Multiple Agency Fiscal Note Summary

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	**Title:** Court unification task force

Estimated Cash Receipts

NONE

Agency Name	2025-27		2027-29		2029-31	
	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total	GF- State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impact					
Loc School dist-SPI						
Local Gov. Other						
Local Gov. Total						

Estimated Operating Expenditures

Agency Name	2025-27				2027-29				2029-31			
	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total	FTEs	GF-State	NGF-Outlook	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Office of Public Defense	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Office of Civil Legal Aid	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Office of the Governor	.3	114,000	114,000	114,000	.2	85,500	85,500	85,500	.0	0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	.4	98,000	98,000	98,000	.2	49,000	49,000	49,000	.0	0	0	0
Criminal Justice Training Commission	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	0
Total $	**0.7**	**212,000**	**212,000**	**212,000**	**0.4**	**134,500**	**134,500**	**134,500**	**0.0**	**0**	**0**	**0**

Agency Name	2025-27			2027-29			2029-31		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Expenditures

Agency Name	2025-27			2027-29			2029-31		
	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total	FTEs	Bonds	Total
Administrative Office of the Courts	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Public Defense	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Civil Legal Aid	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of the Governor	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Office of Attorney General	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Criminal Justice Training Commission	.0	0	0	.0	0	0	.0	0	0
Total $	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0	0

Agency Name	2025-27			2027-29			2029-31		
	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total	FTEs	GF-State	Total
Local Gov. Courts	No fiscal impact								
Loc School dist-SPI									
Local Gov. Other	Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.								
Local Gov. Total									

Estimated Capital Budget Breakout

Prepared by: Gaius Horton, OFM	**Phone:** (360) 819-3112	**Date Published:** Final 3/13/2025

FNPID 74235

FNS029 Multi Agency rollup

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	Title: Court unification task force	Agency: 055-Administrative Office of the Courts

Part I: Estimates

[X] **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Expenditures from:

NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

[ ] If fiscal impact is greater than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note fo Parts I-V.

[ ] If fiscal impact is less than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

[ ] Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Preparation:	Kerra Lynch	Phone: 3607044029	Date: 03/11/2025
Agency Approval:	Chris Stanley	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 03/11/2025
OFM Review:	Gaius Horton	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 03/13/2025

204,163.00

Request # 244-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Bill creates task force to discuss unification of Washington Courts.

The substitute would not make any changes affecting the fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts or the courts.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

No or minimal fiscal impact to the Administrative Office of the Courts.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

NONE

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

NONE

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

NONE

III. D - FTE Detail

NONE

III. E - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B1 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (State)

NONE

IV. B2 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (County)

NONE

IV. B3 - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose (City)

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	Title: Court unification task force	Agency: 056-Office of Public Defense

Part I: Estimates

[X] **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:
NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

[ ] If fiscal impact is greater than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

[ ] If fiscal impact is less than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

[ ] Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

[ ] Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Preparation:	Sophia Byrd McSherry	Phone: 360-586-3164	Date: 02/22/2025
Agency Approval:	Sophia Byrd McSherry	Phone: 360-586-3164	Date: 02/22/2025
OFM Review:	Gaius Horton	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 02/24/2025

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

The Office of Public Defense anticipates no fiscal impact from SHB 1909.

SHB 1909 establishes a Court Unification Task Force. Task Force membership includes 1 person representing the Washington State Office of Public Defense (OPD). Members are expected to participate in task force and subcommittee meetings through December 2028. OPD will participate in the task force within existing resources.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	Title: Court unification task force	Agency: 057-Office of Civil Legal Aid

Part I: Estimates

[X] **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:
NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

[ ] If fiscal impact is greater than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

[ ] If fiscal impact is less than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

[ ] Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

[ ] Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Preparation:	Sara Robbins	Phone: (360) 485-1544	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Approval:	Sara Robbins	Phone: (360) 485-1544	Date: 02/20/2025
OFM Review:	Gaius Horton	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 02/24/2025

