## **Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary**

Bill Number: 1248 S HB Title: Juvenile justice programs

## **Estimated Cash Receipts**

Agency Name	2025-27			2027-29			2029-31			
	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	0	0	41,000	0	0	40,000	0	0	40,000	
Total \$	0	0	41,000	0	0	40,000	0	0	40,000	

### **Estimated Operating Expenditures**

Agency Name		2025-27				2027-29				2029-31			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	33,900	33,900	33,900	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	1.0	238,000	238,000	279,000	1.0	232,000	232,000	272,000	1.0	232,000	232,000	272,000	
The Evergreen State College	.5	157,858	157,858	157,858	.5	164,206	164,206	164,206	.5	170,809	170,809	170,809	
Total \$	1.5	429,758	429,758	470,758	1.5	396,206	396,206	436,206	1.5	402,809	402,809	442,809	

Agency Name		2025-27			2027-29			2029-31		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts										
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.									
Local Gov. Total										

## **Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures**

Agency Name		2025-27			2027-29			2029-31	
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Department of Children, Youth, and Families	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
The Evergreen State College	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total \$	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name		2025-27			2027-29			2029-31		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	
Local Gov. Courts										
Loc School dist-SPI										
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.									
Local Gov. Total										

## **Estimated Capital Budget Breakout**

Prepared by: Ramona Nabors, OFM	Phone:	Date Published:
	(360) 742-8948	Final 2/28/2025

## **Judicial Impact Fiscal Note**

Bill Number:	1248 S HB	Title:	Juvenile justice programs	Agency:	055-Administrative Office of the Courts
Part I: Estir					
<b>Estimated Cash</b>	Receipts to:				

#### **Estimated Expenditures from:**

NONE

STATE	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
State FTE Staff Years					
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	33,900		33,900		
State Subtotal \$	33,900		33,900		
COUNTY	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$		·			

#### **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

**NONE** 

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060. Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions: If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V. If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I). Capital budget impact, complete Part IV. Legislative Contact Yvonne Walker Phone: 360-786-7841 Date: 02/10/2025 Agency Preparation: Chris Conn Phone: 360-704-5512 Date: 02/19/2025 Agency Approval: Chris Stanley Phone: 360-357-2406 Date: 02/19/2025

202,222.00 Request # 188-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 1 Bill # 1248 S HB

Phone: (360) 819-3112

Date: 02/20/2025

Gaius Horton

ΦFM Review:

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The substitute bill deletes Section 2. By removing this section, all language related to age limitations for juvenile diversion agreements were removed. This will reduce some of the impacts initially anticipated on juvenile courts by not requiring them to change their diversion practices.

#### II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

None

#### II. C - Expenditures

IMPACT TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS

TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS: \$33,900 for FY26.

Impacts to AOC:

- · System changes
- New bench book updates

One time cost of \$5,000 for updates to publications.

System change estimates are 80 hours for preparing requirements, system development and configurations, quality assurance testing, manual updates, and business process documentation. These are the systems that would be affected:

• Enterprise Data Repository (EDR)

The estimate included in the judicial impact note is \$28,900 based on 80 staff hours at an average of approximately \$212 per hour across multiple job classifications needed to complete the interface (Business Analyst, System Integrator, Senior System Integrator, IT Supervisor, and Manager).

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

#### III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<u>State</u>	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Wages	17,000		17,000		
Employee Benefits	5,200		5,200		
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services	6,000		6,000		
Travel					
Capital Outlays					
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements	5,700		5,700		
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$	33,900		33,900		

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

202,222.00 Request # 188-1 Form FN (Rev 1/00) 2 Bill # 1248 S HB

#### III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

**NONE** 

III. D - FTE Detail

**NONE** 

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

**NONE** 

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

**NONE** 

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

**NONE** 

None

## **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

Youth, and Families
---------------------

### **Part I: Estimates**

	No	Fiscal	Impact
--	----	--------	--------

#### **Estimated Cash Receipts to:**

ACCOUNT			FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
General Fund-Federal	001-2		21,000	20,000	41,000	40,000	40,000
		Total \$	21,000	20,000	41,000	40,000	40,000

#### **Estimated Operating Expenditures from:**

		FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Account						
General Fund-State	001-1	122,000	116,000	238,000	232,000	232,000
General Fund-Federal	001-2	21,000	20,000	41,000	40,000	40,000
	Total \$	143,000	136,000	279,000	272,000	272,000

