) has no data concerning the length of time between the commission or discovery of offenses and the commencing of prosecution, and, therefore, cannot reliably predict jail and prison bed impacts resulting from the bill. However, as the bill removes the statute of limitations for multiple sex offenses, there is the possibility that more sex offenses may be prosecuted, resulting in more convictions, which would increase the need for jail beds and prison beds.

All the offenses that had a changed statute of limitations under the provision of the bill are sex offenses. For individuals releasing from a non-prison sentence, the community custody term may be up to one year; and for those releasing from prison, three years is required, regardless of risk to reoffend.

Given the above, any convictions based on the provisions of this bill, may increase DOC's Community Custody caseload.

## **ASSUMPTIONS:**

1) The estimated ADP impact to DOC prison facilities/institutions and/or community supervision/violator caseloads is based

on projections from CFC.

- 2) We assume a Direct Variable Cost (DVC) of \$7,630 per incarcerated individual per FY to facilitate cost discussions during legislative session for bills. This cost estimate includes prison and health services' direct variable costs. It does not include staffing or dollars necessary for staffing needed at the facility outside of the living/housing units. The DVC is calculated by DOC and reviewed and approved with the Office of Financial Management, Senate, and House staff each legislative session.
- 3) For illustration purposes only, the average annual Community Supervision caseload model is \$6,101 per ADP (not including startup costs), regardless of the supervised risk level based on the workload model. If ADP impacts are applicable to this fiscal note, the calculated rate per community supervision ADP includes direct supervision and ancillary units, such as Hearings, Records, and Training, that are directly affected by supervision population changes. The estimate will vary based on the risk level of the supervised individuals, which requires different staffing levels. The population trend data used is based on the Risk Level Classification tool and provides a risk level of 42.8% high violent, 27.3% high non-violent, 21% moderate, 7.9% low, and 1.0% unclassified. (June November 2017)
- 4) The DOC assumes that any increase in community supervision caseload will result in an increased need for violator beds. For illustration, the FY2023 average percentage of supervised individuals who served jail time and were billed by the local jurisdictions for violating their conditions of supervision was a rate of 2.0%. The current average daily cost for jail beds is \$114.43 per day, inclusive of all risk levels and healthcare costs. The rate is an average, and actual rates vary by local correctional facilities.
- 5) We assume additional impacts will result when ADP (Average Daily Population) caseload changes in either prison or community and resources will be necessary. The DOC will "true up" our fiscal impact in subsequent budget submittals should the legislation be enacted into session law.

# Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

**NONE** 

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

# Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

# IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

# Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

# LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

|                |   |                       | 1   |                        |                         |                      |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Bill Number:   | 6006 2S SB                                    | Title: Vi             | ctims of human traffic                      | eking                  |                         |                      |
| Part I: Juri   | isdiction-Loca                                | tion, type or star    | tus of political sub                        | odivision defines 1    | range of fiscal impa    | acts.                |
| Legislation 1  | Impacts:                                      |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
|                | pproximately \$78,65<br>sts as a result of mo |                       |   | modified criminal of   | fenses; indeterminate l | aw enforcement, ja   |
|                | • •   |                       | enforcement training as a result of modifie |                        | offenses; indetermina   | te law enforcement   |
| Special Dist   | cricts:                                       |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| Specific juri  | isdictions only:                              |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| Variance occ   | curs due to:                                  |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| Part II: Es    | stimates                                      |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| No fiscal in   | npacts.                                       |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| X Expenditure  | es represent one-tim                          | ne costs: Approxi     | mately \$105,644 for 1                      | local law enforcemen   | t training on modified  | criminal offenses    |
| Legislation    | provides local option                         | on:                   |   |                        |                         |                      |
| X Key variabl  | les cannot be estima                          | ated with certainty a | at this time: Number occur                  | r of additional incide | nts of modified crimina | al offenses that may |
| Estimated revo | enue impacts to:                              |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| None           |   |                       |   |                        |                         |                      |
| Estimated exp  | enditure impacts t                            | 0:                    |   |                        |                         |                      |
| Jurisdiction   |   | FY 2024               | FY 2025                                     | 2023-25                | 2025-27                 | 2027-29              |

| Jurisdiction   | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | 2023-25 | 2025-27 | 2027-29 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| City           |         |         |         | 78,656  |         |
| County         |         |         |         | 26,988  |         |
| TOTAL \$       |         |         |         | 105,644 |         |
| GRAND TOTAL \$ |         | =       | •       | -       | 105.644 |

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

# Part III: Preparation and Approval

| Fiscal Note Analyst: James Vogl       | Phone: | 360-480-9429   | Date: | 02/08/2024 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|----------------|-------|------------|
| Leg. Committee Contact: Ryan Giannini | Phone: | 3607867285     | Date: | 02/06/2024 |
| Agency Approval: Alice Zillah         | Phone: | 360-725-5035   | Date: | 02/08/2024 |
| OFM Review: Danya Clevenger           | Phone: | (360) 688-6413 | Date: | 02/09/2024 |

Page 1 of 3 Bill Number: 6006 2S SB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

# Part IV: Analysis A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This fiscal note analyzes the local government impact of 2SSB 6006, comparing it to the impact of SSB 6006.