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	Title: Court unification task force	Agency: 075-Office of the Governor

Part I: Estimates

☐ **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	57,000	57,000	114,000	85,500	0
Total $	57,000	57,000	114,000	85,500	0

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☒ If fiscal impact is greater than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

☐ If fiscal impact is less than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Preparation:	Kathy Cody	Phone: (360) 480-7237	Date: 02/24/2025
Agency Approval:	Jamie Langford	Phone: (360) 870-7766	Date: 02/24/2025
OFM Review:	Val Terre	Phone: (360) 280-3073	Date: 02/24/2025

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

The substitute bill revised the comprehensive analysis in Section 2 to now include:
- Reviewing the inefficiencies and inequities resulting from disparate local court rules, technology adoption, and funding levels;
- Identifying areas where a more unified or centralized approach to court operations that may:
    - Improve efficiency and uniformity in the delivery of court and judicial services.
    - Improve consistency in outcomes and ease of access for those who use the court system, including victims, defendants, litigants, and counsel.

Section 3 of the substitute composes the task force of 37 voting members. Members must be nominated and appointed by the leadership of the entities that they represent. One of the members must be a member from the Governor's Office.

Changes in the substitute do not impact the Office of the Governor's previous fiscal note assumptions.

Section 2 creates the Court Unification Task Force to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the current Washington state court system. This includes:
- Reviewing the structure, policies, practices, and procedures of the state courts.
- Identifying areas where a more unified or centralized approach to court operations that may:
    - Improve efficiency and uniformity in the delivery of court and judicial services.
    - Improve consistency in outcomes and ease of access for those who use the court system, including victims, defendants, litigants, and counsel.

Per Section 3 the task force is composed of 34 voting members. Members must be nominated and appointed by the leadership of the entities that they represent. One of the members must be a member from the Governor's Office.

Per Section 4 the task force has the following powers:
- Form issue-specific subcommittees
- Hire staff or contract for professional assistance, consistent with funds appropriated.

Per Section 5 the task shall:
- Hold its first meeting by October 1, 2025, and complete its work by December 31, 2028. The task force shall hold no fewer than two meetings of the full membership of the task force each calendar year.
- Submit a preliminary report to the interbranch advisory committee by June 30, 2026.
- Submit a final report to the interbranch advisory committee and relevant committees of the legislature by June 30th, 2027. The final report must propose specific solutions for the efficient use of resources, including harmonizing any conflicting court policies, practices, and procedures, and include a long-term strategic plan to implement the proposed solutions.
- Hold at least one meeting of the full membership of the task force after the task force submits its final report and before June 25 30, 2028.

This bill expires December 31, 2028.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Salaries and benefits: The requires 0.25 FTE of a Policy Advisor (Exempt) to participate on the committee at $47,000 per year and includes salaries and benefits.

Goods and services: Based on average employee costs, the Office needs ongoing funding for supplies and materials, communications and telecommunications services, lease space, training, software licensing and maintenance at $1,000 per year, prorated per FTE.

Travel: Based on average employee travel in FY 2019, the Office needs ongoing funding for travel associated with this position at $1,000 per year, prorated per FTE.

Shared Service Costs: The Office of Financial Management provides administrative support for the Office of Financial Management, Office of the Governor, and Office of Independent Investigations. These services include IT support, budget and accounting services, facilities support, and human resource assistance. To fund these shared services, each budgeted FTE is prorated an ongoing cost of $8,000.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
001-1	General Fund	State	57,000	57,000	114,000	85,500	0
		Total $	57,000	57,000	114,000	85,500	0

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	
A-Salaries and Wages	37,000	37,000	74,000	55,500	
B-Employee Benefits	10,000	10,000	20,000	15,000	
C-Professional Service Contracts					
E-Goods and Other Services	1,000	1,000	2,000	1,500	
G-Travel	1,000	1,000	2,000	1,500	
J-Capital Outlays					
M-Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
N-Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
P-Debt Service					
S-Interagency Reimbursements					
T-Intra-Agency Reimbursements	8,000	8,000	16,000	12,000	
9-					
Total $	57,000	57,000	114,000	85,500	0