#### **Estimated Capital Budget Impact:**

**NONE** 

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

X	If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
	If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
	Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.
	Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/10/2025
Agency Preparation:	Jay Treat	Phone: 360-556-6313	Date: 02/12/2025
Agency Approval:	Crystal Lester	Phone: 360-628-3960	Date: 02/12/2025
OFM Review:	Danya Clevenger	Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 02/26/2025

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Comparison of 1248-SHB to 1248-HB:

Section 1(2) specifies that biennial outcome evaluations for juvenile justice programs identified as "evidence-based" are also approved for funding by the block grant oversight committee.

Section 2 is removed. This section related to the age limitation for juvenile diversion agreement.

This bill relates to improving the effectiveness of juvenile justice programs by providing ongoing evaluaton and clarifying juvenile diversion practices.

Section 1(1)(c) requires The Department of Children, Youth, and Families (DCYF) along with the Department of Social and Health Services, to prioritize the evaluation of promising programs in Juvenile Justice.

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Title IV-E and T-19, the federal reimbursement is 14.7 percent. The agency estimates eligible reimbursement of \$41,000.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The DCYF estimates \$279,000 (\$238,000 GF-S and \$41,000 GF-F) and 1.0 Full Time Equivalent Staff (FTE) in the 2025-2027 Biennial Budget.

Section 1(1)(c).

1.0 FTE (approximately 2,088 hours) per fiscal year Management Analyst 5 (MA5) on-going. This staff will provide planning and carrying out two program evaluations per year. The current inventory of promising programs include six programs that would need to be evaluated. Assuming a three-year evaluation cycle, this would be two programs per year.

The current inventory of promising programs include six programs: Aggression Replacement Training, Canine training, Multi System Therapy-Education Employment Training (MST-EET), Trauma Affect Regulations: Guide for Education and Therapy (TARGET), Dialectical Behavioir Therapy (DBT) and Youth advocate programs-mentoring.

### Part III: Expenditure Detail

#### III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
001-1	General Fund	State	122,000	116,000	238,000	232,000	232,000
001-2	General Fund	Federal	21,000	20,000	41,000	40,000	40,000
		Total \$	143,000	136,000	279,000	272,000	272,000

#### III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
A-Salaries and Wages	98,000	98,000	196,000	196,000	196,000
B-Employee Benefits	32,000	32,000	64,000	64,000	64,000
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services	2,000	2,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
G-Travel	2,000	2,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
J-Capital Outlays	7,000		7,000		
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	2,000	2,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
9-					
Total \$	143,000	136,000	279,000	272,000	272,000

## III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
Managment Analyst 5	98,000	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total FTEs		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

#### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
Program Support (090)	143,000	136,000	279,000	272,000	272,000
Total \$	143,000	136,000	279,000	272,000	272,000

### Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

**NONE** 

### Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Bill # 1248 S HB

## **Individual State Agency Fiscal Note**

D'II N 1240 C IID	T:41	T'1- '4'			<b>A</b>	276 Tl - E	Gt. t
<b>Bill Number:</b> 1248 S HB	Title:	Juvenile justice pr	ograms		Agency	7: 376-The Ev College	ergreen State
Part I: Estimates  No Fiscal Impact							
<b>Estimated Cash Receipts to:</b>							
Estimated Cash Receipts to.							
NONE							
<b>Estimated Operating Expenditu</b>	res from:						
Estimated Operating Expenditu	res iroin.	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	·	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years		0.7	0.3		0.5	0.5	0.5
Account							
General Fund-State 001-1		113,981	43,877	157,	358	164,206	170,809
	Total \$	113,981	43,877	157,	358	164,206	170,809
The cash receipts and expenditure and alternate ranges (if appropria			e most likely fiscal i	impact. Factors	impacting	g the precision o	f these estimates,
Check applicable boxes and fol	low corresp	onding instructions:					
If fiscal impact is greater the form Parts I-V.	an \$50,000	per fiscal year in the	e current biennium	or in subsequ	ent bienn	iia, complete e	ntire fiscal note
If fiscal impact is less than	\$50,000 pei	r fiscal year in the cu	ırrent biennium or	in subsequen	biennia,	complete this	page only (Part I)
Capital budget impact, com	plete Part I	V.					
Requires new rule making,	complete P	art V.					
Legislative Contact: Yvonne	Walker			Phone: 360-78	6-7841	Date: 02	2/10/2025
Agency Preparation: Catheri	ne Nicolai			Phone: (360) 6	664-9087	Date: 02	2/11/2025
Agency Approval: Lisa Da	wn-Fisher			Phone: 564-23	3-1577	Date: 02	2/11/2025
OFM Review: Ramons	a Nabors			Phone: (360)	742-8948	Date: 02	2/18/2025

