Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 2030 HB

Title: Voting rights/convictions

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Local Gov. Total

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25					2025-27					2027-29	
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	4,300	4,300	4,300	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	(
Office of the Secretary of State	.0	21,000	21,000	21,000	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	(
Office of the Secretary of State Department of	In addition to the estimate above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see individual fiscal note.											
Corrections				0								
Total \$	0.0	25,300	25,300	25,300	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	(
Agency Name			2023-2	5			2025-27			2027-2	29	
FTEs GF-State Total			FT	Es GF-	State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total			
Local Gov. Courts												
	Loc School dist-SPI											
Local Gov. Other Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.												

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of the Secretary of State	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Corrections	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	ency Name 2023-25			2025-27			2027-29		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts									
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Gov. Other Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Prepared by: Cheri Keller, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 584-2207	Revised 3/7/2024

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2030 HB Title: Voting rights/convictions	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
---	---

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
State FTE Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1		4,300	4,300		
State Subtotal \$		4,300	4,300		
COUNTY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V.

X If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact	Connor Schiff	Phone: 360-786-7093	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Preparation:	Chris Conn	Phone: 360-704-5512	Date: 01/11/2024
Agency Approval:	Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 01/11/2024
OFM Review:	Gaius Horton	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 01/11/2024

189,702.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

Request # 68-1 Bill # <u>2030 HB</u>

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

This bill amends the definition of "infamous crime" within RCW 29A.04.079. A person's right to vote is revoked by criminal conviction only if convicted of an infamous crime. Right to vote for those convicted of a infamous crime is automatically restored if not serving a sentence of total confinement.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

II. C - Expenditures

FISCAL IMPACT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

For Sections 1, the cost would be \$4,300 based on the following assumptions:

Legal Services Senior Analyst. Beginning July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2024, AOC would require salary, benefits, and associated standard costs for 0.02 FTE to update / revise forms and benchbooks.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages		2,500	2,500		
Employee Benefits		800	800		
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services		100	100		
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements		900	900		
Total \$		4,300	4,300		

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

189,702.00

Form FN (Rev 1/00)

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

None

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2030 HB Title: Voting rights/convictions	Agency: 085-Office of the Secretary of State
---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29		
Account							
General Fund-State 001-1	0	21,000	21,000	0	0		
Total \$ 0 21,000 21,000 0							
In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.							

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Connor Schiff	Phone: 360-786-7093	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Preparation:	Bonnie Luntzel	Phone: 360-570-5575	Date: 01/12/2024
Agency Approval:	Mike Woods	Phone: (360) 704-5215	Date: 01/12/2024
OFM Review:	Cheri Keller	Phone: (360) 584-2207	Date: 01/12/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 3(2) would amend 29A.08.520 by requiring the Office Secretary of State (OSOS) at least once a month, to compare the list of registered voters to the list of people convicted of an infamous crime, in a Washington State Court. There is no fiscal impact to OSOS.

(RCW 29A.04.079 defines an infamous crime as: An "infamous crime" is a crime punishable by death in the state penitentiary or imprisonment in a state or federal correctional facility. Neither an adjudication in juvenile court pursuant to chapter 13.40 RCW, nor a conviction for a misdemeanor or gross misdemeanor, is an "infamous crime.")

Section 4 would amend 29A.08.230 RCW and require an updated oath on the voter registration form, to reflect the changes of this bill, as proposed by the legislature.

Section 5(4) would amend 29A.08.810 by changing the challenge criteria from "felony" to "infamous crime". The OSOS assumes that it would have no fiscal impact.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Partially indeterminate impact

Section 4 the OSOS assumes that it would be required to print 100,000 new voter registration forms with the updated oath, for a total fiscal impact of \$11,100. This would be a one-time expenditure because future voter registration forms would be printed with the updated oath.

Section 6(2) the proposed legislation would require a revised declaration that the voter must sign. For context, some counties may procure envelopes in bulk to reduce per piece cost, but this inventory, if any, would need to be reprinted. The fiscal impact of reprinting envelopes would be prorated by the counties to the OSOS through election cost reimbursement for the odd-year election in 2023 (FY 2024). Moreover, the proposed legislation's expenditure impact on the OSOS would be indeterminate until additional information is available to determine the total number of envelopes each county would need to reprint (if any), the number of offices and issues on the ballot for the state and each jurisdiction, and the cost to reprint the envelope inventory. The OSOS assumes this expenditure impact would be one-time, as future envelopes would be printed with the updated declaration. Furthermore, due to the change of language of the declaration that would be printed on the ballot envelope, there would be an indeterminate increase in the state's prorated share of election cost based on the need of the auditor's office to replace approximately two years' worth of envelope inventory.