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
Policy Advisor	145,000	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	
Total FTEs		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	Title: Court unification task force	Agency: 100-Office of Attorney General

Part I: Estimates

☐ **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:

	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	0
Total $	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	0

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

☐ If fiscal impact is greater than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

☒ If fiscal impact is less than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

☐ Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Preparation:	Chad Standifer	Phone: 3605863650	Date: 02/24/2025
Agency Approval:	Leah Snow	Phone: 360-586-2104	Date: 02/24/2025
OFM Review:	Val Terre	Phone: (360) 280-3073	Date: 02/24/2025

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

Section 1: New Section. Legislative findings.

Section 2: New Section. Establishing the Court Unification Task Force to conduct an analysis of the current Washington court system. Washington state court system, including a review of the inefficiencies and inequities resulting from disparate local court rules, technology adoption, and funding levels.

Section 3: New Section. Specifying the voting members of the task force, including one person representing the Attorney General's Office (AGO) appointed by the Attorney General. Members of the task force to be reimbursed for travel expenses.

Section 4: New Section. Specifying the powers of the task force. The task force is authorized to hire staff or contract for professional assistance.

Section 5: New Section. Specifying when the task force shall meet, complete its' work, and submit reporting to the interbranch advisory committee created in RCW 2.76 and the Legislature.

Section 6: New Section. Providing that the chapter expires December 31, 2028.

Section 7: New Section. Providing that sections 2 through 6 of this Act constitute a new chapter in Title 2 RCW.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Attorney General's Office (AGO) Policy Division (POL) activities are funded with General Fund-State dollars. No cash receipt impact. There is no client agency to bill for legal services.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

Attorney General's Office (AGO) Agency Assumptions:

Location of staffing is assumed to be in a King County office building.

Total workload impact in this request includes standard assumption costs for goods & services, travel, and capital outlays for all FTE identified.

Agency administration support FTE are included in the tables. The Management Analyst 5 FTE (MA) is used as a representative classification. An example ratio is for every 1.0 Assistant Attorney General FTE (AAG), the AGO includes 0.5 Paralegal 1 FTE (PL1) and 0.4 MA.

AGO Policy (POL) activities are funded with General Fund-State dollars. There is no appropriate client agency to bill for legal services.

1. Assumptions for AGO POL: 0.25 Policy Analyst FTE (PA) will serve as a member of the court unification task force

established by the bill. The bill requires at least two meetings of the full task force each calendar year and submit an interim report by June 30, 2026. The bill also requires at least two meetings of the full task force each calendar year and a final report by June 30, 2027. One final task force meeting between submission of the final report by June 30, 2027, and June 30, 2028.

The AGO representative on the court unification task force will be a member of the POL Division.
The AGO will not be the lead agency drafting the reports required by the bill.

AGO total workload hours:
FY 2026 through FY 2028: 450 PA hours.

POL: Total King County workload impact:
FY 2026 through FY 2028: $49,000 for 0.25 PA

2. The AGO Solicitor General's Office Division (SGO) has reviewed this bill and determined it will not increase or decrease the division's workload. Therefore, no costs are included in this request.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

Account	Account Title	Type	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
001-1	General Fund	State	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	0
		Total $	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	0

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
FTE Staff Years	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	
A-Salaries and Wages	33,000	33,000	66,000	33,000	
B-Employee Benefits	10,000	10,000	20,000	10,000	
E-Goods and Other Services	6,000	6,000	12,000	6,000	
Total $	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	0

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *List FTEs by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals need to agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA*

Job Classification	Salary	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
Management Analyst 5	98,040	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Policy Analyst	110,000	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	
Total FTEs		0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.0

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

Program	FY 2026	FY 2027	2025-27	2027-29	2029-31
Policy Division (POL)	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	
Total $	49,000	49,000	98,000	49,000	

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

Individual State Agency Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	Title: Court unification task force	Agency: 227-Criminal Justice Training Commission

Part I: Estimates

[X] **No Fiscal Impact**

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

NONE

Estimated Operating Expenditures from:
NONE

Estimated Capital Budget Impact:

NONE

The cash receipts and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Factors impacting the precision of these estimates, and alternate ranges (if appropriate), are explained in Part II.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

[ ] If fiscal impact is greater than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.