## CHANGES BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

Section 5 of the proposed second substitute would add responsibilities for the Department of Children, Youth and Families in the cases of children identified as victims of sex trafficking or victims of severe forms of trafficking in persons.

Section 20 would change the effective date of the bill from January 1, 2025 to July 1, 2025.

#### SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:

Section 4 of the proposed legislation would add a new section to chapter 26.44 RCW, requiring juvenile justice agencies to use a validated assessment tool to screen children for commercial sexual abuse of a minor (CSAM) and report such abuse and neglect pursuant to RCW 26.44.030 if the agency has reasonable cause to believe a child in its custody has suffered CSAM.

Section 8 would amend RCW 7.105.110, creating the local option for law enforcement agencies to file a petition for an ex parte temporary sexual assault protection order on behalf of a minor.

Section 13 would amend RCW 9A.04.080, removing the statute of limitations on trafficking if the victim is under 18, CSAM, promoting CSAM, promoting travel for CSAM and permitting CSAM.

Section 20 states that the proposed legislation would take effect on July 1, 2025.

## B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

#### CHANGE IN EXPENDITURE IMPACT BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

By changing the effective date of the bill from January 1, 2025 to July 1, 2025, the second substitute bill would result in the training costs below being incurred in fiscal year 2026 instead of fiscal year 2025.

#### EXPENDITURE IMPACT OF CURRENT BILL:

The proposed legislation would require \$105,644 in one-time costs, in addition to other indeterminate local government expenditure impacts.

According to the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC), all local law enforcement officers would need to go through training regarding the elimination of the statute of limitations for multiple sex offenses. WASPC estimates that between five and 15 minutes of training would be required per law enforcement officer. Taking the midpoint of this range, the Local Government Fiscal Note Program estimates that an average of 10 minutes of training would be required per law enforcement officer. This training would require a one-time cost of \$78,656 for cities and \$26,988 for counties, for a total one-time cost to local governments of \$105,644.

The 2022 Crime in Washington Report conducted by WASPC states that there are 6,647 commissioned officers in police departments and 2,249 commissioned officers in sheriff's departments, for a total of 8,896 commissioned law enforcement employees that would require training. The 2024 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model estimates the average hourly salary (including benefits and overhead) for an officer employed by a city to be \$71, and the same figure for an officer employed by a county to be \$72. If every officer in Washington had to complete approximately 10 minutes of training, the cost to local governments would be:

Page 2 of 3 Bill Number: 6006 2S SB

Cities:

6,647 officers X (1/6) hours X \$71 average hourly salary plus benefits and overhead = \$78,656

Counties

2,249 officers X (1/6) hours X \$72 average hourly salary plus benefits and overhead = \$26,988

Total:

\$78,656 + \$26,988 = \$105,644

Training materials and time required may differ among different departments, however.

WASPC indicates that sections 4 and 8 of the proposed legislation would have no impact on local law enforcement expenditures.

According to the Washington State Caseload Forecast Council's (CFC) fiscal note for this bill, removing the statute of limitations from multiple sex offenses could increase demand for both jail and prison beds. CFC cannot predict what the magnitude of this increased demand might be, however, so the associated impact on local government expenditures is indeterminate.

The 2024 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model estimates that the average daily cost of occupying a jail bed is \$145.

As a result of removing the statute of limitations from multiple sex offenses, local law enforcement, prosecutors and public defenders could incur costs from processing additional incidents of these offenses. It is unknown how many additional incidents of these offenses may be processed, however, so the associated impact on local government expenditures is indeterminate.

The 2024 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model estimates that the combined law enforcement, prosecution and public defense costs of processing the sex offenses listed in the proposed legislation range from \$5,711 to \$15,725.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The proposed legislation would have no impact on local government revenues.

SOURCES:

Crime in Washington Report, 2022 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Criminal Justice Cost Model, 2024 Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs Washington State Caseload Forecast Council

Page 3 of 3 Bill Number: 6006 2S SB