In an effort to educate Washingtonians about this change in voter eligibility, the OSOS would be required to update the Felony Conviction and Voting Rights Restoration brochure in four languages, namely English, Spanish, Chinese and Vietnamese, in addition to other outreach materials to the state's prison facilities. This fiscal impact on OSOS is estimated to be \$10,000.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Туре	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29	
001-1	General Fund	State	0	21,000	21,000	0	0	
		Total \$	0	21,000	21,000	0	0	
In addition to the estimates share there are additional indeterminate costs and/or serings. Diagon and discussion								

In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2024	FY 2025	2023-25	2025-27	2027-29
FTE Staff Years					
A-Salaries and Wages					
B-Employee Benefits					
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services		21,000	21,000		
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-					
Total \$	0	21,000	21,000	0	0
In addition to the estimates above, there are additional indeterminate costs and/or savings. Please see discussion.					

III. C - **Operating FTE Detail:** *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

- IV. A Capital Budget Expenditures NONE
- IV. B Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods. NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 2030 HB Title: Voting rights/convictions Agency: 310-Department of Corrections	
---	--

Part I: Estimates

No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

X If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Connor Schiff	Phone: 360-786-7093	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Preparation:	Ellen Hafer	Phone: (360) 725-8428	Date: 03/05/2024
Agency Approval:	Michael Steenhout	Phone: (360) 789-0480	Date: 03/05/2024
OFM Review:	Danya Clevenger	Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 03/07/2024

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

House Bill (HB) 2030 is an act relating to revoking a person's voting rights only when convicted of a state crime punishable by death.

Section 1 amends RCW 29A.04.079 to define an infamous crime for purposes of voter qualifications as a state crime punishable by death.

Section 2 adds a new section to RCW 29A.08 that states a person's right to vote is revoked by reason of a criminal conviction only if that person is convicted of an infamous crime in a Washington state court. This section also states that such a person's right to vote is automatically restored in accordance with RCW 29A.08.520.

Section 3(1) amends RCW 29A.08.520 to state that a person's right to vote will be automatically restored as long as the person is not serving a sentence of total confinement under the jurisdiction of the Department of Corrections (DOC). A person who has been convicted of an infamous crime in a Washington state court and whose right to vote has been automatically restored must reregister to vote prior to voting.

Section 7(3) amends RCW 29A.68.020 changing "convicted of a felony by a court of competent jurisdiction" to "an infamous crime in a Washington state court" as a cause that may be asserted by a registered voter to challenge the right to assume office of a candidate declared elected to that office, to challenge the right of a candidate to appear on the general election ballot after a primary, or to challenge certification of the result of an election on any measure.

Section 9(1) removes the language "unless a person is being released from a department of corrections facility to an out-of-state jurisdiction or federal detention center, pursuant to a felony conviction," from RCW 72.09.275.

Section 10(5) amends RCW 2.36.070 changing "a felony" to "an infamous crime as defined in RCW 29A.04.079" as a reason someone would be disqualified from serving as a juror in the state of Washington.

The effective date is assumed to be 90 days after adjournment of the session in which this bill is passed.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

None.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The fiscal impact of this bill to DOC is indeterminate, assumed to be greater than \$50,000 per Fiscal Year (FY).

Under the provisions of the bill, incarcerated individuals that are not convicted of an infamous crime and serving a sentence of total confinement will be allowed the right to vote. No offense in Washington state remains subject to the death penalty. As such, the bill would eliminate the existing voter disqualification for incarcerated felony offenders and effectively allow all incarcerated felons to vote.

As of December 31, 2023, there were 12,854 individuals incarcerated in Washington state DOC prisons.

It is unclear if individuals transferred out of state would be eligible to vote under HB 2030.

The amended language to RCW 29A.68.020 in Section 7(3) appears to allow incarcerated individuals in Washington state DOC prisons to run for and hold public office.

The amended language to RCW 2.36.070 in Section 10(5) appears to make incarcerated individuals in Washington state DOC prisons eligible receive a summons for jury duty and be selected to serve on a jury.

The DOC would have to facilitate voter registration, provide access to education materials and ballots/voting for eligible incarcerated individuals four times each year in partnership with the Secretary of State's office and local state auditors.

Increased costs associated with incarcerated individuals serving on a jury or participating in in-person meetings or other events related to holding public office would primarily come from increased transport and custody watch teams, and vehicle and fuel costs.

The DOC assumes the costs of implementing this bill will be significant, although the impact cannot be reliably estimated without further information. It is assumed that DOC will "true-up" the needs requested in this fiscal note in a future decision package should this legislation be signed into session law.