[ ] If fiscal impact is less than $50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).

[ ] Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

[ ] Requires new rule making, complete Part V.

Legislative Contact:	Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Preparation:	Francesca Heard	Phone: 206-610-8870	Date: 02/24/2025
Agency Approval:	Francesca Heard	Phone: 206-610-8870	Date: 02/24/2025
OFM Review:	Danya Clevenger	Phone: (360) 688-6413	Date: 02/26/2025

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact

Significant provisions of the bill and any related workload or policy assumptions that have revenue or expenditure impact on the responding agency by section number.

AN ACT Relating to establishing the court unification task force; adding a new chapter to Title 2 RCW; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

S HB 1909 has no significant fiscal impact to the Criminal Justice Training Commission.

II. B - Cash receipts Impact

Cash receipts impact of the legislation on the responding agency with the cash receipts provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of the revenue sources. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the cash receipts impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

II. C - Expenditures

Agency expenditures necessary to implement this legislation (or savings resulting from this legislation), with the provisions of the legislation that result in the expenditures (or savings) identified by section number. Description of the factual basis of the assumptions and the method by which the expenditure impact is derived. Explanation of how workload assumptions translate into cost estimates. Distinguished between one time and ongoing functions.

S HB 1909 has no significant fiscal impact to the Criminal Justice Training Commission.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Operating Budget Expenditures

NONE

III. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

III. C - Operating FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part I and Part IIIA.*

NONE

III. D - Expenditures By Program (optional)

NONE

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

IV. A - Capital Budget Expenditures

NONE

IV. B - Expenditures by Object Or Purpose

NONE

IV. C - Capital Budget Breakout

Acquisition and construction costs not reflected elsewhere on the fiscal note and description of potential financing methods.

NONE

IV. D - Capital FTE Detail: *FTEs listed by classification and corresponding annual compensation. Totals agree with total FTEs in Part IVB.*

NONE

Part V: New Rule Making Required

Provisions of the bill that require the agency to adopt new administrative rules or repeal/revise existing rules.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FISCAL NOTE

Department of Commerce

Bill Number: 1909 S HB	**Title:** Court unification task force

Part I: Jurisdiction-Location, type or status of political subdivision defines range of fiscal impacts.

Legislation Impacts:

[X] Cities: Indeterminate expenditure impact resulting from staff time for local government representatives to participate in Court Unification Task Force

[X] Counties: Same as above, but for counties

[ ] Special Districts:

[ ] Specific jurisdictions only:

[ ] Variance occurs due to:

Part II: Estimates

[ ] No fiscal impacts.

[ ] Expenditures represent one-time costs:

[ ] Legislation provides local option:

[X] Key variables cannot be estimated with certainty at this time: Amount of staff time required for task force participation

Estimated revenue impacts to:

None

Estimated expenditure impacts to:

Non-zero but indeterminate cost and/or savings. Please see discussion.

Part III: Preparation and Approval

Fiscal Note Analyst: James Vogl	Phone: 360-480-9429	Date: 02/26/2025
Leg. Committee Contact: Yvonne Walker	Phone: 360-786-7841	Date: 02/20/2025
Agency Approval: Alice Zillah	Phone: 360-725-5035	Date: 02/26/2025
OFM Review: Gaius Horton	Phone: (360) 819-3112	Date: 02/27/2025

Part IV: Analysis

A. SUMMARY OF BILL

Description of the bill with an emphasis on how it impacts local government.

