Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1347 HB

Title: Cannabis testing labs

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | | 2029-31 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|------|----------|-------------|-------|------|----------|-------------|-------|
| | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total | FTEs | GF-State | NGF-Outlook | Total |
| Liquor and Cannabis Board | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Department of Agriculture | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total \$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

| Agency Name | 2025-27 | | | 2027-29 | | | 2029-31 | | |
|---------------------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|
| | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total | FTEs | Bonds | Total |
| Liquor and Cannabis | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 |
| Board | | | | | | | | | |
| Department of | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 | .0 | 0 | 0 |
| Agriculture | | | | | | | | | |
| Total \$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 |

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

NONE

| Prepared by: Val Terre, OFM | Phone: | Date Published: |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | (360) 280-3073 | Final 1/23/2025 |

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 1347 HB Title: Cannabis testing labs Agency: 195-Liquor and Cannabis Board |
|---|
|---|

Part I: Estimates

X No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from: NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

| Legislative Contact: | Peter Clodfelter | Phone: 360-786-7127 | Date: 01/20/2025 |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Agency Preparation: | Colin O Neill | Phone: (360) 664-4552 | Date: 01/22/2025 |
| Agency Approval: | Aaron Hanson | Phone: 360-664-1701 | Date: 01/22/2025 |
| OFM Review: | Val Terre | Phone: (360) 280-3073 | Date: 01/23/2025 |

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1(2): The board must accept the accreditation of a testing laboratory by the department of agriculture as the sole basis for establishing the initial certification of the laboratory and any subsequent renewals of certification as long as the laboratory has not been found to have violated the requirements established by the board.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

No fiscal impact as the bill requires the LCB to accept the accreditation by the Department of Agriculture. The work that is being done by the LCB currently in regards to the accreditation process is minimal.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.* NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods. NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 1347 HB | Title: Cannabis testing labs | Agency: 4 | 95-Department of Agricultur | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Part I: Estimates | | | | | | |
| X No Fiscal Impact | | | | | | |
| Estimated Cash Receipts to: | | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | | |
| Estimated Operating Expenditure NONE | s from: | | | | | |
| Estimated Capital Budget Impact: | | | | | | |
| NONE | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| The cash receipts and expenditure es and alternate ranges (if appropriate) | timates on this page represent the most likely fisca , are explained in Part II. | l impact. Factors impacting the | precision of these estimates, | | | |
| Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: | | | | | | |
| If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V. | | | | | | |
| If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). | | | | | | |
| Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. | | | | | | |
| Requires new rule making, co | omplete Part V. | | | | | |
| Legislative Contact: Peter Clos | lfelter | Phone: 360-786-7127 | Date: 01/20/2025 | | | |
| Agency Preparation: Trecia Eh | rlich | Phone: 360-584-3711 | Date: 01/22/2025 | | | |
| Agency Approval: Nicholas . | Johnson | Phone: (360) 902-2055 | Date: 01/22/2025 | | | |

Matthew Hunter

OFM Review:

Date: 01/22/2025

Phone: (360) 529-7078

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Under current law, Washington State Department of Agriculture (WSDA) audits and accredits cannabis laboratories to the standards set forth in WAC 16-309. The WSDA notifies the accreditation status of each laboratory to the Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB)

The proposed legislation would clarify that the sole basis for the LCB determining their "certification status" of a lab, would be the accreditation status provided by the WSDA. This would require no changes by the WSDA, as currently, we accredit the labs and notify LCB of the status.

WSDA does not have any fiscal impact from the proposed legislation.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

- **III. A Operating Budget Expenditures** NONE
- III. B Expenditures by Object Or Purpose NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.* NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

- IV. A Capital Budget Expenditures NONE
- IV. B Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods. NONE

Cannabis testing labs Form FN (Rev 1/00) 197,930.00 FNS063 Individual State Agency Fiscal Note IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.