Cost assumptions for illustrative purposes:

The DOC assumes resources would be needed to facilitate voter registration. It is unclear if eligible incarcerated persons would be registered to vote based on their current residence (a DOC facility) or last known address. Each option presents unique challenges and fiscal impacts to DOC. Resources would be required to assist incarcerated individuals with voting in order to meet the needs of those with learning disabilities and literacy challenges as well as those who require interpretive and translation services. The costs to establish a dedicated voter navigator position at each of 11 facilities (1.0 FTE per prison facility, and one additional 1.0 FTE each for both Monroe Correctional Complex and Washington State Penitentiary) to manage voter registration are 13.0 Corrections Specialist 2 FTEs at a cost of \$1,839,670 in FY 2025 and 13.0 FTEs and \$1,746,720 in FY 2026 and each FY thereafter.

Additionally, an estimated annual budget of \$89,000 would be required for voting material printing costs, \$10,000 for each of the 8 major prison facilities and \$3,000 for each of the 3 minimum security camps.

Customization of the Offender Management Network Information (OMNI) system is needed to meet the requirements of this legislation. The OMNI system needs to be enhanced to track the voting eligibility status of incarcerated individuals.

Cost Calculation Estimate: IT Application Developer | \$185 per hour x 8 hours = \$1,480 IT Quality Assurance | \$185 per hour x 8 hours = \$1,480 IT Business Analyst | \$185 per hour x 8 hours = \$1,480 Total One-Time Costs in FY 2025: \$4,000 (rounded to the nearest thousand)

The DOC requests funding for the indirect costs of agency administration which includes 2.0 FTEs and \$228,332 in FY2025 and ongoing for the purpose of supporting Payroll, Human Resources, Information Technology, and other expenses associated with the hiring and employment of staff to implement this legislation. The approved agency indirect rate and associated administrative support costs are calculated based on the salaries and benefits of staff conducting back office administrative functions, divided by all remaining salaries and benefits.

Other indeterminate cost assumptions that cannot be reliably estimated at this time, and therefore aren't included in DOC's

calculations include:

• The DOC assumes the cost of contracted in-person translation services is approximately \$75.00 per hour.

• The DOC would need to work with the Secretary of State's office and local state auditors to develop a process for incarcerated individual voting. The voting process, whether mail-in or in-person voting, will have significant impacts and could create legal and operational challenges for DOC, but there are too many unknowns at this time to provide accurate estimates. However, if local state auditors established voting centers to assist incarcerated individuals with the voting process, DOC would need to establish a temporary security post at each voting center at a cost of \$2,681 per week, per post.

Any needed transportation and custody watch teams would cost approximately \$134.00 per hour, or \$5,363 per 40-hour week for a two-officer team. This estimate does not include the additional indeterminate vehicle and fuel costs.
Additional FTEs may be needed to provide overtime relief for the voter navigators.

Total estimated annual increase by FY (rounded to the nearest thousand):

- FY2025: 15.0 FTEs and \$2,161,000
- FY2026: 15.0 FTEs and \$2,064,000
- FY2027: 15.0 FTEs and \$2,064,000
- FY2028: 15.0 FTEs and \$2,064,000
- FY2029: 15.0 FTEs and \$2,064,000

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.
III.	B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose
	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods. NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

None.

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number:	2030 HB	Title:	Voting rights/convictions	
Part I: Juri	isdiction-Locatio	on, type of	or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.	
Legislation	Impacts:			
X Counties:	X Counties: County auditors would experience indeterminate but likely substantial impacts resulting from the proposed legislation that would change the oath that is printed on ballot envelopes, and would allow or restore voting rights to incarcerated individuals not convicted of crimes punishable by death.			
Special Dist	Special Districts:			
Specific juri	isdictions only:			
Variance oc	curs due to:			
Part II: Estimates				
No fiscal in	npacts.			
X Expenditure	Expenditures represent one-time costs: County auditors would experience indeterminate but substantial one-time ballot reprintin costs in 2025.			
Legislation	provides local option:			
X Key variabl	es cannot be estimated	d with certa	ainty at this time: Number of people that would have their right to vote restored; which agency would perform voter notification, education and registration; the address incarcerated individuals will use on their voter registration if they register to vote.	
Estimated reve	enue impacts to:			
None				
Estimated exp	enditure impacts to:			

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kate Fernald	Phone: 564-200-3519	Date: 01/16/2024
Leg. Committee Contact: Connor Schiff	Phone: 360-786-7093	Date: 01/09/2024
Agency Approval: Allan Johnson	Phone: 360-725-5033	Date: 01/16/2024
OFM Review: Cheri Keller	Phone: (360) 584-2207	Date: 01/16/2024

Bill Number: 2030 HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

Part IV: Analysis A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

The proposed legislation would allow people convicted of crimes to retain their right to vote unless they are convicted of a crime punishable by death. People whose right to vote was revoked due to a conviction would have their right to vote restored so long as their conviction is not punishable by death.

Sec. 1 would amend RCW 29A.04.079. People convicted of felonies not punishable by death would have the right to vote, including people serving a sentence in total confinement. Currently, a person with a felony conviction has their right to vote revoked while serving a sentence of total confinement, but that right to vote is restored when they are no longer incarcerated.