This fiscal note analyzes the local government impact of SHB 1909, comparing it to the impact of HB 1909.

CHANGES BETWEEN THIS BILL VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:
The substitute bill would alter the language describing the topics the Court Unification Task Force would be required to review, and would require the task force to include three representatives of Washington cities. The original bill would only have required one representative of cities on the task force.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:
Section 2 of the proposed legislation would add a new section that would establish the Court Unification Task Force to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the current Washington state court system. This analysis would be required to include a review of the inefficiencies and inequities resulting from disparate local court rules, technology adoption and funding levels, and to identify areas where a more unified or centralized approach to court operations may improve efficiency and uniformity in the delivery of court and judicial services, as well as consistency in outcomes and ease of access for those who use the court system, including victims, defendants, litigants, and counsel.

Section 3 would add a new section that describes the required membership of the task force. The task force would be required to include three people representing cities, one person who is an elected county councilmember representing counties, one person representing court clerks, 12 members from the judicial branch, one person appointed by and representing the Washington Association of Prosecuting Attorneys and one person appointed by and representing the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs. Task force members representing cities would be required to represent a city with fewer than 100,000 inhabitants, a city with between 100,000 and 500,000 inhabitants, and a city with 500,000 or more inhabitants.

Task force members would be reimbursed for travel expenses.

Section 5 would add a new section, requiring the task force to hold its first meeting by October 1, 2025 and to complete its work by December 31, 2028. The task force's entire membership would be required to meet no less than twice annually, and the task force would be required to produce a preliminary report by June 30, 2026 and a final report by June 30, 2027.

Section 6 specifies that the new chapter the proposed legislation would create would expire December 31, 2028.

Section 7 specifies that sections 2 through 6 of the proposed legislation would constitute a new chapter in Title 2 RCW.

B. SUMMARY OF EXPENDITURE IMPACTS

Expenditure impacts of the legislation on local governments with the expenditure provisions identified by section number and when appropriate, the detail of expenditures. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

CHANGE IN EXPENDITURE IMPACT BETWEEN THIS BILL VERSION AND PREVIOUS BILL VERSION:
The substitute bill would require that the Court Unification Task Force include two additional representatives of cities. This requirement would add additional costs for the staff time for these representatives to participate on the task force, but it is unknown how much time may be necessary for task force members to complete their required work tasks, so the magnitude of this additional expenditure impact is indeterminate.

SUMMARY OF CURRENT BILL:
The proposed legislation would have an indeterminate impact on local government expenditures.

The Court Unification Task Force would be required to have several local government representatives, including three city representatives, an elected county councilmember, a representative of the Washington Association of Prosecuting

Attorneys and a representative of the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs. The task force would also be required to include a representative of court clerks, and 12 judicial officers, but any costs associated with the participation of these personnel would be reflected in the Administrative Office of the Courts' judicial impact note for this bill.

The task force would be required to hold its first meeting by October 1, 2025, conclude its work by December 31, 2028, produce both an interim and final report, and convene a meeting of its full membership at least twice annually. Travel expenses would be reimbursed. It is unknown, however, how much time may be necessary for task force members to complete their required work tasks between October 1, 2025 and December 31, 2028, so the expenditure impact from local government representatives participating in the task force is indeterminate.

The 2025 Local Government Fiscal Note Program Unit Cost Model estimates that the average hourly salary plus benefits and overhead for the following benchmark positions are approximately:

Average city management position: $110
Average county management position: $112
County commissioner: $88
County prosecuting attorney: $117
County sheriff: $164
County undersheriff: $110

C. SUMMARY OF REVENUE IMPACTS

Revenue impacts of the legislation on local governments, with the revenue provisions identified by section number, and when appropriate, the detail of revenue sources. Delineated between city, county and special district impacts.

The proposed legislation would have no impact on local government revenues.

SOURCES:
Local Government Fiscal Note Program Unit Cost Model, 2025