### **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

#### II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Sec. 1(2) of SHB 1248 directs the Washington State Institute for Public Policy (WSIPP) to "conduct biennial outcome evaluations of juvenile justice programs identified as evidence-based and approved for funding by the block grant oversight committee defined under RCW 13.40.511.

- (a) The Washington state institute for public policy shall prioritize juvenile justice programs for evaluation under this section based on:
- (i) The length of time since an evaluation has been conducted on the program; and
- (ii) The number of juveniles participating in the program.
- (b) The outcome evaluations required under this subsection (2) must focus on recidivism and include a benefit-cost analysis."

#### II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

#### II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

The assignment outlined in Sec.2(1) of SHB 1248 requires WSIPP to produce a report every biennium. The costs reflected below would continue into future biennia.

#### FY26:

- -0.68 FTE Researcher to scope the study, complete the data requests, and clean the data
- -0.06 FTE Research Methods to develop a study plan.
- -Assumes \$750 for the Washington State Institutional Review Board (WSIRB) fees.

#### FY27:

- -0.23 FTE Researcher to complete data analysis, conduct benefit-cost analysis, and write the report.
- -0.06 FTE Editing/Publication for report writing.

#### FY28:

- -0.68 FTE Researcher to scope the study, complete the data requests, and clean the data.
- -0.06 FTE Research Methods to develop a study plan.
- -Assumes \$750 for WSIRB fees.

#### FY29:

- -0.23 FTE Researcher to complete data analysis, conduct benefit-cost analysis, and write the report.
- -0.06 FTE Editing/Publication for report writing.

#### FY30:

- -0.68 FTE Researcher to scope the study, complete the data requests, and clean the data.
- -0.06 FTE Research Methods to develop a study plan.
- -Assumes \$750 for WSIRB fees.

#### FY31:

- -0.23 FTE Researcher to complete data analysis, conduct benefit-cost analysis, and write the report.
- -0.06 FTE Editing/Publication for report writing.

### **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

#### III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
001-1	General Fund	State	113,981	43,877	157,858	164,206	170,809
		Total \$	113,981	43,877	157,858	164,206	170,809

#### III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years	0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5
A-Salaries and Wages	78,611	30,369	108,980	113,383	117,963
B-Employee Benefits	22,488	8,807	31,295	32,560	33,875
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services	12,132	4,701	16,833	17,513	18,221
G-Travel					
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
9-WSIRB fees	750		750	750	750
Total \$	113,981	43,877	157,858	164,206	170,809

## III. C - Operating FTE Detail: List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
Editing/Publication	100,088		0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Methods Review	125,796	0.1		0.0	0.0	0.0
Researcher	100,088	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5
Total FTEs		0.7	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5

#### III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

**NONE** 

## Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

**NONE** 

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

**NONE** 

#### IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

<sup>\*</sup>Goods and other services include a 12% indirect rate for The Evergreen State College.

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.

NONE

## Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

## LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number:	1248 S HB	Title:	Juvenile justice programs
Part I: Juri	isdiction-Location	on, type or	status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.
Legislation 1	Impacts:		
Cities:			
	Indeterminate impact would be evaluated for		iring juvenile justice programs that are identified as evidence-based to track data that ness biennially.
Special Dist	ricts:		
Specific juri	isdictions only:		
X Variance occ	curs due to: Number	r of juvenile	justice programs in each county that are identified as evidence-based.
Part II: Es	stimates		
No fiscal in	npacts.		
Expenditure	es represent one-time	costs:	
	provides local option	: County j	juvenile justice programs found to be evidence based by a biennial evaluation would be for block grant funding. Eligible counties would have the option to apply for such .
X Key variable	les cannot be estimate	_	
Estimated revo	enue impacts to:		
None			
Estimated exp	enditure impacts to:		
	Non-zero	but indeter	rminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

## Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: Kate Fernald	Phone:	564-200-3519	Date:	02/17/2025
Leg. Committee Contact: Yvonne Walker	Phone:	360-786-7841	Date:	02/10/2025
Agency Approval: Alice Zillah	Phone:	360-725-5035	Date:	02/17/2025
OFM Review: Ramona Nabors	Phone:	(360) 742-8948	Date:	02/18/2025

Page 1 of 3 Bill Number: 1248 S HB

FNS060 Local Government Fiscal Note

# Part IV: Analysis A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This fiscal note compares SHB 1248 to the original version of the bill.