Sec. 2 adds a new section to chapter 29A.08 RCW. People who have had their right to vote revoked due to a criminal conviction would have their right to vote restored so long as their crime is not considered a crime punishable by death.

Sec. 3 amends RCW 29A.08.520 to require people who have had their right to vote restored under Section 2 of this act to register to vote prior to voting. Additionally, a person convicted of an "infamous crime" in Washington state whose right to vote was automatically restored would also be required to register to vote before voting.

Sec. 4 would amend RCW 29A.08.230 to change the oath voters are required to sign on their voter registrations.

Sec. 5 would amend RCW 29A.08.810 to change the requirements to challenge a person's right to vote.

Sec. 6 would amend RCW 29A.40.091. The declaration that a voter must sign would be amended to reflect the changes proposed by this legislation.

Sec. 7 would amend RCW 29A.68.020 so that the proposed legislation is reflected in causes that may be asserted by a registered voter to:

-- challenge an elected candidate's right to assume office

- -- challenge the right of a candidate to appear on the general election ballot after a primary, or
- -- challenge certification of an election on any measure.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

County auditors would experience indeterminate but likely substantial impacts resulting from the proposed legislation that would change the oath that is printed on ballot envelopes, and would allow or restore voting rights to incarcerated individuals not convicted of crimes punishable by death.

Please note that the Washington State Association of County Auditors (WSACA) reports that it is not possible for 33 of 39 counties to reprint ballot envelopes for the 2024 primary and general election because there is not enough time for it to be done.

BALLOT ENVELOPE COSTS:

The majority of counties (33 of 39 counties) would experience indeterminate but likely substantial impacts resulting from the proposed legislation that would change the oath that is printed on ballot envelopes. WSACA notes that county elections offices often experience supply chain issues with envelopes. Because counties cannot run out of election envelopes, 33 counties have sufficient stock on hand to conduct at least the current year's elections. The other six counties use a print-as-they-go method for ballot envelope printing and mailing.

2024:

Reprinting ballot envelopes for the 2024 primary and general election is not possible, according to WSACA, because the envelopes could not be printed in time.

2025:

Additionally, WSACA reports that most counties will have also already printed their ballot envelopes for the 2025 elections. Those counties would experience indeterminate but likely substantial envelope re-printing costs. The cost of re-printing ballot envelopes is not known at this time, so the cost estimate is indeterminate until additional information is available.

2026:

Starting in 2026 and beyond, WSACA anticipates envelopes would be printed with the updated oath, so the additional expenditure impacts would be significantly diminished if not eliminated.

Variance:

Price per ballot envelope varies by county because counties use different sizes of ballot envelopes. Some use standard, others use custom, causing the price per envelope to vary. Therefore, the price per envelope is indeterminate until additional information becomes available.

VOTER NOTIFICATION, EDUCATION, AND REGISTRATION COSTS:

County election offices could experience indeterminate but potentially substantial impacts if they are expected to provide voter notification, education and registration to incarcerated individuals who would have their right to vote restored by the bill.

The bill is silent on how affected voters would be notified that their right to vote has been restored, and educated on how to participate in the next election. Because the bill does not require county election offices to perform voter notification, education or registration, the potential cost impact of these duties is indeterminate but potentially substantial to counties in which facilities are located if auditors are expected to perform these duties. Furthermore, these impacts may or may not be limited to those where state facilities are located. It is unknown what counties' share of these costs will be and what would be borne by Department of Corrections or other entities.

Notification:

Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs (WASPC) anticipates 2,490 currently sentenced and confined individuals in jails would have their right to vote restored by the bill. WASPC also points out that most jail administrators would send an incarcerated person's request to vote to the county auditor. The jail administrator would then expect the auditor to determine if the person is eligible to vote, potentially creating substantial work for counties.

Education:

Regarding voter education in jails, WASPC notes that they do not want sheriffs, their designees, or jails that report to other elected officials (county or city councils) to instruct incarcerated people on voting. They would rely on auditors to provide voter education, but the bill would not require auditors to perform this duty, so the voter education impact to county auditors is indeterminate until voter education duties are clarified.

Registration:

County election offices could experience indeterminate impacts resulting from new voter registrations. The bill does not specify if affected voters would register under their last known residential address prior to incarceration, or if the person would register using the address of the facility in which they are incarcerated. If voters use the facilities' addresses for their voter registrations, then small counties with large prisons or jails could see substantial increases in their number of registered voters. Because the voter registration address issue is not determined by the bill, the impact is indeterminate.

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The legislation would not impact local governments' revenue.

SOURCES:

Washington Association of County Officials Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs Washington Secretary of State's website: "Felony Convictions and Voting Rights" Washington State Association of County Auditors