#### CHANGES BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The substitute bill would allow juvenile justice programs to be eligible for block grant funding if the Washington State Institute for Public Policy's (WSIPP's) biennial outcome evaluation determined that the program is evidence-based. It would also remove age limitations for juvenile diversion agreements.

These changes would not affect the fiscal impacts discussed below because eligible county programs would still have the option to apply for block grant funding. Because applying for such funds would be up to the discretion of each qualifying county, and is therefore a local option, the Local Government Fiscal Note program assumes this would not change the fiscal impacts discussed below.

#### SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:

SHB 1248 would require biennial outcome evaluations of evidence-based juvenile-justice programs. County programs found to be "evidence-based" would become eligible for block grant funding.

Sec. 1. would amend RCW 43.20C.020 to require the Washington State Institute for Public Policy (WSIPP) to conduct biennial outcome evaluations of county juvenile justice programs identified as evidence-based. If the WSIPP found evaluated programs to be evidence-based, then they would be approved for funding by the Block Grant Oversight Committee, if they were not already approved. WSIPP's evaluations would be required to focus on recidivism and include a benefit-cost analysis.

#### **B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS**

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

#### CHANGES IN EXPENDITURE IMPACTS BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The substitute bill would allow WSIPP-evaluated juvenile justice programs that were found to be evidence-based to be eligible for funding from the Block Grant Oversight Committee, if they were not already approved for such funding.

Because applying for such funds would be up to the discretion of each qualifying county, and would therefore be a local option, the Local Government Fiscal Note (LGFN) program assumes this would not change the fiscal impacts discussed below.

#### EXPENDITURE IMPACTS OF CURRENT BILL:

Local governments could experience indeterminate expenditure impacts if staff workload increases as a result of the proposed legislation, which would require county juvenile justice programs identified as evidence-based to track data. The data would be evaluated for effectiveness biennially. The proposed legislation would also allow programs found to be evidence-based to be eligible for block grant funding, but because counties would have the option to apply for such funding, the LGFN program assumes this would not impact local government expenditures due to the optional application process.

#### DATA TRACKING:

Counties could incur indeterminate costs if juvenile justice programs identified as evidence-based would have to begin tracking data as a result of the proposed legislation. According to the Department of Children, Youth and Families (DCYF), all data associated with diversions is tracked locally. The number of programs that may need to begin tracking data, and the total amount of staff time required to track the data is not known. As such, the local government expenditure impact is indeterminate.

Page 2 of 3 Bill Number: 1248 S HB

#### **BLOCK GRANT FUNDING:**

Evidence-based juvenile justice programs could be eligible to apply for funding from the Block Grant Oversight Committee. However, since applying for such funding is up to the discretion of each county, and is therefore a local option, the LGFN program assumes no impact to expenditures due to the optional application process.

#### BACKGROUND:

Counties are responsible for diversion agreement costs, according to DCYF. However, state funding is provided to county juvenile courts to help support programs designed for juveniles who were found to have committed a criminal offense. The majority of state funding to county juvenile courts for this juvenile justice related programming comes from a block grant, which prioritizes evidence-based programs.

#### C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

#### CHANGES IN REVENUE IMPACTS BETWEEN THIS VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:

The proposed legislation would not impact local government revenue because the legislation would allow qualifying county programs to be eligible for block grant funding. Since applying for a funding opportunity is elective, the Local Government Fiscal Note program assumes no impact to local government revenue.

#### REVENUE IMPACTS OF CURRENT BILL:

The proposed legislation would not impact local government revenue because the legislation would allow qualifying county programs the option to apply for block grant funding. Since applying for a funding opportunity is elective, the Local Government Fiscal Note program assumes no impact to local government revenue.

#### SOURCES:

Washington State Department of Children, Youth and Families' Budget Office

Page 3 of 3 Bill Number: 1248 S HB